

Supplementary material

Lim Y.Z. D, Sng G, Ho H.H. W, et al. Machine learning versus classic electrocardiographic criteria for the detection of echocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy in a pre-participation cohort. Kardiol Pol. 2021.

Please note that the journal is not responsible for the scientific accuracy or functionality of any supplementary material submitted by the authors. Any queries (except missing content) should be directed to the corresponding author of the article.

Supplementary Table 1: Table of predictive parameters

Demographic Parameters	Anthropometric Parameters	Clinical Parameters	ECG Parameters
Age	Height	Systolic Blood Pressure	Mean PR interval
	Weight	Diastolic Blood Pressure	Mean PR segment
	Body Fat Percentage	Urine Dipstick Protein	Mean QRS duration
		Urine Dipstick Blood	Mean QTc interval
		Urine Dipstick Glucose	Mean QT interval
		Haemoglobin	Mean ventricular rate
		Pectus Excavatum	QT interval dispersion
			R wave height in avF, avL, avR, I, II, III, V1-6
			S wave height in avF, avL, avR, I, II, III, V1-6
			Ventricular activation time in avL, V5, V6

Supplementary Table 2: Classical criteria for LVH

Classical Model	Description																						
Sokolow-Lyon continuous	S in V1 + larger of R in V5 or V6 in mm																						
Sokolow-Lyon	Sokolow-Lyon continuous > 35mm																						
Cornell continuous	R in aVL + S in V3 in mm																						
Cornell thresholded	Cornell continuous > 28mm																						
Cornell product continuous	Cornell continuous * mean QRS duration																						
Cornell product thresholded	Cornell product continuous > 244																						
Romhilt-Estes Continuous	<p>Points based criteria:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Voltage Criteria (any of):</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>● R or S in limb leads \geq 20 mm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>● S in V₁ or V₂ \geq 30 mm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>● R in V₅ or V₆ \geq 30 mm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ST-T Abnormalities:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>● ST-T vector opposite to QRS without digitalis</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>● ST-T vector opposite to QRS with digitalis</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Negative terminal P mode in V₁ 1 mm in depth and 0.04 sec in duration</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Left axis deviation (QRS of -30° or more)</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>QRS duration \geq 0.09 sec</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Delayed intrinscoid deflection in V₅ or V₆ (> 0.05 sec)</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </table>	Voltage Criteria (any of):	3	● R or S in limb leads \geq 20 mm		● S in V ₁ or V ₂ \geq 30 mm		● R in V ₅ or V ₆ \geq 30 mm		ST-T Abnormalities:		● ST-T vector opposite to QRS without digitalis	3	● ST-T vector opposite to QRS with digitalis	1	Negative terminal P mode in V ₁ 1 mm in depth and 0.04 sec in duration	3	Left axis deviation (QRS of -30° or more)	2	QRS duration \geq 0.09 sec	1	Delayed intrinscoid deflection in V ₅ or V ₆ (> 0.05 sec)	1
Voltage Criteria (any of):	3																						
● R or S in limb leads \geq 20 mm																							
● S in V ₁ or V ₂ \geq 30 mm																							
● R in V ₅ or V ₆ \geq 30 mm																							
ST-T Abnormalities:																							
● ST-T vector opposite to QRS without digitalis	3																						
● ST-T vector opposite to QRS with digitalis	1																						
Negative terminal P mode in V ₁ 1 mm in depth and 0.04 sec in duration	3																						
Left axis deviation (QRS of -30° or more)	2																						
QRS duration \geq 0.09 sec	1																						
Delayed intrinscoid deflection in V ₅ or V ₆ (> 0.05 sec)	1																						
Romhilt-Estes thresholded	Romhilt-Estes score 5 or more																						

Supplementary Table 3: Additional Model Evaluation Parameters

Predictive Model		Sensitivity	Specificity	NPV^a	PPV^b
Classical criteria (continuous)	Sokolow-Lyon	0.640	0.559	0.994	0.013
	Cornell	0.640	0.531	0.994	0.013
	Cornell product	0.790	0.420	0.994	0.011
	Romhilt-Estes	0.360	0.764	0.993	0.011
Classical criteria (thresholded)	Sokolow-Lyon	0.670	0.503	0.994	0.012
	Cornell	0.920	0.203	0.993	0.021
	Cornell product	0.860	0.322	0.994	0.014
	Romhilt-Estes	0.613	0.500	0.992	0.028
Machine learning models (with ECG parameters only)	Logistic Regression	0.720	0.784	0.998	0.020
	GLMNet	0.816	0.811	0.998	0.031
	Random Forests	0.787	0.811	0.998	0.027
	Gradient Boosting Machines	0.766	0.649	0.997	0.019
Machine learning models (with all predictive parameters)	Logistic Regression	0.806	0.730	0.998	0.026
	GLMNet	0.789	0.865	0.999	0.029
	Random Forests	0.879	0.676	0.997	0.038
	Gradient Boosting Machines	0.701	0.757	0.998	0.018

^a Negative Predictive Value

^b Positive Predictive Value