### REVIEW ARTICLE

# How can the results of the COMPASS trial benefit patients with coronary or peripheral artery disease in Poland?

Paul C. Kruger<sup>1,2</sup>, Tomasz J. Guzik<sup>3</sup>, John W. Eikelboom<sup>2,4</sup>

- 1 Department of Haematology, Fiona Stanley Hospital, Perth, Australia
- 2 Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University and Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton, Canada
- 3 Department of Internal Medicine, Jagiellonian University School of Medicine, Kraków, Poland
- 4 Thrombosis and Atherosclerosis Research Institute, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

#### **KEY WORDS**

COMPASS trial, coronary artery disease, major adverse cardiovascular event, peripheral artery disease, rivaroxaban

#### **ABSTRACT**

Aspirin decreases the risk of recurrent thrombotic events in patients with coronary artery disease or peripheral artery disease but the risk of recurrent events remains high. Long-term dual antiplatelet therapy or the combination of aspirin and warfarin further reduces the risk of recurrent events, but at the cost of increased bleeding, and neither of these treatments reduce mortality. The COMPASS (Cardiovascular Outcomes in People Using Anticoagulation Strategies) randomized controlled trial involving 27 395 patients from 602 sites in 33 countries (Poland: 9 sites, 518 patients) tested whether low-dose anticoagulant therapy with the coagulation factor Xa inhibitor rivaroxaban given alone or combined with aspirin reduced thrombotic risk compared with aspirin in patients with apparently stable chronic coronary and/or peripheral artery disease. In patients treated with the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and aspirin 100 mg once daily, compared with aspirin alone, the primary outcome of the composite of cardiovascular death, stroke, or myocardial infarction was decreased by 24% (hazard ratio, 0.76; 95% confidence interval, 0.66 to 0.86; P < 0.001), and mortality by 18% at the cost of a 70% increase in major bleeding but no significant increase in fatal or intracranial bleeding. Results were consistent in patients with coronary artery disease or peripheral artery disease, and the greatest absolute benefit was evident in the highest risk patients, including those with polyvascular disease, mild or moderate heart failure, chronic kidney disease, or diabetes. These results establish the combination of low-dose rivaroxaban and aspirin as a highly effective treatment to decrease morbidity and mortality rates in patients with atherosclerotic vascular disease.

Correspondence to:
Paul Kruger, MBBS, FRACP, FRCPA,
Department of Haematology,
Fiona Stanley Hospital,
11 Robin Warren Drive, Murdoch,
Perth, Western Australia, 6150,
phone: +61 8 6152 2222, email: paul.
kruger@health.wa.gov.au
Received: May 30, 2019.
Accepted: May 30, 2019.
Published online: May 30, 2019.
Kardiol Pol. 2019; 77 (7-8): 661-669
doi:10.33963/KP.14855
Copyright by the Author(s), 2019

**Introduction** Cardiovascular (CV) disease affects more than 300 million people and is the leading cause of death worldwide. Life expectancy at birth in Poland was 77.5 years in 2015, which is more than 3 years shorter than the European Union average. The higher mortality rates in Poland appear to be explained primarily by CV mortality, which remains high despite a reduction in CV disease mortality over the past 10 years. Aspirin is widely used for long-term secondary prevention of CV disease because it reduces major adverse CV events (MACEs) by 19% and mortality by 10%. Several antithrombotic regimens tested as alternatives to aspirin have

further reduced nonfatal CV events, but none have significantly reduced mortality. <sup>5,6</sup> Furthermore, even with antiplatelet drug combinations, patients with a history of myocardial infarction (MI) have substantial residual thrombotic risk. <sup>5</sup>

Rivaroxaban is a direct oral anticoagulant that targets coagulation factor Xa. In the ATLAS ACS-2 TIMI 51 (Anti-Xa Therapy to Lower Cardiovascular Events in Addition to Standard Therapy in Subjects with Acute Coronary Syndrome-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 51) trial, low-dose rivaroxaban (2.5 mg twice daily) compared with placebo on a background of single or dual antiplatelet therapy decreased MACE

**TABLE 1** Cardiovascular-disease related mortality and morbidity rates in European countries<sup>3</sup> (continued on the next page)

Albania         2004         724.5         950.7         98         102           Armenia         2012         743.9         946.5         NR         NR           Austria         2014         348.0         457.1         58         63           Azerbaijan         2007         944.7         1078.1         65         85           Belarus         2011         726.9         1448.0         136         194           Belgium         2012         252.9         357.1         46         56           Bosnia and Herzegovina         2011         805.2         918.6         92         109           Bulgaria         2012         595.6         1299.5         149         187           Croatia         2013         581.2         761.4         87         102           Cyprus         2012         343.9         428.8         31         42           Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France	Country	Mortality			Estimated DALY	
Armenia         2012         743.9         946.5         NR         NR           Austria         2014         348.0         457.1         58         63           Azerbaijan         2007         944.7         1078.1         65         85           Belarus         2011         726.9         1448.0         136         194           Belgium         2012         252.9         357.1         46         56           Bosnia and Herzegovina         2011         805.2         918.6         92         109           Bulgaria         2012         959.6         1299.5         149         187           Croatia         2013         581.2         761.4         87         102           Cyprus         2012         343.9         428.8         31         42           Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France		Latest year	Women	Men	Women	Men
Austria         2014         348.0         457.1         58         63           Azerbaijan         2007         944.7         1078.1         65         85           Belarus         2011         726.9         1448.0         136         194           Belgium         2012         252.9         357.1         46         56           Bosnia and Herzegovina         2011         805.2         918.6         92         109           Bulgaria         2012         959.6         1299.5         149         187           Croatia         2013         581.2         761.4         87         102           Cyprus         2012         343.9         428.8         31         42           Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia	Albania		724.5	950.7	98	102
Azerbaijan         2007         944.7         1078.1         65         85           Belarus         2011         726.9         1448.0         136         194           Belgium         2012         252.9         357.1         46         56           Bosnia and Herzegovina         2011         805.2         918.6         92         109           Bulgaria         2012         959.6         1299.5         149         187           Croatia         2013         581.2         761.4         87         102           Cyprus         2012         343.9         428.8         31         42           Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Greece	Armenia	2012	743.9	946.5	NR	NR
Belarus 2011 726.9 1448.0 136 194 Belgium 2012 252.9 357.1 46 56 Bosnia and Herzegovina 2011 805.2 918.6 92 109 Bulgaria 2012 959.6 1299.5 149 187 Croatia 2013 581.2 761.4 87 102 Cyprus 2012 343.9 428.8 31 42 Czech Republic 2013 538.2 747.6 76 94 Denmark 2012 229.9 337.6 37 49 Estonia 2012 572.4 920.3 95 126 Finland 2013 295.6 480.7 51 76 France 2011 174.1 275.2 36 44 Georgia 2014 608.7 891.6 130 159 Germany 2013 362.1 477.2 62 72 Greece 2012 391.3 485.0 69 85 Hungary 2013 646.3 921.3 102 128 Iceland 2009 297.5 441.6 28 35 Ireland 2012 290.2 420.5 29 40 Israel 2013 194.9 255.0 23 28 Italy 2012 290.0 393.8 51 57 Kazakhstan 2012 437.5 779.9 103 146 Kyrgyzstan 2013 1087.4 1443.9 64 89 Latvia 2012 718.6 1156.8 134 177 Lithuania 2012 706.4 1096.9 105 137 Luxembourg 2013 254.9 332.7 36 41 Malta 2014 317.0 407.7 44 58 Montenegro 2009 829.4 922.3 110 114 The Netherlands 2013 233.5 332.0 38 46 Norway 2013 233.5 332.0 38 46	Austria	2014	348.0	457.1	58	63
Belarus         2011         726.9         1448.0         136         194           Belgium         2012         252.9         357.1         46         56           Bosnia and Herzegovina         2011         805.2         918.6         92         109           Bulgaria         2012         959.6         1299.5         149         187           Croatia         2013         581.2         761.4         87         102           Cyprus         2012         343.9         428.8         31         42           Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary	Azerbaijan	2007	944.7	1078.1	65	85
Bosnia and Herzegovina         2011         805.2         918.6         92         109           Bulgaria         2012         959.6         1299.5         149         187           Croatia         2013         581.2         761.4         87         102           Cyprus         2012         343.9         428.8         31         42           Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland	·	2011	726.9	1448.0	136	194
Bulgaria         2012         959.6         1299.5         149         187           Croatia         2013         581.2         761.4         87         102           Cyprus         2012         343.9         428.8         31         42           Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012 <td>Belgium</td> <td>2012</td> <td>252.9</td> <td>357.1</td> <td>46</td> <td>56</td>	Belgium	2012	252.9	357.1	46	56
Croatia         2013         581.2         761.4         87         102           Cyprus         2012         343.9         428.8         31         42           Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013	Bosnia and Herzegovina	2011	805.2	918.6	92	109
Cyprus         2012         343.9         428.8         31         42           Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012	Bulgaria	2012	959.6	1299.5	149	187
Czech Republic         2013         538.2         747.6         76         94           Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012	Croatia	2013	581.2	761.4	87	102
Denmark         2012         229.9         337.6         37         49           Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013	Cyprus	2012	343.9	428.8	31	42
Estonia         2012         572.4         920.3         95         126           Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013         1087.4         1443.9         64         89           Latvia         2012	Czech Republic	2013	538.2	747.6	76	94
Finland         2013         295.6         480.7         51         76           France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013         1087.4         1443.9         64         89           Latvia         2012         718.6         1156.8         134         177           Lithuania         2012	Denmark	2012	229.9	337.6	37	49
France         2011         174.1         275.2         36         44           Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013         1087.4         1443.9         64         89           Latvia         2012         718.6         1156.8         134         177           Lithuania         2012         706.4         1096.9         105         137           Luxembourg         201	Estonia	2012	572.4	920.3	95	126
Georgia         2014         608.7         891.6         130         159           Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013         1087.4         1443.9         64         89           Latvia         2012         718.6         1156.8         134         177           Lithuania         2012         706.4         1096.9         105         137           Luxembourg <td< td=""><td>Finland</td><td>2013</td><td>295.6</td><td>480.7</td><td>51</td><td>76</td></td<>	Finland	2013	295.6	480.7	51	76
Germany         2013         362.1         477.2         62         72           Greece         2012         391.3         485.0         69         85           Hungary         2013         646.3         921.3         102         128           Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013         1087.4         1443.9         64         89           Latvia         2012         718.6         1156.8         134         177           Lithuania         2012         706.4         1096.9         105         137           Luxembourg         2013         254.9         332.7         36         41           Malta         2014         317.0         407.7         44         58           Montenegro         200	France	2011	174.1	275.2	36	44
Greece       2012       391.3       485.0       69       85         Hungary       2013       646.3       921.3       102       128         Iceland       2009       297.5       441.6       28       35         Ireland       2012       290.2       420.5       29       40         Israel       2013       194.9       255.0       23       28         Italy       2012       290.0       393.8       51       57         Kazakhstan       2012       437.5       779.9       103       146         Kyrgyzstan       2013       1087.4       1443.9       64       89         Latvia       2012       718.6       1156.8       134       177         Lithuania       2012       706.4       1096.9       105       137         Luxembourg       2013       254.9       332.7       36       41         Malta       2014       317.0       407.7       44       58         Montenegro       2009       829.4       922.3       110       114         The Netherlands       2013       233.5       332.0       38       46         Norway       2013	Georgia	2014	608.7	891.6	130	159
Hungary       2013       646.3       921.3       102       128         Iceland       2009       297.5       441.6       28       35         Ireland       2012       290.2       420.5       29       40         Israel       2013       194.9       255.0       23       28         Italy       2012       290.0       393.8       51       57         Kazakhstan       2012       437.5       779.9       103       146         Kyrgyzstan       2013       1087.4       1443.9       64       89         Latvia       2012       718.6       1156.8       134       177         Lithuania       2012       706.4       1096.9       105       137         Luxembourg       2013       254.9       332.7       36       41         Malta       2014       317.0       407.7       44       58         Montenegro       2009       829.4       922.3       110       114         The Netherlands       2013       233.5       332.0       38       46         Norway       2013       235.1       334.7       38       46	Germany	2013	362.1	477.2	62	72
Iceland         2009         297.5         441.6         28         35           Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013         1087.4         1443.9         64         89           Latvia         2012         718.6         1156.8         134         177           Lithuania         2012         706.4         1096.9         105         137           Luxembourg         2013         254.9         332.7         36         41           Malta         2014         317.0         407.7         44         58           Montenegro         2009         829.4         922.3         110         114           The Netherlands         2013         233.5         332.0         38         46           Norway         2013         235.1         334.7         38         46	Greece	2012	391.3	485.0	69	85
Ireland         2012         290.2         420.5         29         40           Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013         1087.4         1443.9         64         89           Latvia         2012         718.6         1156.8         134         177           Lithuania         2012         706.4         1096.9         105         137           Luxembourg         2013         254.9         332.7         36         41           Malta         2014         317.0         407.7         44         58           Montenegro         2009         829.4         922.3         110         114           The Netherlands         2013         233.5         332.0         38         46           Norway         2013         235.1         334.7         38         46	Hungary	2013	646.3	921.3	102	128
Israel         2013         194.9         255.0         23         28           Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013         1087.4         1443.9         64         89           Latvia         2012         718.6         1156.8         134         177           Lithuania         2012         706.4         1096.9         105         137           Luxembourg         2013         254.9         332.7         36         41           Malta         2014         317.0         407.7         44         58           Montenegro         2009         829.4         922.3         110         114           The Netherlands         2013         233.5         332.0         38         46           Norway         2013         235.1         334.7         38         46	Iceland	2009	297.5	441.6	28	35
Italy         2012         290.0         393.8         51         57           Kazakhstan         2012         437.5         779.9         103         146           Kyrgyzstan         2013         1087.4         1443.9         64         89           Latvia         2012         718.6         1156.8         134         177           Lithuania         2012         706.4         1096.9         105         137           Luxembourg         2013         254.9         332.7         36         41           Malta         2014         317.0         407.7         44         58           Montenegro         2009         829.4         922.3         110         114           The Netherlands         2013         233.5         332.0         38         46           Norway         2013         235.1         334.7         38         46	Ireland	2012	290.2	420.5	29	40
Kazakhstan       2012       437.5       779.9       103       146         Kyrgyzstan       2013       1087.4       1443.9       64       89         Latvia       2012       718.6       1156.8       134       177         Lithuania       2012       706.4       1096.9       105       137         Luxembourg       2013       254.9       332.7       36       41         Malta       2014       317.0       407.7       44       58         Montenegro       2009       829.4       922.3       110       114         The Netherlands       2013       233.5       332.0       38       46         Norway       2013       235.1       334.7       38       46	Israel	2013	194.9	255.0	23	28
Kyrgyzstan       2013       1087.4       1443.9       64       89         Latvia       2012       718.6       1156.8       134       177         Lithuania       2012       706.4       1096.9       105       137         Luxembourg       2013       254.9       332.7       36       41         Malta       2014       317.0       407.7       44       58         Montenegro       2009       829.4       922.3       110       114         The Netherlands       2013       233.5       332.0       38       46         Norway       2013       235.1       334.7       38       46	Italy	2012	290.0	393.8	51	57
Latvia       2012       718.6       1156.8       134       177         Lithuania       2012       706.4       1096.9       105       137         Luxembourg       2013       254.9       332.7       36       41         Malta       2014       317.0       407.7       44       58         Montenegro       2009       829.4       922.3       110       114         The Netherlands       2013       233.5       332.0       38       46         Norway       2013       235.1       334.7       38       46	Kazakhstan	2012	437.5	779.9	103	146
Lithuania     2012     706.4     1096.9     105     137       Luxembourg     2013     254.9     332.7     36     41       Malta     2014     317.0     407.7     44     58       Montenegro     2009     829.4     922.3     110     114       The Netherlands     2013     233.5     332.0     38     46       Norway     2013     235.1     334.7     38     46	Kyrgyzstan	2013	1087.4	1443.9	64	89
Luxembourg       2013       254.9       332.7       36       41         Malta       2014       317.0       407.7       44       58         Montenegro       2009       829.4       922.3       110       114         The Netherlands       2013       233.5       332.0       38       46         Norway       2013       235.1       334.7       38       46	Latvia	2012	718.6	1156.8	134	177
Malta       2014       317.0       407.7       44       58         Montenegro       2009       829.4       922.3       110       114         The Netherlands       2013       233.5       332.0       38       46         Norway       2013       235.1       334.7       38       46	Lithuania	2012	706.4	1096.9	105	137
Montenegro         2009         829.4         922.3         110         114           The Netherlands         2013         233.5         332.0         38         46           Norway         2013         235.1         334.7         38         46	Luxembourg	2013	254.9	332.7	36	41
The Netherlands         2013         233.5         332.0         38         46           Norway         2013         235.1         334.7         38         46	Malta	2014	317.0	407.7	44	58
Norway 2013 235.1 334.7 38 46	Montenegro	2009	829.4	922.3	110	114
<u> </u>	The Netherlands	2013	233.5	332.0	38	46
Deland 2012 FOE C 750 74 400	Norway	2013	235.1	334.7	38	46
roiana 2013 505.6 /56.0 /4 106	Poland	2013	505.6	756.0	74	106
Portugal 2013 259.7 347.0 43 52	Portugal	2013	259.7	347.0	43	52
Republic of Moldova 2013 1071.6 1380.2 131 155	Republic of Moldova	2013	1071.6	1380.2	131	155
Romania 2012 903.9 1143.9 111 139	Romania	2012	903.9	1143.9	111	139
Russian Federation 2011 914.0 1423.1 150 217	Russian Federation	2011	914.0	1423.1	150	217
San Marino         2005         322.0         516.6         NR         NR	San Marino	2005	322.0	516.6	NR	NR
Serbia         2013         836.4         990.9         111         125	Serbia	2013	836.4	990.9	111	125
Slovakia 2010 758.5 1048.1 81 107	Slovakia	2010	758.5	1048.1	81	107
Slovenia 2010 390.6 532.9 53 58	Slovenia	2010	390.6	532.9	53	58

and mortality with an acceptable bleeding profile. The mean duration of treatment in the ATLAS ACS-2 TIMI 51 trial was 13.1 months with a maximum follow up of 31 months.

Building on the findings of the ATLAS ACS-2 TIMI 51 study, the COMPASS (Cardiovascular Outcomes in People Using Anticoagulation Strategies) trial tested the hypothesis that long--term treatment with rivaroxaban, given alone or in combination with aspirin, would be superior to aspirin for the prevention of MACE, major adverse limb events (MALEs), and mortality in patients with apparently stable chronic coronary artery disease (CAD) or peripheral artery disease (PAD). In a second partial factorial design, the COMPASS trial also tested whether pantoprazole, a proton pump inhibitor, compared with placebo reduced upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with stable CAD or PAD who were not already treated with a proton pump inhibitor.8 The main results of COM-PASS demonstrated that the combination of rivaroxaban and aspirin, compared with aspirin alone, reduced the risk of both MACE and mortality, whereas rivaroxaban alone was not superior to aspirin. Pantoprazole compared with placebo did not reduce upper gastrointestinal tract complications, defined as the composite of overt bleeding, upper gastrointestinal bleeding from a gastroduodenal lesion or of unknown origin, occult bleeding, symptomatic gastroduodenal ulcer or 5 or more erosions, upper gastrointestinal obstruction, or perforation.9

In this paper, we consider the potential impact of the combination of rivaroxaban and aspirin on the burden of CV disease in Poland. The specific objectives of this paper are: to 1) review the burden of CV disease in Poland; 2) summarize the new evidence from the COMPASS trial concerning the efficacy and safety of the combination of rivaroxaban and aspirin for long-term prevention of MACE in patients with chronic CAD or PAD; and 3) provide practical information on managing patients with CAD or PAD in Poland in light of these results.

#### Burden of cardiovascular disease in Po-

land CV disease was the cause of an estimated 384 500 years lived with disability and 182 000 deaths in Poland in 2016. 10,11 The introduction of a national public health program in Poland in the 1990s, and a corresponding increase in the number of cardiology units and angioplasty procedures was associated with a decrease in CV disease mortality from 52% of all deaths in 1990, to 46% of all deaths in 2000, and 44% of all deaths in 2010. 12 At the same time, the average life expectancy in Poland has increased from 75.2 years for women and 66.2 years for men in 1990, to 81.8 years for women and 74.0 years for men in 2017. 13 Despite these advances, CV disease remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality

TABLE 1 Cardiovascular-disease related mortality and morbidity rates in European countries<sup>3</sup> (continued from the previous page)

Country		Estimated DALY			
	Latest year	Women	Men	Women	Men
Spain	2013	221.5	292.4	39	48
Sweden	2013	292.3	414.8	50	60
Switzerland	2013	242.0	339.2	37	44
Tajikistan	2004	920.0	1332.5	57	52
FYR Macedonia	2010	1012.5	1228.8	NR	NR
Turkey	2013	458.2	582.7	53	72
Turkmenistan	1998	1300.0	1748.3	104	150
Ukraine	2012	1065.8	1544.9	177	214
United Kingdom	2013	227.9	334.3	39	53
Uzbekistan	2005	1225.1	1492.4	74	89

Mortality is expressed as age-standardized mortality rate. Estimated DALY per 1000 population data is from 2012.

Abbreviations: DALY, disability adjusted life years; FYR, the former Yugoslav Republic of; NR, not reported

**TABLE 2** Definitions of eligibility criteria from the COMPASS trial<sup>®</sup> (continued on the next page)

#### CAD is defined as

Myocardial infarction within the last 20 years, or

Multivessel coronary disease<sup>a</sup> with symptoms or with history of stable or unstable angina, or

Multivessel percutaneous coronary intervention, or

Multivessel coronary artery bypass graft surgery

#### PAD is defined as

Previous aortofemoral bypass surgery, limb bypass surgery, or percutaneous transluminal angioplasty revascularization of the iliac, or infra-inguinal arteries, or

Previous limb or foot amputation for arterial vascular disease, or

History of intermittent claudication and ≥1 of the following:

- An ankle/arm blood pressure ratio <0.90, or
- Significant peripheral artery stenosis (≥50%) documented by angiography, or duplex ultrasound, or
- Previous carotid revascularization or asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis ≥50% as diagnosed by duplex ultrasound or angiography

#### Inclusion criteria

Willing and able to provide written informed consent

Criteria for CAD and PAD met

Patients with CAD must also meet at least 1 of the following criteria:

- Age ≥65, or
- Age <65 and documented atherosclerosis or revascularization involving at least 2 vascular beds<sup>b</sup> or at least 2 additional risk factors
- Current smoker (within 1 year of randomization)
- Diabetes mellitus
- Renal dysfunction with estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 ml/min
- Heart failure
- Nonlacunar ischemic stroke ≥1 month ago

in Poland and is the leading cause of the number of years of life lost. 14,15 Compared with the country with the highest life expectancy in Europe in 2017, life expectancy for women in Poland was 4.7 years lower (ranked twenty-fifth) and for men was 7.8 years lower (ranked twenty-eight). 13 Reduced life expectancy in Poland has numerous possible mechanisms, including a high prevalence of CV disease risk factors and lack of sufficient focus on early detection of atherosclerotic disease including PAD. Thus, patients with CV disease in Poland could benefit from a new strategy to reduce the risk of MACE, MALE, and mortality. The burden of CV disease in Poland is shown and compared with other European countries in TABLE 1.

Design of the COMPASS trial COMPASS was a multicenter, double-blind, double-dummy, superiority trial that included patients with a history of apparently stable chronic atherosclerotic vascular disease. <sup>16</sup> Patients were eligible if they had a history of CAD and/or PAD. The definitions of CAD and PAD, as well as inclusion and exclusion criteria, are shown in TABLE 2. Eligible patients were randomized to the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and aspirin 100 mg once daily, rivaroxaban 5 mg twice daily (and placebo aspirin), or aspirin 100 mg once daily (and placebo rivaroxaban).

The rivaroxaban doses of 2.5 mg and 5 mg twice daily were chosen based on the results of the ATLAS ACS 2-TIMI 51 trial, in which rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily, compared with placebo, on a background of standard antiplatelet therapy, reduced MACE (9.1% versus 10.7%; hazard ratio [HR], 0.84; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.72–0.97), increased thrombolysis in myocardial infarction major bleeding (1.8% vs 0.6%; HR, 3.46; 95% CI, 2.08–5.77) and reduced mortality (2.9% vs 4.5%; HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.53–0.87) in patients with recent acute coronary syndrome. Rivaroxaban 5 mg twice daily also reduced MACE and increased bleeding, but did not significantly reduce mortality.<sup>7</sup>

The primary outcome of COMPASS was the composite of CV death, stroke, and MI. The secondary outcomes were 1) ischemic stroke, MI, acute limb ischemia (ALI), or death from coronary heart disease; 2) ischemic stroke, MI, ALI, or CV death; 3) death from any cause. MALE was defined as ALI (limb-threatening ischemia which is confirmed by limb hemodynamics or imaging and leads to acute vascular intervention, peripheral artery surgery/reconstruction, or peripheral angioplasty/stent or amputation) or chronic limb ischemia (continuing ischemic limb, foot, or digit pain leading to hospitalization and intervention and not meeting the definition of ALI, or Fontaine stage III or IV at baseline with peripheral intervention during the course of the trial). The main safety

TABLE 2 Definitions eligibility criteria from the COMPASS trial® (continued from the previous page)

#### **Exclusion** criteria

High risk of bleeding

Stroke within 1 month or any history of hemorrhagic or lacunar stroke

Severe heart failure with known ejection fraction <30% or NYHA class III or IV symptoms

Estimated glomerular filtration rate <15 ml/min

Need for dual antiplatelet therapy, other nonaspirin antiplatelet therapy, or oral anticoagulant therapy

Known noncardiovascular disease that is associated with poor prognosis (eg, metastatic cancer) or that increases the risk of an adverse reaction to study interventions

History of hypersensitivity or known contraindication for rivaroxaban, aspirin, pantoprazole, or excipients, if applicable

Systemic treatment with strong inhibitors of both cytochrome P450 CYP3A4 isozyme and p-glycoprotein (eg, systemic azole antimycotics, such as ketoconazole, and HIV-protease inhibitors, such as ritonavir), or strong inducers of CYP3A4, ie, rifampicin, rifabutin, phenobarbital, phenytoin, and carbamazepine

Any known hepatic disease associated with coagulopathy

Patients who are pregnant, breastfeeding, or are of childbearing potential, and sexually active and not practicing an effective method of birth control (eg, surgically sterile, prescription oral contraceptives, contraceptive injections, intrauterine device, double-barrier method, contraceptive patch, male partner sterilization)

Previous assignment to treatment during this study

Concomitant participation in another study with investigational drug

Known contraindication to any study related procedures

An additional exclusion for the pantoprazole randomization is the need for continuous treatment with a proton pump inhibitor

- a Refers to stenosis of ≥50% in 2 or more coronary arteries, confirmed by invasive coronary angiography, or noninvasive imaging or stress studies (eg, exercise or pharmacologic) suggestive of significant ischemia in 2 or more coronary territories; or in 1 coronary territory if at least 1 other territory has been revascularized
- **b** Because CAD involves disease in the coronary vasculature, only one additional vascular bed is required; eg, the aorta, arterial supply to the brain, gastro-intestinal tract, lower limbs, upper limbs, kidneys

Abbreviations: CAD, coronary artery disease; PAD, peripheral artery disease; NYHA, New York Heart

outcome was based on a modification of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis definition of major bleeding, and included fatal bleeding, symptomatic bleeding into a critical organ, bleeding into a surgical site requiring reoperation, and bleeding that led to hospitalization. <sup>16,17</sup> The net clinical benefit outcome was a composite of the primary outcome, fatal bleeding, or symptomatic bleeding into a critical organ.

A total of 27 395 patients from 602 centers in 33 countries, including 518 patients from 9 sites in Poland, were randomized to one of the 3 intervention arms in a 1:1:1 ratio. Prior to randomization, potentially eligible patients had to successfully complete a 30-day run-in phase during which they were adherent to aspirin and rivaroxaban placebo at least 80% of the time.

At baseline, the median age of participants was 68.2 years, 22% were women, 75% had

a history of hypertension, 38%, a history of diabetes, 3.8%, a prior stroke, and 62%, a previous MI. A high proportion of patients enrolled in the trial were receiving other proven secondary prevention therapies. Lipid-lowering agents were used in 90% of patients, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker in 71%,  $\beta$ -blockers in 70%, and diuretics in 29%. The patients included in COMPASS are comparable to those enrolled in prior trials testing alternatives to aspirin for long-term prevention of CV events.

Results of the COMPASS trial The combination of rivaroxaban and aspirin, compared with aspirin, reduced the primary outcome by 24% (absolute risk, 4.1% vs 5.4%; HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.66-0.86; *P* < 0.001) and mortality by 18% (absolute risk, 3.4% vs 4.1%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71–0.96; P = 0.01), as shown in TABLE 3. Each of the components of the primary outcome was reduced, including CV death by 22% (absolute risk, 1.7% vs 2.2%; HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.64-0.96; P = 0.02), stroke by 42% (absolute risk, 0.9% vs 1.6%; HR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.44-0.76; P < 0.001), and MI by 14% (absolute risk, 1.9% vs 2.2%; HR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.70–1.05; P = 0.14). The combination also reduced MALE (HR, 0.54; 95% CI. 0.35-0.84: P = 0.0054). These benefits were achieved at the cost of an increase in major bleeding (absolute risk, 3.1% vs 1.9%; HR, 1.70; 95% CI, 1.40-2.05; P < 0.001) and primarily gastrointestinal bleeding (absolute risk, 1.5% vs 0.7%; HR, 2.15; 95% CI, 1.60-2.89; P < 0.001). There was no significant increase in fatal or critical organ bleeding, and the combination produced a significant net clinical benefit (absolute risk, 4.7% vs 5.9%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.70–0.91; P < 0.001). There was no significant benefit of rivaroxaban alone compared with aspirin.

The effect of the combination of rivaroxaban and aspirin compared with aspirin on the primary outcome was consistent in subgroups defined by age, sex, geographic region, body weight, renal function, and history of CV risk factors. The benefits of rivaroxaban and aspirin were evident in patients who were already treated with lipid lowering, blood pressure lowering, and ACE inhibitor therapy, and in those at low, intermediate, and high risk of subsequent CV events. The magnitude of the benefit from rivaroxaban and aspirin appears to be at least comparable to the benefit from other proven secondary prevention therapies such as lipid lowering, blood pressure lowering, and ACE inhibitor therapy, as shown in TABLE 4.

**Results in the subgroups with coronary or peripheral artery disease** The majority of patients enrolled in COMPASS had CAD (24 824 patients [91%]) and 69% of these patients had

TABLE 3 COMPASS trial results<sup>8,18,19</sup>

Study group		Rivaroxaban + Aspirin, n (%)		Rivaroxaban + aspirin versus aspirin	
		aspirin, n (%)		HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
Overall group <sup>a</sup>					
Efficacy,	CV death, stroke, or MI	379 (4.1)	496 (5.4)	0.76 (0.66-0.86)	<0.001
primary outcome	Ischemic stroke, MI, ALI, or death from CHD	329 (3.6)	450 (4.9)	0.72 (0.63-0.83)	<0.001
	Ischemic stroke, MI, ALI, or CV death	389 (4.3)	516 (5.7)	0.74 (0.65-0.85)	<0.001
	Death from any cause	313 (3.4)	378 (4.1)	0.82 (0.71-0.96)	0.01
Safety	Major bleeding	288 (3.1)	170 (1.9)	1.70 (1.40-2.05)	<0.001
	Fatal bleeding	15 (0.2)	10 (0.1)	1.49 (0.67–3.33)	0.32
	Nonfatal symptomatic ICH	21 (0.2)	19 (0.2)	1.10 (0.59–2.04)	0.77
	Nonfatal, non-ICH, symptomatic bleeding to critical organ	42 (0.5)	29 (0.3)	1.43 (0.89–2.29)	0.14
	Minor bleeding	838 (9.2)	503 (5.5)	1.70 (1.52–1.90)	<0.001
NCB	CV death, stroke, MI, fatal bleeding, or symptomatic bleeding into critical organ	431 (4.7)	534 (5.9)	0.80 (0.70-0.91)	<0.001
CAD group <sup>b</sup>					
Efficacy	Primary outcome: MI, stroke or CV death	347 (4.2)	460 (5.6)	0.74 (0.65-0.86)	<0.0001
	MI, ischemic stroke, CHD death, or ALI	299 (3.6)	411 (5.0)	0.72 (0.62-0.83)	<0.0001
	MI, ischemic stroke, CV death, or ALI	349 (4.2)	470 (5.7)	0.73 (0.64-0.84)	<0.0001
	Death	262 (3.2)	339 (4.1)	0.77 (0.65-0.90)	0.0012
Safety	Major bleeding	263 (3.2)	158 (1.9)	1.66 (1.37–2.03)	<0.0001
	Fatal bleeding	14 (0.2)	9 (0.1)	1.55 (0.67–3.58)	0.30
	Nonfatal symptomatic ICH	19 (0.2)	19 (0.2)	0.99 (0.52–1.87)	0.98
	Nonfatal, non-ICH, symptomatic bleeding into critical organ	36 (0.4)	25 (0.3)	1.42 (0.85–2.36)	0.18
NCB	CV death, stroke, MI, fatal bleeding or symptomatic bleeding into a critical organ	392 (4.7)	494 (6.0)	0.78 (0.69–0.90)	0.0003
PAD group <sup>c</sup>					
Efficacy	Primary outcome: CV death, stroke, MI	126 (5.0)	174 (6.9)	0.72 (0.57-0.90)	0.0047
	CHD death, MI, ischemic stroke, ALI	115 (4.6)	169 (6.7)	0.68 (0.53-0.86)	0.0011
	CV death, MI, ischemic stroke, ALI	142 (5.7)	198 (7.9)	0.71 (0.57–0.88)	0.0019
	Death	129 (5.2)	142 (5.7)	0.91 (0.72–1.16)	-
Safety	Major bleeding	77 (3.1)	48 (1.9)	1.61 (1.12–2.31)	0.0089
	Fatal bleeding	4 (0.2)	3 (0.1)	_	_
	Nonfatal symptomatic ICH	4 (0.2)	8 (0.3)	-	_
	Nonfatal, non-ICH symptomatic bleeding into critical organ	13 (0.5)	8 (0.3)	1.55 (0.64–3.74)	0.33
NCB	CV death, MI, stroke, and critical organ or fatal bleeding	140 (5.6)	185 (7.4)	0.75 (0.60-0.94)	0.011
	CV death, MI, stroke or MALE, major	169 (6.8)	234 (9.3)	0.72 (0.59-0.87)	0.0008

a Total number of patients, 27394; patients on rivaroxaban plus aspirin, 9152; patients on aspirin, 9126; b Total number of patients, 24824; patients on rivaroxaban plus aspirin, 8313; patients on aspirin, 8261; c Total number of patients, 7470; patients on rivaroxaban plus aspirin, 2492; patients on aspirin, 2504

Abbreviations: ALI, acute limb ischemia; CHD, coronary heart disease; CI, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; HR, hazard ratio; ICH, intracranial hemorrhage; MALE, major adverse limb event; MI, myocardial infarction; NCB, net clinical benefit; others, see TABLE 2

a history of MI. In patients with CAD, treatment with rivaroxaban and aspirin reduced the risk of the primary outcome by 26%, stroke by 44% and mortality by 23%. Major bleeding increased by 66%, but fatal bleeding, nonfatal symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage, or bleeding into a critical organ were not significantly increased. Consistent benefits of rivaroxaban and aspirin treatment were evident irrespective of whether patients were enrolled less than 2 years, 2 to 5 years, or more than 5 years after previous MI and in those without a history of MI.

A post hoc analysis suggested that the additional risk of bleeding in the rivaroxaban and aspirin group, compared with aspirin, decreased over time, whereas the reduction in the primary outcome was constant.

PAD was present in 7470 patients, of whom 3402 (46%) had intermittent claudication and ankle-brachial index (ABI) of more than 0.90, 2045 (27%) had previous revascularization surgery, 4129 (55%) had symptomatic PAD of the lower extremities, and 1919 (26%) had carotid artery disease. Treatment with rivaroxaban and aspirin, compared with aspirin alone, reduced the primary outcome by 28%, increased major bleeding by 61%, and decreased the prespecified limb outcomes of ALI by 44%, MALE by 46%, all vascular amputations by 60%, major amputation by 70%, and MALE plus major amputation by 46%. The reduction in MACE and MALE was consistent in the subgroups with symptomatic PAD, PAD of the lower extremities, and carotid artery disease.

**Highest-risk patients** The greatest absolute benefit of rivaroxaban and aspirin was seen in patients who were at highest risk of MACE, such as patients with polyvascular disease, and those with diabetes mellitus, heart failure (HF), or chronic kidney disease (CKD).

Polyvascular disease was defined as patients with vascular disease involving more than 1 vascular bed (CAD, PAD, or cerebrovascular disease). The subgroup with polyvascular disease mostly consisted of patients with both CAD and PAD (n = 4906), with a smaller number having both cerebrovascular disease and CAD or both cerebrovascular disease and PAD. Patients with polyvascular disease, compared with those without polyvascular disease, had a higher risk of MACE when treated with aspirin alone (8.5% for 2 major vascular beds and 7.9% for 3 major vascular beds, vs 4.6% for patients without polyvascular disease). Treatment with rivaroxaban and aspirin, compared with aspirin alone, produced a consistent relative risk reduction in MACE, and the absolute risk reduction (ARR) in MACE was higher in patients with polyvascular disease (2.7% for 2 major vascular beds and 3.3% for 3 major vascular beds) than in patients without polyvascular disease (0.8%).

Patients with diabetes mellitus, compared with those without a history of diabetes mellitus, had a high risk of MACE when treated with aspirin alone (6.9% vs 4.6%). Treatment with rivaroxaban and aspirin produced a consistent relative risk reduction in MACE, and the ARR in MACE was higher in patients with diabetes mellitus (1.7%) than in those without diabetes mellitus (1.0%).

HF was defined as the presence of at least 1 sign (dependent edema, increased jugular venous pressure, rales) or 1 symptom (shortness of breath, orthopnea, paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea), plus either 1 positive diagnostic test (B-type natriuretic peptide >500 pg/ml, or N terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide >2000 pg/ml, or chest x-ray showing pulmonary congestion, edema, or pleural effusion) or needing diuretic, nitrate, or inotropic agent to treat the HF. Patients with HF were analyzed because

TABLE 4 Magnitude of the benefits of rivaroxaban and aspirin compared with other proven and widely accepted secondary treatments for cardiovascular prevention

		Proven secondary pr	Rivaroxaban + aspirin on top of proven secondary prevention treatments		
	Lipid lowering by 1 mmol/l <sup>20,21</sup>	BP lowering by 10 mm Hg²²	ACE inhibitors <sup>23,24</sup>	β-Blockers <sup>25</sup>	Rivaroxaban 2.5 mg bid + aspirin <sup>a</sup>
MACE	21%	20%	18%	-	24%
Mortality	9%	13%	14%	20%	18%
Stroke	15%	27%	23%	-	42%
MI	24%	17%	18% <sup>b</sup>	25%	14%ª

Data are presented as relative risk reduction.

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; bid, twice daily; BP, blood pressure; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event; others, see TABLE 3

a Not statistically significant; b Nonfatal myocardial infarction

a subgroup analysis of the ATLAS ACS-2 TIMI 51 trial showed that the benefits of rivaroxaban for reduction of MACE were greater in patients with HF than in those without HF.26 Mild or moderate heart failure was present in approximately 5902 (21.5%) COMPASS patients. Patients with HF had a higher risk of MACE than patients without HF when treated with aspirin alone (7.9% vs 4.7%). In this subgroup, treatment with rivaroxaban and aspirin, compared with aspirin alone, produced a consistent relative risk reduction in MACE, and the ARR in MACE was larger in patients with HF (2.4%) than in patients without HF (1.0%). The net clinical benefit of rivaroxaban and aspirin therapy, compared with aspirin, was an ARR of 2.4% in patients with HF, which equates to a number needed to treat of 42.27

Patients with CKD (defined as stage 3 CKD or higher, estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 ml/min), compared with those without CKD, had a higher risk of MACE when treated with aspirin alone (8.4% vs 4.6%). Treatment with rivaroxaban and aspirin, compared with aspirin alone, produced a consistent relative risk reduction in MACE, and the ARR in MACE was larger in patients with CKD (1.9%) than in those without CKD (1.1%).

The larger ARR in MACE with the combination of rivaroxaban and aspirin, compared with aspirin alone, was evident in patients with polyvascular disease, diabetes mellitus, HF, or CKD because these subgroups have a higher baseline risk of MACE than patients without these features.

Graft failure after coronary artery bypass **graft** Aspirin reduces the risk of graft failure and MACE in patients who have had a coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), but is only modestly effective. Hypothesizing that the addition of rivaroxaban to aspirin reduces the risk of graft failure and MACE, 1448 patients who had CABG surgery within the previous 4 to 14 days were randomized to the COMPASS trial and had CABG graft patency evaluated with a computed tomography angiogram 1 year after surgery. Triple vessel CAD was present in 77.8% of patients, double vessel disease in 17.1%, and more than 70% of patients underwent on-pump surgery. There was no significant risk reduction in the risk of graft occlusion with aspirin and rivaroxaban (9.1% vs 8.0%; odds ratio [OR], 1.13; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.57; P = 0.45) or rivaroxaban (7.8% vs 8.0%; OR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.67 to 1.33; P = 0.75), compared with aspirin. However, the risk reduction of MACE with rivaroxaban and aspirin compared to aspirin (2.4% vs 3.5%; HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.33 to 1.47; P = 0.34) was consistent with the risk reduction seen in non-CABG patients. Fatal bleeding or tamponade within 30 days of randomization was not increased

with rivaroxaban and aspirin treatment compared with aspirin treatment. 28

How do the results of the COMPASS trial add to prior knowledge? The results from the COMPASS trial show, for the first time, that long term antithrombotic therapy with the combination of an antiplatelet and an anticoagulant in patients with CAD and PAD produces significant reductions in both morbidity and mortality rates. These benefits were achieved in apparently stable patients already well treated with proven secondary prevention therapies. Previous trials with combinations of aspirin and clopidogrel, ticagrelor, vorapaxar, or warfarin reduced nonfatal CV events at the cost of increased bleeding but did not reduce mortality. The results of COMPASS redefine the concept of residual thrombotic risk, widening it from a mainly lipid mediated definition to vascular/thrombotic risk and provide a significant tool for further reductions of mortality in stable CAD.29

Approval of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg tablets by regulatory authorities In 2018, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use from the European Medicines Agency, United States Food and Drug Administration, and Health Canada approved the combination of rivaroxaban and aspirin for treatment of patients with stable CAD or PAD.<sup>30-32</sup> The European Medicines Agency decision is automatically valid and binding for the territories of each European Union member state, including Poland.

## Practical implications of COMPASS for countries with high cardiovascular disease bur-

den In countries like Poland, where the CV disease morbidity and mortality are very high, the benefits of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and aspirin treatment for patients and potential cost-effectiveness when taking into account the lifelong risk is likely to be particularly pronounced.<sup>29</sup> While the specific pharmacoeconomic analysis for Poland is awaited, the results in high risk patients suggest that the COMPASS treatment strategy may be particularly effective in Poland for patients with stable CAD or PAD. Patients with chronic vascular disease who derive the greatest benefit from the combination of rivaroxaban and aspirin treatment are those with polyvascular disease, mild to moderate HF, CKD, or diabetes mellitus. Such patients had the highest event rates in COMPASS and therefore gain the greatest absolute benefit from the combination of rivaroxaban and aspirin treatment.

A key target population for the COMPASS treatment regimen should include patients with concomitant CAD and PAD who are at particularly high risk. Patients with polyvascular

disease have almost a doubled risk of MACE when compared to patients with disease in a single vascular bed and achieve the greatest ARR in MACE with combination therapy.<sup>33</sup> While a second vascular bed can include carotid atherosclerosis, the results in patients with PAD of the lower extremity are particularly relevant. PAD is highly prevalent in Poland with 30 000 to 40 000 new diagnoses of PAD every year according to Polish National Health Fund data.<sup>34</sup> The prevalence of PAD is 3% to 10% in the general population and up to 20% in patients above 65 years of age, whereas asymptomatic PAD is 3to 4-fold more common. While PAD can be relatively easily diagnosed (for example by means of ABI), this is not routinely performed in patients with atherosclerosis. The results of the COMPASS trial support the noninvasive evaluation of atherosclerosis in other vascular beds, especially PAD of the lower limbs. The lack of effective preventive measures and therapeutic approaches in a pre-COMPASS era has been identified as a primary cause of low popularity of ABI testing in patients with CAD. Late diagnosis of PAD is also associated with limited access to vascular medicine specialists and vascular surgeons in Poland. Many patients reach these reference centers when severe ischemic symptoms have already developed, leading to a high rate of amputation (ranging between 9000–11000/y) and MALE in PAD patients in Poland. In the light of these facts, the introduction of combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily with aspirin provides an extremely valuable therapeutic option that can be introduced by general physicians and internists.

In whom should the COMPASS treatment be avoided? Rivaroxaban and aspirin treatment should be avoided in patients who cannot tolerate or have a contraindication to aspirin or rivaroxaban, have an unacceptable bleeding risk, or who require long-term dual antiplatelet therapy. Bleeding in patients who take antithrombotic therapy is associated with an increased risk of subsequent CV events. 35

**Aspirin dose in COMPASS regimen** An issue raised in the discussions of COMPASS trial is that aspirin 100 mg once daily was used in combination with rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily. In Poland the typical dose of aspirin used is 75 mg once daily. Considering the pharmacokinetics of aspirin and the results of previous randomized trials evaluating different doses of aspirin, a 75-mg dose of aspirin can be used instead of 100 mg.<sup>36</sup>

**Rivaroxaban: management of bleeding** Experience in the COMPASS trial indicates that bleeding that occurs in patients treated with the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice

daily and aspirin can be readily managed. Most of the excess bleeds were gastrointestinal, with no significant increase in fatal bleeding, intracranial bleeding, or symptomatic bleeding into a critical organ. Most gastrointestinal bleeds can be managed by interrupting rivaroxaban and aspirin and supportive measures until hemostasis is achieved. Gastrointestinal or genitourinary bleeding that develops in patients who are treated with rivaroxaban and aspirin should prompt investigations for underling cancer that may have been unmasked by the treatment.

Rivaroxaban: perioperative interruption The time for offset of the anticoagulant effects of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily is likely shorter than for rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily (because at lower doses rivaroxaban have a shorter half-life) and peak drug levels are much lower. For patients who take rivaroxaban 20 mg daily and have creatinine clearance of 30 ml/min or higher, the last dose of rivaroxaban should be taken no less than 48 hours prior to surgery which has a low bleeding risk, or no less than 72 hours prior surgery which has a high bleeding risk. For patients with creatinine clearance 15 to 29.9 ml/min, the last dose of rivaroxaban should be taken no less than 72 hours prior to surgery which has a low bleeding risk, or no less than 96 hours prior to surgery which has a high bleeding risk.<sup>37</sup> A similar approach is reasonable for patients treated with the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and aspirin, although it may be overly conservative, as taking the last dose of rivaroxaban 24 hours prior to most types of surgery is likely to provide enough time for the effect of low doses of rivaroxaban to offset, which was tested in COMPASS.

**Conclusion** The COMPASS trial supports the efficacy of low dose rivaroxaban and aspirin for secondary prevention in patients with sable CAD or PAD. The reduction in MACE, with consistent reductions in the individual components of CV death, stroke, and MI, as well as reductions in MALE and overall mortality have not been previously demonstrated with long-term antithrombotic therapies. Despite an increase in major bleeding with rivaroxaban and aspirin treatment, there is no significant increase in fatal or intracranial bleeding and the net clinical benefit is in favor of rivaroxaban and aspirin treatment. The benefit of rivaroxaban and aspirin treatment appears to be of at least similar magnitude to other proven secondary prevention therapies. The patients with CAD or PAD who will benefit most from this new strategy are those with polyvascular disease, mild or moderate HF, diabetes, or CKD. Clinicians in Poland now have rivaroxaban 2.5 mg tablets available to use in combination with aspirin. This new

strategy has the potential to substantially reduce the risk of MACE, MALE, and mortality in Poland

#### **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST None declared.

**OPEN ACCESS** This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), allowing third parties to download articles and share them with others, provided the original work is properly cited, not changed in any way, distributed under the same license, and used for noncommercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at kardiologiapolska@ptkardio.pl.

**HOW TO CITE** Kruger PC, Guzik TJ, Eikelboom JW. How can the results of the COMPASS trial benefit patients with coronary or peripheral artery disease in Poland? Kardiol Pol. 2019; 77: 661-669. doi:10.33963/KP.14855

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Laslett LJ, Alagona P, Clark BA, et al. The worldwide environment of cardiovascular disease: prevalence, diagnosis, therapy, and policy issues: a report from the American College of Cardiology. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012; 60: 51-649
- 2 Timmis A, Townsend N, Gale C, et al; ESC Scientific Document Group. European Society of Cardiology: Cardiovascular Disease Statistics 2017. Eur Heart J. 2018; 39: 508-579
- **3** Townsend N, Wilson L, Bhatnagar P, et al. Cardiovascular disease in Europe: epidemiological update 2016. Eur Heart J. 2016; 37: 3232-3245.
- 4 Anththrombotic Trialists' Collaboration; Baigent C, Blackwell L, Collins R, et al. Aspirin in the primary and secondary prevention of vascular disease: collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised trials. Lancet. 2009; 373: 1849-1860.
- 5 Reaume KT, Regal RE, Dorsch MP. Indications for dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel: evidence-based recommendations for use. Ann Pharmacother. 2008; 42: 550-557.
- 6 Andreotti F, Testa L, Biondi-Zoccai GG, Crea F. Aspirin plus warfarin compared to aspirin alone after acute coronary syndromes: an updated and comprehensive meta-analysis of 25,307 patients. Eur Heart J. 2006; 27: 519-526.
- 7 Mega JL, Braunwald E, Wiviott SD, et al; ATLAS ACS 2-TIMI 51 Investigators. Rivaroxaban in patients with a recent acute coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2012; 366: 9-19.
- 8 Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Bosch J, et al; COMPASS Investigators. Rivaroxaban with or without Aspirin in Stable Cardiovascular Disease. N Engl J Med. 2017; 377: 1319-1330.
- 9 Moayyedi P, Eikelboom JW, Bosch J, et al; COMPASS Investigators. Pantoprazole to Prevent Gastroduodenal Events in Patients Receiving Rivaroxaban and/or Aspirin in a Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Trial. Gastroenterology. 2019. Pii: S0016-5085(19)36764-2. [Epub ahead of print]
- 10 World Health Organization. Health statistics and information systems: disease burden and mortality estimates: disease burden. https://www.who.int/healthinfo/global\_burden\_disease/estimates/en/index1.html. Accessed May 1, 2019.
- 11 World Health Organization. Health statistics and information systems: disease burden and mortality estimates: cause specific mortality. https://www.who.int/healthinfo/global\_burden\_disease/estimates/en/. Accessed May 1, 2019.
- 12 Kobza J, Geremek M. Explaining the decrease in deaths from cardiovascular disease in poland. the top-down risk assessment approach, from policy to health impact. Postepy Hig Med Dosw (Online). 2016; 70: 295-304.
- 13 Polish Central Statistical Office. https://stat.gov.pl/en/topics/population/life-expectancy. Accessed January 25, 2019.
- **14** World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases country profiles 2018. https://www.who.int/nmh/countries/pol\_en.pdf?ua=1. Accessed January 2, 2019.
- 15 Maniecka-Bryla I, Bryla M, Bryla P, Pikala M. The burden of premature mortality in Poland analysed with the use of standard expected years of life lost. BMC Public Health. 2015; 15: 101.
- **16** Bosch J, Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, et al. Rationale, design and baseline characteristics of participants in the Cardiovascular Outcomes for People Using Anticoagulation Strategies (COMPASS) Trial. Can J Cardiol. 2017; 33: 1027-1035.
- 17 Schulman S, Kearon C; Subcommittee on Control of Anticoagulation of the Scientific and Standardization Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis. Definition of major bleeding in clinical investigations of antihemostatic medicinal products in non-surgical patients. J Thromb Haemost. 2005; 3: 692-694.
- 18 Anand SS, Bosch J, Eikelboom JW, et al; COMPASS Investigators. Rivaroxaban with or without aspirin in patients with stable peripheral or carotid artery disease: an international, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2018; 391: 219-229.
- **19** Connolly SJ, Eikelboom JW, Bosch J, et al; COMPASS Investigators. Rivaroxaban with or without aspirin in patients with stable coronary artery disease:

- an international, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2018; 301-205-218
- 20 Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaboration; Fulcher J, O'Connell R, Voysey M, et al. Efficacy and safety of LDL-lowering therapy among men and women: meta-analysis of individual data from 174,000 participants in 27 randomised trials. Lancet. 2015; 385: 1397-1405.
- **21** Collins R, Reith C, Emberson J, et al. Interpretation of the evidence for the efficacy and safety of statin therapy. Lancet. 2016; 388: 2532-2561.
- 22 Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, et al. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2016; 387: 957-967.
- 23 Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, et al; Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to Prevent Recurrent Events Trial Investigators. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345: 494-502.
- 24 Dagenais GR, Pogue J, Fox K, et al. Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors in stable vascular disease without left ventricular systolic dysfunction or heart failure: a combined analysis of three trials. Lancet. 2006; 368: 581-588.
- 25 Yusuf S, Peto R, Lewis J, et al. Beta blockade during and after myocardial infarction: an overview of the randomized trials. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985; 27:
- 26 Korjian S, Braunwald E, Daaboul Y, et al. Usefulness of rivaroxaban for secondary prevention of acute coronary syndrome in patients with history of congestive heart failure (from the ATLAS-ACS-2 TIMI-51 Trial). Am I Cardiol. 2018: 122: 1896-1901.
- **27** Branch K, et al. Rivaroxaban plus aspirin in patients with and without heart failure and chronic coronary or peripheral artery disease: the COMPASS trial. Presented at: European Society of Cardiology Heart Failure 2018 and World Congress on Heart Failure; May 26-29, 2018; Vienna, Austria. Presentation 1591.
- 28 Lamy A, Eikelboom J, Sheth T, et al. Rivaroxaban, aspirin, or both to prevent early coronary bypass graft occlusion: the COMPASS-CABG Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019; 73: 121-130.
- 29 Patrono C. Fighting residual cardiovascular risk in stable patients with atherosclerotic vascular disease: COMPASS in context. Cardiovasc Res. 2017; 113: e61-e63.
- 30 Post-authorisation summary of positive opinion for Xarelto. European Medicines Agency. https://www.ema.europa.eu/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/xarelto. Accessed October 24, 2018.
- 31 US FDA Approves xarelto (rivaroxaban) to reduce the risk of MACE in patients with chronic CAD or PAD. https://www.janssen.com/us-fda-approves-xareltor-rivaroxaban-reduce-risk-major-cardiovascular-events-patients-chronic. Accessed October 24. 2018.
- **32** Rivaroxaban product information. Bayer. https://bayer.ca/omr/online/xarelto-pm-en.pdf. Accessed December 1, 2018.
- **33** Coppens M, Weitz JI, Eikelboom JW. Synergy of dual pathway inhibition in chronic cardiovascular disease. Circ Res. 2019; 124: 416-425.
- 34 Polish National Health Fund. https://statystyki.nfz.gov.pl/. Accessed May 14, 2019.
- 35 Steg PG, Huber K, Andreotti F, et al. Bleeding in acute coronary syndromes and percutaneous coronary interventions: position paper by the Working Group on Thrombosis of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2011; 32: 1854.1864
- 36 Bode-Boger SM, Boger RH, Schubert M, Frolich JC. Effects of very low dose and enteric-coated acetylsalicylic acid on prostacyclin and thromboxane formation and on bleeding time in healthy subjects. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1998; 54: 707-714.
- 37 Spyropoulos AC, Douketis JD. How I treat anticoagulated patients undergoing an elective procedure or surgery. Blood. 2012; 120: 2954-2962.