

Kardiologia Polska

The Official Peer-reviewed Journal of the Polish Cardiac Society since 1957

Online first

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon

ISSN 0022-9032 e-ISSN 1897-4279

Elite HRV smartphone application using Polar H10 is valid for short-term heart rate variability analysis in pediatric cardiac patients

Authors: Iwona Walecka, Jakub S Gasior, Piotr Wieniawski, Bożena Werner

Article type: Short communication

Received: July 4, 2024

Accepted: October 29, 2024

Early publication date: November 12, 2024

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

Elite HRV smartphone application using Polar H10 is valid for short-term heart rate

variability analysis in pediatric cardiac patients

Short title: Elite HRV app validity for HRV analysis in pediatric cardiac patient

Iwona Walecka¹, Jakub S Gasior², Piotr Wieniawski², Bożena Werner²

¹Department of Pediatric Cardiology and General Pediatrics, Doctoral School Medical

University of Warsaw, Warszawa, Poland

²Department of Pediatric Cardiology and General Pediatrics, Medical University of Warsaw,

Warszawa, Poland

Correspondence to:

Prof. Bożena Werner, MD, PhD,

Department of Pediatric Cardiology and General Pediatrics,

Medical University of Warsaw,

Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02–091 Warszawa, Poland,

e-mail: bozena.werner@wum.edu.pl

INTRODUCTION

Patients with congenital heart disease/defects (CHDs) present cardiac autonomic dysfunction

[1]. Heart rate variability (HRV) is a non-invasive method to evaluate cardiac autonomic

responsiveness [2]. Nevertheless, pediatric cardiologists have rarely considered HRV analysis

in the setting of CHDs [3]. The gold standard for obtaining RR intervals (RRi) for HRV is

electrocardiography (ECG) [2]. Over last decades, devices like heart rate monitors (HRM) or

mobile applications have been used for measuring HRV [4–6]. To use a new device in clinics,

it must be validated against the gold standard. HRM with smartphone application/smartwatch

have been validated against ECG for measuring HRV among healthy and obese children [6–8].

The objective of this study was to assess the validity of short-term RRi obtained using Elite

HRV with Polar H10 for analysis of HRV against ECG in pediatric cardiac patients.

METHODS

The inclusion criteria were: age 3-17 years, lack of infection or acute cardiac condition or mental disability. The study was approved by the University Bioethical Committee (KB/24/2020). All parents/caregivers and patients older than 16 years old gave written consent for their participation in the study. In a hospital setting, RRi were recorded simultaneously (rest, supine) using a portable PC with an integrated ECG (Custo cardio 100 PC ECG; Custo med GmbH, Ottobrunn, Germany, sampling frequency 1000 Hz) and the Polar H10 (RR mode sampling frequency 1000 Hz) with Elite HRV according to methodological recommendations for short-term recordings [9] between January 2022 and April 2022. Breathing pattern was video-recoded. Respiratory rate was determined from the counted number of video-observed respiratory cycles. Short-term (5 min) RRi series were checked to identify and correct (interpolation of degree zero) aberrant beats and then imported into Kubios HRV Standard 3.5 software to calculate time-, frequency-domain and nonlinear HRV parameters (Table 1). Smoothness priors based detrending approach was applied (Lambda value = 500), RRi series were transformed to evenly sampled time series (4-Hz resampling rate). The detrended and interpolated RRi series were used to compute HRV spectra (fast-Fourier-transform, Welch's periodogram; 300 s window width without overlap). Low frequency (0.04–0.15 Hz) and high frequency (0.15–0.50 Hz) bands were distinguished based on respiratory rate between 9 and 32 breaths per min.

Statistical analysis

Bland-Altman plot with limits of agreement (LoA) and intraclass correlation coefficient were used. An agreement sufficient for the interchangeable use of two methods is suggested when a lower 95% confidence interval >0.75 [10]. The smallest worthwhile change (SWC) was calculated by multiplying the between-subject ECG standard deviation values by 0.2. Two methods are considered in agreement if the LoA do not exceed the SWC. After the normality assumptions were verified (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), Student's t-test for paired samples was employed to compare changes between parameters calculated based on RRi from 2 devices. The threshold probability of P < 0.05 was used as the level of significance for all tests. Statistical analyses were performed using PQStat Software (v.1.8.4.138, PQStat Software, Poznan, Poland).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of 23 patients (17 without confirmed diagnosis, 6 due to non-stationary RRi signal) out of 92 were excluded. The results of 69 (31 girls) pediatric cardiac patients (n = 40 CHD:

tricuspid valve anomaly n=2, mitral regurgitation n=2, idiopathic dilatation of pulmonary trunk n=2, pulmonary stenosis n=1, ventricular septal defect n=6, atrial septal defect n=10, atrioventricular septal defect n=4, aortic coarctation n=7, aortic valve stenosis n=3, patent ductus arteriosus n=2, tetralogy of Fallot n=1; n=21 cardiac arrhythmia; n=8 cardiomyopathy/myocarditis were analyzed. Patients had a history of the comorbidities: perinatal diseases n=46, pregnancy complications n=14, digestive system diseases n=7, endocrine diseases n=5. The median (range) age, stature, body mass and body mass index were: 12 years (3–17), 155 cm (100–198), 51 kg (15–104) and 19 kg/m² (11–45). There were 111 technical artifacts recorded using ECG and 130 using Polar H10 with Elite HRV application which gave an error rate of 0.3% in ECG, 0.4% for Elite HRV.

There were no significant differences between parameters calculated based on RRi from both devices in the whole group (*P* >0.05) nor in the diagnosis subgroups, i.e. CHD, cardiac arrhythmia and cardiomyopathy/myocarditis (*P*-value between 0.19 and 0.95). Table 1 presented results of agreement statistics for analyzed HRV parameters. The 95% confidence interval of intraclass correlation coefficient ranged between 0.95 and 1.00 for all parameters. The SWC was for: mRR: 29 ms, HR: 3 bpm, SDNN: 4.8 ms, RMSSD: 5.9 ms, pNN50: 4.1%, low frequency: 307 ms², high frequency: 288 ms², SD1: 4.2, SD2: 5.5, SD2/SD1: 0.09, ApEn: 0.02, SampEn: 0.05, DFAα1: 0.05. For SD2/SD1, ApEn, SampEn and DFAα1 LoA exceeded the defined SWC.

Short-term HRV parameters calculated based on preprocessed RRi recorded using Polar H10 with Elite HRV in resting supine presented sufficient agreement with gold standard ECG in pediatric cardiac patients. Results presented here are in line with those presented for healthy children aged 8–11 years for 10 min HRV analysis obtained using the Polar T61TM HRM with Smartwatch Polar S810 [7] also with those for adolescents with obesity for HRV from the Polar RS800cx [8]. Interestingly, Polar H10 sensor was used as gold standard to assess validation of finger photoplethysmography for measuring HRV in healthy children aged 3 to 5 years [6]. Worse results of agreement statistics for non-linear parameters may suggest that HRV indices calculated based on counting statistics (e.g. HR asymmetry) without sophisticated preprocessing procedures (like detrending) may be biased when using RRi from HRM.

Elite HRV is commonly accessible on smartphone operating systems which in connection with HRM provides reliable raw RRi for calculation of linear and selected non-linear HRV indices. There are now more adults living with a history of CHD than there are children [11]. Valid HRV assessment may be helpful to detect arrhythmias in children [12] and, importantly, in adults as rhythm disorders are common in adults living with a history of CHD

and are accompanied by significant morbidity, mortality, and decreased quality of life [13]. Differences in HRV parameters between the measurements are associated with the changes in respiratory rate [14]. In presented study, respiratory rate was video-recorded. Pneumonitors — portable devices recently validated in children with heart disease [15] are better solution as they record breathing patterns using impedance pneumography along with single-lead ECG, subject motion, and/or pulse oximetry (saturation, pulse wave) and can be used during e.g. physical activity. This examination was performed in the rest condition. It is encouraged to verify HRM validity for pediatric cardiac patients also during the activity and for other family of nonlinear parameters.

Article information

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding: None.

Open access: This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, which allows downloading and sharing articles with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at polishheartjournal@ptkardio.pl

REFERENCES

- Aletti F, Ferrario M, de Jesus TB, et al. Heart rate variability in children with cyanotic and acyanotic congenital heart disease: analysis by spectral and non linear indices. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2012; 2012: 4189–4192, doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2012.6346890, indexed in Pubmed: 23366851.
- Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Heart rate variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. Circulation. 1996; 93(5): 1043–1065, doi: 10.1161/01.cir.93.5.1043.
- 3. Boris JR. Heart rate variability in congenital heart disease: looking and learning. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2021; 61(1): 90–91, doi: 10.1093/ejcts/ezab269, indexed in Pubmed: 34117496.
- 4. Barbosa MP, da Silva NT, de Azevedo FM, et al. Comparison of Polar® RS800G3TM heart rate monitor with Polar® S810iTM and electrocardiogram to obtain the series of

- RR intervals and analysis of heart rate variability at rest. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging. 2016; 36(2): 112–117, doi: 10.1111/cpf.12203, indexed in Pubmed: 25348547.
- 5. Weippert M, Kumar M, Kreuzfeld S, et al. Comparison of three mobile devices for measuring R-R intervals and heart rate variability: Polar S810i, Suunto t6 and an ambulatory ECG system. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2010; 109(4): 779–786, doi: 10.1007/s00421-010-1415-9, indexed in Pubmed: 20225081.
- 6. Speer KE, Semple S, Naumovski N, et al. Measuring heart rate variability using commercially available devices in healthy children: a validity and reliability study. Eur J Investig Health Psychol Educ. 2020; 10(1): 390–404, doi: 10.3390/ejihpe10010029, indexed in Pubmed: 34542492.
- 7. Gamelin FX, Baquet G, Berthoin S, et al. Validity of the polar S810 to measure R-R intervals in children. Int J Sports Med. 2008; 29(2): 134–138, doi: 10.1055/s-2007-964995, indexed in Pubmed: 17614016.
- 8. Vasconcellos FVA, Seabra A, Cunha FA, et al. Heart rate variability assessment with fingertip photoplethysmography and polar RS800cx as compared with electrocardiography in obese adolescents. Blood Press Monit. 2015; 20(6): 351–360, doi: 10.1097/MBP.000000000000143, indexed in Pubmed: 26267593.
- 9. Plaza-Florido A, Sacha J, Alcantara J. Short-term heart rate variability in resting conditions: methodological considerations. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(7-8): 745–755, doi: 10.33963/KP.a2021.0054, indexed in Pubmed: 34227676.
- 10. Lee J, Koh D, Ong CN. Statistical evaluation of agreement between two methods for measuring a quantitative variable. Comput Biol Med. 1989; 19(1): 61–70, doi: 10.1016/0010-4825(89)90036-x, indexed in Pubmed: 2917462.
- 11. Gilboa SM, Devine OJ, Kucik JE, et al. Congenital heart defects in the united states: estimating the magnitude of the affected population in 2010. Circulation. 2016; 134(2): 101–109, doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.019307, indexed in Pubmed: 27382105.
- 12. Rydberg A, Karlsson M, Hörnsten R, et al. Can analysis of heart rate variability predict arrhythmia in children with Fontan circulation? Pediatr Cardiol. 2008; 29(1): 50–55, doi: 10.1007/s00246-007-9088-9, indexed in Pubmed: 17891514.
- 13. Knijnik L, Lloyd MS. Treatment of arrhythmia disorders in the adult with congenital heart disease: A lesion-specific review. Kardiol Pol. 2022; 80(11): 1072–1083, doi: 10.33963/KP.a2022.0235, indexed in Pubmed: 36226762.

- 14. Gasior JS, Sacha J, Jeleń PJ, et al. Heart rate and respiratory rate influence on heart rate variability repeatability: effects of the correction for the prevailing heart rate. Front Physiol. 2016; 7: 356, doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00356, indexed in Pubmed: 27588006.
- 15. Gąsior JS, Młyńczak M, Rosoł M, et al. Validity of the Pneumonitor for RR intervals acquisition for short-term heart rate variability analysis extended with respiratory data in pediatric cardiac patients. Kardiol Pol. 2023; 81(5): 491–500, doi: 10.33963/KP.a2023.0070, indexed in Pubmed: 36929303.

Table 1. Results of agreement of short-term parameters obtained from ECG and Elite HRV App in the resting position

Parameters	ECG	EliteHRV	Bias (95% CI)	LoA	95% CI lower; upper
		App + H10			LoA
mRR, ms	789.5 (143.8)	786.9 (143.5)	2.6 (2.3–2.9)	0.1; 5.2	-0.5-0.6; 4.7-5.7
HR, bpm			-0.3 (-0.4 to -		
	79 (15)	79 (15)	0.2)	-1.2; 0.6	-1.4 to -1.0; 0.4-0.8
SDNN, ms	48.4 (23.6)	48.0 (23.5)	0.4 (0.1–0.6)	-1.7; 2.5	-2.1 to -1.3; 2.0-2.9
RMSSD, ms	53.7 (29.5)	52.9 (29.4)	0.8 (0.3–1.3)	-3.4; 4.9	-4.3 to -2.5; 4.1-5.8
pNN50, %	27.5 (20.2)	26.8 (20.3)	0.6 (0.3–0.9)	-2.2; 3.4	-2.7 to -1.6; 2.8-3.9
LF, ms ²	1052 (1525)	1038 (1499)	14 (7–22)	-44; 72	−56 to −32; 60−85
HF, ms ²	1449 (1429)	1425 (1399)	24 (5–43)	-128; 176	-160 to -96; 144-208
SD1, ms	38.0 (20.9)	37.5 (20.8)	0.6 (0.2–0.9)	-2.4; 3.5	-3.0 to -1.8; 2.9-4.1
SD2, ms	56.3 (27.2)	56.0 (27.1)	0.3 (0.1–0.6)	-1.7; 2.4	-2.2 to -1.3; 1.9-2.8
SD2/SD1					-0.26 to -0.17; 0.13-
	1.62 (0.45)	1.65 (0.47)	-0.02 (-0.05-0.0)	-0.22; 0.17	0.21
ApEn					-0.05 to -0.03; 0.03-
	1.16 (0.10)	1.16 (0.10)	0.0 (-0.0-0.01)	-0.04; 0.04	0.05
SampEn					-0.12 to -0.07; 0.10-
	1.70 (0.22)	1.69 (0.21)	0.01 (0.0-0.03)	-0.09; 0.12	0.15
DFAa1					-0.12 to -0.08; 0.08-
	0.87 (0.24)	0.87 (0.24)	0.00 (-0.01-0.01)	-0.10; 0.10	0.12

Abbreviations: ApEn, approximate entropy; CI, confidence interval; DFAα1, detrended short-term fluctuations; ECG, electrocardiogram; HF, high-frequency; HR, heart rate; HRV, heart rate variability; LF, low-frequency; LoA, limits of agreement; mRR, mean RR interval; pNN50, percentage of RR intervals differing >50 ms from the preceding one; RMSSD, root mean square of successive R-R interval differences; SampEn, Sample Entropy; SD1, in Poincaré plot short term variability, the standard deviation perpendicular to the line-of-identity; SD2, in Poincaré plot long term variability, the standard deviation along the line-of-identity; SD2/SD1, ratio between SD2 and SD1; SDNN, standard deviation of RR intervals