Supplementary Table 1. GRADE quality of evidence for the outcomes

QUALITY ASSESSMENT							QUALITY OF EVIDENCE
No. of Studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	
OUTCON	/IE — Pain	score at ≤ 1	month				
3	RCT	Low	Serious ²	No serious indirectness	No Serious imprecision	Reporting bias unlikely	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate
OUTCOME — Pain score at 3 months							
3	RCT	Low	Serious ²	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Reporting bias unlikely	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate
OUTCON	ИЕ — Pain	score at 6 m	onths	I			
3	RCT	Low	Serious ²	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Reporting bias unlikely	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate
OUTCON	/IE — Pain	score at 12 r	nonths	I			
2	RCT	Moderate ¹	Low	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Reporting bias unlikely	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate
OUTCON	⁄IЕ — Disa	ability at ≤ 1 r	nonth				l
3	RCT	Low	Serious ²	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Reporting bias unlikely	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate
OUTCON	⁄IЕ — Disa	ability at 3 mo	onths				l
3	RCT	Low	Serious ²	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Reporting bias unlikely	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate
OUTCOM	/IE — Disa	ability at 6 mo	onths	1			1
3	RCT	Low	Serious ²	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Reporting bias unlikely	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate
OUTCOM	/IE — Disa	ability at 12 m	nonths	1	1	1	ı
2	RCT	Moderate ¹	Low	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Reporting bias unlikely	⊕⊕⊕ Moderate

¹ Studies had a moderate risk of bias due to selection and reporting bias

 $^{^2}I^2 \ge 89\%$ depicting high heterogeneity