# Use of SD-OCT to quantitatively evaluate peripheral congestion in diastolic dysfunction with a role model in hemodialysis patients with end-stage renal disease

Ibrahim Ozdemir D<sup>1</sup>, Süleyman Çağan Efe<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Dünyagöz Hospital, Sakarya, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Kartal Research and Training Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

# ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND:** There is no practical quantitative tool to assess peripheral congestion in patients with congestive heart failure. In this study, we aimed to evaluate peripheral congestion by measuring the choroidal thickness with SD-OCT in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD). In addition, volume management by hemodialysis (HD) is often difficult in patients with ESRD. Volume overload or hypovolemia may increase morbidity and mortality in long-term HD patients. Therefore, it is important to determine the ideal ultrafiltration rate (UFR) for each patient. Also, we aimed to find data to help determine the ideal UFR.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS:** Fifty HD patients with ESRD were divided into 3 groups according to diastolic functions. Patients with mild diastolic dysfunction were enrolled in Group 1, those with moderate diastolic dysfunction were enrolled in Group 2, and those with severe diastolic dysfunction were enrolled in Group 3. The choroid was used to evaluate peripheral congestion, and choroidal thickness (CT) was measured by optical coherence tomography (OCT) before and after HD.

**RESULTS**: The average CT before HD in Group 3 (259.3 ± 7.5  $\mu$ m ) was significantly higher than in Group 1 (249.6 ± 9.4  $\mu$ m) (p = 0.015). The average CT after HD in Group 3 (224.1 ± 5.2  $\mu$ m ) was significantly higher than in Group 1 (232.4 ± 9.3  $\mu$ m) (p = 0.033). The mean of DCT was significantly higher in Group 3 than in Group 1 (p < 0.001)

**CONCLUSION:** DCT was correlated with diastolic function in ESRD patients undergoing HD, and further evaluation of diastolic function before HD may play a role in finding the ideal UFR.

KEY WORDS: end-stage renal disease; diastolic dysfunction; choroidal thickness; ultrafiltration rate

Ophthalmol J 2022; Vol. 7, 194–199

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Ibrahim Ozdemir, Dünyagöz Hospital, Department of Ophthalmology, Sakarya, Turkey, tel: 0090 264- 3331575, fax: 0090 264-331575; e-mail: dr.ibrahimozdemir@gmail.com

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially

# **INTRODUCTION**

Until now, peripheral congestion is evaluated by pressing-pushing the pretibial area and graded between 0 and 4 according to the physician's experience. Moreover, this method is subjective.

End-stage renal disease (ESRD) is a permanent, irreversible loss of renal function. Patients with ESRD have a deficiency in removing uremic substances and water from the body. Thus, hemodialysis (HD) is used to control the body volume and composition by the removal of water and uremic substances [1]. But, volume management is often difficult in HD patients with ESRD. It remains a mystery to assess the volume status and decide how much fluid to take during HD, called the ultrafiltration rate (UFR) [2].

The choroid is a highly vascularized cavernous tissue with low choroid vascular resistance. Thus, the choroidal vascular circulation flow is very high. In addition, perfusion pressure affects the blood flow directly because the choroid is poorly autoregulated [3, 4]. Therefore, the choroid can be easily affected by systemic diseases [5, 6]. In the Inter-dialytic period, volume overload negatively affects diastolic function [7] and causes a decrease in venous return. Additionally, increased volume load with reduced venous return leads to fluid accumulation in tissues such as choroid. Previous studies showed choroid thickness changes before and after HD [4, 8, 9].

In this study, ESRD patients who underwent HD were grouped according to their diastolic functions. Choroidal thickness (CT) measured by SD-OCT was aimed to evaluate peripheral congestion. We also aimed to discuss the importance of the diastolic function in calculating the UFR. Therefore, this study is different from previous studies.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This study was planned retrospectively. Sixty-seven patients with ESRD undergoing HD in the Ağrı State Hospital hemodialysis unit were included in this study between 2014–2017.

The study conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was also approved by the local ethical committee (ID: 71522473/050.01.04/197). The inclusion criteria were the availability of OCT images with high-quality.

Based on the transthoracic echocardiogram, patients having diastolic dysfunction with preserved systolic function [ejection fraction (EF) > 50%] were included. Patients with diastolic dysfunction secondary to coronary artery disease were not included in the study. On the basis of the hemodialysis method, patients who underwent hemodialysis sessions three times a week for more than five years were included. The exclusion criteria were as follows: having proliferative diabetic retinopathy, the presence of any glaucomatous and retinal diseases, such as a macular hole, epiretinal membrane, degenerative myopia, age-related macular degeneration, or macular edema of any origin.

Sixty-seven patients met the study criteria. The patients were divided into three groups according to diastolic dysfunction. Diastolic function grading was assigned based on current guidelines [10]. Group 1 was composed of patients with mild diastolic dysfunction (n = 20), Group 2 was composed of patients with moderate diastolic dysfunction (n = 20), and Group 3 was composed of patients with severe diastolic dysfunction (n = 10).

The patients underwent 3-h to 4-h hemodialysis sessions three times per week, using a high-performance dialyzer at a blood flow rate of 250 mL/min. The standard dialysate flow rate was 500 mL/min.

A detailed ophthalmological examination was performed before and two to three hours after HD, including OCT imaging. In selected cases, fundus angiography was used. All patients were examined by the same ophthalmologist (IO) and were masked to the patients' groups according to diastolic dysfunction.

OCT was performed using the 3D OCT 2000 FA Plus Spectral Domain (Topcon Medical Systems, Inc. Tokyo, Japan), which has an 840 -nm wavelength light source, 5-µm axial image resolution, and a speed of 27,000 A-scans per second. CT was measured using a single 9-mm horizontal line scan through the center of the fovea with 1024 A-scan/B-scan captured 50 times in the same position and overlapped with 50 B-scan images by the OCT device software (9 mm × 0,15 mm,  $1024 \times 50$  voxels). The enhanced choroidal mode was used to get a fine focus of the choroidal structure. B-scan images with low quality and indistinctive choroidal-scleral boundary were rejected. The eye that had a higher-quality B-scan image was used for measurement. The B-scan scale was adjusted to 1:1 mm, and the image size was doubled up. CT was described as the vertical distance between the outer edge of the hyperreflective retinal pigment epithelium and the scleral boundary and was manually measured at the fovea centralis by the same ophthalmologist using "built-in caliper" in the linear measurement tools of the OCT device software.

Transthoracic echocardiograms were completed according to echocardiography laboratory protocol by the same cardiologist (SCE). Images were analyzed by investigators with the remeasurement of all relevant parameters. These included left atrial volume measurements, peak early (*e*) and atrial (*a*) velocities of mitral inflow, early mitral inflow deceleration time (DT), and septal and lateral mitral annular *e*'velocities. Each measurement was averaged over multiple cardiac cycles. Diastolic function was graded using current guidelines [10].

Data were statistically analyzed using the SPSS statistical package version 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to assess the normal range distribution of CT, *e*, *a*, *e*, *ela*, and *ele*. Levene's test was used to assess the variance homogeneity of the variables. Chi-Square test and Kruskal Wallis test were used to evaluate the significance of differences between the groups. The choroidal change (DCT) was defined by the formula:

# DCT = [CT after hemodialysis] – [CT before hemodialysis]

Additionally, the relationship between the range of CT and e/a was assessed using the Spearman rank correlation test. The value of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

## RESULTS

This study comprised 20 patients (female, 12; male, 8) in Group 1, 20 patients (female, 8; male, 12)in Group 2, and 10 patients (female, 4; male, 6) in Group 3. The mean age  $\pm$  SD of patients in Group 1 was 57.6  $\pm$  9.9 years, in Group 2 was 47.8  $\pm$  18.3 years and in Group 3 was 56.8  $\pm$  11.6 years, respectively (Tab. 1). The causes of patients with ESRD in the study were DM (n = 34); high blood pressure (n = 6), urinary tract dysfunction (n = 5); chronic glomerulonephritis (n = 4); and polycystic kidney disease (n = 1).

The average CT before HD was 249.6 ± 9.4 µm, 250.8 ± 7.6 µm, and 259.3 ± 7.5 µm in Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3, respectively. The average CT after HD was 232.4 ± 9.3 µm, 225.8 ± 8.5 µm, and 224.1 ± 5.2 µm in Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3, respectively. The mean of DCT was 17.2 ± 8.7 mm, 24.9±5.3 mm, and 35.2 ± 5.2 mm in Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3, respectively. It was significantly higher in Group 2 than in Group 1 (p=0.023) and significantly higher in Group 3 than in Group 2 (p = 0.021) (Tab. 2).

The e, e¢, a, e/a, and e/e¢ were used to evaluate diastolic dysfunction. Therefore, these values were used to group patients according to diastolic dysfunction. The average e was 0.74  $\pm$  0.21, 0.95 ± 0.17, and 1.16 ± 0.20 in Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3, respectively. The average a was 0.94 ± 0.19, 0.78 ± 0.12, 0.71 ± 0.82 in Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3, respectively. The average e/a was 0.79 ± 0.16, 1.23 ± 0.16, and 1.62 ± 0.15 in Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3, respectively. The average *ela* was significantly higher in Group 2 than in Group 1 (p < 0.001) and significantly higher in Group 3 than in Group 2 (p = 0.018). The other parameters are summarized in Table 2. Additionally, the DCT was positively correlated with the e/a (r = 0.570, p < 0.0001).

#### DISCUSSION

Peripheral congestion in ESRD patients with preserved ejection fraction could be affected by the diastolic function. Therefore, unlike other studies, we grouped patients according to their diastolic function using ECO. In this study, although pre-HD CT was significantly high in patients with severe diastolic dysfunction (p = 0.015) and the same amount of fluid was taken by the HD, the patients with severe diastolic dysfunction had significantly more reduction in post-HD CT than

Table 1. Demographics of patients											
	Patients with mild diastolic dysfunction (Group 1)	Patients with moderate diastolic dysfunction (Group 2)	Patients with severe diastolic dysfunction (Group 3)	All patients	p-value						
Sex [F/M]	12/8	8/12	4/6	24/26	0.382ª						
Age [years]	$57.6 \pm 9.9$	47.8 ± 18.3	56.8 ± 11.6	53.6 ± 14.6	0.140 <sup>b</sup>						

F — female; M — male; <sup>a</sup>Chi-Square test; <sup>b</sup>Kruskal Wallis test

Table 2. Distribution of the variables by groups											
	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	All patients	p <sup>α</sup>	$\mathbf{p}^{\beta}$	p <sup>χ</sup>	pγ			
CT before HD [ $\mu$ m]	$249.6\pm9.4$	$250.8\pm7.6$	$259.3\pm7.5$	$252.0\pm9.0$	0.015	NS	0.046	0,015			
CT after HD [ $\mu$ m]	$232.4\pm9.3$	$225.8\pm8.5$	$224.1\pm5.2$	$228.1\pm8.9$	0.016	NS	NS	0,033			
$\Delta CT [\mu m]$	17.2 ± 8.7	$24.9\pm5.3$	$35.2\pm5.2$	$23.9\pm9.5$	< 0.001	0.023	0.021	< 0.001			
е	0.74 ± 0.21	$0.95 \pm 0.17$	$1.16 \pm 0.20$	0.91 ± 0.24	< 0.001	0.019	0.122	< 0.001			
а	$0.94 \pm 0.19$	0.78 ± 0.12	$0.71 \pm 0.82$	0.83 ± 0.17	0.001	0.032	NS	0.002			
e'	$0.10\pm0.05$	$0.08\pm0.02$	$0.09\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.03$	NS	NS	NS	NS			
e/a	$0.79 \pm 0.16$	$1.23 \pm 0.16$	$1.62\pm0.15$	1.13 ± 0.35	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.018	< 0.001			
e/e'	$8.14\pm2.95$	12.30 ± 2.28	13.6 ± 1.24	10.90 ± 3.33	< 0.001	0.001	NS	< 0.001			

CT — choroid thickness; HD — hemodialysis; NS — not significant;  $p^{\alpha}$  — between all groups;  $p^{\beta}$  — between Group 1 and Group 2;  $p^{z}$  — between Group 2 and Group 3;  $p^{\gamma}$  — between Group 3 and Group 1

patients with mild diastolic dysfunction (p = 0.033). In other words, although the same amount of body fluid was extracted by HD (same UFR), the DCT was significantly higher in the severe diastolic dysfunction group than in the mild diastolic dysfunction group (p < 0.001). Moreover, the DCT was different in each group according to diastolic function (r = 0.570, p = 0.0001; p < 0.001). The DCT in group 2 was significantly higher than group 1 (p = 0.023), and the DCT in group 3 was significantly higher than group 2 (p = 0.021). In patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction, diastolic dysfunction causes an increase in end-diastolic pressure, resulting in systemic congestion or insufficiency. The severity of this congestion can be determined by the severity of diastolic dysfunction. In the present study, the diastolic function before HD was significantly correlated with DCT (r = 0.570, p = 0.0001). This may indicate that peripheral volume overload can be misleading in the presence of diastolic dysfunction. It also suggests that low UFR may be administered to get a similar treatment response between patients with severe diastolic dysfunction and patients with mild diastolic dysfunction.

The choroid is vascularized and cavernous tissue with a low vascular resistance [3, 11], so it can be easily affected by various ocular and systemic conditions [4–6, 12, 13]. For example, it was reported that the water drink test (1000 mL water intake in a short time) caused a significant increase in choroid thickness in healthy individuals [13]. This situation is similar to the patient with ESRD in the inter-dialytic period. In the inter-dialysis period, increased vascular hydrostatic pressure due to volume overload and decreased osmotic pressure due to volume overload may cause fluid to accumulate in the cho-

roid interstitial space. HD provides the opposite of this situation. As a result, choroidal vascular volume and interstitial fluid volume decrease. Recent studies have shown that CT decreases after HD in patients with ESRD by using OCT [4, 8, 9]. Akihiro et al. [9] grouped patients with ESRD diabetic and non-diabetic and found that CT decreased in all eves after HD. The mean CT values before and after hemodialysis were 268 mm and 234 mm, similar to our results [9]. The DCT was also evaluated, and the DCT in the diabetic group was higher than in the non-diabetic group. In this study, the DCT in all patients was similar to the diabetic group. Because the majority of our patients were ESRD patients due to diabetes. In the light of the above data [4-6, 12, 13], the choroid may be considered a region of congestion under volume overload in patients with ESRD. Moreover, the choroid can be quantitatively evaluated by OCT [14].

In patients with ESRD, diastolic dysfunction is associated with high-left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and volume overload [15, 16]. It is known that LVH is very common in ESRD patients, and the left ventricular mass index gradually increases after the start of HD [16, 17]. Therefore, diastolic dysfunction is common in ESRD patients and is a more common mechanism of heart failure than a reduction of the ejection fraction in patients with ESRD [15, 16]. But the number of studies associated with diastolic dysfunction in patients with ESRD is low. Mostly, the effect of dialysis on left ventricular function or left ventricular hypertrophy was investigated. In the present study, left ventricular function was not evaluated.

Volume management in patients with ESRAD involves monitoring weight gains between HD sessions. Generally, the weight gain between two HD sessions should be less than 1.5 kg (or < 20 mL/kg). High IDWG, more food intake, and a strong appetite will often lead to increased UFR in the next HD. Or, low inter-dialytic weight gain, poor intake, diminished appetite, and diarrhea require less fluid removal in the next HD session [2]. When adjusting UFR, the patient's symptoms or morbid conditions and physical examination findings should also be considered. For example, high pre-HD systolic blood pressure (e.g. > 160 mm Hg), pulmonary edema, lower extremity, and sacral edema may suggest volume overload or low UFR. Conversely, low pre-HD systolic blood pressure (e.g. < 120 mm Hg), tachycardia, palpitation, chest pain, lightheadedness, cramps, and sweating after an HD session may suggest hypovolemia or high UFR [2, 18]. Based on the above information, a patient with severe diastolic dysfunction giving symptoms and signs of volume overload, may be administered high UFR for a long time. High body fluid removal in patients with severe diastolic dysfunction may increase morbidity and mortality.

This study is limited by its retrospective nature and shares all the limitations of a retrospective study, and represents a relatively small sample size in groups. SD-OCT device cannot give a chance to create a 3D virtual image and measure the entire choroid volume. Furthermore, we aimed to associate the DCT with diastolic dysfunction change, but after HD, it was not possible to evaluate diastolic function due to the poor visibility of ECO. If we could associate the DCT with the diastolic function change, the correlation rate could be higher than 0.570. A prospective, randomized longitudinal study would allow getting a more precise result.

Choroidal thickness measured by OCT can be used as a quantitative tool to assess peripheral congestion. Additionally, in ESRD patients with diastolic dysfunction, evaluating the diastolic function periodically may help to estimate each patient's volume status. It may help to find the ideal UFR for each patient.

#### **Ethical rules**

The study has been conducted in accordance with the ethical rules of Sakarya University (2020) (ID:71522473/050.01.04/197).

#### Data sharing

No additional data.

## Funding

None declared.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

#### **REFERRENCES:**

- Leypoldt JK, Cheung AK, Delmez JA, et al. Relationship between volume status and blood pressure during chronic hemodialysis. Kidney Int. 2002; 61(1): 266–275, doi: 10.1046/j. 1523-1755.2002.00099.x, indexed in Pubmed: 11786109.
- Chou JA, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Volume Balance and Intradialytic Ultrafiltration Rate in the Hemodialysis Patient. Curr Heart Fail Rep. 2017; 14(5): 421–427, doi: 10.1007/s11897-017-0356-6, indexed in Pubmed: 28803369.
- Delaey C, Van De Voorde J. Regulatory mechanisms in the retinal and choroidal circulation. Ophthalmic Res. 2000; 32(6): 249–256, doi: 10.1159/000055622, indexed in Pubmed: 11015035.
- Shin YUn, Lee SE, Kang MHo, et al. Evaluation of changes in choroidal thickness and the choroidal vascularity index after hemodialysis in patients with end-stage renal disease by using swept-source optical coherence tomography. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019; 98(18): e15421, doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000015421, indexed in Pubmed: 31045801.
- Gök M, Karabas VL, Emre E, et al. Evaluation of choroidal thickness via enhanced depth-imaging optical coherence tomography in patients with systemic hypertension. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2015; 63(3): 239–243, doi: 10.4103/0301-4738.156928, indexed in Pubmed: 25971169.
- Altinkaynak H, Kara N, Sayın N, et al. Subfoveal choroidal thickness in patients with chronic heart failure analyzed by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. Curr Eye Res. 2014; 39(11): 1123–1128, doi: 10.3109/02713683.2014.898310, indexed in Pubmed: 24749809.
- Smyth B, Chan C, Grieve S, et al. Predictors of Change in Left-Ventricular Structure and Function in a Trial of Extended Hours Hemodialysis. J Cardiac Fail. 2020; 26(6): 482–491, doi: 10.1016/j. cardfail.2020.03.010.
- Jung JiW, Chin HS, Lee DH, et al. Changes in subfoveal choroidal thickness and choroidal extravascular density by spectral domain optical coherence tomography after haemodialysis: a pilot study. Br J Ophthalmol. 2014; 98(2): 207–212, doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2013-303645, indexed in Pubmed: 24187052.
- Ishibazawa A, Nagaoka T, Minami Y, et al. Choroidal Thickness Evaluation Before and After Hemodialysis in Patients With and Without Diabetes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2015; 56(11): 6534–6541, doi: 10.1167/iovs.15-16719, indexed in Pubmed: 26457539.
- Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, et al. Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardioography: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2016; 29(4): 277–314, doi: 10.1016/j. echo.2016.01.011, indexed in Pubmed: 27037982.
- 11. Alm A, Bill A. Ocular Circulation. 9th ed. Mosby, St Louis 1992.
- Schuster AK, Leuschner A, Feretos C, et al. Choroidal thickness is associated with cardiovascular risk factors and cardiac health: the Gutenberg Health Study. Clin Res Cardiol. 2020; 109(2): 172–182, doi: 10.1007/s00392-019-01498-8, indexed in Pubmed: 31168641.
- Mansouri K, Medeiros FA, Marchase N, et al. Assessment of choroidal thickness and volume during the water drinking test by swept-source optical coherence tomography. Ophthalmology. 2013; 120(12): 2508–2516, doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.07.040, indexed in Pubmed: 24021895.
- 14. Ikuno Y, Maruko I, Yasuno Y, et al. Reproducibility of retinal and choroidal thickness measurements in enhanced depth imaging and high-penetration optical coherence tomography. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011; 52(8): 5536–5540, doi: 10.1167/iovs.10-6811, indexed in Pubmed: 21508114.
- Malik J, Kudlicka J, Valerianova A, et al. Diastolic dysfunction in asymptomatic hemodialysis patients in the light of the current echocardiographic guidelines. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2019;

 $35(2);\ 313-317,\ doi:\ 10.1007/s10554-019-01564-2,\ indexed in Pubmed:\ 30815807.$ 

- Antlanger M, Aschauer S, Kopecky C, et al. Heart failure with preserved and reduced ejection fraction in hemodialysis patients: prevalence, disease pre- diction and prognosis. Kidney Blood Press Res. 2017; 42(1): 165–176, doi: 10.1159/000473868, indexed in Pubmed: 28395286.
- Cause of hypoxemia during hemodialysis. N Engl J Med. 1981; 305(22): 1351–1352, doi: 10.1056/NEJM198111263052221, indexed in Pubmed: 7290165.
- Park J, Rhee CM, Sim JJ, et al. A comparative effectiveness research study of the change in blood pressure during hemodialysis treatment and survival. Kidney Int. 2013; 84(4): 795–802, doi: 10.1038/ki.2013.237, indexed in Pubmed: 23783241.