Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest registry: preliminary results from the Świętokrzyskie Province

Janusz Sielski^{1,2}, Karol Kaziród-Wolski^{1,2}, Marta Solnica³, Wojciech A. Rychlik⁴, Katarzyna Mizia-Stec⁵, Marcin Sadowski¹

- 1 Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Kielce, Poland
- 2 Intensive Coronary Care Unit at Świętokrzyskie Cardiology Centre, Regional Hospital in Kielce, Kielce, Poland
- 3 Świętokrzyskie Centre for Medical Emergency and Transport Services in Kielce, Kielce, Poland
- 4 Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Coronary Care Unit, Medical University of Silesia, Upper Silesian Medical Centre, Katowice, Poland
- 5 1st Department of Cardiology, School of Medicine in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

Introduction Cardiac arrest that occurs prior to hospital admission is defined as out-of--hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA).^{1,2} In the European registry, the annual rate of OHCA is estimated at 38.0-55.0 per 100 000 people.³ OHCA remains a major challenge for emergency services due to a very short time interval for the prevention of irreversible brain injury. Despite the availability of guidelines and therapies, the outcomes in OHCA survivors remain poor. The discharge ratio following OHCA ranges from 15% to 20% and has not improved in the last 30 years.^{4,5} In order to identify potential factors contributing to the sruvival rates in OHCA, a regional OHCA registry was launched in 2013. Until now, the prehospital phase has been analyzed.

Methods We retrospectively analyzed consecutive patients with OHCA registered between January 2013 and December 2016 in the Świetokrzyskie Province (area, 11 711 km²; population, 1.25 million; population density, 108/km²). Specialized teams were dispatched by default in response to a cardiac arrest call. When a team without a physician witnessed OHCA, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) was initiated and followed by the dispatch of a specialized team to help and decide on further management. Analyzed data from the ambulance patient care reports included the following variables: age, sex, time to arrival, first hearth rhythm, witnessed or unwitnessed collapse, and the final destination facility type (ie, percutaneous coronary intervention [PCI] or non-PCI center). The diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome or signs of heart failure or a shockable rhythm (ie, ventricular

fibrillation/ventricular tachycardia) were considered as cardiac etiology. The CPR success was defined as the return of spontaneous circulation.

Statistical analysis The quantitative variables were expressed as mean (SD) and the categorical variables as number (percentage). The Shapiro–Wilk and Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests were used to test for normality of distribution. The Krus-kal–Wallis test was used to compare the differences in quantitative variables. Cross tabulations, using the χ^2 test of independence, were used to test the relationships of categorical variables. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Calculations were performed using MedCalc Statistical Software version 17.2 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium).

Results and discussion A total of 478 849 emergency services were dispatched in response to 1667 507 calls. Of them, 353 537 (73.8%) were confirmed as emergency, out of which 2959 (0.84%) were OHCA. Forty four cases with incomplete documentation and 8 pediatric cases were excluded. Out of 2907 cases, 806 patients were found dead. In 2101 patients CPR was initiated with the success rate of 42.3%. The OHCA rate was 51.0 to 64.3 per 100 000 inhabitants, and CPR was performed in 34.7 to 45.4 per 100 000 inhabitants. The percentage of patients considered dead on the arrival of the emergency services ranged from 23.7% to 32.4%. The women-to-men ratio increased over the study period. The OHCA rate was higher in rural than in urban areas and was more frequently recognized by families than by lay

Correspondence to:
Karol Kaziród-Wolski, MD, PhD,
Intensive Coronary Care Unit,
Regional Hospital,
ul. Grunwaldzka 45, 25-736 Kielce,
Poland, phone: +48 413671493,
email: kk-wolski@wp.eu
Received: December 27, 2018.
Revision accepted:
March 13, 2019.
Published online: March 13, 2019.
Kardiol Pol. 2019; 77 (7-8): 710-712
doi:10.5603/KP.a2019.0047

Copyright by the Author(s), 2019

TABLE 1 Patients characteristics from the registry data collected over 4 consecutive years

All patients Profescion (2014) 755 (26) 741 (25.5) 785 (27) 626 (21.5) 785 (27) 262 (21.5) 785 (27) 78	Variable	2013	2014	2015	2016	<i>P</i> value
Age, y, mean (SD) 68.8 (14.9) 68.1 (14.9) 67.9 (15.7) 68.3 (14.6) NS Time to arrival, min, mean (SD) 10.1 (6.3) 10.2 (6.1) 10.3 (6.1) 9.5 (5.9) <0.05°	All patients					
Time to arrival, min, mean (SD) 10.1 (6.3) 10.2 (6.1) 10.3 (6.1) 9.5 (5.9) <0.05* Female sex 253 (33.5) 243 (32.8) 256 (32.6) 256 (40.5) <0.05*	Number	755 (26)	741 (25.5)	785 (27)	626 (21.5)	-
Female sex 253 (33.5) 243 (32.8) 256 (32.6) 256 (40.5) <0.05° Area Urban 241 (31.9) 244 (32.9) 257 (32.7) 277 (44.2) <0.05 Rural 514 (68.2) 497 (67.1) 528 (67.3) 349 (55.7) <0.05 Home 621 (82.2) 594 (80.2) 621 (79.1) 487 (77.8) NS Other 134 (17.7) 147 (19.8) 164 (20.9) 139 (22.2) NS First eyewitness 582 (78.5) 618 (78.7) 478 (76.3) <0.05 Other 133 (17.6) 159 (21.5) 167 (21.3) 148 (23.6) <0.05 Other 133 (17.6) 159 (21.5) 167 (21.3) 148 (23.6) <0.05 Other 133 (17.6) 159 (21.5) 167 (21.3) 148 (23.6) <0.05 Other 133 (17.6) 159 (21.5) 167 (21.3) 448 (23.6) <0.05 Patients with CPR 7 70 (23.7) 182 (24.6) 254 (32.4) 191 (30.5) <0.05 Age, y, mean (SD) 66.1 (1	Age, y, mean (SD)	66.8 (14.9)	68.1 (14.9)	67.9 (15.7)	68.3 (14.6)	NS
Area Urban 241 (31.9) 244 (32.9) 257 (32.7) 277 (44.2) <0.05 Rural 514 (68.2) 497 (67.1) 528 (67.3) 349 (55.7) Location of OHCA Frome 621 (82.2) 594 (80.2) 621 (79.1) 487 (77.8) MS Other 134 (17.7) 147 (19.8) 164 (20.9) 139 (22.2) ************************************		10.1 (6.3)	10.2 (6.1)	10.3 (6.1)	9.5 (5.9)	<0.05
Urban 241 (31.9) 244 (32.9) 257 (32.7) 277 (44.2) <0.05 Rural 514 (68.2) 497 (67.1) 528 (67.3) 349 (55.7) 100 Location of OHCA Frome 621 (82.2) 594 (80.2) 621 (79.1) 487 (77.8) MS Other 134 (17.7) 147 (19.8) 164 (20.9) 139 (22.2) 100 First eyewitness Family 622 (82.3) 582 (78.5) 618 (78.7) 478 (76.3) <0.05	Female sex	253 (33.5)	243 (32.8)	256 (32.6)	256 (40.5)	<0.05ª
Rural 514 (68.2) 497 (67.1) 528 (67.3) 349 (55.7) Location of OHCA Home 621 (82.2) 594 (80.2) 621 (79.1) 487 (77.8) NS Other 134 (17.7) 147 (19.8) 164 (20.9) 139 (22.2) 147 (27.8) 147 (29.8) 147 (29.8) 147 (29.9) 139 (22.2) 147 (29.8) 147 (20.9) 139 (22.2) 147 (20.9) 147 (20.9) 139 (22.2) 147 (20.9) 147 (20.9) 147 (20.9) 147 (20.9) 147 (20.9) 147 (20.9) 147 (20.9) 147 (20.9) 20.05 20.05 Other 133 (17.6) 159 (21.5) 167 (21.3) 148 (23.6) 20.05	Area					
Home	Urban	241 (31.9)	244 (32.9)	257 (32.7)	277 (44.2)	<0.05
Home 621 (82.2) 594 (80.2) 621 (79.1) 487 (77.8) NS Other 134 (17.7) 147 (19.8) 164 (20.9) 139 (22.2) ************************************	Rural	514 (68.2)	497 (67.1)	528 (67.3)	349 (55.7)	
Other 134 (17.7) 147 (19.8) 164 (20.9) 139 (22.2) First eyewitness Family 622 (82.3) 582 (78.5) 618 (78.7) 478 (76.3) <0.05 Other 133 (17.6) 159 (21.5) 167 (21.3) 148 (23.6) <0.05	Location of OHCA					
First eyewitness Family 622 (82.3) 582 (78.5) 618 (78.7) 478 (76.3) <0.05	Home	621 (82.2)	594 (80.2)	621 (79.1)	487 (77.8)	NS
Family 622 (82.3) 582 (78.5) 618 (78.7) 478 (76.3) <0.05 Other 133 (17.6) 159 (21.5) 167 (21.3) 148 (23.6) - Dead on arrival 179 (23.7) 182 (24.6) 254 (32.4) 191 (30.5) <0.05	Other	134 (17.7)	147 (19.8)	164 (20.9)	139 (22.2)	
Other 133 (17.6) 159 (21.5) 167 (21.3) 148 (23.6) Dead on arrival 179 (23.7) 182 (24.6) 254 (32.4) 191 (30.5) <0.05	First eyewitness					
Dead on arrival 179 (23.7) 182 (24.6) 254 (32.4) 191 (30.5) <0.05 Overall mortality 503 (66.6) 494 (66.7) 571 (72.7) 451 (72.0) <0.05 Patients with CPR Number 576 (27.4) 559 (26.6) 531 (25.3) 435 (20.7) - Age, y, mean (SD) 66.1 (14.7) 66.9 (14.3) 66.4 (15.3) 67.8 (14.2) NS Time to arrival, min, mean (SD) 9.8 (6.3) 9.7 (6.0) 9.6 (6.1) 9.17 (5.7) NS Female sex 192 (33.3) 183 (32.7) 172 (32.4) 256 (39.1) NS³ Witnessed Yes 110 (19.1) 146 (26.1) 123 (23.2) 171 (39.3) <0.05	Family	622 (82.3)	582 (78.5)	618 (78.7)	478 (76.3)	<0.05
Overall mortality 503 (66.6) 494 (66.7) 571 (72.7) 451 (72.0) <0.05 Patients with CPR Number 576 (27.4) 559 (26.6) 531 (25.3) 435 (20.7) – Age, y, mean (SD) 66.1 (14.7) 66.9 (14.3) 66.4 (15.3) 67.8 (14.2) NS Time to arrival, min, mean (SD) 9.8 (6.3) 9.7 (6.0) 9.6 (6.1) 9.17 (5.7) NS Female sex 192 (33.3) 183 (32.7) 172 (32.4) 256 (39.1) NS° Witnessed Yes 110 (19.1) 146 (26.1) 123 (23.2) 171 (39.3) <0.05	Other	133 (17.6)	159 (21.5)	167 (21.3)	148 (23.6)	
Patients with CPR Number 576 (27.4) 559 (26.6) 531 (25.3) 435 (20.7) – Age, y, mean (SD) 66.1 (14.7) 66.9 (14.3) 66.4 (15.3) 67.8 (14.2) NS Time to arrival, min, mean (SD) 9.8 (6.3) 9.7 (6.0) 9.6 (6.1) 9.17 (5.7) NS Female sex 192 (33.3) 183 (32.7) 172 (32.4) 256 (39.1) NS° Witnessed Yes 110 (19.1) 146 (26.1) 123 (23.2) 171 (39.3) <0.05	Dead on arrival	179 (23.7)	182 (24.6)	254 (32.4)	191 (30.5)	<0.05
Number 576 (27.4) 559 (26.6) 531 (25.3) 435 (20.7) - Age, y, mean (SD) 66.1 (14.7) 66.9 (14.3) 66.4 (15.3) 67.8 (14.2) NS Time to arrival, min, mean (SD) 9.8 (6.3) 9.7 (6.0) 9.6 (6.1) 9.17 (5.7) NS Female sex 192 (33.3) 183 (32.7) 172 (32.4) 256 (39.1) NS° Witnessed Yes 110 (19.1) 146 (26.1) 123 (23.2) 171 (39.3) <0.05	Overall mortality	503 (66.6)	494 (66.7)	571 (72.7)	451 (72.0)	<0.05
Age, y, mean (SD) 66.1 (14.7) 66.9 (14.3) 66.4 (15.3) 67.8 (14.2) NS Time to arrival, min, mean (SD) 9.8 (6.3) 9.7 (6.0) 9.6 (6.1) 9.17 (5.7) NS Female sex 192 (33.3) 183 (32.7) 172 (32.4) 256 (39.1) NS³ Witnessed Yes 110 (19.1) 146 (26.1) 123 (23.2) 171 (39.3) <0.05	Patients with CPR					
Time to arrival, min, mean (SD) 9.8 (6.3) 9.7 (6.0) 9.6 (6.1) 9.17 (5.7) NS Female sex 192 (33.3) 183 (32.7) 172 (32.4) 256 (39.1) NS³ Witnessed Vision (19.1) 146 (26.1) 123 (23.2) 171 (39.3) <0.05	Number	576 (27.4)	559 (26.6)	531 (25.3)	435 (20.7)	-
min, mean (SD) Female sex 192 (33.3) 183 (32.7) 172 (32.4) 256 (39.1) NS³ Witnessed Yes 110 (19.1) 146 (26.1) 123 (23.2) 171 (39.3) <0.05	Age, y, mean (SD)	66.1 (14.7)	66.9 (14.3)	66.4 (15.3)	67.8 (14.2)	NS
Witnessed Yes 110 (19.1) 146 (26.1) 123 (23.2) 171 (39.3) <0.05		9.8 (6.3)	9.7 (6.0)	9.6 (6.1)	9.17 (5.7)	NS
Yes 110 (19.1) 146 (26.1) 123 (23.2) 171 (39.3) <0.05 No 466 (80.9) 413 (73.9) 408 (76.8) 264 (60.9) - Cause of OHCA Cardiac 261 (45.3) 375 (67.1) 313 (58.9) 208 (47.8) <0.05	Female sex	192 (33.3)	183 (32.7)	172 (32.4)	256 (39.1)	NSa
No 466 (80.9) 413 (73.9) 408 (76.8) 264 (60.9) – Cause of OHCA Cardiac 261 (45.3) 375 (67.1) 313 (58.9) 208 (47.8) <0.05 Other 315 (54.7) 184 (32.9) 218 (41.0) 227 (52.2) Initial rhythm VT/VF 101(17.5) 98 (17.53) 93 (17.51) 68 (15.6) NS Other 475 (82.5) 461 (82.5) 438 (82.5) 367 (84.4) – Transport destination CCU (PCI) 8 (3.2) 11 (4.4) 17 (7.9) 13 (7.4) <0.05 ER 244 (96.8) 236 (95.5) 197 (92.1) 162 (92.6) –	Witnessed					
Cause of OHCA Cardiac 261 (45.3) 375 (67.1) 313 (58.9) 208 (47.8) <0.05	Yes	110 (19.1)	146 (26.1)	123 (23.2)	171 (39.3)	<0.05
Cardiac 261 (45.3) 375 (67.1) 313 (58.9) 208 (47.8) <0.05 Other 315 (54.7) 184 (32.9) 218 (41.0) 227 (52.2) ************************************	No	466 (80.9)	413 (73.9)	408 (76.8)	264 (60.9)	
Other 315 (54.7) 184 (32.9) 218 (41.0) 227 (52.2) Initial rhythm VT/VF 101(17.5) 98 (17.53) 93 (17.51) 68 (15.6) NS Other 475 (82.5) 461 (82.5) 438 (82.5) 367 (84.4) - Transport destination CCU (PCI) 8 (3.2) 11 (4.4) 17 (7.9) 13 (7.4) <0.05	Cause of OHCA					
Initial rhythm VT/VF 101(17.5) 98 (17.53) 93 (17.51) 68 (15.6) NS Other 475 (82.5) 461 (82.5) 438 (82.5) 367 (84.4) - Transport destination CCU (PCI) 8 (3.2) 11 (4.4) 17 (7.9) 13 (7.4) <0.05	Cardiac	261 (45.3)	375 (67.1)	313 (58.9)	208 (47.8)	<0.05
VT/VF 101(17.5) 98 (17.53) 93 (17.51) 68 (15.6) NS Other 475 (82.5) 461 (82.5) 438 (82.5) 367 (84.4) - Transport destination CCU (PCI) 8 (3.2) 11 (4.4) 17 (7.9) 13 (7.4) <0.05	Other	315 (54.7)	184 (32.9)	218 (41.0)	227 (52.2)	
Other 475 (82.5) 461 (82.5) 438 (82.5) 367 (84.4) - Transport destination CCU (PCI) 8 (3.2) 11 (4.4) 17 (7.9) 13 (7.4) <0.05	Initial rhythm					
Transport destination CCU (PCI) 8 (3.2) 11 (4.4) 17 (7.9) 13 (7.4) <0.05 ER 244 (96.8) 236 (95.5) 197 (92.1) 162 (92.6) -	VT/VF	101(17.5)	98 (17.53)	93 (17.51)	68 (15.6)	NS
CCU (PCI) 8 (3.2) 11 (4.4) 17 (7.9) 13 (7.4) <0.05 ER 244 (96.8) 236 (95.5) 197 (92.1) 162 (92.6) -	Other	475 (82.5)	461 (82.5)	438 (82.5)	367 (84.4)	-
ER 244 (96.8) 236 (95.5) 197 (92.1) 162 (92.6) -	Transport destinati	on				
	CCU (PCI)	8 (3.2)	11 (4.4)	17 (7.9)	13 (7.4)	<0.05
ROSC 252 (43.7) 247 (44.2) 214(40.3) 175 (40.2) NS	ER	244 (96.8)	236 (95.5)	197 (92.1)	162 (92.6)	-
	ROSC	252 (43.7)	247 (44.2)	214(40.3)	175 (40.2)	NS

Data are presented as number (percentage) unless otherwise indicated.

a As compared with male sex

Abbreviations: CCU (PCI), coronary care unit with intention to primary percutaneous coronary intervention; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ER, emergency department; NS, nonsignificant; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; VT/VF, ventricular tachycardia/ventricular fibrillation

bystanders. CPR was attempted more frequently in men. The time to arrival remained stable and ranged from 10.1 to 10.5 min. The majority of OHCA patients were found with a nonshockable rhythm and similar proportion of ventricular tachycardia and ventricular fibrillation. The number of witnessed arrests increased from 19.1% (2013) to 39.3% (2016). Likewise, the number of patients that were admitted directly into an intensive coronary care unit increased from 3.2% (2013) to 7.4% (2016) (TABLE 1).

We present the first report from our registry. Our study demonstrated that the initial CPR success rate remained unchanged over the 4 years despite the increasing number of witnesses and very short ambulance response time. Similar findings were reported from the prospective data analysis in 27 European countries in the EuRe-Ca ONE study.³ In the OPALS (Ontario Prehospital Advanced Life Support) study (11479 OHCA cases included), Safdar et al⁷ demonstrated that women who had OHCA were older than men, had fewer witnessed arrests, fewer initially shockable rhythms, and a lower bystander CPR rate. In the current study, 2300 emergency calls were made by nonfamily witnesses. In patients who were given CPR, the proportion of witnessed OHCA was low; however, it was constantly increasing over time. We demonstrated that witnesses were more frequently present on the scene, which may be associated with the education programs that were initiated on a large scale at the beginning of the study. The community readiness to respond to an emergency remains the key factor in determining survival from OHCA. In our study, while the percentage of witnesses increased, their presence had no significant effect on the CPR success. Unfortunately, in our registry, we were not able to identify whether the witnesses attempted resuscitation. This might be the reason for an increasing number of bystanders at the scene and a stable CPR success rate in our registry. Nadolny et al8 in the case-control study of 1603 OHCA patients demonstrated that the CPR success depends on the quality of procedures performed at the place of incident. Gach et al⁹ reported that in the population of 190 men and 82 women, a nonshockable rhythm and failure to initiate chest compression by lay bystanders were independent risk factors for prehospital death. Cebula et al¹⁰ demonstrated that witnesses in a rural setting were more likely to initiate bystander CPR and receive instructions from the EMS dispatcher compared with urban areas. In a study by Tsunoyama et al, 11 by standers were reluctant to perform chest compression or to provide mouth-to-mouth rescue breathing primarily due to fear of litigation or the incorrect interpretation of the symptoms. Obviously, the year--to-year discrepancy in the number of witnesses observed in our study cannot be explained easily. According to the Swedish authors, Strömsöe

et al, 12 the witnessed OHCA ratio was highest in the low population density area with the lowest number of OHCA cases per year.¹² We could also speculate on changes in the socio-structural patterns, migration, education, increasing access to cell phones, educational school programs, and the availability of reality TV shows, etc. The number of emergency calls could also be affected by the family's knowledge about their relatives' chronic disease. This might also be in connection with the greater OHCA rate in the rural area. A highly diverse population density is supposed to be the main culprit. In our district, most of the population lives in a rural area as there are few cities. This mainly results from specific changes in the socioeconomic patterns and "city-to-suburb" migration. The high nonshockable rhythm rate may suggest that the shockable rhythms converted to nonshockable ones over time despite very short ambulance response time. This also might suggest that in an unknown proportion of patients, cardiac arrest could be considered "expected" as a natural consequence of a terminal disease, and thus the emergency call was not justified. Our data together with those from other countries warrant further widespread health education programs to enhance the use of basic CPR maneuvers by OHCA witnesses. In the Świętokrzyskie Province, live CPR demonstrations for the citizens have been frequently organized since 2013. We hope this will increase the public awareness and the readiness to respond. The main conclusion of this initial report is that the initial success of CPR remained unchanged over the study period despite the increasing number of witnesses.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

CONFLICT OF INTEREST None declared.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), allowing third parties to download articles and share them with others, provided the original work is properly cited, not changed in any way, distributed under the same license, and used for noncommercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at kardiologiapolska@ptkardio.pl.

HOW TO CITE Sielski J, Kaziród-Wolski K, Solnica M, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest registry: preliminary results from the Świętokrzyskie Province. Kardiol Pol. 2019; 77: 710-712. doi:10.5603/KP.a2019.0047

REFERENCES

- 1 Monsieurs K, Nolan J, Bossaert L, et al. ERC Guidelines 2015 Writing Group. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 1. Executive summary. Resuscitation. 2015; 95: 10.
- 2 Truhlář A, Deakin CD, Soar J, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 4. Cardiac arrest in special circumstances. Resuscitation. 2015: 95: 148-201.
- **3** Gräsner JT, Lefering R, Koster RW, et al. EuReCa ONE 27 Nations, ONE Europe, ONE Registry A prospective one month analysis of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest outcomes in 27 countries in Europe. Resuscitation. 2016; 105: 188-195.
- 4 Ebell MH, Afonso AM. Pre-arrest predictors of failure to survive after in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation; a meta-analysis. Fam Pract. 2011; 28: 505-515.
- 5 Sasson C, Rogers MA, Dahl J. et al. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2010; 3: 63-81.
- 6 Statistics Poland website. http://www.stat.gov.pl. Accessed May 10, 2018.

- 7 Safdar B, Stolz U, Stiell IG, et al. Differential survival for men and women from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest varies by ages: results from the OPALS study. Acad Emerg Med. 2014.: 21: 1503-1511.
- 8 Nadolny K, Szarpak L, Gotlib J, et al. An analysis of the relationship between the applied medical rescue actions and the return of spontaneous circulation in adults with out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018; 97: 30(e11607)
- 9 Gach D, Nowak U, Krzych Ł. Determinants of unfavorable prognosis for out-ofhospital sudden cardiac arrest in Bielsko-Biała district. Kardiochir Torakochirurgia Pol. 2016: 13: 217-223.
- 10 Cebula GM, Osadnik S, Wysocki M, et al. Comparison of the early effects of out-of-hospital resuscitation in selected urban and rural areas in Poland. A preliminary report from the Polish Cardiac Arrest Registry by the Polish Resuscitation Council. Kardiol Pol. 2016: 74: 356-361.
- 11 Tsunoyama T, Nakahara S, Yoshida M, et al. Effectiveness of dispatcher training in increasing bystander chest compression for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients in Japan. Acute Med Surg. 2017; 4: 439-445.
- 12 Strömsöe A, Svensson L, Claesson A, et al. Association between population density and reported incidence, characteristics and outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Sweden. Resuscitation. 2011; 82: 1307-1313.