



IROCA-TES: Improving Quality in Radiation Oncology through Clinical Audits — Training and Education for Standardization

Julian Malicki^{1,2}, Carla Lopes Castro³, Magdalena Fundowicz⁴, Marco Krengli^{5,6},
Carmen Llacer-Moscardo⁷, Sebastian Curcean⁸, Carles Muñoz Montplet⁹, Luisa Carvalho³,
Ewelina Konstany¹⁰, Tania Hernandez Barragan¹¹, Carla Pisani⁵, Istvan Laszlo⁸, Miquel Macià Garau¹²,
Marta Kruszyna-Mochalska^{10,1}, Joana Lencart¹³, Dorota Zwierzchowska², Alvar Rosello Serrano¹²,
Adelina Brezae⁷, Eva Loureiro Varela¹⁴, Piotr Milecki^{4,1}, Micol Zannetti⁵, Ovidiu Coza¹⁵, Eva Gonzalez¹⁶,
Debora Beldi⁵, Ferran Guedea¹²

¹Electroradiology Department, University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

²Greater Poland Cancer Centre, Poznan, Poland

³Department of Radiotherapy, Instituto Português de Oncologia do Porto Francisco Gentil, Portugal

⁴Radiotherapy Ward I, Greater Poland Cancer Centre, Poznan, Poland

⁵Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital “Maggiore della Carità”, Novara, Italy

⁶Department of Translational Medicine, University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara, Italy

⁷Montpellier Cancer Institute (ICM), Montpellier, France

⁸Department of Radiation Oncology, Ion Chiricuta Institute of Oncology, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

⁹Department of Medical Physics and Radiation Protection, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Girona, Spain

¹⁰Medical Physics Department, The Greater Poland Cancer Centre, Poznan, Poland

¹¹Centro Médico Nacional de Occidente, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social (IMSS), Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico

¹²Department of Radiation Oncology, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain

¹³Medical Physics Service & Medical Physics, Radiobiology and Radiation Protection Group CI-IPOP,
Instituto Português de Oncologia do Porto

¹⁴Department of Information Systems, Catalan Institute of Oncology, (ICO) Barcelona, Spain

¹⁵Department of Radiotherapy with High Energies and Brachytherapy, Oncology Institute “Prof. Dr. Ion Chiricuta”, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

¹⁶Department of Processes & Quality Management, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain

Address for correspondence: Julian Malicki, Greater Poland Cancer Centre, Poznan, Poland; e-mail: julian.malicki@wco.pl

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially

ABSTRACT

Background: Clinical audits are an important tool to objectively assess clinical protocols, procedures, and processes and to detect deviations from good clinical practice. The main aim of this project is to determine adherence to a core set of consensus-based quality indicators and then to compare the institutions in order to identify best practices.

Materials and methods: We conduct a multicentre, international clinical audit of six comprehensive cancer centres in Poland, Spain, Italy, Portugal, France, and Romania as a part of the project, known as IROCATES (Improving Quality in Radiation Oncology through Clinical Audits — Training and Education for Standardization).

Results: Radiotherapy practice varies from country to country, in part due to historical, economic, linguistic, and cultural differences. The institutions developed their own processes to suit their existing clinical practice.

Conclusions: We believe that this study will contribute to establishing the value of routinely performing multi-institutional clinical audits and will lead to improvement of radiotherapy practice at the participating centres.

Key words: radiotherapy; clinical audit; quality indicators

Rep Pract Oncol Radiother 2023;28(3):430–432

Introduction

Radiotherapy treatment planning and delivery has become increasingly complex in recent years due to technological advances in radiotherapy equipment. The introduction of advanced techniques has improved clinical outcomes by allowing for precise dose delivery to the target while reducing radiation doses to critical organs. However, given the importance of ensuring the precision of radiation delivery [1–3], it is essential to optimize the radiotherapy process and to implement procedures designed to detect and prevent errors [4]. In this context, clinical audits are an important tool to objectively assess clinical protocols, procedures, and processes. Clinical audits are widely used in medicine and offer numerous benefits, including the capacity to detect deviations from good clinical practice.

The main aim of this project is to determine adherence to a core set of consensus-based quality indicators—jointly established by the partners in accordance with the best available evidence—and then to compare the institutions in order to identify best practices. A second aim is to harmonise radiotherapy practice among the participating centres and to promote the use of advanced radiotherapy equipment (which is more effective, accurate, and safer than older technologies), and to encourage the wider application of clinical audits. The ultimate objective is to improve treatment outcomes for patients.

Materials and methods

The clinical audits will evaluate clinical and treatment-related data for 100 patients per tumour site (rectal and prostate cancer) to verify adherence to a set of quality indicators. The audit will be performed by analysing the medical records of patients treated between January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2019.

Results

Despite the widespread availability of clinical guidelines and protocols, radiotherapy practice varies from country to country, in part due to historical, economic, linguistic, and cultural differences. In addition, new technologies tend to be incorporated only gradually, centre-by-centre, over time [5]. As a result, institutions often have to develop their own processes to suit their existing clinical practice. While some variation between countries and centres is normal and expected, it is clear that every effort must be made to adhere to established, evidence-based protocols. This is especially important in radiation oncology, in which even small deviations can have major negative effects.

To date, inter-institutional external clinical audits have been used only sparingly in radiotherapy [6–10]. However, there is a growing interest in expanding the use of clinical audits and quality indicators, including a directive from the European Union [11–14]. Given the proven benefits of

external audits, together with the need to harmonise clinical practice in Europe, our group previously carried out a multicentre clinical audit in four different European countries (Spain, Poland, Portugal, and Italy). The results of that study, known as IROCA (*Improving Quality in Radiation Oncology through Clinical Audits*) [15, 16], revealed important differences in clinical practice in radiotherapy planning and delivery for rectal and prostate cancer.

Currently, our group is conducting a multicentre, international clinical audit of six comprehensive cancer centres in Poland, Spain, Italy, Portugal, France, and Romania. This project, known as IROCA-TES (*Improving Quality in Radiation Oncology through Clinical Audits–Training and Education for Standardization*), involves the following centres: 1) Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO, Barcelona, Spain), 2) Greater Poland Cancer Centre (GPCC; Poznan, Poland), 3) Instituto Português de Oncologia do Porto Francisco Gentil (IPO, Porto, Portugal), 4) University Hospital Maggiore della Carita (UPO, Novara, Italy), 5) Cancer Institute of Montpellier (ICM, Montpellier, France), and 6) The Oncology Institute Prof. Dr. Ion Chiricută (IOCN, Cluj Napoca, Romania).

The IROCA-TES project can be considered, at least partly, a follow-up to the original IROCA study. However, the new study has been expanded to include more centres and more patients. In addition, the study design has been improved and streamlined based on our previous experience.

The focus will be on evaluating medical, dosimetric, and technical data related to diagnosis and treatment. A questionnaire will be used to guide collection of the study data, which will then be entered into a purpose-built online database. After all data have been collected and entered into the database, the auditing team will prepare a report and meet with the audited institution to discuss the results. A series of meetings will be held to discuss the findings and to reach a consensus on harmonising the radiotherapy procedures and processes.

Conclusion

The primary objectives of this study are to improve clinical practice at the participating centres and to identify “best practices”, which can then be

implemented at all participating centres to improve treatment outcomes for the benefit of our patients. Finally, we believe that this study will contribute to establishing the value of routinely performing multi-institutional clinical audits.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Financial disclosure

None declared.

Acknowledgements

We thank Bradley Londres for professional language editing.

References

1. Malicki J, Piotrowski T, Guedea F, et al. Treatment-integrated imaging, radiomics, and personalised radiotherapy: the future is at hand. *Rep Pract Oncol Radiother*. 2022; 27(4): 734–743, doi: [10.5603/RPOR.a2022.0071](https://doi.org/10.5603/RPOR.a2022.0071), indexed in Pubmed: [36196410](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36196410/).
2. Adamczyk M, Piotrowski T, Adamiak E, et al. Dosimetric consequences of prostate-based couch shifts on the precision of dose delivery during simultaneous IMRT irradiation of the prostate, seminal vesicles and pelvic lymph nodes. *Phys Med*. 2014; 30(2): 228–233, doi: [10.1016/j.ejmp.2013.06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2013.06.003), indexed in Pubmed: [23860339](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23860339/).
3. Peszynska-Piorun M, Malicki J, Golusinski W. Doses in organs at risk during head and neck radiotherapy using IMRT and 3D-CRT. *Radiol Oncol*. 2012; 46(4): 328–336, doi: [10.2478/v10019-012-0050-y](https://doi.org/10.2478/v10019-012-0050-y), indexed in Pubmed: [23412761](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23412761/).
4. Malicki J, Bly R, Bulot M, et al. Patient safety in external beam radiotherapy, results of the ACCIRAD project: Recommendations for radiotherapy institutions and national authorities on assessing risks and analysing adverse error-events and near misses. *Radiother Oncol*. 2018; 127(2): 164–170, doi: [10.1016/j.radonc.2018.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2018.04.006), indexed in Pubmed: [29729846](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29729846/).
5. Grau C, Defourny N, Malicki J, et al. HERO consortium. Radiotherapy equipment and departments in the European countries: final results from the ESTRO-HERO survey. *Radiother Oncol*. 2014; 112(2): 155–164, doi: [10.1016/j.radonc.2014.08.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2014.08.029), indexed in Pubmed: [25443859](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25443859/).
6. Fundowicz M, Macia M, Marin S, et al. Preoperative radiotherapy for rectal cancer: a comparative study of quality control adherence at two cancer hospitals in Spain and Poland. *Radiol Oncol*. 2014; 48(2): 210–218, doi: [10.2478/raon-2014-0008](https://doi.org/10.2478/raon-2014-0008), indexed in Pubmed: [24991212](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24991212/).
7. Scalliet PGM. Federal College of Radiotherapy, Brussels. Clinical radiotherapy audits in Belgium, 2011–2014. *Cancer Radiother*. 2015; 19(6-7): 621–623, doi: [10.1016/j.canrad.2015.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canrad.2015.05.006), indexed in Pubmed: [26321683](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26321683/).
8. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). Comprehensive audits of radiotherapy practices: a tool for quality improvement. Quality assurance team for

- radiation oncology (QUATRO). Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency; 2007. <http://www-pub.iaea.org/books/IAEABooks/7680/Comprehensive-Audits-of-Radiotherapy-Practices-A-Tool-for-Quality-Improvement> (04.08.17).
9. Pečačová Z, Suková J, Lohynská R, et al. Internal clinical audit at a radiotherapy department - our own experience. *Onkologie*. 2022; 16(4): 173–176, doi: [10.36290/onx.2022.033](https://doi.org/10.36290/onx.2022.033).
 10. Torras MG, Canals E, Jurado-Bruggeman D, et al. Clinical Audit of the Radiotherapy Process in Rectal Cancer: Clinical Practice Guidelines and Quality Certification Do Not Avert Variability in Clinical Practice. *Transl Oncol*. 2018; 11(3): 794–799, doi: [10.1016/j.tranon.2018.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2018.03.015), indexed in Pubmed: [29704788](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29704788/).
 11. Cionini L, Gardani G, Gabriele P, et al. Italian Working Group General Indicators. Quality indicators in radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol*. 2007; 82(2): 191–200, doi: [10.1016/j.radonc.2006.12.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2006.12.009), indexed in Pubmed: [17267059](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17267059/).
 12. Danielson B, Brundage M, Pearcey R, et al. Development of indicators of the quality of radiotherapy for localized prostate cancer. *Radiother Oncol*. 2011; 99(1): 29–36, doi: [10.1016/j.radonc.2011.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2011.02.013), indexed in Pubmed: [21458094](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21458094/).
 13. Council Directive 2013/59/EURATOM, of 5 December 2013 laying down basic safety standards for protection against the dangers arising from exposure to ionising radiation, and repealing Directives 89/618/Euratom, 90/641/Euratom, 96/29/Euratom, 97/43/Euratom and 2003/122/Euratom 2003.
 14. Rosi A. Clinical audit on quality indicators in radiotherapy: Guidelines for a modern radiotherapy [Audit clinico su indicatori di qualità in radioterapia: Linee guida per una moderna radioterapia]. *Rivista Medica*. 2007; 13(3): 103–107.
 15. Fundowicz M, Aguiar A, de Castro CL, et al. Multicentre clinical radiotherapy audit in rectal cancer: results of the IROCA project. *Radiat Oncol*. 2020; 15(1): 208, doi: [10.1186/s13014-020-01648-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13014-020-01648-7), indexed in Pubmed: [32854730](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32854730/).
 16. Lopes de Castro C, Fundowicz M, Roselló A, et al. Results of the IROCA international clinical audit in prostate cancer radiotherapy at six comprehensive cancer centres. *Sci Rep*. 2021; 11(1): 12323, doi: [10.1038/s41598-021-91723-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91723-0), indexed in Pubmed: [34112863](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34112863/).