

Original article

Implementation of a population-based breast cancer prevention program in Poland before, during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. Poland in comparison with other countries

Mariola W. Borowska¹, Urszula Religioni², Anna Augustynowicz^{1, 2}

¹Department of Economics of Health and Medical Law, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland ²School of Public Health, Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

Introduction. Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor in women in Poland and in the world. It accounts for about 24% of all cancer cases in Polish women. The aim of this study was to analyze the coverage of the population eligible for a population-based breast cancer prevention program in Poland before, during and after the COVID-19 pandemic, and to compare the Polish data with data from other countries around the world.

Material and methods. The study was based on epidemiological data related to the performance of mammography examinations among Polish women under the breast cancer prevention program. The results were compared with data from other countries around the world.

Results. In the years 2014–2022, a significant decrease was observed in the number of mammography examinations among Polish women under the population-based breast cancer prevention program.

Conclusions. A continuous decrease in the number of preventive examinations in Poland is related not only to the COVID-19 pandemic, but also to the discontinuation of sending paper invitations for mammography under the breast cancer prevention program.

Key words: breast cancer, prevention, cancer

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor in women in Poland and in the world [1, 2]. It accounts for about 24% of all cancer cases in Polish women [1]. It is more common after menopause than before. The risk of developing the disease increases after the age of 50. An analysis of incidence rates in individual age groups showed a significant increase in the group of patients aged 50–69 years [3]. Risk factors for breast cancer include:

- age 50–69,
- breast cancer in the family (the degree of risk depends on the number of cases in the family and the degree of relatedness with the ill person),
- mutations found in the BRCA1 and/or BRCA2 genes,

How to cite:

Borowska MW, Religioni U, Augustynowicz A. Implementation of a population-based breast cancer prevention program in Poland before, during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. Poland in comparison with other countries. NOWOTWORY J Oncol 2023; 73: 122–128.

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

- early menarche before the age of 12,
- late menopause after the age of 55,
- having a child after the age of 35,
- childlessness,
- alcohol consumption,
- obesity among postmenopausal [2, 4, 5].

The etiology of breast cancer is still not sufficiently clear. The same cancer can be induced by several or even a dozen or so carcinogenic factors. More and more frequently, genetic determinants are indicated as risk factors for developing breast cancer: about 10% of breast cancer cases in Poland occur in women with mutations in genes, most often in BRCA1 [6-8]. It is of key importance to detect cancer at the earliest stage of its development. Mammography is a medical examination that allows the diagnosis of pathological changes in the breast tissue. Its sensitivity is the highest of all tests, and it is estimated at 90–95% in postmenopausal women. In the group of women aged 50–69 who had mammography examinations every year or every 2 years, there was a 25–30% reduction in mortality. It is recommended to perform a mammography in 2 projections: every 2 years in women from the low-risk group who are aged 50–69, and every year in women from the high-risk group I.

In populations where preventive examinations are not performed, there is a high mortality rate due to advanced invasive breast cancer. Invasive breast cancer requires costly treatment. Depending on the clinical stage of advancement, surgical treatment (breast amputation), radiotherapy or systemic treatment (chemotherapy, hormone therapy) is applied. All these treatment methods are very expensive [9].

In the USA and in the countries of the European Union, it was recognized that the most effective method to reduce breast cancer incidence and to improve the results of malignant tumor treatment are national cancer control programs. These programs are financed from the state budget, and apart from population screening, they involve the purchase of modern diagnostic and therapeutic equipment and educational activities among the general population and medical staff [10–12].

According to data from the World Health Organization, the incidence of cancer is mainly influenced by three factors: lifestyle, genes and the environment. The World Health Organization's estimates on the possibility of cancer prevention suggest that even 30% to 50% of cancer cases can be prevented by avoiding risk factors. These factors include:

- smoking,
- excessive body weight (obesity),
- · improper diet,
- alcohol,
- low physical activity,
- lack of vaccinations [2, 5].

According to the latest report published in November 2022 by the Sarcoma Association for Sarcomas and Melanomas, as many as 39% of Polish women and men do not know that free of charge, National Health Fund-financed, preventive examinations detecting cancer at an early stage are available in Poland. Almost half of Polish men and women cannot name any preventive examination for cancer. As many as 2 out of 3 people do not perform regular preventive examinations for cancer. Unfortunately, the report also shows that every fifth Pole believes that prophylaxis will not save their life [13].

The prevention program for early detection of breast cancer in Poland, which is financed by the National Health Fund, has been developed for women aged 50–69 who have not had a mammography examination in the last 24 months and for those who have received a written recommendation to repeat the mammography examination after 12 months. The reason to repeat the mammography may be risk factors: breast cancer in family members (mother, sister or daughter) and mutations in the *BRCA1* and/or *BRCA2* genes. A mammography examination is free of charge and does not require a doctor's referral. The aim of the program is to reduce the mortality rate due to breast cancer to the level achieved in the leading European Union countries, to increase the level of knowledge among women about breast cancer prevention and to introduce rules of diagnostic procedures across the country [14, 15].

The aim of the study was to analyze the coverage of the population eligible for the population-based breast cancer prevention program in Poland before, during and after the COVID-19 pandemic, and to compare Polish data with data from other countries around the world.

Material and methods

The material consists of epidemiological data on the performance of mammography examinations in Polish women under the population breast cancer prevention program in the years 2014–2022. The data are obtained from monthly reports published by the National Health Fund [15]. The prevention program for early breast cancer detection is addressed to women aged 50–69 who have not had a mammography examination in the last 24 months and to those who have received a written recommendation to repeat a mammography examination after 12 months.

The aim of the program is to reduce the mortality rate due to breast cancer to the level achieved in the leading European Union countries. The study is also based on epidemiological data on preventive screenings for early detection of breast cancer in other countries around the world, obtained from the Health at Glance 2021 Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development report (OECD) [2].

Results

Every year, a population of over five million women aged 50–69 is eligible for a preventive mammographic examination under the Population Breast Cancer Prevention Program (tab. l).

The highest percentage of the population was covered by preventive mammography examinations in 2014 (over
 Table I. Population coverage in women aged 50–69 by prophylactic

 mammography as part of the Population-based Breast Cancer Prevention

 Program 2014–2022 in Poland

	Females				
Year	eligible	excluded from the program	who took part in the research		
2014	5,337,265	2,389,269	44.77%		
2015	5,404,594	2,381,783	44.07%		
2016	5,428,839	2,270,033	41.81%		
2017	5,425,011	2,141,972	39.27%		
2018	5,393,354	2,092,405	38.51%		
2019	5,378,866	2,057,447	37.78%		
2020	5,352,470	1,848,381	34.89%		
2021	5,334,865	1,771,513	33.72%		
2022	5,401,858	2,016,543	35.90%		

Source: Narodowy Fundusz Zdrowia

44% population coverage). In the following years, a decrease in the percentage of women aged 50–69 was observed with regard to the performance of mammography examinations. The lowest percentage was observed in the years 2020 and 2021, during the COVID-19 pandemic. Then, in 2022, about 36% of eligible women were examined under the Population Breast Cancer Prevention Program. One of the most probable and impactful factors for the systematic decrease in the percentage of women reporting for mammography examinations under the population breast cancer prevention program is the discontinuation of sending paper invitations to patients, among other reasons. The lowest values were reported during the COVID-19 pandemic due to the limited access to medical facilities, patient isolation and patient fear related not only to the examination itself, but also to the possibility of contracting the SARS-CoV-2 virus during the examination.

Polish women can do mammography examinations not only under the population-based breast cancer prevention program that is addressed to women aged 50–69, but also under outpatient specialist care and under health policy programs implemented by local government units at all levels, which are developed, implemented and financed by provinces, districts and communes. Health policy programs for breast cancer prevention involve not only mammography but also ultrasound examinations for younger women, educational activities addressed to all age groups, and therapeutic programs addressed to women who suffer from cancer and often have already had a mastectomy.

The total percentage of mammography examinations that are performed in Poland and OECD countries is presented in table II, which includes data from the years 2009 and 2019, and in the case of several countries, data from the year 2020, and the average of 61.7% in OECD countries in 2019.
 Table II. Mammography screening in women aged 50–69 within the past

 2 years, 2009, 2019 (or nearest years) and 2020

Lesstion	2000	2010	2020
Location	2009	2019	2020
Sweden ²	90.4%	95.2%	
Denmark ¹	77.4%	83.2%	72.00/
Spain ²	73.3%	81.5%	73.8%
Finland ¹	85.5%	81.3%	
Portugal ²	84.2%	80.2%	
Slovenia ¹	85.1%	76.8%	74.3%
United States ²	80.4%	76.5%	
Netherlands ¹	82.2%	76.1%	
United Kingdom ¹	76.8%	75.1%	
Austria ²	72.7%	74.5%	
Israel ¹	68.6%	72.1%	
Ireland ¹	75.7%	71.6%	
Norway ¹	71.9%	71.6%	
New Zealand ¹	66.9%	71.5%	68.3%
Korea ¹	55.1%	70.2%	
Greece ²	49.6%	65.7%	
Canada ¹	52.8%	62.0%	
OECD36	58.2%	61.7%	
Czech Republic ¹	48.4%	60.9%	
Italy ¹	60.0%	60.7%	
Belgium ¹	62.5%	60.2%	
Iceland ¹³	60.7%	60.0%	62.0%
Estonia ¹	52.0%	55.9%	
Luxembourg ^{1 3}	63.6%	55,1%	
Australia ¹	56.2%	54.5%	
Poland ²	57.1%	53.7%	
Lithuania ¹	25.6%	52.9%	45.3%
Germany ¹	54.4%	50.1%	
Switzerland ²	47.4%	49.0%	
France ¹	52.7%	48.8%	
Mexico ¹	17.8%	45.4%	
Japan ²	36.4%	44.6%	
Chile ¹	19.3%	40.1%	36.3%
Latvia ¹	21.1%	39.1%	
Hungary ¹	47.0%	39.1%	
Turkey ¹	30.2%	36.0%	26.9%
Slovakia ¹	34.9%	31.0%	

¹ programme data; ² survey data; * 3 year average. Source: OECD Health Statistics 2021

The average performance of preventive mammography among women aged 50-69 in OECD countries was 61.7% in 2019. The highest percentage of mammography examinations was carried out in Sweden (95.2%), Denmark, Spain, Finland and Portugal (values above 80%: 83.2%, 81.5%, 81.3%, 80.2%, respectively). The lowest percentage of mammography examinations was carried out in Latvia. Hungary, Turkey and Slovakia (39.1%, 39.1%, 36.0%, 31.0%, respectively). Data for the year 2020 are incomplete due to the lack of reporting related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Countries that gathered data that were later developed by the OECD were: Spain, Slovenia, New Zealand, Iceland, Lithuania, Chile and Turkey. The highest percentage was reported in Slovenia (74.3%) and Spain (73.8%), and the lowest in Turkey (26.9%). Comparison of the data from 2019 and 2020 showed a decrease in the performance of mammography examinations among women of all countries except Iceland (a different method of reporting). Regarding Poland, the coverage value of 53.7% that was reported by the OECD in 2019 was lower than the OECD average.

The availability of preventive mammography is also related to the number of mammograms available in Poland. Table III presents the number of mammography machines in OECD countries per million inhabitants as of 2021, broken down by outpatient care facilities and hospitals, as well as the summary results.

The biggest number of mammograms in the OECD countries was reported in the United States of America (7,720 per 1,000,000 inhabitants), Greece (68,790 per 1,000,000 inhabitants) and Korea (65,090 per 1,000,000 inhabitants). This is also related to the availability of examinations and the percentage of women who regularly perform preventive mammography examinations. The lowest percentage (in total) was reported in Mexico (9,570 per 1,000,000 inhabitants), Poland (10,260 per 1,000,000 inhabitants) and the Czech Republic (10,560 per 1,000,000 inhabitants).

Discussion

The report *Health at a Glance 2021* shows that the cancer incidence rate in Poland is still relatively low and on average it amounts to 267 persons per 100,000 inhabitants. This rate is lower than in the majority of OECD countries, where the average is 294 persons/100,000. In turn, the cancer mortality rate in Poland is one of the highest in OECD countries and amounts to 228 deaths per 100,000 inhabitants, with an average of 191 deaths per 100,000 population. Poland lags behind other OECD countries with regard to breast cancer diagnosis and treatment [2].

Since breast cancer is the cancer with the highest incidence among women in all OECD countries and the second most common cause of cancer-related deaths among women, a separate section of the *Health at a Glance 2021* report is devoted to this disease. Many OECD countries have implemented breast cancer screening programs, which led to an increase in the proportion of women having mammography examinations from 58.2% in 2009 to 61.7% in 2019. In Poland, an opposite trend can be observed: the share of women aged 50–69 who underwent mammography examinations in the last two years decreased from 57.1% in 2009 to 53.7% in 2019 (survey data).

According to data from the report, the COVID-19 pandemic reduced the popularity of breast cancer screening tests, which may have had a negative impact on the results of breast cancer treatment in the OECD countries. This can already be seen in the Netherlands, where screening tests were suspended during the first wave of COVID in 2020 and a higher percentage of patients diagnosed with advanced breast cancer was recorded, as compared to data from the equivalent time period in the previous two years [2]. According to data from OECD countries from the years 2010–2014, 51.5% of women with breast cancer were diagnosed at an early stage of the disease, and 8.6% were diagnosed at an advanced stage. In Poland, the rate of early detection of breast cancer is unfortunately low, and it amounts to 41.3%, which is more than 10 percentage points below the average for the OECD countries [2].

Studies conducted in many countries indicate that the level of health behavior is influenced by various sociodemographic factors, such as age, education, marital status, family situation, social origin and material status [16, 17]. In a study on the socio-demographic profile of women participating in mammography screening tests in Lower Silesia Province, reasons for the low performance of mammography examinations were indicated. They included factors such as place of residence, age, education and professional status. Women aged 55–59, with at least secondary education, mostly pensioners, underwent mammography examinations more frequently than the representatives of other age groups [18]. In 2010, the opinion of women living in villages in the Kuvavian-Pomeranian Province were examined with regards to the importance of breast cancer prevention. More than half of the respondents had never participated in preventive examinations. Most of the respondents admitted that knowledge about the importance of mammography checks, the occurrence of disturbing breast symptoms or medical recommendations did not sufficiently motivate them to participate in screening tests. The only factor that pushed them to do a mammography examination were disturbing changes in the mammary gland [19]. The population-based breast cancer prevention program is a relatively young program. It has been carried out since 2007 with no restrictions on the number of examinations and sending out invitations (paper invitations for examinations stopped being sent out in 2015) [20].

In Sweden, where the population coverage is very high (the highest in the OECD countries), preventive examinations are paid. In another Scandinavian country, if a woman fails to attend the examination after the third invitation, she receives information about an increase in the health insurance premiTable III. Mammography machines in ambulatory care providers / total / in hospitals, per 1,000,000 inhabitants, 2021 or latest available

Mexico2,1007,4709,570New Zealanddata not availabledata not available20,730Norwaydata not availabledata not available11,870Poland4,3805,88010,260	Location	In ambulatory care providers	In hospitals	Total
Belgium16,70019,5006,6400Canadadata not availabledata not available7,470Colombladata not available8,7208,720Costa Ricadata not available8,7208,720Cachia4,8605,70010,560Denmark1,83014,90015,920Estonia3,0108,27011,280Finlard0,00030,92030,920Francedata not available6,670data not availableGermanydata not available4,870data not availableGereaco5,637013,93068,790Italadata not availabledata not available6,670Korea5,7705,02010,780Italy16,69011,98022,890Italand3,71065,09011,080Livania7,51010,37011,680Korea2,1007,4709,572New Zoaladi4,3805,88010,200New Zoaladi4,3805,89010,200Sover Republic6,5609,01011,870Sover Republic5,6509,01014,700Sover Republic5,6509,01014,700Sover Republic5,6509,01014,700Sover Republic5,6509,01014,700Sover Republic5,6509,01014,700Sover Republic5,6509,01014,700Sover Republic5,6509,01014,700Sove	Australia	data not available	data not available	20,590
Canada data not available data not available 17,470 Colombia data not available 22,310 data not available Colombia data not available 8,720 8,720 Cacchia 4,860 5,700 10,660 Denmark 1,030 14,900 15,920 Estonia 3,010 8,270 11,280 Finland 0,000 30,920 30,920 Finland 0,000 30,920 30,920 Finland 0,000 30,920 30,920 Finland 0,000 30,920 30,920 Finland 0,000 44,870 data not available Gerece 44,870 13,930 66,790 Iteland 16,370 0,000 10,780 Iteland 16,370 30,200 10,780 Iteland 16,310 11,880 27,890 Iteland 16,310 11,580 27,890 Itelania 7,510 10,370 10,260	Austria	19,290	2,360	21,640
Colombiadata not available22.310data not availableCosta Ricadata not available8.7208.720Cacchia4.8605.70010.560Denmark1.03014.90015.920Estonia3.0108.27011.280Finland0.0003.09203.0920Francedata not available6.970data not availableGereanydata not available4.870data not availableGreace5.487013.93068.790Iteland16.3700.00016.370Iteland16.69019.19035.280Iteland16.69019.19035.280Italy16.69019.19035.280Italy16.69011.9307.790Italy16.31011.58027.890Italya7.51010.37017.880Italya16.31011.69011.020New Zealanddata not availabledata not availableNew Zealand6.2309.89016.120Storak Republic6.2309.89016.120Storak Republic5.6909.01014.700Spain2.60013.98016.570Svitzerlanddata not available16.70Svitzerland6.2309.89016.120Svitzerland6.2309.89016.120Svitzerland13.89016.57013.980Svitzerland6.410 ta vailable13.780Svitzerland6.26013.980 <td>Belgium</td> <td>16,700</td> <td>19,590</td> <td>36,400</td>	Belgium	16,700	19,590	36,400
Casta Rica data not available 8,20 8,70 Cacchia 4,860 5,700 0,660 Denmark 0,30 14,900 15,200 Estonia 3,010 8,270 11,280 Finland 0,000 30,920 30,920 Finne data not available 6,970 data not available Germany data not available 4,870 data not available Greece 54,870 13,930 68,790 Iteland 16,370 0,000 16,370 Iteland 16,370 5,020 10,780 Italy 16,990 19,190 35,280 Italy 16,390 11,580 27,890 Italy 16,310 11,580 27,890 Italy 16,310 11,300 11,300 Italy 16,310 11,300 11,300 Italy 16,310 11,300 11,300 Italy 16,310 11,300 11,300 Italy <t< td=""><td>Canada</td><td>data not available</td><td>data not available</td><td>17,470</td></t<>	Canada	data not available	data not available	17,470
Caechia 4,860 5,700 10,560 Denmark 1,030 14,900 15,920 Estonia 3,010 8,270 11,280 Finlard 0,000 30,920 30,920 Finne data not available 6,970 data not available Germany data not available 4,870 data not available Greece 54,870 13,930 68,790 Iteland 16,370 0,000 16,5370 Iteland 16,370 5,020 10,780 Italy 16,990 19,190 35,280 Italy 16,990 19,190 35,280 Italy 16,310 11,580 27,890 Italy 16,310 11,930 11,030 Itavai 7,510 10,370 17,880 Itavai 16,310 11,030 11,030 Itavai 7,510 10,370 17,880 Itavai 16,320 9,890 16,120 Norway data n	Colombia	data not available	22,310	data not available
Denmark1,0301,4001,520Extonia3,0108,27011,280Finland0,00030,92030,920Francedata not available6,970data not availableGermanydata not available4,870data not availableGreece5,4,87013,93068,790Iceland16,3700,00016,370Icelanddata not availabledata not available16,850Irelanddata not availabledata not available16,850Ireland16,09019,19035,280Italy16,09019,19035,280Italy16,31011,58027,890Italy16,31011,58027,890Italy0,00011,03711,030Italy3,00011,03711,030Italy3,00011,03711,030Italy3,00011,03711,030Italy3,00011,03711,030Italy3,00011,03711,030Italy3,00011,03711,030Italy3,0003,88010,260New Zealand6,2309,89016,120Norway6,4305,88010,260Sovak Republic6,2309,90114,700Spain2,60013,98016,570Svitzerland6,410 cravailable6,41029,640Sveden6,410 cravailable6,41029,640Sveden6,410 cravailable11,206,410<	Costa Rica	data not available	8,720	8,720
Exonia3,0108,27011,280Finland0,00030,92030,920Finncedata not available6,970data not availableGermanydata not available4,870data not availableGreece5,4,87013,93068,790Iceland16,3700,00016,370Icelanddata not availabledata not available16,850Irelanddata not availabledata not available16,850Ireland16,09019,19035,280Italy16,09019,19035,280Italy16,31011,58027,890Italy16,31011,58027,890Italy0,00011,03711,030Italy0,00011,03711,030Itavia7,51010,37011,030Itavia2,1007,4709,570Nerwaydata not availabledata not available20,730Norwaydata not available5,88010,260Slovak Republic6,2309,99016,120Soviterland2,60013,98016,570Svitzerland2,60013,98016,570Svitzerland40ta not available29,640Svitzerland6,4109,1206,440Spain2,60019,1206,440Svitzerland6,4109,1206,440Svitzerland6,4109,1206,440Svitzerland6,4109,1206,440Svitzerland6,41	Czechia	4,860	5,700	10,560
Finland0.00030,92030,920Francedata not available6,970data not availableGermanydata not available4,870data not availableGreece54,87013,93066,790Iceland16,3700.00016,370Itelanddata not availabledata not available16,850Iteland6,6705,02010,780Italy16,09019,19035,280Italy16,69019,19035,280Italy16,61011,58027,890Italy16,31011,58027,890Italy16,31011,58027,890Italy0,00011,03011,030Italy0,00011,03011,030Italy16,10111,58020,730Italy6,2309,89016,120New Zealand6,2309,89016,120Norwaydata not available3,80016,120Sovak Republic5,6903,90016,70Sovak Republic2,6003,90016,70Switzerland1,6103,90016,70Switzerland6,410 not available13,90016,570Switzerland6,2603,9006,570Switzerland6,2603,9006,570Switzerland6,410 not available19,1206,401Switzerland6,410 not available19,1206,401Switzerland6,410 not available19,1206,401Switzerlan	Denmark	1,030	14,900	15,920
Fancedata not available6,970data not availableGermanydata not available4,870data not availableGreece54,87013,93068,790Iceland16,3700,00016,370Itelanddata not availabledata not available16,850Itelanddata not availabledata not available16,850Italy16,09019,19035,280Italy16,09019,19035,280Japandata not availabledata not available33,780Korea41,92023,17065,090Lithuania7,51010,37017,880Lithuania7,51010,37011,030New Zealanddata not availabledata not available20,730New Zealanddata not availabledata not available11,870Slovak Republic6,2309,89016,120Sovak Republic2,60013,98016,120Switzerlanddata not available13,90016,570Switzerland1,26013,98016,570Switzerland1,26013,98016,570Switzerland1,26013,98016,570Switzerland1,61a not available16,570Switzerland1,61a not available16,700Switzerland1,61a not available16,700Switzerland1,61a not available16,700Switzerland1,61a not available16,700Switzerland1,61a not available16,700<	Estonia	3,010	8,270	11,280
Germany data not available 4,870 data not available Greece 54,870 13,930 68,790 Iceland 16,370 0,000 16,370 Ireland data not available data not available 16,850 Israel 5,770 5,020 10,780 Italy 16,090 19,190 35,280 Japan data not available data not available 33,780 Korea 41,920 23,170 65,090 Latvia 16,310 11,580 27,890 Luxembourg 0,000 11,030 11,030 Nerko 2,100 7,470 9,570 Norway data not available data not available 20,730 Norway data not available data not available 11,870 Spain 6,230 9,890 16,120 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,570 Switzerland data not available 16,570 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,570 <td>Finland</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>30,920</td> <td>30,920</td>	Finland	0.000	30,920	30,920
Greece 54,870 13,930 68,790 lceland 16,370 0.000 16,370 lreland data not available data not available 16,850 lsrael 5,770 5,020 10,780 ltaly 16,090 19,190 35,280 lapan data not available data not available 33,780 Korea 41,920 23,170 65,090 Latvia 16,310 11,580 27,890 Luxembourg 0.000 11,030 11,030 Mexico 2,100 7,470 9,570 Norway data not available data not available 20,730 Norway data not available 11,870 11,870 Slovenia 5,690 9,010 14,700 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,120 Switzerland data not available 20,640 20,640 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,120 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,570 <td>France</td> <td>data not available</td> <td>6,970</td> <td>data not available</td>	France	data not available	6,970	data not available
Iceland 16,370 0.000 16,370 Iteland data not available data not available 16,850 Israel 5,770 5,020 10,780 Italy 16,090 19,190 35,280 Japan data not available data not available 33,780 Korea 41,920 23,170 65,090 Latvia 16,310 11,580 27,890 Lithuania 7,510 10,370 17,880 Luxembourg 0,000 11,030 11,030 Nerxizo 2,100 7,470 9,570 Norway data not available data not available 11,870 Poland 4380 5,880 10,260 Stovak Republic 6,230 9,890 16,120 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,570 Switzerland data not available 16,570 29,640 Switzerland data not available 19,120 data not available	Germany	data not available	4,870	data not available
Ireland data not available data not available f.6,850 Israel 5,770 5,020 10,780 Italy 16,090 19,190 35,280 Japan data not available data not available 33,780 Korea 41,920 23,170 65,090 Latvia 16,310 11,580 27,890 Lithuania 7,510 10,370 11,030 Mexico 2,100 7,470 9,570 New Zealand data not available data not available 20,730 Norway data not available data not available 11,870 Slovak Republic 6,230 9,890 16,120 Slovak Republic 5,690 9,010 14,700 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,570 Sweden data not available 19,120 data not available	Greece	54,870	13,930	68,790
Israel 5,770 5,020 10,780 Italy 16,090 19,190 35,280 Japan data not available data not available 33,780 Korea 41,920 23,170 65,090 Latvia 16,310 11,580 27,890 Lithuania 7,510 10,370 17,880 Luxembourg 0,000 11,030 11,030 New Zealand data not available data not available 20,730 Norway data not available data not available 11,870 Slovak Republic 6,230 9,890 16,120 Slovenia 2,600 13,980 16,570 Switzerland data not available 16,570 29,640 Svitzerland data not available 19,120 data not available	Iceland	16,370	0.000	16,370
Italy 16,090 19,190 35,280 Japan data not available data not available 33,780 Korea 41,920 23,170 65,090 Latvia 16,310 11,580 27,890 Lithuania 7,510 10,370 17,880 Luxembourg 0,000 11,030 11,030 Mexico 2,100 7,470 9,570 New Zealand data not available data not available 20,730 Poland 4,380 5,880 10,260 Stovak Republic 6,230 9,890 16,120 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,570 Switzerland data not available 20,640 20,640 Switzerland data not available 13,980 16,570 Switzerland data not available 19,120 data not available	Ireland	data not available	data not available	16,850
Japan data not available data not available 33,780 Korea 41,920 23,170 65,090 Latvia 16,310 11,580 27,890 Lithuania 7,510 10,370 17,880 Lixembourg 0.000 11,030 11,030 Mexico 2,100 7,470 9,570 New Zealand data not available data not available 20,730 Norway data not available data not available 11,870 Slovak Republic 6,230 9,890 16,120 Slovaria 2,600 13,980 16,570 Switzerland data not available 29,640 29,640	Israel	5,770	5,020	10,780
Korea 41,920 23,170 65,090 Latvia 16,310 11,580 27,890 Lithuania 7,510 10,370 17,880 Luxembourg 0,000 11,030 11,030 Mexico 2,100 7,470 9,570 New Zealand data not available data not available 20,730 Norway data not available 11,870 10,260 Slovak Republic 6,230 9,890 16,120 Slovenia 5,690 9,010 14,700 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,570 Sweden data not available 19,120 data not available	Italy	16,090	19,190	35,280
Latvia 16,310 11,580 27,890 Lithuania 7,510 10,370 17,880 Luxembourg 0.000 11,030 11,030 Mexico 2,100 7,470 9,570 New Zealand data not available data not available 20,730 Norway data not available data not available 11,870 Poland 4,380 5,880 10,260 Slovak Republic 6,230 9,890 16,120 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,570 Switzerland data not available 19,120 data not available	Japan	data not available	data not available	33,780
Lithuania 7,510 10,370 17,880 Luxembourg 0,000 11,030 11,030 Mexico 2,100 7,470 9,570 New Zealand data not available data not available 20,730 Norway data not available data not available 11,870 Poland 4,380 5,880 10,260 Stovak Republic 6,230 9,890 16,120 Spain 2,600 13,980 16,570 Switzerland data not available 29,640 29,640 Sweden data not available 11,220 data not available	Korea	41,920	23,170	65,090
Luxembourg0.00011,03011,030Mexico2,1007,4709,570New Zealanddata not availabledata not available20,730Norwaydata not availabledata not available11,870Poland4,3805,88010,260Slovak Republic6,2309,89016,120Slovenia5,6909,01014,700Spain2,60013,98016,570Switzerlanddata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	Latvia	16,310	11,580	27,890
Mexico2,1007,4709,570New Zealanddata not availabledata not available20,730Norwaydata not availabledata not available11,870Poland4,3805,88010,260Slovak Republic6,2309,89016,120Slovenia5,6909,01014,700Spain2,60013,98016,570Switzerlanddata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	Lithuania	7,510	10,370	17,880
New Zealanddata not availabledata not available20,730Norwaydata not availabledata not available11,870Poland4,3805,88010,260Slovak Republic6,2309,89016,120Slovenia5,6909,01014,700Spain2,60013,98016,570Switzerlanddata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	Luxembourg	0.000	11,030	11,030
Norwaydata not availabledata not available11,870Poland4,3805,88010,260Slovak Republic6,2309,89016,120Slovenia5,6909,01014,700Spain2,60013,98016,570Switzerlanddata not available29,640Swedendata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	Mexico	2,100	7,470	9,570
Poland4,3805,88010,260Slovak Republic6,2309,89016,120Slovenia5,6909,01014,700Spain2,60013,98016,570Switzerlanddata not availabledata not available29,640Swedendata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	New Zealand	data not available	data not available	20,730
Slovak Republic6,2309,89016,120Slovenia5,6909,01014,700Spain2,60013,98016,570Switzerlanddata not availabledata not available29,640Swedendata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	Norway	data not available	data not available	11,870
Slovenia5,6909,01014,700Spain2,60013,98016,570Switzerlanddata not available29,640Swedendata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	Poland	4,380	5,880	10,260
Spain2,60013,98016,570Switzerlanddata not available29,640Swedendata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	Slovak Republic	6,230	9,890	16,120
Switzerlanddata not availabledata not available29,640Swedendata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	Slovenia	5,690	9,010	14,700
Swedendata not available19,120data not availableUnited Kingdomdata not available11,220data not available	Spain	2,600	13,980	16,570
United Kingdom data not available 11,220 data not available	Switzerland	data not available	data not available	29,640
	Sweden	data not available	19,120	data not available
United States data not available data not available 70,720	United Kingdom	data not available	11,220	data not available
	United States	data not available	data not available	70,720

Source: https://data.oecd.org/healtheqt/mammography-machines.htm

um. Information about the breast cancer prevention program effectively reached the group of women aged 50–69, but did not affect the number of examinations. The reasons behind this phenomenon should be sought in the attitude of the media to negative statistics and in impersonal messages. A personal invitation or recommendation from a doctor or friend was more encouraging than other forms of communication. It seems advisable to continue sending personal invitations to women, e.g. *via* the Online Patient Account. Considering that 41% of respondents in the Millward Brown study declared that it was not their own choice to perform the examination, there is a need to educate this particular group on the possibilities of effective treatment of early detected breast cancer. These women also need information about easy access to medical care if cancer is detected. Women should be convinced that preventive examinations should be performed when a woman is healthy, that is, before the disease manifests itself clinically, and lesions can be detected in advance in a mammography examination [20–24].

In the Millward Brown study from 2015 on the attitudes towards the breast cancer prevention program, attention was drawn to the role of the media and representatives of medical staff in encouraging women to perform preventive mammography examinations. Considering the media, the respondents were of the opinion that instead of providing information on the number of deaths, it is better to talk and write about successfully treated patients who have had a mammography examination [27]. In this study, 83% of respondents were well acquainted with the Population Program for Early Detection of Breast Cancer. According to the respondents, the best source of information about it was the mass media (television, press and radio – 42.5%) [25]. An important role was also played by personal invitations (28.3%), leaflets and posters in health care facilities (23.5%). As many as 41% of women who did not make the decision about the mammography examination on their own knew about free of charge mammography examinations. This attitude resulted from harmful stereotypes about cancer, such as "let sleeping dogs lie" (24% of responses); "it's better not to know" (24% of responses); "better leave it" (21% of responses) [25]. A different approach to mammography was represented by Polish women who had a mammography performed as part of the breast cancer prevention program in the population. They believed that "examination guarantees access to treatment" (32% of responses), there is a possibility of further diagnosis (28% of responses), and "cancer that is detected early can be cured" (32% of responses) [27]. The study also shows that as many as 72% of Polish women aged 50-69 visit a family doctor at least once a year, and the respondents trust their doctors, which is why they would like primary health care physicians to control the health of their patients on their own initiative and remind them about the schedule of preventive examinations [25].

It is important for women to have a positive attitude towards medical examinations, as British analyzes show that 63% of patients with a positive attitude to mammography examinations participated in the screening tests on time, and 72% of those with a negative attitude did not undergo the examinations on time [26].

In Europe, the best rates of cancer control are achieved in the Nordic countries, where conditions for easier access to medical examinations have been created, and methods of persuading women to systematically participate in screening tests have been developed. This is important because the detection of cancer in the early, preclinical phase is more prognostic than any combination of treatment methods in the later phase of the disease [27–29].

With regards to the modification of the age of women eligible for preventive mammography examinations under a population-based breast cancer prevention program, the latest American College of Physicians (ACP) guidelines on mammography screening, which were published in the Annals of Internal Medicine, should be considered. These guidelines were created based on the analysis of the recommendations of 7 English-speaking societies (USPSTF, ACS, ACR, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care, National Comprehensive Cancer Network and the World Health Organization). They suggest having a discussion with women aged 40–49 about the potential benefits and disadvantages of an early mammography. In this age group, the risks outweigh the benefits. The recommendations also indicate that women aged 50-74 should undergo preventive mammography examinations every 2 years. Preventive examinations should be stopped when a woman reaches the age of 75 or if life expectancy is less than 10 years. Clinical breast examinations should not be used as a screening test in any age group. These guidelines are dedicated to women with an average risk of breast cancer, without mutations in the BRCA genes, or a history of breast cancer in the family [30].

Conclusions

Both the data on the population-based breast cancer prevention program reported by the National Health Fund and the overall data reported by the OECD indicate a low percentage of performance of mammography examinations in Poland. Among other factors, the decrease in the percentage of women reporting for mammography examinations under the population breast cancer prevention program can be related to the discontinuation of sending paper invitations out to patients. It should be considered to reintroduce the sending out of paper invitations. The COVID-19 pandemic had little impact on the decline in women's performance of mammography examinations under the population-based breast cancer prevention program, which had been observed for several years.

Although the number of breast cancer cases in Poland is relatively low compared to OECD countries, the number of deaths is definitely high. This is related to the detection of cancer at a late stage of the disease. It is worth considering the inclusion of women up to 74 years of age into the screening tests under the population-based breast cancer prevention program. Among the possible solutions which could be taken in order to address the challenge of low participation in breast cancer screenings in Poland, it is worth considering reintroducing sending out paper invitations and introducing invitations by e-mail or telephone. In addition, breast cancer screening promotion should be used in social media and with the participation of opinion leaders and authorities in the medical community. Reaching out to young people who are users of social media should create agility and influence of young people on close elderly people, especially grandmothers. Employers should be also involved in creating the optimum conditions for taking care of their employees' health and carrying out preventive measures, as well as encouraging female staff to carry out examinations.

Conflict of interest: none declared

Mariola W. Borowska

Medical University of Warsaw Department of Economics of Health and Medical Law ul. Żwirki i Wigury 61 02-091 Warszawa, Poland e-mail: mariola.borowska@wum.edu.pl

Received: 30 Jan 2023 Accepted: 27 Apr 2023

References

- Wojciechowska U, Barańska K, Michałek I, et al. Nowotwory złośliwe w Polsce w 2020 roku. Ministerstwo Zdrowia, Warszawa 2022.
- Health at a Glance 2021. https://www.oecd.org/health/health-at-aglance/ (15.01.2023).
- Duffy SW, Vulkan D, Cuckle H, et al. Effect of mammographic screening from age 40 years on breast cancer mortality (UK Age trial): final results of a randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2020; 21(9): 1165–1172, doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30398-3, indexed in Pubmed: 32800099.
- https://onkologia.org.pl/pl/epidemiologia/nowotwory-zlosliwe-wpolsce (15.01.2023).
- Kozierkiewicz A. Biała Księga. Zwalczanie raka jelita grubego i raka piersi w Polsce na tle wybranych krajów europejskich. Ośrodek Analiz Uniwersyteckich, Warszawa-Kraków 2011.
- Brożek I, Limon J. Poradnictwo genetyczne w dziedzicznym raku piersi. In: Jassem J, Krzakowski M. ed. Rak piersi. Praktyczny przewodnik dla lekarzy. Via Medica, Gdańsk 2009: 20–31.
- Paluch-Shimon S, Cardoso F, Sessa C, et al. ESMO Guidelines Committee. Prevention and screening in BRCA mutation carriers and other breast/ ovarian hereditary cancer syndromes: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for cancer prevention and screening. Ann Oncol. 2016; 27(suppl 5): v103– v110, doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdw327, indexed in Pubmed: 27664246.
- De Felice F, Marchetti C, Musella A, et al. Bilateral risk-reduction mastectomy in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: a meta-analysis. Ann Surg Oncol. 2015; 22(9): 2876–2880, doi: 10.1245/s10434-015-4532-1, indexed in Pubmed: 25808098.
- Kuhl C, Schrading S, Leutner C, et al. Mammography, Breast Ultrasound, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Surveillance of Women at High Familial Risk for Breast Cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23(33): 8469–8476, doi: 10.1200/jco.2004.00.4960.
- Wolff A, Hammond M, Allison K, et al. Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 Testing in Breast Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists Clinical Practice Guideline Focused Update. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2018; 142(11): 1364–1382, doi: 10.5858/arpa.2018-0902-sa.
- 11. Mann RM, Balleyguier C, Baltzer PA, et al. European Society of Breast Imaging (EUSOBI), with language review by Europa Donna–The Euro-

pean Breast Cancer Coalition. Breast MRI: EUSOBI recommendations for women's information. Eur Radiol. 2015; 25(12): 3669–3678, doi: 10.1007/ s00330-015-3807-z, indexed in Pubmed: 26002130.

- Sardanelli F, Fallenberg EM, Clauser P, et al. European Society of Breast Imaging (EUSOBI), with language review by Europa Donna– The European Breast Cancer Coalition. Mammography: an update of the EUSOBI recommendations on information for women. Insights Imaging. 2017; 8(1): 11–18, doi: 10.1007/s13244-016-0531-4, indexed in Pubmed: 27854006.
- Dlaczego się nie badamy? Profilaktyka nowotworowa Polek i Polaków Raport Sarcoma. Stowarzyszenie Pomocy Chorym na Mięsaki i Czerniaki Sarcoma 2022.
- https://www.nfz-szczecin.pl/hxavi_wczesne_wykrywanie_raka_piersi. htm (15.01.2023).
- 15. https://www.nfz.gov.pl/dla-pacjenta/programy-profilaktyczne/daneo-realizacji-programow/ (15.01.2023).
- Ostrowska A. Profilaktyka raka szyjki macicy i raka piersi: Wiedza, postawy i zachowania kobiet. Wybrane wyniki badań. Instytut Filozofii i Socjologii PAN, Warszawa 2010.
- Padoan M, Ferrante D, Pretti G, et al. Study of socio-economic characteristics, diagnosis and outcome of women participating or not participating in mammogram screening. Ann lg. 2014; 26(6): 518–526, doi: 10.7416/ai.2014.2010, indexed in Pubmed: 25524076.
- Garwacka-Czachor E, Maciejczyk A, Bębenek M. Profil społecznodemograficzny kobiet biorących udział w skriningu mammograficznym na Dolnym Śląsku. Biuletyn Polskiego Towarzystwa Onkologicznego NOWOTWORY. 2017; 2(2): 119–126.
- Koper A, Kalisz Z, Mierzwa T. et.al. Znaczenie profilaktyki raka piersi w opinii kobiet wiejskich w woj. kujawsko- pomorskim. Probl Hig Epidemiol. 2010; 91(Supl. 1): 54–55.
- Dyzmann-Sroka A, Trojanowski M. Dlaczego Polki nie robią badań profilaktycznych? Nowiny Lekarskie. 2012; 81(3): 258–264.
- Perry N, Broeders M, de Wolf C. European guidelines for quality assurance in breast cancer screening and diagnosis; fourth edition. European Comission, Belgia 2006: 3–49.
- Verdecchia A, Francisci S, Brenner H, et al. EUROCARE-4 Working Group. Recent cancer survival in Europe: a 2000-02 period analysis of EUROCARE-4 data. Lancet Oncol. 2007; 8(9): 784–796, doi: 10.1016/ S1470-2045(07)70246-2, indexed in Pubmed: 17714993.
- Dyzmann-Sroka A, Jędrzejczak A, Kubiak A, et al. Wiedza o nowotworach i profilaktyce. Raport dla województwa wielkopolskiego. Wielkopolskie Centrum Onkologii, Poznań 2008: 3–34.
- Wronkowski Z, Zwierko M, Nowacki M. Zasady i wyniki programu modelowego skryningu raka piersi i raka szyjki macicy w Polsce, 1999-2000. Nowotwory, Warszawa 2002: 1–157.
- SMG/KRC Instytut Millward Brown "Postawy wobec programu profilaktyki raka piersi" 2015.
- Smith SM, Ford JS, Rakowski W, et al. Inconsistent mammography perceptions and practices among women at risk of breast cancer following a pediatric malignancy: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Cancer Causes Control. 2010; 21(10): 1585–1595, doi: 10.1007/ s10552-010-9587-5, indexed in Pubmed: 20506037.
- Klint A, Engholm G, Storm HH, et al. Trends in survival of patients diagnosed with cancer of the digestive organs in the Nordic countries 1964-2003 followed up to the end of 2006. Acta Oncol. 2010; 49(5): 578–607, doi: 10.3109/02841861003739330, indexed in Pubmed: 20491524.
- Kozierkiewicz A, Bochenek T, Gilewski D, et al. Biała Księga. Zwalczanie raka jelita grubego i raka piersi w Polsce na tle wybranych krajów europejskich. Prognozy zapadalności na raka piersi. Ośrodek Analiz Uniwersyteckich, Polskie Towarzystwo Onkologiczne, Warszawa-Kraków 2011.
- Storm HH, Engholm G, Hakulinen T, et al. Survival of patients diagnosed with cancer in the Nordic countries up to 1999-2003 followed to the end of 2006. A critical overview of the results. Acta Oncol. 2010; 49(5): 532– 544, doi: 10.3109/02841861003801148, indexed in Pubmed: 20491522.
- https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/abs/10.7326/AITC201606070 (15.01.2023).