Nutritional treatment improves the effectiveness of anti-cancer therapy

Michał Jankowski

Cancer disease is a growing health problem in today’s world. Malnutrition frequently occurs in cancer patients and is associated with their higher mortality rates. Nutritional interventions are recommended whenever clinically required and at every stage of oncological therapy. This allows prevention or treatment of cancer therapy-related complications thereby improving the effectiveness of such therapy, reducing cost, improving the quality of life, and prolonging the survival of some patients. From an overall perspective, adequate nutrition is necessary to ensure the most favourable outcomes of oncological therapy.
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**Introduction**

Cancer is a growing problem in today’s world with more than 14 million sufferers estimated for 2017 [1]. The highly developed countries of the West bear the highest risk, nevertheless anti-cancer treatment in these countries is the most effective [2]. Such cures mostly depend on applying appropriate local treatment methods: surgery and radiotherapy, supplemented by systemic treatment. Quoting Professor Tadeusz Koszarowski, ‘cancer treatment forms part of an integrated therapy including surgery and coordinated in its broadest sense with radiotherapy, chemotherapy and endocrine-therapy.’ Nowadays, the role of nutritional intervention is also a prerequisite.

**Cancer patients malnutrition**

Malnutrition in cancer patients is multifactorial, arising both from insufficient nutrients supplied as well their increased loss because of the increased demand during cancer development and the presence of other accompanying diseases. Malnutrition is associated with elevated mortality rates in cancer patients; as is likewise the case with other diseases, for example in cardiovascular disease [3].

An inadequate supply of nutrients is a very common occurrence in oncological patients and is accompanied by weight loss; this often being quite appreciable. In clinical practice, weight loss will occur anyway when patients cannot orally consume food for more than a week or when energy needs are met in less than 60% of required levels for more than 1–2 weeks [4, 5].

A study by Martin et al on 11,000 patients with advanced malignancy confirmed that weight loss linked to a low BMI is an independent factor for overall survival (OS) [6]. Current recommendations define a nutrition high risk group (Tab. I), where the risk of complications associated with malnutrition is greatest [7, 8].

**Recommendations**

At present, a combination of therapeutic methods used affords the greatest opportunities, after appropriate qualification for treatment, with the simultaneous use of supporting therapy. In Poland, recommendations for nutritional treatment in oncology were published in 2015 [9]. Such nutritional intervention was recommended whenever clinically indicated (Tab. II) and at any step of oncological treatment.
Perioperative feeding

The adverse impact of malnutrition on deteriorating outcomes following surgery has been well recognized in the literature since the 1970s and is still present today.

Initial reporting of nutritional intervention based on parenteral nutrition demonstrated, among other things, a reduced complication risk, especially sepsis, shortened hospital stays [10,11], and even reduced mortality for surgical patients [12]. In view of the complications associated with supplying nutrients by this route, recommendations published in 2006 and in 2009 by the European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) advise an enteral route [13], and if proving unfeasible, then a parenteral means be used (Fig. 1) [14, 15].

In those patients without malnutrition symptoms, any preoperative starvation should be avoided, as being part of perioperative care, and 60% of the body’s energy requirements should be met as soon as possible according to the principles of ERAS (Enhanced Recovery After Surgery) [7–9].

**Nutrition therapy in systemic treatment**

During systemic treatment there are no indications for routinely using enteral or parenteral nutrition. An indication for intervention would be weight loss or its likely risk, especially in cases of advanced cancer disease [8]. However, nutritional therapy has a significant role in patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation, where high-dose myeloablative chemotherapy is used. Almost all patients reach enteropathy, and introducing nutritional therapy early on allows the patient to complete their treatment and influence outcomes [16].

**Nutritional treatment in radiotherapy**

Weight loss is very common upon using radiotherapy, particularly when combined with chemotherapy. This problem

---

**Table I. Severe nutritional risk — ESPEN Guidelines [7]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss &gt; 10–15% within 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &lt; 18.5 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) Grade C or NRS &gt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative serum albumin &lt; 30 g/L (with no evidence of hepatic or renal dysfunction)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II. Indications for nutritional intervention, Polish recommendations [9]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inability of adopting an oral diet for more than 7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current or threatening malnutrition (BMI &lt; 18.5 kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unintentional weight loss &gt; 10–15% in 6 months before treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to maintain a daily food intake &gt; 60% of the recommended standard for more than 10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage B and C in the SGA scale or a score of ≥ 3 points in the NRS 2002 screening method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1. Methods of delivering nutrition interventions [15]**

Nutrition intervention

- **Possibility of oral intake**
  - Yes: Oral nutrition/industrial diets (ONS)
  - No: Effective alimentary canal

- **Effective alimentary canal**
  - Yes: Enteral nutrition (artificial access)
  - No: Peripheral/central vein

- **Peripheral/central vein**
  - Yes: Parenteral nutrition
  - No: Together if possible
very often concerns patients undergoing radio-chemotherapy due to head and neck cancers where nutritional intervention beneficially effects nutritional status and the quality of life [17]. Nutrition therapy, often in the form of parenteral nutrition, may also be an indispensable element in cases of irradiated intestinal inflammation, even lasting for many years [18].

Cachexia, sarcopenia

Cachexia is a multifactorial syndrome, characterized by significant losses in weight as well as in adipose and muscle tissues, and a generalized inflammatory reaction. A definition popularized by Fearon also includes precachexia with only a little weight loss and a developed cachexia, which leads to death in less than 3 months [19]. Cachexia is particularly common in oncological patients and can be accompanied by locally or locoregionally advanced cancers [20]. Its presence shortens survival, as is also in the case for advanced cancer [21].

Sarcopenia indicates among others a muscle mass decline most commonly associated with age, which occurs secondary in patients with malignant tumors. Its presence has an adverse prognostic significance and increases the risk of postoperative complications, as well as the toxicity of chemotherapy and on mortality [22].

Oncological patients after treatment

Nutritional support in many cases is relevant to patients who have completed radical oncological treatment. It is often essential in those with complications such as: short bowel syndrome, conditions after esophageal or stomach resection. Cured patients are significantly more likely to suffer from other medical conditions, thereby leading to poor health and an impaired quality of life linked also to health [23].

Summarizing

In conclusion, weight loss, muscle mass reduction are adverse prognostic factors in patients with cancer. Nutritional intervention allows any complications to be prevented or treated during cancer therapy, thereby improving its effectiveness, reducing cost, improving the quality of life, and prolonging the survival of some patients. As a general aim it is necessary to obtain the best outcomes for any oncological treatment.
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