Catheter malposition during a direct radionuclide cystography — case report
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Abstract
We reported a 15-year-old girl with a history of mild left vesicoureteral reflux who underwent direct radionuclide cystography in our department. Bladder catheterization was mistakenly placed in the vagina. The filling phase showed vagina and uterine cavity which was similar to vesicoureteral reflux. The procedure was repeated with correct catheterization of the bladder and no vesicoureteral reflux was noted.
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Figure 1. A 15-year-old girl with a previously diagnosed mild left vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) in childhood with history of follow up during previous years without any episode of urinary tract infection, was referred to our nuclear medicine center for direct radionuclide cystography (DRC). She was catheterized under the aseptic conditions using a urinary catheter appropriate for her age then one mCi of $^{99m}$Tc — pertechnetate was injected directly into the catheter and normal saline solution was used to fill the urinary bladder. The patient lied in supine position, and dynamic images were obtained (5 seconds per frame using a dual-head variable angle gamma camera and $128 \times 128$ matrix equipped with low-energy high-resolution collimator) from the posterior view. The images showed a reverse pear shape of tracer accumulation with two abnormal linear tracer uptakes in the midline similar to vesicoureteral reflux. We checked the catheter and it was mistakenly placed in the vagina and unusual distribution of the tracer was due to tracer flow to the vagina (arrow) and uterine cavity (arrow head)

Figure 2. The catheter was removed and the correct catheterization was done. Filling phase and voiding imaging were done with a similar protocol. No VUR was shown in images.

A major health problem in childhood is vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) [1]. Since VUR may have negative effects on kidneys it is important to detect the VUR as soon as possible [2]. In this regard voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) and direct radionuclide cystography (DRC) are common methods to diagnose and follow VUR [1, 3]. Voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) have some disadvantages i.e. high gonadal radiation compared with DRC [4]. Instead, direct radionuclide cystography (DRC) has been proposed to detect VUR with better detection of intermittent reflux. However, this method is suffering from the lack of enough anatomical details [5–7]. Our case report showed the importance of careful attention to correct catheterization (Fig. 1 and 2). Catheter
insertion in the vagina has been reported before during VCUG and this pitfall should always be borne in mind in case of DRC procedure especially in those with an unusual pattern of tracer distribution.
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