How often is cytologically unsuspected nipple discharge a symptom of underlying breast cancer?
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Background. Nipple discharge is a common health problem. It can be caused by benign diseases of the breast, but it also may be a symptom of underlying breast cancer. In our center the main issue motivating patients and their physicians to treat this disorder surgically was fear that nipple discharge might be a symptom of underlying cancer. We decided to assess whether such fear was justified.

Objective. To assess whether the incidence of underlying cancer in patients with cytologically unsuspected nipple discharge justifies surgical treatment of such patients.

Material and methods. From January 1977 to September 2002, 414 women were operated for nipple discharge in our Clinic. In 234 of these women, no palpable tumor was identified on palpation, no cancer or suspected cells were identified on cytologic examination of the nipple discharge. They constitute the study group. In 177 of women discharge was unilateral and in 57 was bilateral. Altogether 291 cases were analysed. We evaluated the incidence of cancer diagnosed on pathological examination of the excised breast tissue in these patients.

Results. Breast cancer was diagnosed in 4 cases (4/291; 1.4%). In all these cases the character of nipple discharge was described as bloody.

Conclusions. (1) The incidence of breast cancer in patients in whom cytologically unsuspected nipple discharge is a sole symptom of breast pathology, does not justify surgical treatment in each case. (2) There is a necessity of further diagnostic workup of patients with nipple discharge, to identify the women in whom the risk of breast cancer is increased (patients with intraductal papilloma and papillomatosis), and to treat them surgically. Women who are not qualified for surgical treatment should undergo regular follow-up.

Jak często niepodejrzany cytologicznie wyciek z brodawki sutkowej jest objawem raka piersi?


Cel. Ocena, czy częstość występowania raka piersi u chorych z niepodejznanym cytologicznie wyciekiem z brodawki sutkowej uzasadnia podejście leczenia chirurgicznego.


 Wyniki. Raka piersi rozpoznano w 4 przypadkach (4/291; 1,4%). We wszystkich tych przypadkach charakter wycieku określono jako „krwisty”.

Wnioski. 1. Częstość występowania raka piersi u chorych, u których niepodejrzany cytologicznie wyciek z brodawki sutkowej jest jedynym objawem chorobowym, nie uzasadnia leczenia chirurgicznego w każdym przypadku. 2. Istnieje potrzeba przeprowadzenia dalszej diagностиki w celu wyłonienia tych chorób, u których ryzyko występowania raka piersi jest podwyższone (chore z brodawczakiem lub brodawczakowatością wewnętrzprzewodową), a następnie leczenie ich chirurgicznie. Kobiety nie zakwalifikowane do leczenia operacyjnego powinny być poddane regularnym badaniom kontrolnym.
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Introduction

Nipple discharge is a common health problem, accounting for up to 7% of all breast symptoms [1]. Spontaneous nipple discharge is recognized in up to 10% of women undergoing routine health examinations [2]. It can be caused by many benign diseases of the breast, but may also be a symptom of underlying breast cancer [3-9]. The discharge may appear with, or without, an associated lump and with, or without, suspicious mammographic findings. When an associated lump or suspicious mammographic findings are present, surgical treatment is mandatory [4, 6, 10, 11]. Surgical treatment is justified only in a small number of patients in whom nipple discharge is the only symptom of breast pathology [2, 4, 12-16]. Identification of patients in whom surgical treatment would be necessary is a diagnostic challenge. The main step of diagnostic workup is cytologic examination of the discharge [17]. In a majority of patients no cells are found on cytologic examination. If cells are identified in the discharge, the pathologists evaluate their features and describe them as normal or atypical. The presence of atypical cells is an indication for surgical treatment. In the remaining patients the decision is more complex, as for example patients in reproductive age fear that surgical procedure may impair their breast feeding ability. In our center the main issue motivating patients and their physicians to treat the pathology surgically was fear that cytologically unsuspected nipple discharge (not containing atypical cells) might be a symptom of underlying cancer. Therefore, we decided to assess whether such fears are justified.

Objective

To assess whether the incidence of underlying cancer in patients in whom nipple discharge does not contain atypical cells, justifies surgical treatment.

Material and methods

From January 1977 to September 2002 in the Clinical Department of Surgical Oncology, Medical University of Lodz, 414 women were operated due to nipple discharge. These women were operated on if nipple discharge was: unilateral, arose from a single duct, was serous, clear or bloody. Nipple discharge causing serious discomfort or unacceptable fear of cancer was also an indication for surgical treatment.

In 234 of these women, no tumor was identified on palpation, no cancerous or atypical cells were identified on cytologic examination of the nipple discharge, and they were not previously treated surgically for nipple discharge. These patients constituted the study group. Retrospective review of the files provided clinical and pathological data for these patients. In 177 women (75.6%) nipple discharge was unilateral, and in 57 (24.4%) it was bilateral. Total number of occurrences was 291.

We evaluated the incidence of cancer diagnosed on pathological examination of the excised breast tissue.

Patients

Mean age in the studied group was 43.7 years (range: 22-81 years; median: 40 years). The pathology was almost equally distributed between the left (145/291; 49.8%) and the right side (146/291; 50.2%). Distribution of types of nipple discharge in the studied group is presented in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of nipple discharge</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bloody</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serous</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milky</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 9 cases (9/291; 3.1%) non-surgical treatment was unsuccessfully introduced before surgery: in 7 cases – hormonal, in 2 cases – anti-inflammatory.

Surgical treatment

Surgery began with injection of a blue dye into the discharging nipple duct by a needle inserted into the duct orifice. Then, the stained tissues were carefully excised and the specimen delivered to the pathology laboratory.

Results

Breast cancer was diagnosed in 4 cases (4/291; 1.4%). Clinical features of patients in whom the cancers were diagnosed, as well as pathologic characteristics of the cancers, are presented in Table II. In all these patients modified radical mastectomy was performed. The results of pathologic examination of the remaining 287 cases are presented in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient nr</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type of nipple discharge</th>
<th>Type of cancer</th>
<th>Number of cancer – positive axillary lymph nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>bloody; unilateral</td>
<td>ductal invasive G2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>bloody; unilateral</td>
<td>intraductal papillary</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>bloody; unilateral</td>
<td>ductal invasive G2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>bloody; unilateral</td>
<td>ductal invasive G1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Nipple discharge characteristics

Table II. Clinical and pathological characteristic of four patients in whom breast cancer was diagnosed


Discussion

Breast cancer was diagnosed in 1.4% of the operated cases. In all these cases nipple discharge did not contain atypical cells, and was the sole symptom. It should be remembered that calculated incidence of breast cancer refers to a selected group of patients. Our patients with nipple discharge who did not undergo surgical treatment, but were followed-up in an out-patient clinic, were not included in the study. Therefore, the real incidence of breast cancer in patients with unsuspected nipple discharge is much lower. We did not have an opportunity to analyse the results of mammography in all patients, as the study period began in 1977, when mammography was not performed routinely. It is possible that cancers found in pathologic examination might have been depicted on mammography if such an examination had been performed.

The incidence of breast cancer reported by other authors in cases of nipple discharge ranged up to 10% [5,11,18,19]. The reported incidence of breast cancer depended mostly on the enrolment criteria to the study group, used by different authors. This is the reason for such a big divergence of results. In our studied group, in some patients the main indications for surgical treatment were: fear of underlying cancer and/or strenuousness of discharge is much lower. We did not have an opportunity to analyse the results of mammography in all patients, as the study period began in 1977, when mammography was not performed routinely. It is possible that cancers found in pathologic examination might have been depicted on mammography if such an examination had been performed.

1. The incidence of breast cancer in patients in whom cytologically unsuspected nipple discharge is the only symptom of breast pathology does not justify surgical treatment in each case.
2. There is a necessity of further diagnostic workup of patients with nipple discharge, to identify the women in whom the risk of breast cancer is elevated (patients with intraductal papilloma or papillomatosis), and to treat them surgically. Women not qualified for surgical treatment should be regularly followed-up.
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Table III. Results of pathologic examination of surgically treated 287 cases, in whom cancer was not diagnosed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia benigna</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillomatosis intraductalis</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibro sclerosis</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflamatio chronica</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ductectases</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia intraductalis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysts</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrophy lipomatosa</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroadenoma intraductalis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosis sclerosans</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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