Temporal contrast sensitivity: 
A potential parameter for glaucoma progression, especially in advanced stages
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION. Previously it could be shown that temporal contrast sensitivity is affected by glaucoma and maximally influenced after 25-Hz adaptation in normals. This study investigated different kinds of 25-Hz temporal contrast adaptation on TCS in patients with ocular hypertension, preperimetric primary open-angle glaucoma, and perimetric open-angle glaucoma. Additionally, correlations of measured data with parameters of glaucoma diagnostic were done and assessed for the potential use of TCS as a parameter for glaucoma progression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS. One hundred and four subjects were included: 44 normals, 14 ocular hypertensions, 11 preperimetric primary open-angle glaucomas, and 35 perimetric open-angle glaucomas. Using the Erlangen Flicker Test, temporal contrast sensitivity was measured without adaptation, after pre-adaptation and after pre- and re-adaptations at 25 Hz. Reliability analyses were done.

RESULTS. All test strategies showed high reliability (α-Cronbach’s > 0.86). In normals, age-dependency of temporal contrast sensitivity without adaptation (p = 0.052) and after pre- and re-adaptation (p = 0.008) was observed. Temporal contrast sensitivity is significantly reduced after pre-adaptation for all subjects (p < 0.001). Reduction of temporal contrast sensitivity after pre- and re-adaptations was significant in all groups (p < 0.001), but it was smaller than after single pre-adaptation (p < 0.001). Temporal contrast sensitivity without adaptation was significantly reduced in patients with perimetric glaucoma (p = 0.040) but not in patients with ocular hypertension and preperimetric glaucoma. Correlation analyses yielded a significant correlation between temporal contrast sensitivity without adaptation and mean defect (p = 0.003, r = –0.329), loss variance (p = 0.027, r = –0.256), and retinal nerve fibre layer thickness (p < 0.001, r = 0.413) for all subjects and between temporal contrast sensitivity after pre-adaptation and mean defect (p = 0.045, r = –0.239).

CONCLUSIONS. Temporal contrast sensitivity seems to be affected in perimetric glaucoma with an overall reduction after adaptation. Significant correlations of temporal contrast sensitivity with perimetric and morphologic parameters offer new aspects of its potential use as a glaucoma progresses marker, especially in advanced stages when perimetric diagnosis is limited.
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INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma, as a neurodegenerative disease, results in an irreversible loss of visual field. Thus, parameters monitoring progression are of interest in the management of glaucoma therapy. Up to now, perimetric follow ups and structural analysis of the optic nerve are used in clinical everyday life. Temporal contrast sensitivity (TCS) as well as contrast adaptation to flickering stimuli were found to be reduced in diseases affecting ganglion cells and optic nerve structures. Therefore, TCS was suggested as an early and sensitive diagnostic tool for glaucoma [1–9].

So far only correlations of TCS with perimetric mean deflection and papillometric alterations of the neuroretinal rim area were analysed, but not with newer parameters (e.g. retinal nerve fibre layer thickness, loss of variance). Analysis of these correlations could provide new aspects for TCS as a potential marker for glaucoma progression.

Previously it was shown that using the modified Erlangen Flicker Test, TCS is maximally affected after adaptation at 25 Hz in normals, similar to the frequency of maximal sensitivity [1]. Therefore, this test set-up was suggested to affect the function of luminance channel [1, 3, 5], which is probably based on activity of the magnocellular retino-geniculate pathway and displays a maximum sensitivity to 20–30 Hz frequencies under photopic conditions [10]. It is assumed that 25 Hz temporal contrast adaptation originates mainly in magnocellular structures. This hypothesis is also supported by previous data showing that especially magnocellular cells of the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) display luminance contrast adaptation [11, 12]. These findings suggest that the Erlangen Flicker Test is potentially useful for diagnosis and monitoring of inner retinal diseases like glaucoma.

Adaptation is a common mechanism in sensory systems in order to protect against overstimulation and to remain sensitive under different natural conditions. In visual systems, adaptation can be found in retinal as well as in higher cortical structures [13–20]. As a neuronal mechanism, contrast adaptation (either spatial or temporal) causes reduced contrast sensitivity after high-contrast stimuli presentation [21, 22]. Involvement of at least two independent channels has been proposed: a low frequency channel (peak: 1–2 Hz) with cortical origin and a high frequency channel (peak: 8–12 Hz) possibly with subcortical origin [23]. A third channel has been described [24]. Previously, TCS without adaptation was examined in glaucoma patients [5, 25, 26]. However, until today no data on alterations of TCS after contrast adaptations are available in glaucoma.

In this study we investigated different types of 25 Hz full-field adaptation on TCS in normal subjects, patients with ocular hypertension (OHT), preperimetric primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG), and perimetric open-angle glaucoma (OAG). Additionally, we analysed correlations of TCS (± adaptation) with specific parameters of glaucoma diagnostics and assessed its potential value as a parameter for glaucoma progression.

Parts of this study were presented at the DOG (German Ophthalmologic Society) conference in 2013.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RECRUITMENT PROCESS

One hundred and four subjects were recruited from patients and staff of the Department of Ophthalmology and Eye Hospital, Friedrich-Alexander-University of Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), as well as from the Erlangen Glaucoma Registry (EGR; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00494923; ISSN 2191-5008, CS-2011).

All probands were examined by slit-lamp biomicroscopy and tonometry. Standard white-on-white full-field perimetry (Octopus 500, G1 protocol, Interzeag, Schlieren, Switzerland) defined the parameters’ mean defect (MD) and regular loss variance (LV), and standard deviation of MD. A normal visual field showed:

• MD of 2.8 or less;
• less than three adjacent test points on the pattern deviation map with a probability of less than 5%;
• less than two adjacent test points on the pattern deviation map with a probability of less than 1%; whereas visual field defects had:

• MD greater than 2.8;
• three or more adjacent test points on the pattern deviation map with a probability of less than 5%;
• two or more adjacent test points on the pattern deviation map with a probability of less than 1%.

The subjects received measurements of global retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) of macula and optic disc using Spectralis® OCT (Version 1.9.10.0, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). All patients’ lenses were classified based on the lens opacities classification system III (LOCS III) [27]. Further exclusion criteria were visual acuity less than
0.8, age less than 18 years, neurological, psychiatric, or psychosomatic diseases, systemic diseases with possible eye involvement (like diabetes mellitus or arterial hypertension), any other eye disease except OAG and OHT, and ophthalmological surgeries. Secondary open-angle glaucoma, subjects taking any medication affecting central nervous system as well as hyperopic and myopic eyes greater than ± 6 dpt were excluded. If both eyes failed to meet the exclusion criteria, the eye with best corrected visual acuity was chosen. Further demographic data are shown in Table 1. Informed consent was obtained from each subject before joining the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Local Ethics Committee (176_12B).

**SUBJECTS**

In total 104 subjects were included in the study: 44 normals, 14 patients with OHT, 11 patients with preperimetric POAG, and 35 perimetric OAG subjects (13 normal tension glaucomas (NTG), 22 POAGs). Only patients with perimetric OAG had visual field defects. All glaucoma patients showed an altered optic disc classified after Jonas [28, 29].

**METHODS**

The experimental test set-up has been described before [1, 5]. Briefly, the modified version of the Erlangen Flicker Test uses a full-field flicker bowl (Ganzfeld Q450 F, software: Retiport®, Roland Consult, Brandenburg, Germany) with white light-emitting diodes (LEDs), presenting a sinusoidal luminance modulation. Two spatially homogeneous, temporally modulated stimuli (25 Hz temporal frequency each) were presented: a 100% contrast adaptation stimulus and a test stimulus of variable contrast. The mean luminance of test and adapting stimuli was 49.5 cd/m². The threshold contrast (K) for flicker detection of the test stimulus was determined. TCS was defined as inverse of K:

\[
TCS = \frac{1}{K} = \frac{1}{(100\% \cdot (I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}})/(I_{\text{max}} + I_{\text{min}}))}
\]

TCS — temporal contrast sensitivity;
K — temporal contrast at detection threshold (%);
I_{\text{max}} — maximal intensity of modulation;
I_{\text{min}} — minimal intensity of modulation.

TCS values were age-corrected using a linear regression method based on TCS measurements without adaptation, because these reflect results without any adaptation influence. For analysis, the decimal logarithms of TCSs were calculated for normal distribution obtainment. Using the following three different psychophysical testing conditions, TCS was determined. A test run prior to each strategy was included to prevent learning effects.

**MEASUREMENTS OF TCS WITHOUT ANY TEMPORAL CONTRAST ADAPTATION**

Before test start, examples of test stimuli were shown in order to familiarise the test person with the test procedure. Subsequently, starting at sub-threshold values, contrast was slowly manually increased in 0.05%-contrast steps until flicker detection. Then, contrast was increased to supra-threshold values and slowly manually decreased in 0.05%-steps until flicker detection vanished. This procedure was repeated three times (Fig. 1). The first two threshold contrasts (t1, t2) were excluded from analysis. The mean of the remaining four threshold contrasts (T3 – T6) were statistically analysed.

---

**Table 1. Demographic data (mean, standard deviation, and the amount of measured subjects): Age, gender, visual acuity, mean defect, loss variance, and retinal nerve fibre layer thickness in the four subgroups (normals, ocular hypertension, preperimetric primary open-angle glaucoma, and perimetric open-angle glaucoma)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Normals</th>
<th>Ocular hypertension</th>
<th>Preperimetric open-angle glaucoma</th>
<th>Perimetric open-angle glaucoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>50.50 ± 12.93 (44)</td>
<td>49.50 ± 13.03 (14)</td>
<td>56.73 ± 8.87 (11)</td>
<td>61.00 ± 8.57 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (F, M)</td>
<td>28 F, 16 M</td>
<td>7 F, 7 M</td>
<td>5 F, 6 M</td>
<td>21 F, 14 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.11 (44)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.05 (14)</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.08 (11)</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.17 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pachymetry</td>
<td>550.57 ± 34.57 (42)</td>
<td>575.93 ± 37.63 (14)</td>
<td>540.27 ± 45.85 (11)</td>
<td>525.43 ± 36.36 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean defect</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.77 (44)</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.79 (14)</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.99 (11)</td>
<td>6.25 ± 2.80 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss variance</td>
<td>4.85 ± 4.30 (44)</td>
<td>3.56 ± 1.45 (14)</td>
<td>3.55 ± 1.70 (11)</td>
<td>35.75 ± 32.75 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal nerve fibre layer</td>
<td>97.64 ± 9.26 (44)</td>
<td>96.00 ± 12.07 (14)</td>
<td>84.18 ± 17.40 (11)</td>
<td>68.66 ± 13.01 (35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F — female; M — male
Measurements of TCS after pre-adaptation at 25 Hz

Each subject was adapted to a 100% contrast adaptation stimulus for 15 seconds (s). Then the test stimulus was presented: Initial contrast was 0.39%, stepwise increased in 1-dB steps every 0.5 seconds, until the subject indicated stimulus detection by pressing a button. This procedure was repeated four times without interruption (Fig. 2). The first trial (u1) was excluded from further analysis. The mean of the remaining three threshold contrasts (U2–U4) were used to determine threshold contrasts.

Measurements of TCS after pre- and re-adaptations at 25 Hz

Each subject was pre-adapted to a stimulus of 100% contrast for 15 seconds. Subsequently, test stimulus was displayed starting at either 3% or 5% contrast. Test and adaptation stimuli were alternately presented (five seconds each) for continuous re-adaptation. Contrast was increased in 1-dB steps until the subject indicated flicker perception by pressing a button followed by a decrease of the test contrast by 3 dB. This procedure was repeated four times (Fig. 3) with two cycles conducted. The first trial in each cycle was a test run (s1). Threshold contrast was defined as the mean of S2, S3, and S4 of each of the two cycles.

Statistical analysis was performed using t-tests for independent groups and correlation analysis. A Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was conducted. Analysis was performed using SPSS (V21.0).

RESULTS

Reliability analysis

TCS measurements without adaptation showed a short-term reliability with an α-Cronbach’s value of 0.958 and a long-term reliability with an α-Cron-
 bach's value of 0.939. Also, TCSs after pre-adaptation yielded high short- (α-Cronbach's value = 0.860) and long-term (α-Cronbach's value = 0.930) inter-test reliabilities. Finally, the α-Cronbach's values for TCSs after pre- and re-adaptations were 0.989 for short- and 0.916 for long-term reliability. In conclusion, for all three test conditions high short- and long-term reliabilities were found.

AGE-DEPENDENCY OF TCS

In normal subjects, linear regression revealed for the whole studied age range a moderate TCS decrease of 0.03 dB every decade without adaptation (p = 0.052) (Fig. 4A) as well as a decrease of 0.06 dB every decade after pre- and re-adaptation (p = 0.008) (Fig. 4C). For TCS after pre-adaptation no significant age correlation was found although a negative trend was observed (p = 0.237) (Fig. 4B).

TCS WITHOUT ADAPTATION

TCS without adaptation is significantly reduced in patients with perimetric OAG compared to normal subjects (p = 0.040) (Fig. 5), but not in patients with OHT and preperimetric POAG (p > 0.05).
TCS AFTER ADAPTATION TO 25 Hz

TCS after 25 Hz pre-adaptation was reduced in all subjects [1]. Additionally, TCS after 25 Hz pre- and re-adaptations was significantly decreased in all tested groups (p < 0.001) (Fig. 5). However, a single pre-adaptation resulted in a significantly larger TCS reduction than pre- and re-adaptations (p < 0.001). No significant differences of TCS were found after pre-adaptation or after pre- and re-adaptations in patients compared to normals (p > 0.05). No significant differences were found between NTG and POAG patients for all test strategies (p > 0.05).

Correlations of Standard Perimetric and Morphologic Parameters with the Different TCS Test Strategies

Since measurements of the TCSs were performed under full-field conditions in this study, the parameters MD, LV, and global RNFL were used for statistical analysis. A significant correlation was found between MD and values of TCS without adaptation (p = 0.003, r = –0.329) as well as TCS after pre-adaptation (p = 0.045, r = –0.239). TCS after pre- and re-adaptations did not correlate with MD. TCS without adaptation correlated with LV (p = 0.027, r = –0.256) as well as with global RNFL thickness (p < 0.001, r = 0.413). These correlations disappeared after adaptation.

DISCUSSION

This study investigated TCS with and without different kinds of adaptation at 25 Hz in patients with OHT, and preperimetric and perimetric glaucoma compared to normals and analysed potential correlations of TCS with perimetric and morphologic parameters.

TCS, measured by the Erlangen Flicker Test, seems to reflect in particular magnocellular retinal ganglion cell (RGC) activity [3]. Based on the theory of reduced redundancy, testing these specific neurons after adaptation is of interest in glaucoma diagnosis [30].

Confirming previous data, a significant correlation was found between TCS and age [9, 25, 31–34]. TCS without adaptation showed a significant correlation with standard perimetric parameter MD, agreeing with previous studies [6, 7, 26, 35, 36]. As a new aspect, TCS without adaptation correlated significantly with LV. Previously, analysis of glaucoma suspects of the EGR showed a prognostic value of increasing square root of loss variance (sLV) for conversion from preperimetric to perimetric glaucoma, emphasising the importance of investigations on LV in glaucoma diagnosis (Hohberger B, Horn F, Jünemann A, Lämmer R. Longitudinal analysis of glaucoma suspects from the Erlangen glaucoma registry (ERG): Influence of neuroretinal rim area and visual field indices on progression. Meeting abstract ARVO 2013). Further on, morphologic data of the global RNFL correlated significantly with TCS without adaptation. Until now, all previous studies correlated TCS with papillometric alterations of the neuroretinal rim area [26, 36]. Considering these results, reduced area of neuroretinal rim, measured by OCT, and elevated sLV may be considered as indicators for glaucoma progression — both significantly correlated with TCS. Including adaptation, TCS after pre-adaptation correlated significantly only with MD. Therefore, adaptation mechanisms do not seem to be strongly affected by glaucoma progression. However, TCS without adaptation interacts with MD, LV, and global RNFL values and can be used as a potential progression marker for glaucoma monitoring when perimetric diagnosis is limited. Using the Erlangen Flicker Test for TCS measurement performance is beneficial because no
fixation, critically especially in advanced glaucoma, is necessary. Under full-field conditions defined visual field defects cannot be localised. However, local damages seem to be associated with general impaired ability of flicker detection [7]. Additionally, this test set-up is not influenced by lens density as many older glaucoma patients suffer from cataracts, influencing perimetry and therefore limiting the diagnostic value of standard perimetry [37].

In perimetric glaucoma patients TCS without adaptation showed significantly reduced results compared to normals. Unlike previous studies, there was no significant reduction of TCS in patients with OHT and preperimetric glaucoma [2, 36, 38, 39]. One explanation can potentially be found in the light sources: Some previous studies used a xenon arc lamp, whereas the Erlangen Flicker Test uses LEDs [2, 36]. Possibly, the different spectral light distribution is relevant. Further on, different light intensities could potentially influence TCS results: The mean luminance of test and adapting stimuli in the present test set-up was 49.5 cd/m² to avoid adjustments of pupil size to different light intensities. Also, changing flicker frequencies might achieve different results [38, 39].

TCS after single pre-adaptation as well as in combination with re-adaptations were clearly reduced in all tested subject groups. However, TCS reductions were not significantly different in OHT, preperimetric, and perimetric glaucoma compared to normals, suggesting that the neuronal or molecular mechanisms generating this adaptation are not affected by glaucoma or compensated in any way. Cellular temporal contrast adaptation prevents saturation at high-contrast stimuli and ensures best eye adjustment to the natural environment, characterised by quickly alternating contrast stimuli [22, 40].

What mechanisms underlie this type of adaptation? Several studies postulate that temporal contrast adaptation refers mainly to synaptic transmission from bipolar cells to RGCs. There are indications that excitatory synaptic transmission is decreased when adaptation occurs [13, 15, 41, 42]. Interestingly, two different cellular adaptation effects were found: It could be shown that not all neuronal cells in the retina are desensitised after temporal contrast adaptation — a second pool was sensitised [43, 44]. Different measurements of spike activity of RGCs showed that overall rates of uniformly adapted cell populations are lower than a mixed pool of adapted and sensitised neurons [43]. This may be an explanation for the greater TCS reduction after single pre-adaptation than after pre- and re-adaptations at 25 Hz. One RGC population could potentially be adapted resulting in a TCS reduction, whereas a second ganglion cell group could be sensitised. Considering this, one-time adaptation causes a single effect on sensitisation of RGCs whereas re-adaptations could potentially lead to a preponderance of sensitisation of RGC — possibly by quantitative preponderance of the sensitised RGC over time.

Also, the different effects of single pre-adaptation and repeated pre- and re-adaptations could depend on the distinct procedures measuring TCSs: TCS after single pre-adaptation showed a continuous contrast increase over time, whereas TCS after pre- and re-adaptations was measured at different changes of increasing and decreasing test stimuli. Therefore, both strategies might not be directly comparable.

**CONCLUSION**

TCS, correlating well with standard perimetric and morphologic parameters, seems to be affected in perimetric glaucoma. Additional adaptation resulted in an overall decrease of TCS. Measurements of TCS using the Erlangen Flicker Test indicate their potential role as a progression parameter in advanced glaucoma when perimetric diagnosis is limited.
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