Single-chamber leadless pacemaker Aveir VR implantation: Pioneer experience in Poland. Insights and preliminary report from a multicenter national registry

Maciej Sterliński¹, Krzysztof Boczar², Andrzej Ząbek^{3, 4}, Michał Lewandowski⁵, Paweł Syska⁵, Andrzej Przybylski^{6, 7}, Janusz Romanek^{6, 7}, Artur Oręziak¹, Joanna Zakrzewska-Koperska¹, Przemysław Mitkowski⁸, Lidia Chmielewska-Michalak⁸, Marcin Michalak⁹, Marcin Grabowski⁹, Radosław Lenarczyk^{10, 11}, Zbigniew Kalarus¹¹, Adam Sokal^{12, 13}, Łukasz Szumowski¹, Mariusz Gąsior¹⁴, Mateusz Tajstra¹⁴

¹1st Department of Arrhythmia, National Institute of Cardiology, Warszawa, Poland

⁴Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland

⁶Clinical Department of Cardiology with the Acute Coronary Syndromes Subdivision, Clinical Provincial Hospital No. 2, Rzeszów, Poland

⁷Faculty of Medicine, University of Rzeszow, Rzeszów, Poland

⁸1st Department of Cardiology, Poznan University of Medical Science, University Hospital in Poznan, Poznań, Poland

⁹1st Chair and Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warszawa, Poland

¹⁰Department of Cardiology, Congenital Heart Diseases and Electrotherapy, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland ¹¹Silesian Center for Heart Diseases in Zabrze, Zabrze, Poland

¹²Department of Cardiology and Angiology, Silesian Centre for Heart Diseases, Zabrze, Poland

¹³ Department of Dietetics, Faculty of Public Health in Bytom, Medical University of Silesia, Bytom, Poland

¹⁴3rd Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Silesian Center for Heart Diseases, Zabrze, Poland

Correspondence to:

Mateusz Tajstra, MD, PhD, 3rd Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Silesian Center for Heart Diseases, Curie-Skłodowskiej 9, 41–800 Zabrze, Poland, phone: +48 32 373 36 19, e-mail: mateusztajstra@wp.pl

Copyright by the Author(s), 2024 DOI: 10.33963/v.phj.101020

Received:

March 28, 2023

Accepted: June 04, 2024

Early publication date: June 7, 2024

INTRODUCTION

Conventional pacemakers (PMs) consist of a surgically implanted pulse generator connected with transvenous leads. Notwithstanding high effectiveness, technological advances, and knowledge about optimal implantation routines, conventional PMs are strongly and continually affected by lead- and pocket-related complications [1]. Leadless pacemakers (LPM) are designed to prevent the abovementioned complications of transvenous PMs [2, 3].

The Aveir VR (Abbott, Sylmar, CA, US) pacemaker was approved by the Food and Drug Administration in April 2022 [4]. The Aveir VR LP is 38 mm long, weighs less than 3 grams, and is delivered by a 25-French inner (27 French outer) diameter sheath. It utilizes an active fixation mechanism, which allows for mapping R wave sensing, impedance, and initial pacing capture threshold before fixation, which facilitates early identification of the need for repositioning and prevents complications [5].

The first implantation of LPM Aveir in Poland was performed on September 20, 2023. Moreover, reimbursement for LPMs has recently been introduced in Poland, which leads to the belief that the number of LPM implantations will increase. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the initial experiences of nearly thirty procedures, particularly, the safety and success rates of Aveir VR implantations.

METHODS

We evaluated the LPM single-chamber system (Aveir VR, Abbott Medical) in a retrospective, national, multicenter, investigator-driven registry. All consecutive patients who underwent LPM Aveir implantation were enrolled in the registry. No sponsorship from the industry was involved. The implantation technique was standard [5]. The procedures were performed *via* the right femoral vein using local anesthesia. The implantation target was the interventricular septum. Once a position with good electrical measurements was achieved, the device was fixated to the myocardium by

²Department of Electrocardiology, The John Paul II Hospital, Kraków, Poland

³Department of Electrocardiology, John Paul II Hospital, Kraków, Poland

⁵2nd Department of Cardiac Arrhythmia, National Institute of Cardiology, Warszawa, Poland.

slow clockwise rotation of the delivery catheter grip with 1–1.25 clockwise rotations as evaluated by the radiopaque chevron maker on the device's body. A post-procedural threshold <2V at 0.4 ms was considered optimal. A decision to reposition was at the implanter's discretion, after considering the electrical measurement and fixation security demonstrated in the deflection test. After the device was released, assessment of electrical parameters was repeated. The study was approved by an appropriate institutional review board and ethics committee.

Statistical analysis

The categorical variables were presented as absolute numbers and percentages. Numerical variables, after assessment for normality with the use of the Shapiro–Wilk test, were presented either as medians and quartiles 1 and 3 for non-normal distribution or means and standard deviations for normally distributed variables. STATISTICA 13 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, US) software was used for all calculations, and a two-sided P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study included 28 consecutive patients who underwent Aveir VR implantation procedure at tertiary cardiology centers in Kraków, Poznań, Rzeszów, Warszawa, and Zabrze between September 2023 and February 2023 (Supplementary material, *Figure S1*). The cohort had a median age of 75, and 42.9% were females. The most common indication for pacing was the third-degree atrioventricular block in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation (AF) (64.3%), whereas the main reason for choosing LPM was the high risk of PM infection (35.7%). Analysis of risk-benefits justified the use of LPM in 4 patients (14.3%) with the leading diagnosis of sick sinus syndrome.

The median procedural time was 55 minutes. All procedures were successful, and acceptable electrical parameters were observed with a mean post-procedural threshold of 0.75 V/0.4 ms. No serious adverse events, including device dislodgment, were recorded. One patient experienced a local hematoma and another patient developed a post-procedural arteriovenous fistula treated conservatively. It should be emphasized, that during the Aveir implantation procedure, large bore venous access is needed. Therefore, the operator should be familiar with anatomical variations, equipment requirements, and potential complications and their prevention, including the routine ultrasound guidance used for venous access [6]. Details about baseline characteristics, indications for PM and LPM, procedure findings, and electrical parameters are shown in Table 1 and Supplementary material, Figure S2.

Since the introduction of cardiac PMs, efforts towards their improvement and boosting the efficacy, durability, and safety of pacing therapy have been undertaken. Leadless pacemakers are utterly self-contained devices to pace the endocardium to reduce many short and long-term

Table 1. Baseline clinical and procedural characteristics

Variable	Overall popula- tion (n = 28)
Age, years, median (IQR)	75 (70–80)
Female gender, n (%)	12 (42.9)
History of any ablation, n (%)	1 (3.6)
History of TAVI, n (%)	3 (10.7)
Severe tricuspid regurgitation, n (%)	4 (14.3)
LVEF, %, median (IQR)	55 (50–61)
Indications	
Sick sinus syndrome, n (%)	4 (14.3)
AV IIº degree/advanced block, n (%)	6 (21.4)
AV IIIº degree block, n (%)	18 (64.3)
Baseline rhythm	
Sinus rhythm, n (%)	6 (21.4)
Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, n (%)	2 (7.1)
Persistent atrial fibrillation, n (%)	20 (71.4)
Primary indication for leadless pacing (more than one in some patients)	may have occurred
High risk of CIED infection, n (%)	10 (35.7)
Prior CIED infection, n (%)	9 (32.1)
Vascular access issues, n (%)	2 (7.1)
Chronic kidney disease on dialyses, n (%)	2 (7.1)
Chronic inflammatory state, n (%)	3 (10.7)
Immunosuppressive therapy, n (%)	1 (3.6)
Patient's preference, n (%)	3 (10.7)
Procedural characteristics	
Routine ultrasonography guided approach for venous access, n/n (%)	14/24 (50.0)
Total procedural time, minutes, median (IQR)	55 (40–70)
Total fluoroscopy time, minutes, median (IQR)	12 (8–18)
Total fluoroscopy dose, mGy, median (IQR)	146 (61–231)
Post-procedural impedance, Ohm, median (IQR)	760 (572–928)
Post-procedural sensing, mV, median (IQR)	8.0 (5.5–9.5)
Post-procedural threshold, V/ms, median (IQR)	0.75/0.4 (0.7–0.88)
Threshold higher or equal to 1.0 V/0.4 ms, n (%)	7 (25.0)
Need for device reposition, n (%)	6 (21.4) ^a
Device landing zone in the low-IVS ^c , n (%)	22 (78.6)
Device landing zone in the mid-IVS ^c , n (%)	6 (21.4)
Postprocedural stay (days), median (IQR)	3 (3–7)

^aIn 2 patients, more than one periprocedural reposition was necessary. ^bBased on: [13]. ^cBased on fluoroscopy

Abbreviations: CIED, cardiac implantable electronic device; IQR, interquartile range; IVS, intraventricular septum; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; SD, standard deviation; TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation

complications of transvenous PMs in adequately selected patients. Currently, two LPMs are commercially available: Micra (Medtronic) and Aveir (Abbott).

The first LPM assessed in a clinical study was the Nanostim (St. Jude Medical) in 2013 [7]. However, the device was removed from the market due to the reported technical issues [8]. Therefore, the Nanostim LPM was redesigned and re-named Aveir VR LPM (Abbott). In the LEADLESS-II (phase II) trial encompassing 200 patients, the mean age was 75.6 years, 62.5% of the participants were male, and pacemaker indication was AF with an atrioventricular block (52.5%). The implant success rate was 98%, which is in line with our outcomes [9]. The presented study confirmed the satisfactory feasibility and safety of Aveir VR implantation in a cohort of real-life, all-comer patients, as reported by Tam et al. [10]. The limitation of the present study is its design: a relatively small and rather "typical" group of patients treated and the peri-procedural device performance studied. The safety and effectiveness of the new leadless pacemaker, its cost-effectiveness [11], and its applications in rare challenging clinical cases [12] warrant further observations.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at https://journals. viamedica.pl/polish_heart_journal.

Article information

Conflict of interest: MS — investigator, proctoring, trainer and lecturer's fees: Abbott, Biotronik, Hammermed, Medtronic, Zoll, European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 945260 — EHRA-PATHS Project; AP — proctoring fees: Abbott Polska; AO — traveling and lecture fees: Biotronik Polska, Abbott Polska, traveling fees from Medtronic Polska, Hammermed, Philips; JZK — traveling fees from Biotronik Polska, Medtronic Polska, Hammermed, Philips; JZK — traveling fees from Biotronik Polska, Medtronic Polska, Hammermed, Philips; PM — fees, advisory: Medtronic, Abbott; LCM — fees: Medtronic, Abbott; MM — speaking fees and educational grants: Abbott, Boston Scientific — consultant and lecture fees, European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement no 847999 — PROFID-EHRA Project; MT — speaking fees and educational grants: Abbott. Other authors none declared.

Funding: None.

Open access: This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, which allows downloading and sharing articles with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at polishheartjournal@ptkardio.pl

REFERENCES

- Burri H, Starck C, Auricchio A, et al. EHRA expert consensus statement and practical guide on optimal implantation technique for conventional pacemakers and implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), and the Latin-American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRS). EP Europace. 2021; 23(7): 983–1008, doi: 10.1093/europace/euaa367.
- Glikson M, Nielsen J, Kronborg M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy: Developed by the Task Force on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) With the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). Revista Española de Cardiología (English Edition). 2022; 75(5): 430, doi: 10.1016/j.rec.2022.04.004, indexed in Pubmed: 35525571.

- Kempa M, Mitkowski P, Kowalski O, et al. Expert opinion of a Working Group on Leadless Pacing appointed by the National Consultant in Cardiology and the Board of the Heart Rhythm Section of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(5): 604–608, doi: 10.33963/KP.15982, indexed in Pubmed: 34125944.
- Aveir Leadless Pacing System Aveir Leadless Pacemaker, Model LSP112V (Right Ventricular); Aveir Delivery Catheter, Model LSCD111; and Aveir Link Module, Module LSL02 – P150035. https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/recentlyapproved-devices/aveir-leadless-pacing-system-aveir-leadlesspacemaker-model-lsp112v-right-ventricular-aveir-delivery (assessed: 05.06.2024).
- Laczay B, Aguilera J, Cantillon DJ. Leadless cardiac ventricular pacing using helix fixation: Step-by-step guide to implantation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2023; 34(3): 748–759, doi: 10.1111/jce.15785, indexed in Pubmed: 36542756.
- Leibowitz A, Oren-Grinberg A, Matyal R. Ultrasound guidance for central venous access: current evidence and clinical recommendations. J Intensive Care Med. 2020; 35(3): 303–321, doi: 10.1177/0885066619868164, indexed in Pubmed: 31387439.
- Reddy VY, Exner DV, Cantillon DJ, et al. Percutaneous implantation of an entirely intracardiac leadless pacemaker. N Engl J Med. 2015; 373(12): 1125–1135, doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1507192, indexed in Pubmed: 26321198.
- Lakkireddy D, Knops R, Atwater B, et al. A worldwide experience of the management of battery failures and chronic device retrieval of the Nanostim leadless pacemaker. Heart Rhythm. 2017; 14(12): 1756–1763, doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2017.07.004, indexed in Pubmed: 28705736.
- Reddy VY, Exner DV, Doshi R, et al. LEADLESS II Investigators. Primary results on safety and efficacy from the LEADLESS ii-phase 2 worldwide clinical trial. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2022; 8(1): 115–117, doi: 10.1016/j. jacep.2021.11.002, indexed in Pubmed: 34863657.
- Tam MTK, Cheng YW, Chan JYS, et al. Aveir VR real-world performance and chronic pacing threshold prediction using mapping and fixation electrical data. Europace. 2024; 26(3), doi: 10.1093/europace/euae051, indexed in Pubmed: 38457487.
- Syska P, Farkowski MM, Raulinajtys-Grzybek M, et al. How to decrease the cost of pacemaker infection treatment by adopting seemingly costly innovation? A budget impact analysis of a leadless pacemaker implantation. Kardiol Pol. 2022; 80(12): 1260–1262, doi: 10.33963/KP.a2022.0284, indexed in Pubmed: 36573599.
- Tajstra M, Adamowicz-Czoch E, Kurek A, et al. Leadless pacemaker implantation in a univentricular heart in a patient with a double-inlet left ventricle and L-transposition of the great arteries. Kardiol Pol. 2023; 81(5): 528–529, doi: 10.33963/KP.a2023.0082, indexed in Pubmed: 36999725.
- 13. Blomström-Lundqvist C, Traykov V, Erba PA, et al. European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) international consensus document on how to prevent, diagnose, and treat cardiac implantable electronic device infections-endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), the Latin American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRS), International Society for Cardiovascular Infectious Diseases (ISCVID) and the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Europace. 2020; 22(4): 515–549, doi: 10.1093/europace/euz246, indexed in Pubmed: 31702000.