



## Regarding “Dosimetric predictors of acute bone marrow toxicity in carcinoma cervix — experience from a tertiary cancer centre in India” (Rohith Singareddy, Harjot Kaur Bajwa, Mahendra M. Reddy)

Suryakanta Acharya

Swami Vivekananda Cancer Hospital, Mabbi, Darbhanga, India

**Key words:** bias; acute myelosuppression; pelvic radiation

*Rep Pract Oncol Radiother* 2022;27(2):375-375

The entire study *Dosimetric predictors of acute bone marrow toxicity in carcinoma cervix — experience from a tertiary cancer centre in India* by Singareddy et al. [1] is based on an assumption which rests on a shaky ground. The study assumes acute bone marrow toxicity is only due to pelvic radiation. Pelvic radiation portal covers the L5 vertebra, sacrum and central part of pelvic bones and at best covers 30% of bone marrow [2]. The remaining 70% of bone marrow compensates very well in an acute myelotoxicity period. Secondly, cisplatin which is used as concurrent chemotherapy, acts systemically on 100% of bone marrow causing acute myelosuppression [3]. Cisplatin induced acute myelotoxicity is much more than radiation induced acute myelotoxicity and this confounding factor had been completely overlooked. The third confounding factor is bleeding. Majority cervix cancer patients present with bleeding. Haemoglobin, leukocytes and plate-

lets are all lost in bleeding. Bleeding mimics acute myelotoxicity and this confounding factor was not looked at. Hence the quantum of acute myelotoxicity is due to all three factors and cannot be equated to radiation alone.

### References

1. Singareddy R, Bajwa HK, Reddy MM, et al. Dosimetric predictors of acute bone marrow toxicity in carcinoma cervix — experience from a tertiary cancer centre in India. *Rep Pract Oncol Radiother*. 2021; 26(2): 259-265, doi: [10.5603/RPOR.a2021.0039](https://doi.org/10.5603/RPOR.a2021.0039), indexed in Pubmed: [34211777](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34211777/).
2. Hayman JA, Callahan JW, Herschtal A, et al. Distribution of proliferating bone marrow in adult cancer patients determined using FLT-PET imaging. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2011; 79(3): 847-852, doi: [10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.11.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.11.040), indexed in Pubmed: [20472367](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20472367/).
3. Cisplatin product monograph. Sandoz Canada, January 25, 2019. [https://www.sandoz.ca/sites/www.sandoz.ca/files/Cisplatin%20Injection%20BP%20Product%20Monograph\\_0.pdf](https://www.sandoz.ca/sites/www.sandoz.ca/files/Cisplatin%20Injection%20BP%20Product%20Monograph_0.pdf).

**Address for correspondence:** Suryakanta Acharya, Swami Vivekananda Cancer Hospital, Mabbi, Darbhanga, India;  
e-mail: [suryaonco@rediffmail.com](mailto:suryaonco@rediffmail.com)

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially