CLINICAL VIGNETTE

A successful transcatheter aortic valve implantation of a balloon-expandable valve for paravalvular leak in a patient with bicuspid aortic valve and horizontal aorta

Anna Pyłko^{1,2}, Maciej Dąbrowski¹, Zbigniew Chmielak¹, Krzysztof Kukuła¹, Adam Witkowski¹

1 Department of Interventional Cardiology and Angiology, Institute of Cardiology, Warsaw, Poland

2 Department of Medicine, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

Transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is a well-established modern treatment of severe symptomatic aortic stenosis. Some anatomical features, such as bicuspid aortic valve or severe aortic angulation, often referred to as the "horizontal aorta," increase the rates of unsuccessful procedures.¹⁻⁴

We present the case of an 83-year-old man with a history of severe symptomatic aortic stenosis, type 2 diabetes mellitus on insulin, and chronic kidney disease who was referred to our institution due to deteriorating exercise tolerance (New York Heart Association class III) and chest pain. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) confirmed severely calcified aortic valve with the valve area of 0.9 cm² and mean gradient of 43 mm Hg. The patient was disqualified from surgical valve replacement and referred for TAVI due to high surgical risk (EuroScore II, 9.33%).

Multislice computed tomography revealed femoral arteries suitable for transfemoral access, the aortic annulus with a maximum diameter of 31 mm and minimum diameter of 25 mm, perimeter of 88 mm, and area of 5.6 cm², functionally bicuspid aortic valve, and a horizontal course of the ascending aorta (angle between the horizontal plane and the aortic annulus of 65° ; FIGURE 1A).

Transcatheter aortic valve implantation was performed under local anesthesia with conscious sedation. The Evolut R 34 mm prosthesis (Medtronic, Minneapolis, Minnesota, United States) was introduced via the right femoral artery with a 16F integrated sheath. As aortography showed significant paravalvular leakage (PVL) after valve implantation, prosthesis was optimized with a 26-mm balloon during rapid right ventricular pacing (160/ min). Periprocedural TTE confirmed proper but relatively low valve position with the maximum gradient of 16 mm Hg and moderate PVL (FIGURE 1B and 1C).

The patient was transferred to intensive care unit where pulmonary edema occurred on the second day after the procedure. On TTE, PVL was assessed as hemodynamically significant and the patient was referred for valvuloplasty with a 30-mm balloon. Despite that, the residual leak did not decrease. On the 14th day following Evolut R implantation, a second prosthesis, 29-mm Sapien 3 (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, California, United States), was implanted using a 16F sheath via the left femoral access (FIGURE 10). Final aortography and TTE confirmed proper position of the prosthesis with trace PVL and the maximum aortic gradient of 10 mm Hg.

Further recovery in the intensive care unit was uneventful. Prior to discharge, TTE showed trace PVL and maximum aortic gradient of 10 mm Hg, and the patient was discharged home with significant clinical improvement.

Certain anatomical features, such as a horizontal aorta (in most studies defined as presence of >48° angle between the aortic annulus

Anna Pyłko, MD, Department of Interventional Cardiology and Angiology, Institute of Cardiology, ul. Alpejska 42, 04-628, Warszawa, Poland, phone: +48 22 343 4127, email: aniapylko@gmail.com **Received:** June 16, 2020. **Revision accepted:** August 3, 2020. **Published online:** August 5, 2020. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78 (11): 1187-1188

Correspondence to:

doi:10.33963/KP.15544 Copyright by the Author(s), 2020



FIGURE 1 A – preprocedural computed tomography showing a 65° angle between the horizontal plane and aortic annulus; B – postdilatation of initially implanted self-expandable prosthesis (Evolut R, 34 mm) with a 26-mm balloon; C – transthoracic echocardiography at day 1 after the first procedure showing moderate perivalvular leak (arrow); D – the second balloon-expandable prosthesis (Sapien 3, 29 mm) implanted on day 14 after the initial procedure



plane and the horizontal plane in the coronal view) or bicuspid aortic valve, increase the risk of periprocedural complications and pose a particular technical challenge for proper valve sizing and positioning.¹⁻⁴ Additionally, presence of bicuspid aortic valve may result in a decrease of postdilatation efficacy due to heavy calcifications and noncircularity of such a valve.⁴

It remains an open question whether or not this patient would have benefited from implantation of a balloon-expandable prosthesis as the first choice. In this particular case, a bail--out procedure of valve-in-valve implantation of a balloon-expandable prosthesis resolved the problem of PVL and caused significant clinical improvement.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

CONFLICT OF INTEREST MD is a member of Medical Advisory Board of Medtronic. AW is a proctor of Medtronic. Other authors declare no conflict of interest.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), allowing third parties to download articles and share them with others, provided the original work is properly cited, not changed in any way, distributed under the same license, and used for non-commercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at kardiologiapolska@ptkardio.pl.

HOW TO CITE Pyłko A, Dąbrowski M, Chmielak Z, et al. A successful transcatheter aortic valve implantation of a balloon-expandable valve for paravalvular leak in a patient with bicuspid aortic valve and horizontal aorta. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78: 1187-1188. doi:10.33963/KP.15544

REFERENCES

 Stefano DD, Colombo A, Mangieri A, et al. Impact of horizontal aorta on procedural and clinical outcomes in second-generation transcatheter aortic valve implantation. EuroIntervention. 2019; 15: e749-e756.

2 Chan PH, Alegria-Barrero E, Mario CD. Difficulties with horizontal aortic root in transcatheter aortic valve implantation. Cather Cardiovasc Interv. 2013; 81: 630-635.

3 Bauer T, Linke A, Sievert H, et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of transcatheter aortic valve implantation in patients with stenotic bicuspid versus tricuspid aortic valves (from the German TAVI Registry). Am J Cardiol. 2014; 113: 518-521.

4 Wijesinghe N, Ye J, Rodés-Cabau J, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation in patients with bicuspid aortic valve stenosis. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2010; 3: 1122-1125.