

Supplementary material

Góreczny S, Morgan GJ, McLennan D, et al. Comparison of fusion imaging and two-dimensional angiography for guidance of percutaneous pulmonary vein interventions. Kardiol Pol. 2021.

Please note that the journal is not responsible for the scientific accuracy or functionality of any supplementary material submitted by the authors. Any queries (except missing content) should be directed to the corresponding author of the article.

Table S1. Patients demographics, risk score and procedural data

Patients who underwent fusion of pre-catheter computed tomography scans for guidance of pulmonary vein interventions were matched (1:1) to those with standard two-dimensional angiography. All comparisons were performed using Wilcoxon-matched pairs signed rank test. Data are reported as median with corresponding inter-quantile ranges

	2D (n = 6)	Fusion imaging (n = 6)	P-value
Age, months	13.5 (12.2–15.5)	19.0 (10.7–22.8)	0.625
Weight, kg	7.5 (6.5–9.4)	7.8 (6.7–9.8)	0.999
BSA, m ²	0.38 (0.34–0.44)	0.40 (0.33–0.45)	0.812
CRISP score	11.0 (9.2–12.7)	10.0 (9.2–11.8)	0.562
SAE (%)	14.4 (8.2–14.4)	14.4 (8.2–14.4)	0.999
Total contrast, ml	36.5 (30.7–39.2)	25.0 (16.2–28.7)	0.218
Indexed contrast, ml/kg	3.7 (2.7–5.2)	2.4 (2.2–3.3)	0.219
AK, mGy	288 (124–960)	53 (43–69)	0.219
DAP, mGy×cm	8852 (4577–22588)	1020 (480–3945)	0.312
Fluoroscopy time, min	71 (62–122)	52 (45–76)	0.437
Procedural time, min	256 (210–332)	165 (135–198)	0.219

Abbreviations: AK, air kerma; BSA, body surface area; CRISP, catheterization risk score for paediatrics; DAP, dose area product; SEA, severe adverse events