

Supplementary material

KRZYSZTOF SMARŻ, TOMASZ JAXA-CHAMIEC, TOMASZ CHWYCZKO, et al. CARDIOPULMONARY EXERCISE TESTING IN ADULT CARDIOLOGY – EXPERT OPINION OF THE WORKING GROUP OF CARDIAC REHABILITATION AND EXERCISE PHYSIOLOGY OF THE POLISH CARDIAC SOCIETY. Kardiol Pol. 2019; 77: 730-756. doi:10.33963/KP.14889

Please note that the journal is not responsible for the scientific accuracy or functionality of any supplementary material submitted by the authors. Any queries (except missing content) should be directed to the corresponding author of the article.

Table S1 Parameters of cardiopulmonary exercise test relevant for prognosis (mortality) and length of hospital stay in patients after various types of surgery								
Types of surgery and references	Number of patients, n	Mortality					Hospital stay	
		In-hospital	30-day	90-day	One-year	≥2 years	All	ICU
Liver transplant								
Bernal et al. (2014)[1]	223				VO ₂ -AT 9,8 (worse prognosis) vs. 11,7 (better prognosis) ml/kg/min		VO ₂ -AT <9,2 ml/kg mc./min	VO ₂ peak <13,4 ml/kg mc./min

Dunne et al. (2014)[2]	197	–	–	–	–	–	VO ₂ -AT i VO ₂ peak (l/min)	
Epstein et al. (2004)[3]	59	–	–	(100 dni) % VO ₂ pred <60% % VO ₂ - AT <50%	–	-		
Neviere et al. (2014)[4]	263				VO ₂ peak; 18,6 (better prognosis) vs. 17,1 (worse prognosis) ml/kg mc./min			

Prentis et al. (2012)[5]	60		VO ₂ -AT <9,0 ml/kg mc./min					VO ₂ -AT <11 ml/kg mc./min
Other liver and bile ducts surgery								
Kaibori et al. (2013)[6]	61					VO ₂ -AT <11,5 ml/kg mc./min		
Junejo et al. (2012)[7]	94		VO ₂ -AT <9,9 ml/kg mc./min					VO ₂ -AT <9,9 ml/kg mc./min
Major abdominal surgery (other than the above)								
Older (1999)[8]	548					VO ₂ -AT <11 ml/kg mc./min		

Snowden et al. (2013)[9]	389	VO ₂ -AT <10 ml/kg mc./min					VO ₂ -AT <10 ml/kg mc./min	VO ₂ -AT <10 ml/kg mc./min
Wilson et al. (2010)[10]	847		VO ₂ -AT <10,9 ml/kg mc./min VE/VC O ₂ >34				VO ₂ -AT <11 ml/kg mc./min	
Vascular surgery of abdominal aortic aneurysm								
Grant et al.[11]	506					VO ₂ peak <15 ml/kg mc./min, VE/VCO ₂ at AT >42		

Hartley et al.[12]	415		VO ₂ -AT <10,2 ml/kg mc./min	VO ₂ peak <15 ml/kg mc./min				
Pancreatic surgery								
Junejo et al.[13]	64		VE/VC O ₂ at AT >41					
Abbreviations: AT – anaerobic threshold; ICU – intensive care unit; VO ₂ -AT – oxygen uptake at anaerobic threshold; VO ₂ peak – oxygen uptake at peak exercise; VE – minute ventilation; VCO ₂ – carbon dioxide output								
References for Table S1								
1. Bernal W, Martin-Mateos R, Lipcsey M, et al. Aerobic capacity during cardiopulmonary exercise testing and survival with and without liver transplantation for patients with chronic liver disease. <i>Liver Transpl.</i> 2014; 20: 54-62.								
2. Dunne DF, Jones RP, Lythgoe DT, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing before liver surgery. <i>J Surg Oncol.</i> 2014; 110: 439-444								
3. Epstein SK, Freeman RB, Khayat A, et al. Aerobic capacity is associated with 100-day outcome after hepatic transplantation. <i>Liver Transpl</i> 2004; 10: 418-424.								

4. Neviere R, Edme JL, Montaigne D, et al. Prognostic implications of preoperative aerobic capacity and exercise oscillatory ventilation after liver transplantation. *Am J Transplant*. 2014; 14: 88-95.
5. Prentis JM, Manas DM, Trenell MI, et al. Submaximal cardiopulmonary exercise testing predicts 90-day survival after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2012; 18: 152-159.
6. Kaibori M, Ishizaki M, Matsui K, et al. Assessment of preoperative exercise capacity in hepatocellular carcinoma patients with chronic liver injury undergoing hepatectomy. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2013; 13: 119.
7. Junejo MA, Mason JM, Sheen AJ, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing for preoperative risk assessment before hepatic resection. *Br J Surg* 2012; 99: 1097-1104.
8. Older P, Hall A, Hader R. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing as a screening test for perioperative management of major surgery in the elderly. *Chest* 1999; 116: 355-362.
9. Snowden CP, Prentis JM, Anderson HL, et al. Submaximal cardiopulmonary exercise testing predicts complications and hospital length of stay in patients undergoing major elective surgery. *Ann Surg*. 2010; 251: 535-541.
10. Wilson RJT, Davies S, Yates D, et al. Impaired functional capacity is associated with all-cause mortality after major elective intra-abdominal surgery. *Br J Anaesth*. 2010; 105: 297-303.
11. Grant SW, Hickey GL, Wisely NA, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing and survival after elective abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Br J Anaesth*. 2015;114:430-436.

12. Hartley RA, Pichel AC, Grant SW, et al. Preoperative cardiopulmonary exercise testing and risk of early mortality following abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Br J Surg.* 2012; 99: 1539-1546.
13. Junejo MA, Mason JM, Sheen AJ, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing for preoperative risk assessment before pancreaticoduodenectomy for cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2014; 21: 1929–36.

Table S2 Categories of aerobic capability characterised by maximum oxygen uptake [ml/kg/min] for adult healthy women, depending on age								
Age [years]	Categories of aerobic capability					Mean values of maximum oxygen uptake		
	VO ₂ max [ml/min mc./kg]					VO ₂ max [ml/kg mc./min]		
	Very low	Low	Moderate	Good	Very good	Fletcher[4]	Wasserman[5]	Kaminsky[6]
20–29						36 ±6,9	33,3	37,6 ±10,2
AHA[1]	≤23	24-30	31-37	38-48	≥49			
Cooper Institute[2]	≤31	32–35	36–39	40–43	≥44			
Astrand[3]	≤28	29–34	35–43	44–48	≥49			
30–39						34 ±6,2	30,3	30,9 ±8,0
AHA	≤19	20–27	28–33	34–44	≥45			

Cooper Institute	≤29	30–33	34–37	38–41	≥42			
Astrand	≤27	28–33	34–41	42–47	≥48			
40–49						32 ±6,2	27,4	27,9 ±7,7
AHA	≤16	17–23	24–30	31–41	≥42			
Cooper Institute	≤28	29–32	33–35	36–39	≥40			
Astrand	≤25	26–31	32–40	41–45	≥46			
50–59						29 ±5,4	24,5	24,2 ±6,1
AHA	≤14	15–20	21–27	28–37	≥38			
Cooper Institute	≤26	27–29	30–32	33–35	≥36			
Astrand	≤21	22–28	29–36	37–41	≥42			
60–69						27 ±4,7	21,6	20,7 ±5,0
AHA	≤12	13–17	18–23	24–34	≥35			
Cooper Institute	≤24	25–27	28–29	30–32	≥33			
Astrand (60–65 lat)	≤21	22–28	29–36	37–41	≥42			
70–79						27 ±5,8	18,7	18,3 ±3,6

Abbreviations: AHA – American Heart Association; VO₂max – maximal oxygen uptake, average value from 10–60 s depending the protocol used

References for Table S2

1. American Heart Association. Committee on Exercise: Exercise testing and training of apparently healthy individuals: a handbook for physicians. Dallas, Tex: New York, American Heart Association; 1972.
1. The Cooper Institute. Physical Fitness Assessments and Norms for Adults and Law Enforcement 2013: 53-55; Relative values refer to women weighting 58 kg.
2. Astrand I. Aerobic work capacity in men and women with special reference to age. *Acta Physiol Scand.* 1960;49 (Suppl. 169); Original values in L/min were calculated to ml/kg/min with the assumption of body weight for women 58 kg.
3. Fletcher GF, Balady GJ, Amsterdam EA, et al. Exercise standards for testing and training: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2001; 104: 1694-1740.
4. Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue DY, Stinger WW, Whipp BJ. Normal values. W: Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue DY, Stinger WW, Whipp BJ, red. Principles of exercise testing and interpretation: including pathophysiology and clinical applications. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005: 160-182; Mean values for women with body weight 60 kg.
5. Kaminsky LA, Arena R, Myers J. Reference standards for cardiorespiratory fitness measured with cardiopulmonary exercise testing: Data from the fitness registry and the importance of exercise national database. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2015; 90: 1515-1523.

Table S3 Categories of aerobic capability characterised by maximum oxygen uptake [ml/kg/min] for adult healthy men, depending on age

Age [years]	Categories of aerobic capability					Mean values of maximum oxygen uptake		
	VO ₂ max [ml/min mc./kg]					VO ₂ max [ml/kg mc./min]		
	Very low	Low	Moderate	Good	Very good	Fletcher[4]	Wasserman[5]	Kaminsky[6]
20–29						43 ±7,2	43,3	47,6 ±11,3
AHA[1]	≤24	25–33	34–42	43–52	≥53			
Cooper Institute[2]	≤37	38–41	42–45	46–49	≥50			
Astrand[3]	≤38	39–43	44–51	52–56	≥57			
30–39						42 ±7,0	39,6	43,0 ±9,9
AHA	≤22	23–30	31–38	39–48	≥49			
Cooper Institute	≤35	36–40	41–44	45–47	≥48			
Astrand	≤34	35–39	40–47	48–51	≥52			
40–49						40 ±7,2	35,8	38,8 ±9,6
AHA	≤19	20–26	27–35	36–44	≥45			

Cooper Institute	≤34	35–38	39–41	42–45	≥46			
Astrand	≤30	31–35	36–43	44–47	≥48			
50–59						36 ±7,1	32,1	33,8 ±9,1
AHA	≤17	18–24	25–33	34–42	≥43			
Cooper Institute	≤31	32–35	36–38	39–42	≥43			
Astrand	≤25	26–31	32–39	40–43	≥44			
60–69						33 ±7,3	28,4	29,4 ±7,9
AHA	≤15	16–22	23–30	31–40	≥41			
Cooper Institute	≤27	28–32	33–35	36–38	≥39			
Astrand	≤21	22–26	27–35	36–39	≥40			
70–79						29 ±7,3	24,7	25,8 ±7,1
Abbreviations: AHA – American Heart Association; VO ₂ max – maximal oxygen uptake, average value from 10–60 s depending the protocol used								
References for Table S3								

2. American Heart Association. Committee on Exercise: Exercise testing and training of apparently healthy individuals: a handbook for physicians. Dallas, Tex; New York: American Heart Association; 1972.
3. The Cooper Institute. Physical fitness assessments and norms for adults and law enforcement. 2013: 43-45; Relative values refer to men weighting 72 kg.
4. Astrand I. Aerobic work capacity in men and women with special reference to age. Acta Physiol Scand. 1960; 49 (Suppl. 169): 1-9; Original values in L/min were calculated to ml/kg/min with the assumption of body weight for men 72 kg.
5. Fletcher GF, Balady GJ, Amsterdam EA, et al. Exercise standards for testing and training. A statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2001; 104: 1694-1740.
6. Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue DY, et al. Normal values. W: Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue DY, Stinger WW, Whipp BJ, red. Principles of exercise testing and interpretation: including pathophysiology and clinical applications. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams &Wilkins; 2005: 160-182; Mean values for men with body weight 75 kg.
7. Kaminsky LA, Arena R, Myers J. Reference standards for cardiorespiratory fitness measured with cardiopulmonary exercise testing: data from the fitness registry and the importance of exercise national database. Mayo Clin Proc. 2015; 90: 1515-1523.