New method to assess manual lymph drainage using lymphoscintigraphy
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to describe a new variation of the technique to evaluate lymph drainage utilizing lymphoscintigraphy. A LS scan marks the route of lymphatic vessels and may be used to assess both manual lymph drainage and lymph drainage after using some apparatuses. This evaluation may be dynamic, collecting images whilst performing lymph drainage or static, with scans before and after the lymph drainage procedure. KEY words: lymphoscintigraphy, manual lymph drainage, lymphedema
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Introduction

Manual lymph drainage was first described in 1936 by Emil and Estrid Vodder with a number of adherents helping to publicize their technique [1–3]. Over the last few decades, with the incorporation of the technique as an essential part of lymphedema treatment, physicians started to stimulate its use by physiotherapists and other professionals including occupational therapists and nurses. However, variations of this technique have appeared which need to be evaluated, as one of the criticisms related to lymph drainage techniques is the lack of studies that prove the efficiency of these variations.

We performed lymphoscintigraphy after injecting 0.4 mL of dextran marked with technetium-99m intradermally between the 2nd and 3rd fingers of both hands. The patient was placed in the dorsal decubitus position with the arms elevated but placed above the head on the examination table.

The examination was dynamic over 40 minutes with images at 60 sec intervals (Figure 1) followed by a scan of the arms (Figure 2). After this scan evaluation a second dynamic study as above followed during lymph drainage, to show the flow of the radionuclide to the axillary lymph nodes (Figure 3). Finally another scan of the arms was performed to analyze the quality of the images (Figure...
The study was approved by the Local Ethics Research Committee and the patient signed a written consent form.

Figure 1 shows the baseline levels relative lymphedema in right arm (curve in yellow) and Figure 3 shows the variations after performing lymph drainage.

The quantitative alterations were evaluated by the change in the number of particles that reached the axillar region after the start of lymph drainage. The images were analyzed by two nuclear physicians and one lymphologist.
As is evident in Figures 2 (before) and 4 (after 2 minutes of lymph drainage), lymphedema in right arm demonstrate a reduction in the dermal reflux and the concentration of radioisotope in lymphatic collectors.

The current study describes a new technique to assess the efficacy of lymph drainage that has not been published in the ISI, Scopus and PubMed Electronic Databases. It is different from conventional evaluation methods because it assesses the efficiency during (dynamic) and immediately after drainage (static). Dynamic evaluation will measure variations of lymph obstruction at the lymph nodes or lymphatic vessels displacement.

The post-treatment scan shows the efficacy of lymph drainage and dermal reflux, by counting regions of interest over the affected and the non affected arm for comparison in order to identify lymph drainage obstruction. Evaluation using this technique requires standardization so that similar parameters are compared.
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