Effect of CT misalignment on attenuation — corrected myocardial perfusion SPECT
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Use of CT based attenuation correction (AC) for myocardial perfusion SPECT (MPS) is growing fast due to a rapid development of hybrid SPECT/CT systems. SPECT and CT studies are performed in a sequential way extending total study acquisition and making a patient movement more likely. The present work aims at answering the question how large misalignment between SPECT and CT studies should be considered significant and how often those misregistrations are observed.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: A retrospective study applying AC was performed in 107 patients who had coronary angiography (CA) performed within 3 months. Patients underwent a stress/rest Tc-99m MIBI 2 day SPECT/CT myocardial perfusion study. In case of SPECT and CT misalignment CT slices were shifted manually; shifts along 3 axes were recorded and after realignment a repeat reconstruction was performed. Euclidean distance of misalignment was also calculated. Images were analyzed by two experienced nuclear medicine specialists (consensus) applying visual semiquantitative method. Perfusion of three arteries was scored using a 5 grade scale. CA results were used as a reference for MPS findings.

RESULTS: In 47 patients (44%) CT realignment was necessary. CT was shifted mostly along x and y axes, and less often along z axis. Euclidean distance S exceeded 2 pixels in 3 stress and 2 rest studies. Only in 7 patients changes of scores assigned to coronary vessels were noted as a result of CT realignment. These changes concerned 9 vessel areas. In 7 out of 9 cases changes were noted toward a better agreement with results of CA. Only in one patient, with stress S > 3 pixels and negative result of CA, CT realignment changed vessel area score significantly, from probably abnormal to normal.

CONCLUSIONS: Only misalignments large enough, exceeding 2–3 pixels, have negative impact on attenuation corrected images. Such misalignments are rare, in our material were observed in 3 stress and 2 rest studies (3% and 2% of all studies, respectively). Only in one patient (below 1% of all studied patients) CT misalignment caused a significant study misinterpretation. Although alignment of SPECT and CT studies should be checked in every patient, small misalignments do not affect study interpretation.
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Background

Myocardial perfusion SPECT (MPS) is a widely accepted diagnostic tool applied in the management of patients with coronary artery disease. This imaging modality plays an important role in diagnosing cardiovascular disease, establishing prognosis, assessing the effectiveness of therapy, and evaluating viability. Whereas MPS is a valuable diagnostic tool, it is also a complex imaging process, which exposes it to several potential pitfalls and artifacts that can limit the utility of the study [1]. One important source of artifacts is attenuation of gamma rays inside a patient body. For this reason attenuation correction is one of key factors affecting diagnostic accuracy of the method. At first several types of systems with transmission hardware and external radionuclide sources were applied for this purpose [2–6]. Recently, as a result of a rapid development of hybrid SPECT/CT systems, attenuation correction of myocardial perfusion studies makes use of maps obtained by transmission of X-rays [7–10]. This CT-based correction has a major advantage over radionuclide source methods: a much higher number of collected photons resulting in better quality of correction maps and therefore also attenuation — corrected SPECT images. On the other hand, SPECT and CT studies are performed in a sequential way extending total study acquisition and making
a patient movement more likely. In the literature several publications on frequency and severity of misalignment between SPECT and CT studies as well as major sources of artifacts in corrected images can be found [11–18]. Some of those studies made use of heart phantoms [16], another ones of patient studies [13–15, 17] or both — phantoms and patients [11, 12, 18]. Authors of those studies present very diverse opinions on the effect of misalignment between SPECT and CT studies on attenuation — corrected perfusion images. Some of them [11, 12] claim that even a misalignment as small as half a pixel can cause visible differences between attenuation — corrected images before and after CT and SPECT alignment. On the other hand, Kennedy et al. [13] present opinion that only misalignments as large as 3 pixels should be considered significant. To our knowledge, no communication verifying those opinions concerning SPECT and CT misalignment is available yet.

The present work aims at answering the question how large misalignment should be considered significant. The study uses myocardial perfusion images of patients with known results of coronary angiography, without post-infarction scars, in order to avoid ambiguity caused by additional perfusion defects in images.

**Material and methods**

A retrospective analysis of 107 patients with known or suspected CAD, without clinical history, electrocardiographic or echocardiographic signs of a previous myocardial infarction or any other factors affecting myocardial perfusion, like cardiomyopathy, severe aortic valve disease or left bundle branch block, referred for stress-rest MPS in the years 2010–2013 was performed. All patients underwent coronary angiography less than 3 months before or after MPS. A study group consisted of 65 males and 42 females. The clinical and demographic characteristics of patients are presented in Table 1. The study was approved by the Medical University Bioethics Committee.

**Study protocol**

Patients underwent a stress/rest 2 day myocardial perfusion imaging. In 82 (77%) patients an exercise stress testing according to Bruce protocol was performed and the remaining ones had a dipyridamole infusion (0.56–0.84 mg/kg in 4 min.). Beta blockers, nitrates, calcium channel blockers and trimetazidine were discontinued 48 hours before a stress study. Radiochemical — technetium-99m methoxyisobutylisonitril (MIBI), in activity of 0.3 mCi (11 MBq) per kilogram of body mass, was administered intravenously at peak stress or 3–6 minutes after dipyridamole infusion. After administration of a radiopharmaceutical patients ate a fatty meal to accelerate hepatobiliary clearance of the tracer. Patients were instructed not to move during the whole study.

Stress study acquisition was started 45 minutes and rest study — 1 hour after administration of a radiopharmaceutical. Studies were performed with a hybrid Infinia Hawkeye (GE) camera, equipped with high resolution collimators. Study protocol consisted of acquisition of 60 projections in a matrix 64 × 64 (zoom 1.28, pixel size 6.9 mm). After completion of emission study a low dose CT study of thorax was conducted (140 KV, 2.5 mA) in order to obtain a map of attenuation coefficients. SPECT studies were reconstructed with OSEM reconstruction method (2 iterations, 10 subsets), applying attenuation correction. Every time an OSEM reconstruction with attenuation correction was applied, an alignment of emission and transmission slices was visually checked. In case of misalignment CT slices were manually shifted making use of an ACCC tool; shifts along three axes (z, y, x) were recorded (in millimeters) and after a proper alignment a repeat reconstruction was performed. In addition, the Euclidean distance of misalignment in all 3 dimensions of space (square root of a sum of squares of shifts along 3 axes) was calculated. A technician reconstructing studies was unaware of results of patients’ coronary angiography.

**Image analysis**

Reconstructed slices were analyzed by two nuclear medicine specialists (a consensus) applying a visual semi-quantitative method. The method was based on a standard assignment of myocardium to three main coronary arteries, in a way recommended by the American Heart Association [19]. Additionally, all slices in two perpendicular planes (sagittal and coronal) were also inspected according to the areas of coronary blood supply. Perfusion of three arteries was scored with the use of a 0 to 4 numerical scale: 0 — definitely normal, 1 — probably normal, 2 — equivocal, 3 — probably abnormal and 4 — definitely abnormal. When a CT study needed alignment with SPECT study, attenuation corrected images obtained before and after CT realignment were displayed next to each other to allow for a direct comparison between them. Interpreters were unaware of results of coronary angiography.

**Coronary angiography**

Invasive contrast coronary angiography was performed according to standard percutaneous techniques, with each arterial segment visualized in at least 2 perpendicular planes. Angiograms were analyzed by experienced angiographers unaware of SPECT imaging findings. Significant CAD was defined as ≥ 70% lumen diameter narrowing by visual inspection in at least one of the three coronary arteries and ≥ 50% in the main left coronary artery. Coronary angiography findings were subsequently used as a reference for the results of attenuation-corrected myocardial perfusion study.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were described in terms of frequencies of occurrence and also mean values and standard deviations.

**Results**

**Coronary angiography**

Coronary angiography revealed no critical stenosis in 65 patients and in the remaining 42 — critical stenosis of 1 (28 patients), 2 (11, including 2 patients with critically stenosed left main artery) and 3 (3 patients) coronary arteries.

---

**Table 1. Characteristics of patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>62 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.5 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean echocardiographic ejection fraction [%]</td>
<td>61 ± 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In 47 patients (44% of the whole studied group) CT realignment was considered necessary. CT shift was carried out mostly along x and y axes (Table 2), and less often along z axis. CT realignments were made along axes in both directions, although in case of x axis (left–right) mostly in positive (left) direction (52 from among 58 all shifts along x axis), along y axis also mostly in positive (dorsal) direction (41 of 50 all shifts along this axis), whereas in case of shifts along z axis — in majority of cases the shift was carried out in negative (cerebral) direction (in 24 of 27 cases). Mean and maximal CT shifts in positive direction of x axis (left) were equal to 5.2 mm (0.75 pixel) and 16.2 mm (2.4 pixels), respectively, in positive (dorsal) direction of y axis — 5.3 mm (0.8 pixel) and 8.2 mm (1.2 pixel), respectively, and in negative (cerebral) direction of z axis — 7.5 mm (1.1 pixel) and 23 mm (3.3 pixels), respectively.

Realignment of CT study was necessary in 39 stress and 41 rest studies; in 33 patients realignment of both studies was necessary, in 6 — of only stress and 8 — only rest. Realignment of CT was made most frequently along 2 axes (in 53% of all studies; Table 2), less frequently along one (29%) and least often along all 3 (19% of studies) axes. Mean and maximal Euclidean distance of CT shift in stress studies were equal to 8.53 mm (1.24 pixel) and 45.6 mm (6.6 pixels), respectively and in rest studies — 6.3 mm (0.92 pixel) and 21 mm (3.1 pixels), respectively. CT vs. SPECT realignment Euclidean distances in stress and rest studies are presented in Table 3. CT realignment was necessary in 15 patients with positive and 32 patients with negative result of coronary angiography.

From among 47 patients in whom CT realignment was considered necessary only in 7 patients changes of scores assigned to coronary vessel areas were noted (Table 4). These changes concerned 9 vessel areas. In 2 patients (Table 4, no. 1 and 2) without stenoses of coronary arteries CT realignment modified slightly scores assigned to LAD blood supply area, from probably to definitely normal. In the third patient (Table 4, no. 3) a change of score assigned to Cx area was significant, from probably abnormal to definitely normal. In case of a patient no. 4, with a 50% stenosis of the left main coronary artery and 90% ostial stenosis of LAD, score assigned to left anterior descending artery remained the same — abnormal after CT realignment and was minimally changed in Cx area. In a patient no. 5 with positive result of coronary angiography (occluded RCA) CT realignment changed the RCA score from equivocal to probably normal. In a patient no. 6 with a 70% stenosis of a dominant Cx, right after branching of a first marginal artery being also stenosed ostially in 75%, CT realignment changed slightly an area score (from 4 to 3) which was assigned in both cases to RCA (differentiation between RCA and Cx areas in myocardial perfusion study is difficult in some patients). In the last patient (no. 7) with 75% stenosis of RCA, CT realignment did not change a score (abnormal) assigned to this vessel area, but enhanced doubts concerning LAD area (shift of a score from rather abnormal to equivocal) and reduced doubts (from equivocal to rather normal) concerning Cx artery area. In effect, out of 9 changes of scores assigned to vessel areas as a result of CT realignment, in 7 cases changes were noted toward a better agreement with results of coronary angiography (meaning the best agreement to be 0 for unstenosed and 4 for stenosed vessel).

Only in one patient (no. 3), with negative result of coronary angiography, CT realignment changed a score assigned to a vessel (Cx) significantly, from 3 (probably abnormal) to 0 (normal). Euclidean distance of CT misalignment in this patient was equal to 3.3 pixels in stress study and 1.9 pixels in rest study (Fig. 1). On the other hand, in a patient no. 6, with the largest CT misalignment (stress and rest Euclidean distances equal to 6.6 and 3.2 pixels, respectively) it affected only slightly scores assigned to particular arteries. This effect was observed in a patient with a positive result of coronary angiography, with evident perfusion defects in uncorrected study, which were only slightly affected by attenuation correction. In the only patient with normal coronary vessels and stress CT misalignment equal to 2 pixels (Table 3) and rest — 1.7 pixels no visible difference before and after CT realignment in attenuation corrected images was observed.

In summary, in the studied material of 107 patients changes of scores assigned to coronary artery areas as a result of CT realignment were observed in 7 (6.5%) patients. Although CT misalignment exceeded 1 pixel in stress study of 21 patients and rest study of 18 patients (Table 3), only in one patient (below 1% of all studied patients) CT misalignment caused a significant study misinterpretation. This patient formed 1.5% of all patients with negative

Table 2. Directions and numbers of axes along which CT studies were realigned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directions (axes) of realignment</th>
<th>Number of stress studies</th>
<th>Number of rest studies</th>
<th>Together</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>29 (74)</td>
<td>29 (71)</td>
<td>58 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>27 (69)</td>
<td>23 (56)</td>
<td>50 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>10 (26)</td>
<td>17 (41)</td>
<td>27 (34)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of axes</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 (29%)</td>
<td>10 (25%)</td>
<td>6 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (59%)</td>
<td>23 (59%)</td>
<td>19 (46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 (53%)</td>
<td>19 (46%)</td>
<td>9 (22%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 (29%)</td>
<td>15 (29%)</td>
<td>15 (29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Per cent values calculated in relation to numbers of studies with necessary CT realignment (39 stress and 41 rest studies), x — left–right, y — ventro–dorsal, z — caudal–cephalad directions.

Table 3. Misalignment of CT vs. SPECT studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers of misaligned studies</th>
<th>Stress study</th>
<th>Rest study</th>
<th>Stress study</th>
<th>Rest study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients</td>
<td>18 (46%)</td>
<td>23 (56%)</td>
<td>11 (44%)</td>
<td>18 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients without critical stenoses of coronary vessels</td>
<td>18 (46%)</td>
<td>16 (39%)</td>
<td>12 (48%)</td>
<td>12 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 pixel ≤ S &lt; 2 pixels</td>
<td>18 (46%)</td>
<td>16 (39%)</td>
<td>12 (48%)</td>
<td>12 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 pixels ≤ S &lt; 3 pixels</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S ≥ 3 pixels</td>
<td>2 (5%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Per cent values calculated in relation to numbers of studies with necessary CT realignment, S — Euclidean distance in pixels.
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results of coronary angiography and 2% of patients with necessary CT realignment.

**Discussion**

Although many articles validating attenuation correction applied to myocardial perfusion imaging can be found in the literature [2, 7, 20–22], publications questioning a positive impact of this correction, at least in some subgroups of patients, can be also found [3, 5, 8, 9, 23]. In spite of these objections application of attenuation correction becomes widely practiced, in compliance with the common guidelines of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine, the European Society of Cardiac Radiology and the European Council of Nuclear Cardiology [24].

Under these circumstances it appears necessary to determine whether misalignment of CT and SPECT studies affects attenuation corrected perfusion images and how large misregistration should be considered significant. The question how large misalignment between SPECT and CT studies changes interpretation of attenuation corrected images has not been determined so far. Recent publications on the question of misalignment between imaging modalities in myocardial perfusion studies concern only PET/CT images [25, 26].

Unlike other surveys on the effect of CT and SPECT misalignment on attenuation corrected myocardial perfusion images, our study was performed in a group of patients with known results of coronary angiography, which were later used as a verification method for the correctness of CT realignment, that appears to be a very subjective

---

**Table 4. List of patients in whom CT realignment changed scores assigned to three main coronary artery areas. Directions of realignment: +x left, –x right; +y dorsal, –y ventral; +z caudal, –z cephalad. Only in one patient (no. 3) CT realignment significantly changed a Cx score, from 3 (probably abnormal) to 0 (normal)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>CT realignment</th>
<th>Myocardial perfusion study</th>
<th>Coronary angiography</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>CT before</td>
<td>CT after</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>realignment</td>
<td>realignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x [pixels]</td>
<td>y [pixels]</td>
<td>z [pixels]</td>
<td>r [pixels]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>1 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.4 0 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>1 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.8 –0.6 0.5 1.2</td>
<td>0.7 –0.2 0.7 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>3 0 0 0</td>
<td>2.4 0 –2.3 3.3</td>
<td>0.8 0 –1.7 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>4 2 0 1</td>
<td>1 0 –1 1.4</td>
<td>0.8 0 –1 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>0 0 2 3</td>
<td>0.5 0.5 0 0.7</td>
<td>0.6 0 –0.5 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>0 1 4 3</td>
<td>–5.5 1.5 –3.3 6.6</td>
<td>–2 0.8 –2.3 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>1 2 4 2</td>
<td>0.8 1 0 1.3</td>
<td>0.8 0.8 0 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*+ critically stenosed vessel, – no critical stenosis*

---

**Figure 1.** Effect of CT misalignment and CT realignment on myocardial perfusion images. Overlapping of myocardium in SPECT study with left lung in CT caused a false defect in attenuation corrected perfusion images (arrows). After CT realignment perfusion defect disappeared (patient no. 3 in Table 4)
action. The study was made on a material of patients without a his-
tory of myocardial infarction in order to allow unequivocal evaluation
of the impact of CT and SPECT misalignment on the agreement of
attenuation corrected study outcome with coronary angiography.
Post-infarction scars could hinder this evaluation.

The present study provided information on frequency and mag-
nitude of CT and SPECT misalignment. Generally, authors of com-
munications on this issue agree that this misalignment is observed
often, from 20.5% [27] through 42% (moderate to severe misalign-
ment) in the article by Goetze et al. [14], two third of studies with
misalignment exceeding one pixel [15], to 73% of studies with
misalignment larger than one pixel and 23% with misalignment
exceeding two pixels [13]. Our results are within the published
data, with frequency of misalignment exceeding 40%. A significant
dispersion of published frequencies can be attributed to different
experience of technicians reconstructing studies, various popula-
tions of patients, different study protocols (1-day protocol may
be more exhausting for a patient and make him more prone to
movement). Significant differences between published data can
be also caused by a subjectivity of a manual method of SPECT
and CT realignment, with additional suboptimal quality of low-dose
CT. In spite of efforts made toward automation of CT and SPECT
realignment [28] automatic methods for realignment are still not
offered in commercially available software used for reconstruction
of myocardial perfusion SPECT.

CT images needed shifts mostly in a left side (along x axis), in
a dorsal side (along y axis) and in a cephalad side (along z axis).
Misalignment along x axis was probably an effect of patient move-
ment, along z axis — could also result from patient breathing move-
ment. However, misalignment along y axis, in majority (about 4/5) of
cases in a ventral direction, can be probably explained by additional
deflection of a bed being placed in a position proper for CT study.

Realignment of CT images first of all was made in order to avoid
overlapping of myocardium in SPECT study on lungs on CT ima-
ges. This overlapping could distort attenuation corrected perfusion
images due to a substantially lower density of this organ. In case
of patient movement in a right direction (along x axis) this is espe-
cially true for a lateral wall of myocardium and in a caudal direc-
tion (along z axis) — for anterior wall. In general, it is important for
a myocardium in SPECT image not to overlap evidently with a left
lung on CT study because it is a source of improper attenuation
correction due to a lower attenuation coefficient of the lung. In our
material substantial part of changes of artery scores caused by CT
realignment (Table 4) was noted in antero-septal wall (supplied with
blood by LAD) — 3 patients and lateral wall (Cx) — 4 patients. It
resulted from overlapping of myocardium in SPECT study with left
lung in CT images and needed CT realignment.

In effect, although misalignment of SPECT and CT was found
in a substantial part of studies, its significant impact on attenuation
corrected study result was observed in only one patient (< 1% of
all studied patients and 1.5% of patients without critical stenoses
of main coronary arteries). In the remaining 6 patients (Table 4) the
impact of CT realignment on the final outcome of attenuation-cor-
corrected SPECT study was quite insignificant. A large misalignment
in one patient (Table 4, no. 6) did not change a study result signifi-
cantly because this patient had a considerable perfusion defect and
a positive result of a coronary angiography. In one female patient
(Table 4, no. 1) a minor change of a score assigned to LAD perfu-
sion area was observed although CT misalignment was found only
in a rest study and it was as small as 3.6 mm. This change might
have been caused by subjective factors affecting results of a manual
study processing and might not have been a direct consequence
of SPECT and CT misalignment. One should keep in mind that
after CT realignment a study needs to be reconstructed again, so
changes in a final study outcome may also be a consequence of
e.g. different orientation of myocardial axes.

However, in the remaining majority of patients with CT misalign-
ment exceeding 1 pixel (16 out of 21 stress and 13 out of 18 rest
studies, Table 3 and 4) no effect of CT realignment on attenuation
— corrected myocardial perfusion images has been observed. It
seems that our results confirm opinions presented by Kennedy et al.
[13] that only misalignments exceeding 3 pixels should be consid-
ered significant rather than views shared by another authors [11, 12]
that even a misalignment as small as half a pixel may be a source of
significant change of tracer distribution within attenuation corrected
images of a myocardium. If it were true that a misalignment smaller
than one pixel significantly affected attenuation corrected images,
as was shown in publications by Fricke et al. and Matsunari et al.
in phantom studies [11, 12], attenuation corrected images would be
affected by remarkable random errors. This point has been
emphasized by Nichols in his editorial concerning this issue [29].
Results of our work show that only misalignments large enough
have negative impact on attenuation corrected images. At the same
time, large misalignments between CT and SPECT studies are rare;
in our material misalignments exceeding 2 pixels were observed in
3 stress and 2 rest studies (3% and 2% of all studies, respectively)
and exceeding 3 pixels — in 2 stress and 1 rest studies (2% and
1%, respectively).

The fact that in 7 out of 9 vessel scores changes were noted
toward a better agreement with results of coronary angiography
speaks in favor of the method of CT realignment in spite of a sig-
nificant subjectivity of a manual method applied for realignment of
these two imaging modalities.

A method applied in our work for visual assessment of perfu-
sion images, based on evaluation of blood supply areas of three
coronary arteries has been applied in numerous articles [2, 7, 8, 10].
This method is more useful in detection of small differences in tracer
distribution than a segment method [19], because it takes into
account all slices in three planes, not only selected transversal sices.
Moreover, this way of image assessment enabled a direct
comparison between myocardial perfusion images and coronary
angiography which is also evaluated by arteries. Images before
and after CT realignment were compared directly, side by side,
otherwise small differences would have disappeared in a noise
introduced by subjectivity of a visual analysis.

Conclusions

Only misalignments large enough, exceeding 2–3 pixels, have
negative impact on attenuation corrected images. Such misalign-
ments are rare, in our material were observed in 3 stress and 2 rest
studies (3% and 2% of all studies, respectively). Only in one patient
(< 1% of all studied patients) CT misalignment caused a significant
study misinterpretation. Although alignment of SPECT and CT stud-
ies should be checked in every patient, small misalignments do not
affect study interpretation.
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