Removal of the intra-aortic balloon pump: Why and in what way?

Mesut Engin¹, Orhan Guvenc²

¹Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, University of Health Sciences, Bursa Yuksek Ihtisas Training and Research Hospital, Mimar Sinan Town, Yildirim/Bursa, Turkey ²Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Medical Faculty of Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey

Correspondence to:

Mesut Engin, MD, Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, University of Health Sciences, Bursa Yuksek Ihtisas Training and Research Hospital, Mimar Sinan Town, Emniyet Street Yıldırım/Bursa, Turkey phone: +90 224 295 50 00, fax: +90 224 275 67 67, e-mail: mesut_kvc_cor@hotmail.com Copyright by the Author(s), 2024

DOI: 10.33963/v.phj.100098

Received: March 30, 2024

Accepted: April 2, 2024

Early publication date: April 3, 2024 We have read with great interest the article by Rychter et al. [1], entitled "Initial experience of intra-aortic balloon pump removal using Angio-Seal". We congratulate the authors on their valuable contribution to the literature. However, we would like to discuss some issues about intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) removal techniques.

Using vascular closure devices (VCD) is a common practice in percutaneous endovascular interventions. It contributes to shorter hemostasis times and early mobilization compared to standard manual compression. In addition, since greater vascular access is required in attempts to repair endovascular aortic aneurysms, VCDs can eliminate the need for surgical intervention [2]. In their study, the authors investigated whether the VCD could be used safely and could achieve hemostasis when removing an IABP. VCDs are effective, including larger vascular interventions. Here, the real question may be the cost and effectiveness.

IABP applications are very effective in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases [3]. The authors included in their study 34 consecutive patients who had IABP implanted in the cardiac surgery intensive care unit over approximately 4 years, and VCDs were used for IABP removal [1]. For most of the patients, the procedure was a bridge to cardiac surgery operation (coronary artery bypass grafting, orthotopic heart transplantation, etc.). In these patients, the need for IABPs may be most prolonged. However, patients with IABP therapy duration time above 7 days have been excluded. It may be helpful to explain this situation.

VCD application takes a certain amount of time and is performed by an experienced physician. A recent study has shown that an experienced perfusionist could perform IABP removal [4]. So, manual compression can be less complicated with a trained perfusionist. Additionally, physician workloads can be reduced. Moreover, patient-specific IABP removal methods may be preferred. For example, in a patient with advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, VCD, used as early mobilization, will be very important. In another patient, manual compression may be preferred. VCD applications can be used in IABP removal from different regions where hemostasis control can be difficult [5]. However, there are also studies indicating that manual compression is effective in IABP removal applications from the axillary artery [6].

In addition, very low body mass index, tall height, and severe atherosclerosis at the vascular access site are important factors that increase complication rates during VCD applications [2]. In a study investigating the effectiveness of VCD in IABP removal applications, such as the discussed study [1], the authors should also consider these situations.

As a result, the authors showed in their study that IABP removal can be performed safely with VCD. However, the real question is who will remove the IABP catheter and how. Current studies should focus on cost-effectiveness analyses and patient-based applications.

Article information

Conflict of interest: None declared. **Funding:** None.

Open access: This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, which allows downloading and sharing articles with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at polishheartjournal@ptkardio.pl

REFERENCES

- Rychter J, Jakimowicz K, Jaźwiec T, et al. Initial experience of intra-aortic balloon pump removal using Angio-Seal. Pol Heart J. 2024, doi: 10.33963/v.phj.99552.
- Frenzel F, Fries P, Shayesteh-Kheslat R, et al. Single Angio-Seal vascular closure device for transfemoral access exceeding 8F. J Cardiol. 2020; 76(2): 211–216, doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2020.02.024, indexed in Pubmed: 32402666.
- Januszek R, Pawlik A, Rzeszutko Ł, et al. Clinical outcomes in patients undergoing complex, high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention and haemodynamic support with intra-aortic balloon versus Impella pump: Real-life single-centre preliminary results. Kardiol Pol. 2022; 80(12): 1224–1231, doi: 10.33963/KP.a2022.0203, indexed in Pubmed: 36047958.
- Saczkowski R, Spada S, Hromadnik K. Perfusionist removal of intra-aortic balloon pump catheters improves efficiency without an increase in complication rates. Perfusion. 2024; 39(3): 506–513, doi: 10.1177/02676591221149858, indexed in Pubmed: 36749309.
- Song TH, Jeevanandam V. Technique of percutaneous supraclavicular subclavian intra-aortic balloon pump placement. JTCVS Tech. 2021; 8: 20–22, doi: 10.1016/j.xjtc.2021.03.039, indexed in Pubmed: 34401797.
- Tayal R, DiVita M, Sossou CW, et al. Efficacy of manual hemostasis for percutaneous axillary artery intra-aortic balloon pump removal. J Interv Cardiol. 2020; 2020: 8375878, doi: 10.1155/2020/8375878, indexed in Pubmed: 32774189.