Oleksiak A, Kępka C, Nieman K, et al. Incremental value of volumetric quantification for myocardial perfusion imaging by computed tomography. Kardiol Pol. 2022.

Please note that the journal is not responsible for the scientific accuracy or functionality of any supplementary material submitted by the authors. Any queries (except missing content) should be directed to the corresponding author of the article.

Parameter	Study	Total	No revascularization	<i>P</i> - valu	
	group	revascularization	(n = 37)		
	(n = 53)	(n = 16)		e	
Beta-blocker	39 (74%)	11 (69%)	28 (76%)	0.6	
Statin	42 (79%)	14 (88%)	28 (76%)	0.3	
PPARα agonist	4 (8%)	1 (6%)	3 (8%)	0.8	
ACEi	20 (38%)	7 (44%)	13 (35%)	0.6	
ARB	17 (32%)	5 (31%)	12 (32%)	0.9	
Calcium	21 (40%)	7 (44%)	14 (38%)	0.7	
antagonist					
Diuretic	12 (23%)	5 (31%)	7 (19%)	0.3	
Metformin	13 (25%)	3 (19%)	10 (27%)	0.5	
Acetylsalicylid	43 (81%)	13 (81%)	30 (81%)	0.99	
acid					

Table S1. Baseline patients characteristics. List of medications

Values are n (%)

Abbreviations: ACEi, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker, PPAR α , peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors α

Table S2. The diagnostic value of CTA, CT-FFR, CTP and volumetric CTP for revascularization prediction. The true positive/negative and false positive/negative cases for elective and total revascularizations prediction during median 2.5 years follow-up by CTA, CT-FFR, CTP with standard approach and volumetric CTP (VOL CTP)

Imaging modality	True	True	False	False				
	positive	negative	positive	negative				
ELECTIVE REVASCULARIZATIONS								
СТА	9	26	15	3				
CT-FFR	11	24	17	1				
CTP with standard approach	14	27	12	0				
VOL CTP	12	35	6	0				
TOTAL REVASCULARIZATIONS								
СТА	11	24	13	5				
CT-FFR	15	24	13	1				
CTP with standard approach	16	27	10	0				
VOL CTP	16	35	2	0				