■ ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Acute myocardial infarction in young patients

Wojciech Zasada[1, 2], Beata Bobrowska[2], Krzysztof Plens[1], Artur Dziewierz[1, 3], Zbigniew Siudak[4], Andrzej Surdacki[3], Dariusz Dudek[2, 3], Stanisław Bartuś[2, 3]

[1]KCRI, Kraków, Poland
[2]Department of Cardiology, University Hospital, Kraków, Poland
[3]Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland
[4]Collegium Medicum, Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce, Poland

ABSTRACT

Background: Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is an incredibly destructive disease when it occurs in a young patient. Thus, the investigation of the disease presentation and treatment options seem to be particularly important in young patients with AMI.

Aims: The study objective was to investigate the differences between young and older patients diagnosed with AMI in terms of clinical characteristics and treatment strategies.

Methods: The patient data comes from the National Registry of Procedures of Invasive Cardiology (ORPKI). Between 2014 and 2017, data of more than 230 000 patients with a diagnosis of AMI were collected in that registry. Young patients were defined as under 40 years old.

Results: Young patients with AMI (n = 3208, 1.3%) compared with older patients with AMI were more often men (86.3% vs. 65.8%; P <0.001) with higher body weight (mean 85.9 vs. 79.7 kg; P <0.001). Typical risk factors of coronary heart disease were less frequent in younger patients than in older patients. However, in the under-40 group, there was a significantly higher number of current smokers (37.5% vs. 23.0%; P <0.001). Young patients with AMI were more often diagnosed with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI; 62.0% vs. 50.0%; P <0.001). Moreover, they had more frequently non-significant stenosis in coronary arteries diagnosed (14.4% vs. 6.8%; P <0.001). The left anterior descending artery was more frequently an infarct-related artery in young patients (51.3% vs. 36.3%; P <0.001). Bioresorbable vascular scaffolds were more commonly implanted in young patients with AMI than in the older ones (5.6% vs. 0.9%; P <0.001). The relative number of AMI in the young patients increased from 1.20% in 2014 to 1.43% in 2017.

Conclusions: Smoking is the most common risk factor in young adults. The relative number of AMI in young patients is growing.

Key words: acute myocardial infarction, angiography, coronary artery disease, percutaneous coronary intervention

Kardiol Pol 2021; 79, 10: 1093–1098

INTRODUCTION

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is one of the leading causes of mortality in the Polish population. Thus, many efforts are directed towards the primary prevention of coronary artery disease, and fast diagnostic methods are used. A network of invasive cardiology centers is developed to provide the optimal diagnostic and treatment options for the whole population.

In recent years, the relative incidence of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) has been decreasing while the relative incidence of non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) has been expanding [1]. AMI is an incredibly destructive disease, especially when it occurs in a young patient. It is associated with significant morbidity, psychological consequences, and financial restraints for the patient and the family. Thus, the investigation of the AMI causes, presentation, and management options seems to be particularly important in young patients.

METHODS

The data analyzed in this publication come from the National Registry of Procedures of Invasive Cardiology (ORPKI). ORPKI is a Polish national registry that collects data on percutaneous procedures in invasive cardiology

WHAT'S NEW?
Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in young patients is often a slightly different disease than in older patients. The prevention of acute myocardial infarction in a young patient should primarily focus on smoking cessation. The left anterior descending artery is the most common infarct-related artery in young patients. The additional diagnostic tools should be considered during angiography to diagnose the reasons for AMI other than atherosclerosis. The relative number of AMI in young patients is growing.

performed in 163 cardiac catheterization laboratories and invasive cardiology departments. From January 1, 2014, the Jagiellonian University Collegium Medicum in Kraków is the entity responsible for maintaining the database. The design and details of the ORPKI registry have been previously described [2, 3]. Because of the data nature (registry of procedures), the ethics committee approval or patients' written informed consent was not required.

The study investigated differences in patient characteristics and the disease presentation and treatment between young and older patients. The young patients were defined as under 40 years old.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were presented as numbers and percentages. Continuous variables were expressed as mean, standard deviation (SD), median, and interquartile range (IQR). The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare differences between groups, although the age and weight of subjects were compared using h's t-test. The normality was assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov-Lilliefors test (or by the Shapiro-Wilk test for less than 2000 observations). Ordinal variables were compared by Cochran-Armitage test for trend or Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared by Pearson's chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test if 20% of cells had an expected count of less than 5.

The linear regression model was created to investigate a trend in quarter data of the percentage of young patients' procedures. The Shapiro-Wilk test checked the normality of model residuals. To check heteroscedasticity, the Brown-Forsythe test was used to examine whether the upper half's residuals had different variability than those in the lower half (median split). The Durbin-Watson test checked the autocorrelation of residuals.

Two-sided P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All calculations were performed with JMP®, Version 14.2.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

The data of 237 747 patients with a diagnosis of myocardial infarction were collected in the ORPKI Registry between 2014 and 2017. In that group, 3 208 (1.3%) patients were under 40 years old (mean [SD] age, 34.5 [4.6] vs. 67.3 [11.3] years).

Young patients with myocardial infarction were more frequently men with significantly higher body weight than their older counterparts (Table 1). Typical risk factors of coronary heart disease in young patients were slightly different from those in the older population, namely diabetes mellitus, arterial hypertension, and chronic kidney disease were less frequent in younger patients than in older patients. However, in the under-40 group, there was a significantly higher number of current smokers. Detailed data regarding the medical history of both groups of patients are presented in Table 2.

Based on patient characteristics on admission to the hospital (Table 3), young patients with AMI had significantly more often diagnosed STEMI with cardiac arrest during the index hospitalization. Even though the rate of direct transport to the primary Percutaneous Coronary Interventions (PCI) center was similar in both groups, time delays from the onset of symptoms to the treatment were lower in the younger group (Table 4).

Radial access was used more frequently in the group of young patients. Still, additional diagnostic devices including intravascular ultrasound (IVUS), optical coherence tomography (OCT), or fractional flow reserve (FFR) were used with similar frequency in both study groups.

Table 1. Demographic data — summary

Variable	Measure/level	Age <40	Age ≥40	Total	Test	P-value
Year	N	3208	234539	237747	CA	<0.001
	2014	882 (27.49%)	72612 (30.96%)	73494 (30.91%)		
	2015	827 (25.78%)	61347 (26.16%)	62174 (26.15%)		
	2016	782 (24.38%)	51185 (21.82%)	51967 (21.86%)		
	2017	717 (22.35%)	49395 (21.06%)	50112 (21.08%)		
Age, years	N	3208	234539	237747	W	<0.001
	Mean (SD)	34.53 (4.57)	67.25 (11.29)	66.81 (11.85)		
Gender	N	3166	232788	235954	P	<0.001
	Female	435 (13.74%)	79699 (34.24%)	80134 (33.96%)		
	Male	2731 (86.26%)	153089 (65.76%)	155820 (66.04%)		
Weight, kg	N	3208	234539	237747	W	<0.001
	Mean (SD)	85.94 (18.89)	79.73 (17.37)	79.81 (17.40)		

Abbreviations: CA, Cochran–Armitage test; P, Pearson's chi-squared test; W, Welch's t-test

Table 2. Myocardial infarction (MI) risk factors

Variable	Measure/level	Age <40	Age ≥40	Total	Test	P-value
Diabetes	N	3208	234539	237747	P	<0.001
	Yes	171 (5.33%)	53106 (22.64%)	53277 (22.41%)		
	No	3037 (94.67%)	181433 (77.36%)	184470 (77.59%)		
Previous stroke	N	3208	234539	237747	P	<0.001
	Yes	19 (0.59%)	8845 (3.77%)	8864 (3.73%)		
	No	3189 (99.41%)	225694 (96.23%)	228883 (96.27%)		
Previous MI	N	3208	234539	237747	P	<0.001
	Yes	230 (7.17%)	53269 (22.71%)	53499 (22.50%)		
	No	2978 (92.83%)	181270 (77.29%)	184248 (77.50%)		
Previous PCI	N	3208	234539	237747	P	<0.001
	Yes	225 (7.01%)	52974 (22.59%)	53199 (22.38%)		
	No	2983 (92.99%)	181565 (77.41%)	184548 (77.62%)		
Previous CABG	N	3208	234539	237747	P	<0.001
	Yes	15 (0.47%)	10418 (4.44%)	10433 (4.39%)		
	No	3193 (99.53%)	224121 (95.56%)	227314 (95.61%)		
Smoking status	N	3208	234539	237747	P	<0.001
	Yes	1203 (37.50%)	53878 (22.97%)	55081 (23.17%)		
	No	2005 (62.50%)	180661 (77.03%)	182666 (76.83%)		
Psoriasis	N	3208	234539	237747	P	0.39
	Yes	17 (0.53%)	1009 (0.43%)	1026 (0.43%)		
	No	3191 (99.47%)	233530 (99.57%)	236721 (99.57%)		
Hypertension	N	3208	234539	237747	P	<0.001
	Yes	961 (29.96%)	156084 (66.55%)	157045 (66.06%)		
	No	2247 (70.04%)	78455 (33.45%)	80702 (33.94%)		
Kidney disease	N	3208	234539	237747	P	<0.001
	Yes	48 (1.50%)	13894 (5.92%)	13942 (5.86%)		
	No	3160 (98.50%)	220645 (94.08%)	223805 (94.14%)		
COPD	N	2374	166443	168817	P	<0.001
	Yes	2 (0.08%)	4654 (2.80%)	4656 (2.76%)		
	No	2372 (99.92%)	161789 (97.20%)	164161 (97.24%)		

Abbreviations: CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; other — see Table 1

Table 3. Patient status on admission

Variable	Measure/level	Age <40	Age ≥40	Total	Test	P-value
Killip class IV on admission	N	2468	164245	166713	P	<0.001
	Yes	43 (1.74%)	4800 (2.92%)	4843 (2.90%)		
	No	2425 (98.26%)	159445 (97.08%)	161870 (97.10%)		
Indication	N	3208	234539	237747	P	<0.001
	Stemi	1988 (61.97%)	117264 (50.00%)	119252 (50.16%)		
	Nstemi	1220 (38.03%)	117275 (50.00%)	118495 (49.84%)		
Cardiac arrest at baseline	N	3035	196878	199913	P	0.03
	Yes	138 (4.55%)	7420 (3.77%)	7558 (3.78%)		
	No	2897 (95.45%)	189458 (96.23%)	192355 (96.22%)		
Hypothermia at baseline	N	3035	196878	199913	F	0.15
	Yes	8 (0.26%)	298 (0.15%)	306 (0.15%)		
	No	3027 (99.74%)	196580 (99.85%)	199607 (99.85%)		
Direct transport	N	3035	196878	199913	P	0.39
	Yes	452 (14.89%)	28230 (14.34%)	28682 (14.35%)		
	No	2583 (85.11%)	168648 (85.66%)	171231 (85.65%)		

Abbreviations: F, Fisher's exact test; NSTEMI, non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; other — see Table 1

Moreover, the young patients had more frequently non-significant stenosis in coronary arteries and a single-vessel disease when significant lesions were diagnosed (Table 5).

During the PCI procedure, aspiration thrombectomy was used in the young patients twice as often as in their older counterparts (Table 6). Moreover, the young patients received thrombolytic therapy more often, and new an-tiplatelet agents were used more frequently than in the older group. In more than half of the young patients, an infarct-related artery was the left anterior descending artery (LAD, Table 7). Drug-eluting stents (DES) were used with similar frequency in both study groups, but bare-metal stents (BMS) were implanted more often in the older patients. On the contrary, bioresorbable vascular scaffolds

Table 4. Reported time delays in patients transport

Variable	Measure/level	Age <40	Age ≥40	Total	Test	P-value
Time from pain to first contact, min	N	2641	170995	173636	U	<0.001
	Median (IQR)	170.00 (60.00–420.00)	180.00 (69.00–480.00)	180.00 (68.00–480.00)		
Time from pain to inflation or angiogram, min	N	2538	173132	175670	U	<0.001
	Median (IQR)	375.00 (180.00–910.75)	480.00 (210.00–1294.75)	480.00 (209.00–1290.00)		
Time from the first contact to inflation or angiogram, min	N	2552	173325	175877	U	<0.001
	Median (IQR)	120.00 (63.00–330.75)	150.00 (73.00–461.00)	150.00 (73.00–460.00)		

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; Me, median; U, Mann–Whitney U test; other — see Table 1

Table 5. Coronary angiography — procedure details

Variable	Measure/level	Age <40	Age ≥40	Total	Test	P-value
Access site during an angiogram	N	3035	196705	199740	P	<0.001
	Femoral	666 (21.94%)	52123 (26.50%)	52789 (26.43%)		
	Radial right	1905 (62.77%)	112938 (57.41%)	114843 (57.50%)		
	Radial left	456 (15.02%)	30200 (15.35%)	30656 (15.35%)		
	Other	8 (0.26%)	1444 (0.73%)	1452 (0.73%)		
FFR during angiogram	N	3035	196878	199913	P	0.01
	Yes	0 (0.00%)	397 (0.20%)	397 (0.20%)		
	No	3035 (100.00%)	196481 (99.80%)	199516 (99.80%)		
IVUS during angiogram	N	3035	196878	199913	F	0.46
	Yes	6 (0.20%)	287 (0.15%)	293 (0.15%)		
	No	3029 (99.80%)	196591 (99.85%)	199620 (99.85%)		
OCT during angiogram	N	3035	196878	199913	F	0.30
	Yes	2 (0.07%)	70 (0.04%)	72 (0.04%)		
	No	3033 (99.93%)	196808 (99.96%)	199841 (99.96%)		
Results of angiography	N	3034	196518	199552	P	<0.001
	No evidence of atherosclerosis	606 (19.97%)	3640 (1.85%)	4246 (2.13%)		
	Without significant stenosis	438 (14.44%)	13268 (6.75%)	13706 (6.87%)		
	1-vessel disease	1456 (47.99%)	69590 (35.41%)	71046 (35.60%)		
	LMCA disease	12 (0.40%)	590 (0.30%)	602 (0.30%)		
	Multivessel disease	480 (15.82%)	90428 (46.02%)	90908 (45.56%)		
	Multivessel and LMCA disease	42 (1.38%)	19002 (9.67%)	19044 (9.54%)		

Abbreviations: FFR, fractional flow reserve; IVUS, intravascular ultrasonography; LMCA, left main coronary artery; OCT, optical coherence tomography; other — see Table 1 and 3

Table 6. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) — procedure details

Variable	Measure/level	Age <40	Age ≥40	Total	Test	P-value
FFR during PCI	N	2060	198088	200148	P	0.34
	Yes	5 (0.24%)	732 (0.37%)	737 (0.37%)		
	No	2055 (99.76%)	197356 (99.63%)	199411 (99.63%)		
IVUS during PCI	N	2060	198088	200148	P	0.05
	Yes	18 (0.87%)	1099 (0.55%)	1117 (0.56%)		
	No	2042 (99.13%)	196989 (99.45%)	199031 (99.44%)		
OCT during PCI	N	2060	198088	200148	F	0.008
	Yes	7 (0.34%)	211 (0.11%)	218 (0.11%)		
	No	2053 (99.66%)	197877 (99.89%)	199930 (99.89%)		
Aspiration thrombectomy during PCI	N	2060	198088	200148	P	<0.001
	Yes	319 (15.49%)	14447 (7.29%)	14766 (7.38%)		
	No	1741 (84.51%)	183641 (92.71%)	185382 (92.62%)		
Rotablation during PCI	N	2060	198088	200148	P	0.03
	Yes	1 (0.05%)	624 (0.32%)	625 (0.31%)		
	No	2059 (99.95%)	197464 (99.68%)	199523 (99.69%)		
P2Y12 during PCI	N	2060	198088	200148	P	<0.001
	Clopidogrel	651 (31.60%)	73792 (37.25%)	74443 (37.19%)		
	Prasugrel	42 (2.04%)	1190 (0.60%)	1232 (0.62%)		
	Ticagrelor	244 (11.84%)	10981 (5.54%)	11225 (5.61%)		
	No	1123 (54.51%)	112125 (56.60%)	113248 (56.58%)		
Thrombolysis during PCI	N	2060	198088	200148	P	<0.001
	Yes	17 (0.83%)	533 (0.27%)	550 (0.27%)		
	No	2043 (99.17%)	197555 (99.73%)	199598 (99.73%)		

Abbreviations: see Table 1–3 and 5

Table 7. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) procedure — lesion localization

Variable	Measure/level	Age <40	Age ≥40	Total	Test	P-value
LMCA	N	2060	198088	200148	P	<0.001
	Yes	38 (1.84%)	6396 (3.23%)	6434 (3.21%)		
	No	2022 (98.16%)	191692 (96.77%)	193714 (96.79%)		
RCA	N	2060	198088	200148	P	<0.001
	Yes	516 (25.05%)	63947 (32.28%)	64463 (32.21%)		
	No	1544 (74.95%)	134141 (67.72%)	135685 (67.79%)		
LAD	N	2060	198088	200148	P	<0.001
	Yes	1056 (51.26%)	71949 (36.32%)	73005 (36.48%)		
	No	1004 (48.74%)	126139 (63.68%)	127143 (63.52%)		
Circumflex	N	2060	198088	200148	P	<0.001
	Yes	290 (14.08%)	38253 (19.31%)	38543 (19.26%)		
	No	1770 (85.92%)	159835 (80.69%)	161605 (80.74%)		
SvG	N	2060	198088	200148	P	<0.001
	Yes	1 (0.05%)	2234 (1.13%)	2235 (1.12%)		
	No	2059 (99.95%)	195854 (98.87%)	197913 (98.88%)		
LIMA/RIMA	N	2060	198088	200148	F	0.06
	Yes	0 (0.00%)	348 (0.18%)	348 (0.17%)		
	No	2060 (100.00%)	197740 (99.82%)	199800 (99.83%)		

Abbreviations: LAD, left anterior descending artery; LIMA, left internal mammary artery; LMCA, left main coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery; RIMA, right internal mammary artery; SvG, saphenous vein graft; other — see Table 1–3

Table 8. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) procedure — final summary

Variable	Measure/level	Age <40	Age ≥40	Total	Test	P-value
TIMI 3 flow after PCI	N	1982	190419	192401	P	0.008
	Yes	1863 (94.00%)	175955 (92.40%)	177818 (92.42%)		
	No	119 (6.00%)	14464 (7.60%)	14583 (7.58%)		
The total amount of contrast used during the procedure, ccm	N	3080	223456	226536	U	<0.001
	Median (IQR)	130 (80–190)	150 (100–200)	150 (100–200)		
Total radiation dose during the procedure, mGy	N	3054	222467	225521	U	<0.001
	Median (IQR)	543.50 (267.75–1126.50)	737.00 (391.00–1316.00)	734.00 (389.00–1313.00)		

Abbreviations: ccm, cubic centimeter; mGy, miliGrey; TIMI, thrombolysis in myocardial infarction; other — see Table 1 and 2

(BVS) were more commonly chosen for the young patients (Supplementary material, *Table S1*). The percentage of patients with the final complete flow (TIMI grade 3 flow) in the infarct-related artery was similar in both groups. Even though the younger patients have higher body weight than the older ones, the total amount of contrast and total radiation dose during the procedures were lower in the under-40 group (Table 8). The frequency of periprocedural complications during coronary angiographies and PCI procedures was relatively small and similar in both study groups (Supplementary material, *Table S2*).

The absolute number of AMI decreased from year to year, but the relative number of AMI in the young patients increased from 1.20% in 2014 to 1.43% in 2017. This surge is statistically significant when calculated quarterly (β = 0.0240; 95% CI, 0.0051–0.0429; R^2 = 34.66%; P = 0.02).

DISCUSSION

According to our study, AMI in young patients seems to be a slightly different medical problem than in older patients. These differences could be observed in several distinct areas. When it comes to demographic data, a typical young patient with AMI is a smoking man. A similar obser-

vation was found in other studies [4–6]. Other specific AMI risk factors — like arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or chronic kidney disease — are more often observed in older patients with AMI. Our results are concordant with the results of the study by Chhabra et al. [7]. As we know from previously published studies, the correlation of even one risk factor with the patient's age may significantly affect his prognosis [12]. Apart from the abovementioned, a significant risk factor of AMI, especially in young patients, is familial hypercholesterolemia. Due to the nature of the data, it was not possible to assess this risk factor's occurrence in our study population. Clinically, in young patients with AMI, STEMI is more prevalent [1]. It was also described that in young patients with AMI, significant coronary artery stenosis is observed more frequently in the LAD than in other arteries [8], which is concordant with our findings.

Unfortunately, because of the data characteristics (registry), it is impossible to distinguish between true atherosclerotic lesions and spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD), which might be an underlying cause of AMI, especially in young women. Similarly, the domination of non-significant lesions and one-vessel disease in young patients was described previously in the Russian

population [9]. Patients with non-significant lesions on coronary angiography, as well as with no evidence of atherosclerosis, but with the diagnosis of myocardial infarction (MINOCA, almost 35% of young patients in contrary to the older ones — 9%), are eventual candidates for extended diagnostic workup of coronary arteries, like IVUS or OCT. Unfortunately, this management was rarely reported in our registry (approx. 0.3%). Even though our patients' groups had similar rates of periprocedural complications, the extended follow-up results may differ. As it was published previously, early coronary artery disease is strongly associated with AMI and death within 30 days of presentation in patients hospitalized for chest pain [10].

In comparison to older patients with AMI, in patients under 40 with this medical condition, the reason for their troponin elevation is more often not so obvious. Accurate differential diagnosis may require in this case the use of more sophisticated diagnostic tools. During long-term follow-up in young patients with AMI, the risk of myocardial ischemia recurrence may be higher when the underlying cause of ischemia is not thoroughly diagnosed. Close follow-up and post-hospital cardiac control, whose positive effects have been studied and described [13], seem to be particularly justified in the group of young patients with AMI.

CONCLUSION

AMI in young patients (defined as under 40 years old) is a different disease than in their older counterparts. Younger patients with AMI have distinct risk factors profiles and angiographic findings in coronary arteries. The primary prevention of AMI in young patients should mainly focus on smoking cessation. During coronary angiography, additional diagnostic tools, such as IVUS, OCT, or microvascular examination should be considered, as reasons other than atherosclerosis are particularly frequent in this group of patients.

Limitations

Despite a relatively large group of patients, the data acquisition methodology (the ORPKI registry) does not allow the collection of data regarding familial hypercholesterolemia or hyperuricemia, which may play a role in the development of coronary artery disease [11]. We could not perform a standardized analysis of patient angiography, so it was not possible to assess the role of muscle bridge in LAD stenosis and SCAD.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at https://journals.viamedica.pl/kardiologia_polska.

REFERENCES

1. McManus DD, Gore J, Yarzebski J, et al. Recent trends in the incidence, treatment, and outcomes of patients with STEMI and NSTEMI. Am J Med. 2011; 124(1): 40–47, doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2010.07.023, indexed in Pubmed: 21187184.

2. Ochała A, Siudak Z, Legutko J, et al. Percutaneous interventions in cardiology in Poland in the year 2014. Summary report of the Association of Cardiovascular Interventions of the Polish Cardiac Society AISN PTK. Postepy Kardiol Interwencyjnej. 2015; 11(3): 177–181, doi: 10.5114/pwki.2015.54009, indexed in Pubmed: 26677356.

3. Siudak Z, Tokarek T, Dziewierz A, et al. Reduced periprocedural mortality and bleeding rates of radial approach in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Propensity score analysis of data from the ORPKI Polish National Registry. EuroIntervention. 2017; 13(7): 843–850, doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-17-00078, indexed in Pubmed: 28606891.

4. Hassan A, Jaffe R, Rubinshtein R, et al. Characterization of coronary artery disease in young adults and assessment of long-term outcomes. Isr Med Assoc J. 2018; 20(10): 613–618, indexed in Pubmed: 30324777.

5. Gulati A, Mathew C, Calton R. Young hearts go ischemic too. J Assoc Physicians India. 2018; 66(9): 58–61, indexed in Pubmed: 31321932.

6. Mirza AJ, Taha AY, Khdhir BR. Risk factors for acute coronary syndrome in patients below the age of 40 years. Egypt Heart J. 2018; 70(4): 233–235, doi: 10.1016/j.ehj.2018.05.005, indexed in Pubmed: 30591735.

7. Chhabra ST, Kaur T, Masson S, et al. Early onset ACS: An age based clinico-epidemiologic and angiographic comparison. Atherosclerosis. 2018; 279: 45–51, doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2018.10.017, indexed in Pubmed: 30408716.

8. Anjum M, Zaman M, Ullah F. Are their young coronaries old enough? Angiographic findings in young patients with acute myocardial infarction. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2019; 31(2): 151–155, indexed in Pubmed: 31094106.

9. Andreenko EYu, Yavelov IS, Loukianov MM, et al. Kardiologiia. 2018; 58(11): 24–34, doi: 10.18087/cardio.2018.11.10195, indexed in Pubmed: 30625075.

10. Wahrenberg A, Magnusson PKe, Discacciati A, et al. Family history of coronary artery disease is associated with acute coronary syndrome in 28,188 chest pain patients. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2020; 9(7): 741–747, doi: 10.1177/2048872619853521, indexed in Pubmed: 31124704.

11. Lv S, Liu W, Zhou Y, et al. Hyperuricemia and smoking in young adults suspected of coronary artery disease ≤ 35 years of age: a hospital-based observational study. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2018; 18(1): 178, doi: 10.1186/s12872-018-0910-5, indexed in Pubmed: 30170547.

12. Polańska-Skrzypczyk M, Karcz M, Rużyłło W, et al. Bedside prediction of 9-year mortality after STsegment elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Kardiol Pol. 2019; 77(7-8): 703–709, doi: 10.33963/KP.14892, indexed in Pubmed: 31290481.

13. Wybraniec MT, Mizia-Stec K, Gąsior Z, et al. Long-term effects of the Managed Care After Acute Myocardial Infarction program: an update on a complete 1-year follow-up. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(5): 458–460, doi: 10.33963/KP.15256, indexed in Pubmed: 32406217.