Supplementary material

Kempa M, Przybylski A, Budrejko S, et al. Multicenter Registry of Subcutaneous Cardioverter-Defibrillator Implantations — preliminary report. Kardiol Pol. 2021.

Please note that the journal is not responsible for the scientific accuracy or functionality of any supplementary material submitted by the authors. Any queries (except missing content) should be directed to the corresponding author of the article.

Table S1. List of centers participating in the registry

	Number of	
Implanting center	patients in the	
	registry	
Department of Cardiology and Electrotherapy, Medical University of	20	
Gdansk, Gdańsk, Poland	20	
Department of Cardiology, Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz,	19	
Nicolaus Copernicus University, Poland	19	
Department of Electrocardiology, Medical University of Lodz, Łódź,	11	
Poland	11	
3 rd Department of Cardiology, School of Medicine with the Division of		
Dentistry in Zabrze, Silesian Centre for Heart Diseases, Medical	11	
University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland		
2 nd Department of Arrhythmia, National Institute of Cardiology,	11	
Warszawa, Poland		
1st Department of Cardiology and Angiology, Silesian Centre of Heart	8	
Diseases, Zabrze, Poland		
1st Chair and Department of Cardiology, Medical University of	7	
Warsaw, Warszawa, Poland	,	
Cardiology Department with the Acute Coronary Syndromes	6	
Subdivision, Clinical Provincial Hospital No 2, Rzeszów, Poland	U	
Department of Cardiology, Centre for Heart Diseases, 4th Military	6	
Hospital, Wrocław, Poland	U	

1 st Department of Cardiology, Chair of Cardiology, Karol Marcinkowski University of Medical Sciences, Poznań, Poland	6
Department of Heart Diseases, Wroclaw Medical University, Wrocław, Poland	6
Department of Cardiology and Internal Diseases, Military Institute of Medicine, Warszawa, Poland	5
1 st Department of Arrhythmia, National Institute of Cardiology, Warszawa, Poland	4
Department of Electrocardiology, John Paul II Hospital, Kraków, Poland	2
1 st Department of Cardiology, Upper-Silesian Medical Centre of the Silesian Medical University in Katowice, Katowice, Poland	1

Table S2. Reason for choice of S-ICD instead of T-ICD. More than one entry was possible for each patient

Reason for choice of S-ICD	Number of patients
Young age	94 (76)
Risk of IE	29 (24)
Recurrent lead failure	11 (9)
Lack of venous access	6 (5)
Other	8 (7)

Data are presented as number (percentage) of patients.

Abbreviations: S-ICD, subcutaneous cardioverter-defibrillator; T-ICD, transvenous cardioverter-defibrillator; IE, infective endocarditis