Tamoxifen — no longer a golden standard for adjuvant therapy in the treatment of premenopausal patients with hormone-sensitive breast cancer
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Introduction

Luminal breast cancer (with the expression of the oestrogen (ER) and/or progesterone PR receptor) makes up a heterogenous group of cancer with a varied clinical course and response to treatment. Currently there are three molecular subtypes of luminal breast cancer and the subtypes which are similar to them, determined on the basis of the reception of the steroid receptors and human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (HER2): luminal A, luminal B — HER2-negative and luminal B — HER2-positive [1, 2]. Within these subtypes there are also mutations found e.g. phosphoinositide-3 kinase catalytic subunits p110; (PIK3CA), ESR1 or HER2, which can determine the response to treatment, [3–5]. For a few decades, hormone therapy with tamoxifen (TAM) has been the main method of the adjuvant treatment of the luminal subtype in both pre- and postmenopausal women [2, 6–9]. It seems, however, that the treatment might be suboptimal in some premenopausal patients.

Special attention in the debate on the treatment of breast cancer in premenopausal women is devoted to the role of ovarian function suppression (OFS). The analysis, published in year the 2000 concerning research in this issue, carried out by the International Breast Cancer Study Group (IBCSG) showed that in patients with ER/PR expression without amenorrhea with a history of previous adjuvant chemotherapy, the prognosis of the disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival, (OS) is significantly worse in the age subgroup below 35 years in comparison with patients older than 35 [10]. Some further studies also showed that apart from the age of the patients, the percentage of amenorrhea is also affected by the type of chemotherapy used: the regimens based on anthracyclines and taxoids more rarely lead to a permanent suppression of the ovarian function in comparison with the CMF (cyclophosphamide/methotrexate/fluorouracil) regimen [11]. Moreover, it was shown that in patients below 35, the ER expression, in comparison with the lack of expression, was related to a significantly shorter 10-year DFS (the median was 25% and 47% respectively; \( p = 0.014 \)) and a tendency for a shorter OS; whilst in patients above this age, the ER expression had no influence on DFS, but it was connected with a significantly longer 10-year OS (63% and 58% respectively; \( p < 0.001 \)) [12].
Ovarian function suppression in tamoxifen therapy

In the phase-3 prospective SOFT (Suppression of Ovarian Function Trial) trial the premenopausal patients with ER/PR expression, with no history of post-surgical chemotherapy or in whom the oestradiol level after chemotherapy corresponded to that from before the menopause, received tamoxifen or tamoxifen in combination with OFS (LHRH analogue for 5 years) [13]. Adding the OFS did not affect DFS (HR = 0.83; p = 0.1) in the entire group of patients, whilst the analysis with stratification, planned in the treatment protocol showed that adding OFS to tamoxifen in the subgroup of patients undergoing postoperative chemotherapy was connected with a decrease of the relative risk of morality by as much as 36%. Given the fact that breast cancer is the most frequent cancer in women worldwide, it means a decrease of the number of deaths by several thousand per year. In the SOFT study, the adjuvant chemotherapy was more often used in patients below 35 years of age (94%), and, at the same time, in this group, treatment-related amenorrhoea was less frequent. The adjuvant chemotherapy was also used in the case of numerous (≥ 4) metastases to axillary lymph nodes and HER2 expression. The benefits from adding OFS, in DFS and OS, was not observed in the subgroup of patients with good prognoses, similarly to another phase-3 trial (INT-0142) [14]. In this group of patients, TAM still remains the standard post-operative treatment with a possibility of extending the treatment to 10 years, in the light of the ATLAS and aTTom studies [15, 16]. On the other hand, however, in premenopausal patients, the potential benefit from prolonged use of TAM should be interpreted with caution, as this group of patients made up only 13% of the total number of patients treated within the studies comparing varied periods of using TAM [15–17]. Irrespective of individual differences in the pharmacokinetics of TAM, about 20% of patients treated with this medication did not reach the treatment threshold of endoxifen — its main active metabolite [18–20].

Aromatase inhibitors in connection with ovarian function suppression

The joint analysis of SOFT and TEXT (Tamoxifen and Exemestane Trial) studies showed that OFS (mainly LHRH analogue, triptorelin administered for 5 years) in combination with exemestane, aromatase inhibitor (IA) in comparison with OFS in combination with TAM, allows an improvement in the 5-year DFS (91% and 87% respectively; p < 0.001), a decrease of the relative risk of breast cancer recurrence by 34% (p < 0.001) and cancer dissemination by 22% (p = 0.02), with a similar survival period [21]. The treatment with OFS in combination with IA was more frequently associated with adverse symptoms, yet they did not cause deterioration in the quality of life, especially in patients with previous postoperative chemotherapy [22]. Moreover, the intensification of menopausal symptoms — hot flushes or decrease of libido, was getting lower within the progress of time since the onset of treatment [22, 23]. No symptoms concerning the incomplete blockage of oestrogen levels in patients with OFS/IA were observed [24]. In the majority of cases, the oestradiol level was lowered below the threshold value for menopause: 2.72 pg/mL [24].

Those who question the value of the SOFT and TEXT trials for clinical practice, frequently refer to the result of the ABCSG-12 study, carried out in Austria, in which no benefits regarding DFS were found [25, 26]. Moreover, in some cases even slightly worse OS was observed in patients receiving OFS in combination with anastrozole in comparison with OFS in combination with TAM [25, 26]. However, in the ABCSG-12 study, about 70% of the subjects were in a very early stage — pt1N0, which confirms that, in this group, the use of OFS in combination with IA is completely not justified.

Conclusions

The results of the prospective randomised studies, SOFT/TEXT explicitly showed that the combination of OFS with TAM or IA is more effective than TAM in monotherapy in premenopausal patients with hormone-sensitive breast cancer with a high risk of recurrence. This justifies a routine use of the OFS treatment regimes in this group of patients, with maintenance of the TAM therapy in the patients with good prognosis. This thesis was reflected in the recommendations of the St. Gallen 2015 Conference of the European Society of Medical Oncology and of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network [6, 9, 28]. The decision concerning the choice of hormone treatment in breast cancer patients should be taken on an individual basis after a detailed discussion of the potential benefits and possible adverse effects of this therapy (Tab. I) [8, 29].

Table I. Hormone therapy in premenopausal patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>≤ 35 years</th>
<th>&gt; 35 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low risk of recurrence</td>
<td>TAM 5–10 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval of the adverse effects risk</td>
<td>OFS/TAM lub OFS/IA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk of recurrence</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFS/TAM lub OFS/IA</td>
<td>TAM</td>
<td>OFS/IA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Pt, N, G, HER2 characteristics, OncotypeDx; *contraindications for TAM — OFS (LHRH analogue) ± IA; TAM — tamoxifen; IA — aromatase inhibitors; OFS — ovarian suppression; †the presentation of the adverse effects profile to the patient.
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