Increasing role of imaging in differentiating MS from non-MS and defining indeterminate borderline cases

Maciej Juryńczyk¹, Paweł Jakuszyk¹, Iwona Kurkowska-Jastrzębska², Jacqueline Palace³

¹Laboratory of Brain Imaging, Nencki Institute of Experimental Biology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland
²2nd Department of Neurology, Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warsaw, Poland
³Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a heterogenous condition with differences between patients regarding disease presentation, imaging features, disease activity, prognosis and treatment responses. Following the discovery of new biomarkers, the concept of MS has evolved, with syndromes previously considered to be its variants now recognised as separate entities, including aquaporin-4 (AQP4)-antibody (Ab) neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD), and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG)-Ab disease (MOGAD). In line with their distinct pathology, the newly emerging conditions have imaging characteristics which are dissimilar to typical MS. Progress in reclassifying such demyelinating CNS conditions has highlighted the challenge in meaningful categorisation of atypical presentations at the borders of MS, such as antibody-negative neuromyelitis optica-like syndromes, tumefactive demyelinating lesions, or Balo’s concentric sclerosis.

In this review, we discuss the increasing role of imaging in distinguishing MS from non-MS CNS inflammatory/demyelinating conditions and defining undetermined borderline cases. This progress relies both on better characterisation of imaging features of these conditions on conventional imaging in terms of their appearance and location, as well as on the implementation of novel image acquisition and/or post-processing techniques allowing for more in-depth lesion assessment, including the presence of a central vein sign or paramagnetic rim.
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Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic demyelinating inflammatory disease of the central nervous system (CNS) primarily driven by autoimmune response of T cells directed against myelin antigens [1]. More recently, the role of B cells has been emphasised as evidenced by the presence of oligoclonal bands in the CSF and the beneficial effect of B cell-depleting therapies, including ocrelizumab, on disease activity [1].

There is no single diagnostic biomarker in MS, and the diagnosis relies on finding the evidence of disease dissemination, in the absence of a better explanation, which is encapsulated in the revised diagnostic criteria [2]. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) plays a major role in the diagnosis as it can show both dissemination in time — when contrast-enhancing lesions co-exist with non-contrast-enhancing lesions on the same scan or when new lesions emerge on a follow-up scan — and in space — when the scan shows multiple lesions in different regions of the brain and/or spinal cord. The presence of unmatched oligoclonal bands in the CSF suggestive of intrathecal antibody production also indicates dissemination of the disease process in time [2].

This classical approach to the diagnosis of MS has its limitations, because conditions recently recognised as separate from MS such as AQP4-antibody (AQP4-Ab) neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD) and myelin...
oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG)-Ab disease (MOGAD) may have overlapping clinical and radiological presentation and disease course with that of MS and may fulfill the diagnostic criteria for MS. All three conditions typically present with relapses of optic neuritis, transverse myelitis and brain/brainstem attacks which are associated with inflammatory lesions on brain and spinal cord imaging [3, 4].

Serum testing for antibodies against AQP4 and MOG is the gold standard for the diagnosis of NMO and of MOGAD, respectively [5, 6]. Antibody assays are, however, not widely available, and results usually take a long time to arrive and might be inconclusive or inaccurate, in particular if fixed cell-based assays or ELISA are used [7, 8]. CSF testing can also be helpful but has its limitations: oligoclonal bands unmatched for serum are a hallmark of MS but can be found in up to 30% of NMOs and MOGAD patients [9, 10].

Taking all these caveats into account, imaging is often the main source of information for disease diagnosis. Not only are the imaging features crucial for the decision as to whether to test for antibodies, but also to the interpretation of the results. If assays are not available, or come back negative or positive using less accurate assays, then imaging becomes the main diagnostic tool.

**Longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis (LETM) is strong argument against diagnosis of MS**

Acute severe transverse myelitis alongside bilateral optic neuritis has been recognised as the classical clinical feature of neuromyelitis optica since its first description by Devic [9]. The clinical severity of transverse myelitis in NMO matches longitudinal extension of spinal cord lesions (termed LETM) which span at least three segments of the spinal cord and are associated with cord swelling and hypointensity in the central part of the cord seen on T1-weighted images [11]. LETM has been found to be such a common (91%) and characteristic feature of NMO that it was incorporated into its first criteria, published before AQP4 antibody’s discovery in 1999 [11]. LETM sets NMO apart from MS where transverse myelitis is accompanied by short-segment, lateral lesions in the spinal cord. LETM is extremely rare in MS but can be mimicked by LETM-like appearance of the coalescing short segment lesions [12]. To avoid misinterpretation, careful scrutiny of the scan should include both sagittal and axial images. It should be noted that while LETM is the predominant form of myelitis in both AQP4-Ab NMO and MOGAD, short-segment myelitis can be seen in both conditions, and does not exclude the consideration of antibody testing [13, 14].

LETM occurs both in AQP4-Ab NMO and MOGAD, and despite some differences none of the LETM features appear to be exclusive for any of the two [14–16]. Importantly, similar to AQP4-Ab NMO, LETM lesion in MOGAD can extend into the brainstem and involve the dorsal medulla. Conus involvement occurs in around 40% of patients and is more frequent than in AQP4-Ab NMO (c.12%) [14, 17]. The most important clue might come from brain imaging showing acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM)-like lesions, typical of MOGAD and seen in up to 44% of patients with MOG-Ab associated myelitis [14, 18]. Contrast enhancement is frequent in AQP4-Ab LETM lesions and can take the form of ring-enhancement [19]. Contrast enhancement has also been reported in MOG-Ab LETM, but with varied frequency between studies [14, 17].

LETM lesions are also seen in monophasic and relapsing idopathic antibody-negative transverse myelitis and in double antibody negative NMO. In a retrospective study including a small number of seronegative NMO patients (n = 5), seronegative LETM had similar features to AQP4-Ab-associated LETM [15]. In a recent study classifying double antibody-negative MS/NMOSD overlap patients in an unsupervised way based on clinical and MRI measures, 2 / 4 identified subgroups included patients with a previous history of LETM [20]. One of these subgroups included ‘classic NMO-like’ patients with bilateral optic neuritis and no evidence of brain damage. The other group is known as ‘NMO-like with brain involvement’ as patients had significant cerebral white and grey matter damage and a history of NMO-like brain lesions. This dichotomy within antibody-negative LETM patients suggests that double-negative NMO might be heterogenous and contain distinct pathological subgroups [20]. Importantly, long spinal cord lesions are also seen outside of NMO [21]. For example, in spinal cord sarcoidosis they are characterised by dorsal cord subpial enhancement extending over at least two vertebral segments and persistent enhancement present for 2+ months [22]. If dorsal-subpial enhancement co-occurs with central canal enhancement, the lesion often resembles a trident head on axial sequences. This has been termed the ‘trident sign’ [23].

**Importance of defining appearance of imaging lesions for diagnostic purposes**

Early attempts at incorporating imaging features into the MS diagnostic criteria focused on predicting the development of MS in those with clinically isolated syndrome, rather than on excluding its mimics [24, 25]. Paty’s criteria included at least four lesions (of any type) or at least three if one of them was periventricular [25]. These criteria were highly sensitive but lacked specificity. Barkhof et al. [26] studied in more detail cut-off points for the number of particular types of lesions needed to fulfill the criteria, and proposed highly sensitive and specific criteria.

One of the challenges when establishing any set of criteria is the selection of features. For example, periventricular lesions which are ovoid, perpendicular to the ventricles, and extend into the adjacent white matter have long been considered highly typical of MS (and termed ‘Dawson fingers’ as reflecting...
the perivenular distribution of MS lesions on histopathology first described by Dawson), but were rarely studied as a separate diagnostic criterion [27]. Matthews et al. [28] proposed a more objective and less arbitrary approach to criteria selection when they compared brain lesion probability maps in 50 MS and 44 AQP4-Ab NMOSD patients. This comparison of distribution and morphology of lesions led to the identification of one out of three criteria in favour of MS diagnosis vs. NMOSD, consisting of: at least one lesion adjacent to the body of the lateral ventricle and in the inferior temporal lobe; or the presence of a curved juxtacortical lesion; or a Dawson finger-type lesion [28]. These criteria could distinguish MS from AQP4-Ab NMOSD with very high sensitivity of 92% and very high specificity of 96% in the original cohort. A further study validated their performance in an independent multicentre European cohort and, importantly, showed their high specificity in distinguishing MS from MOGAD [29]. The criteria, sometimes also incorporating longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis (LETM) on spinal cord imaging, have been further validated against NMOSD and MOGAD in Asian and Latin American cohorts [30–32].

**Fluffy infratentorial lesions and other lesions typical of MOGAD**

Following the objective brain lesion mapping comparison of MS and AQP4-Ab NMOSD [28], a later study also included MOGAD patients to study relationships between the three conditions [33]. This time an alternative approach was used, where patients were scored on a set of 29 pre-selected features to build models discriminating between the three conditions and identify the best discriminators [33]. MS clearly differed from both NMOSD and MOGAD as evidenced by predictive discriminant models. Interestingly, AQP4-Ab vs. MOGAD model was non-predictive, which pointed to overlapping brain appearances of antibody-mediated conditions despite their different cellular targets. Fluffy infratentorial lesions were the most promising discriminator, and were present in 57% of MOGAD compared to 27% of AQP4-Ab NMOSD patients. These lesions were rare in MS (12%). Fluffy infratentorial lesions in MOGAD patients were more often located in cerebellar peduncles (26% vs. 9% AQP4-Ab NMOSD).

A recent study also reported that a cerebellar peduncle lesion increases the likelihood of MOGAD vs. NMOSD [34]. Other brain imaging lesions which have been reported to be more frequent in MOGAD vs. AQP4-Ab NMOSD include cortical grey/juxtacortical white matter lesions [35].

Brain lesions are an important aspect of childhood MOGAD, where the disease often presents as ADEM [10]. Lesions are large, poorly demarcated and bilateral, typically affecting white and grey matter including thalamus and basal ganglia, but can also be seen in the brainstem and spinal cord. Unlike MS, periventricular lesions are typically absent. The appearances are similar to those seen in MOG-Ab-negative ADEM.

In one study including a total of 33 children, patients with MOG-Ab-associated ADEM had the involvement of more anatomical areas including the spinal cord characterised by LETM, and more often had a complete resolution of lesions compared to MOG-Ab-negative cases [36].

A different type of brain involvement has been described in a unique presentation of MOGAD characterised by unilateral cortical encephalitis with seizures [37]. Lesions are associated with mild swelling and are limited to the cortex. They are best seen on FLAIR-weighted images, but might partially enhance on T1-weighted imaging after contrast [37]. Of interest, seizures have also been described in MOGAD in patients with normal brain MRI [38]. Similarly, on rare occasions (c. 10%) transverse myelitis can be MRI-negative in MOGAD, in particular in the early stages of acute myelitis [39].

**AQP4-Ab NMOSD-associated brain lesions**

Brain lesions associated with AQP4-Ab NMOSD have been well characterised and include diencephalic lesions adjacent to the 3rd ventricle, dorsal medulla lesions (including area postrema), peripendymal lesions surrounding the lateral ventricles, extensive hemispheric lesions, and long corticospinal tract lesions [40]. Such lesions are extremely rare in MS, and where they occur argue strongly against a diagnosis of MS. It has been proposed that the location and configuration of NMOSD lesions could be explained in the main by high expression of AQP4 in the affected regions. Area postrema is a good example, as it has a predilection for imaging lesions and is known to be rich in AQP4 [41]. However, as NMOSD-like lesions might occur in MOGAD [33, 42], alternative explanations for their formation are possible, e.g. linked with the point of entry of antibodies to the CNS. It is a matter of debate whether AQP4-Ab NMOSD has any unique imaging features which never occur in MOGAD.

**Optic nerve imaging**

While brain imaging appearances do not unequivocally discriminate between AQP4-Ab NMOSD and MOGAD, optic nerve features might be more distinctive in those who present with optic neuritis. In particular, perineural enhancement defined as contrast enhancement of the optic nerve sheath (sometimes also of the surrounding soft tissue) has been reported to be a specific feature of MOG-Ab-associated optic neuritis occurring in around half of patients and may be the cause of MOGAD optic neuritis-associated headache [43–45]. Both AQP4-Ab NMOSD and MOGAD are characterised by longitudinally extensive (involving more than 50% of the length of the nerve) optic nerve lesions. In MOGAD, long lesions have a tendency to affect the anterior segment of the nerve and co-occur with optic nerve swelling on fundoscopy, while AQP4-Ab optic neuritis has a predilection for posterior segments and the optic chiasm [40, 44]. Optic chiasm lesions
also occur in MOGAD where they are usually a part of the longitudinally extensive involvement of the whole nerve starting in the orbit [46]. Longitudinally extensive lesions of the optic nerve are rare in MS [47, 48]. It should also be noted that both NMOSD and MOGAD have a tendency for bilateral optic nerve involvement, which would be very unusual in MS [3].

**Atypical demyelination with an unclear link to MS**

Recognition of AQP4-Ab NMOSD and MOGAD as new disease entities having a separate non-MS-like radiological appearances has sparked interest in other types of atypical demyelination with an unclear link to MS, in particular tumefactive demyelinating lesions (TDLs) and Baló’s concentric sclerosis [49, 50].

TDLs are radiologically defined as lesions greater than 2 cm in diameter and are typically seen on T1- and T2-weighted imaging (as hypointense and hyperintense, respectively) [51, 52]. They are usually associated with minimal surrounding oedema and a T2-hypointense rim (Fig. 1D). Contrast enhancement is seen in most cases and can be ring-like (closed or open ring), homogenous or heterogenous/punctiform. TDLs at onset presentation can occur in isolation or in the context of MS, NMOSD, MOGAD or ADEM (Fig. 2). The occurrence of TDLs in MS does not seem to indicate a highly active relapsing course of the disease or predict a poor long-term prognosis [53]. In a recent study including 75 patients with pathologically confirmed MS following a brain biopsy (in 62 the biopsied lesion size was at least 2 cm), long-term clinical and imaging outcomes were comparable to those with typical MS [54]. When TDLs occur in isolation, differential diagnosis is challenging and includes abscesses, tumours and progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. Serial imaging might be of help as diffusion-weighted imaging can show evolving restricted diffusion at the lesion edge (Fig. 1E). Otherwise, workup might include brain biopsy. In a retrospective study including 16 patients with isolated tumefactive lesion at onset after a median follow-up of more than 5 years, 10 patients remained monophasic at final follow-up, one had recurrence of TDL, and five received the diagnosis of MS [55]. AQP4- and MOG-Abs have been reported in patients with isolated TDL and both antibodies should be tested in such cases [56, 57]. If patients with relapsing TDL are double antibody-negative and do not fulfill the MS criteria, the classification and treatment are unclear but most clinicians would probably opt for B cell-depleting therapies.

TDLs can sometimes present as Baló’s concentric sclerosis. Typical features include alternating and concentric bands within white matter lesions identified on either T1-, T2-, or diffusion-weighted MRI and showing gadolinium enhancement. Alternating bands are characterised by at least two T2 hyperintense bands separated by one hypointense band. The number of T2 hyperintense bands can increase on subsequent scans performed within one month [50]. Evolution of signal abnormalities at the lesion edge is particularly interesting with diffusion restriction seen before contrast enhancement, which in turn is followed by the rim of T2 hyperintensity [58].

**Figure 1.** A–C. A 43-year old male had two attacks of LETM four months apart and subsequently developed progressive motor disability; A. T2-weighted sagittal image performed in acute phase shows cervical LETM extending to dorsal medulla; B. Lesion has accompanying contrast enhancement on post-gadolinium T1-weighted image; C. On axial images, T2 hyperintensity is mainly restricted to grey matter (upper image) but gadolinium enhancement is more pronounced in anterior and posterior parts of cord. Patient repeatedly tested negative for both anti-AQP4 and anti-MOG serum antibodies; D–E. A 64-year old female with history of right optic neuritis presented with right-sided trigeminal neuralgia associated with fluffy infratentorial lesions in brainstem and right middle cerebral peduncle (arrows). Although presentation and imaging were highly suggestive of MOGAD, patient tested negative for MOG-Abs; F–H. An adult female presented with acute onset of left hemiparesis and speech disturbances; F. FLAIR imaging showed two large tumefactive lesions surrounded by mild oedema located in hemispheric white matter. Lesions showed open ring enhancement on post-contrast images (G) and restricted diffusion at lesion edge (H). A two-segment cervical spinal cord lesion was also present (not shown). Patient tested negative for both anti-AQP4 and MOG-Abs, and following brain biopsy was diagnosed with ADEM (clinical case courtesy of Dr Beata Blaziejewska-Hyżorek, 2nd Department of Neurology, Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warsaw, Poland). Abs — antibodies; AQP4 — aquaporin-4; LETM — longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis; MOG — myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein; MOGAD — MOG-Ab disease.
A Balo’s concentric sclerosis lesion can be isolated and monophasic but usually occurs in conjunction with MS-like lesions or precedes the occurrence of more typical MS-like lesions. Diagnosis is thus clearer than in TDLs due to the characteristic MRI appearance and correct interpretation preventing unnecessary brain biopsy in solitary lesions.

New silent lesions outside of relapse

In both AQP4-Ab NMOSD and MOGAD, silent brain lesions during acute attacks are well recognised. For example, in an early study, 44% of patients with MOGAD who presented with neuromyelitis optica phenotype (simultaneous optic neuritis and transverse myelitis) were found to have silent ADEM-like lesions on brain MRI [18]. Silent lesions occurring outside of relapse are however unusual in antibody-mediated conditions. This holds true for both spinal cord and brain imaging and is very different from MS, where silent asymptomatic lesions on follow-up scans are a typical finding and reflect ongoing disease activity. In five different studies including a total of 82 MOGAD patients scanned at different follow-up points, not a single silent spinal cord lesion was observed in any of the patients [17, 18, 35, 59, 60]. In a paediatric study including a prospective incident cohort of 74 MOG-Ab children with serial brain MRI scans over a median of five years from presentation, silent brain lesions were identified infrequently (14% of patients) and most commonly within the first months after presentation [61].

In line with these observations, and in contrast to MS, serial routine MRI in antibody-mediated conditions is generally not considered useful for disease activity monitoring [62].

Progress in structural imaging helps differential diagnosis in neuroimmunology clinics

Recent progress in structural imaging incorporating new pulse sequences and post-processing techniques provides deeper understanding of the underlying tissue damage. This allows better characterisation of various aspects of MS lesions such as subpial or intracortical demyelination, lesion formation around the veins, and chronic activity at the lesion edge.

Cortical lesions

Cortical lesions represent foci of cortical demyelination and are a characteristic pathological feature of MS [63]. They can be small and purely intracortical, involve both grey and white matter or extend within the cortex from the surface downwards [64]. Cortical lesions are clinically relevant as they correlate better with cognitive impairment in MS than the more typical MRI white matter lesions [65]. They also have predictive value for disability progression [66].

Reliable detection of cortical lesions is challenging in clinical practice as cortical lesions are difficult to visualise using routine T2-weighted or FLAIR imaging. This problem can be partially overcome by the use of a double inversion recovery (DIR) sequence which improves the contrast between the lesion and the normal cortex and suppresses the signal both from the white matter and the CSF [67]. Phase-sensitive inversion recovery (PSIR) is another widely used technique for cortical lesion detection (Fig. 3A), allowing for good resolution in a shorter acquisition time than DIR [68]. These optimised sequences are able to detect up to 25% of cortical lesions compared to the golden standard of histopathology [69]. Although this percentage might seem low, it is expected to correlate well with the total number of cortical lesions in an individual patient [69].

Cortical lesions occur in MS, but are rare in AQP4-Ab NMOSD [16, 70]. In a recent comparative study, cortical/juxtacortical lesions were seen in 65% of MS patients and in 16% of MOGAD patients, but were absent in AQP4-Ab NMOSD [16]. Interestingly, all cortical lesions in MOGAD were of the mixed cortical/juxtacortical type, while MS patients had both leukocortical and purely cortical lesions [16]. Others have also reported cortical/juxtacortical lesions in a minority of MOGAD patients [35, 42]. Pathology studies have also demonstrated the presence of both intracortical, leukocortical and subpial demyelinating lesions in MOGAD, in particular in those with ADEM and cortical encephalitis [71, 72]. It should
be noted that MS-like small and localised cortical lesions are
different on MRI from oedematous cortical lesions reported
on FLAIR images in MOG-Ab-associated unilateral cortical
encephalitis [37]. In a recent prospective study including
25 patients with overlapping features of NMO and MS,
no cortical lesions were identified using DIR in those who
received the clinical diagnosis of antibody-negative NMO
in a highly specialised NMO clinic [20].

**Leptomeningeal enhancement (LME)**

LME is a recently described imaging sign that has been
proposed to reflect the presence of B cell follicles in the menin-
ges of MS patients [73, 74]. LME is predominantly identified
in patients with progressive forms of MS. Since B cell follicles
have been implicated in the formation of cortical demyeli-
nation, LME is expected to co-occur with cortical lesions on
imaging. This link however has not been completely proven,
as only a subset of studies has shown a higher frequency of
cortical lesions in those with LME [75, 76]. It is also worth
noting that LME has been detected not only in MS patients
but also in other conditions, for example those of infectious or
vascular origin. Importantly, LME was retrospectively demon-
strated on post-contrast T1-weighted and FLAIR images as
glass of leptomeningeal blood-brain barrier disruption in
11 AQP4-Ab NMOSD patients on clinical scans performed at
onset attack or relapse [77]. Such discrepancies call for caution
in the interpretation of LME.

**Central vein sign (CVS)**

The presence of a vein in the centre of a demyelinating
lesion is a pathological hallmark of MS. In recent years, this
has been able to be visualised using imaging and used to dif-
ferentiate MS from its mimics (Fig. 3B).

The visualisation of a CVS is typically performed
by combining two images acquired in a different way: one that
depends on the paramagnetic properties of haemoglobin in
the vessels (T2*-weighted or susceptibility-weighted imaging),
and one that removes signal from the CSF and offers good
counterpart from the lesions (FLAIR) [78]. Gadolinium injection
is sometimes used to enhance the visibility of vessels [79].
Around 70–80% of MS lesions are CVS-positive and this
percentage increases with the proximity of the lesion to the
lateral ventricles [78]. Lesions with CVS have been identified
in non-MS inflammatory conditions of the CNS, including
AQP4-Ab NMOSD [80], MOGAD [81], Susac syndrome
[82] or CNS inflammatory vasculopathies [79], but represent
a minority of lesions. Interestingly, CVS was not detected in
any of nine patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and
brain involvement [79]. It is worth noting that some lesions
in MS mimics might contain a vein, but its location is not
central as reported in AQP4-Ab NMOSD [80]. The presence
of CVS in MS mimics has led to the introduction of cut-off
rules for CVS positivity including a ‘40% threshold’ (i.e. at
least 40% of lesions positive for CVS) [83] and a ‘six lesions
rule’ (where at least six lesions have veins in the centre) [84].
Apart from the diagnosis, CVS can also be potentially useful
in predicting the occurrence of CIS and ultimate MS diagnosis.
in those with incidental MS-like white matter lesions (known as radiologically isolated syndrome).

**Paramagnetic rim sign (PRS)**

While central veins can be identified in both acute and chronic lesions, the presence of a paramagnetic rim is associated with chronic active lesions and corresponds to iron-rich, activated microglia and macrophages at the lesion edge [85]. PRS is therefore a sign of ongoing inflammation occurring behind the intact blood-brain barrier, as opposed to acute inflammation seen on T1-weighted post-contrast images. The detection of PRS requires information from phase images, which show local signal distortion at the lesion edge caused by paramagnetic iron. It is seen as hypointense on SWI and hyperintense on quantitative susceptibility imaging. Interestingly, PRS lesions are stable or expand slowly over time [86]. Their presence is correlated with worse motor and cognitive disability outcomes and higher levels of serum neurofilament light chain, a marker of axonal damage [86, 87]. This correlation is particularly striking if there are four or more lesions with a rim [86, 87].

Recently, an alternative method of detecting rims has been proposed which involves the use of a double inversion recovery sequence suppressing both CSF and grey matter signal [88]. Dark rims detected by this technique showed 97% specificity in discriminating RRMS from non-MS white matter lesions when at least two rim lesions were present [88].

Reflecting ongoing inflammation, PRS is an excellent candidate for a biomarker to predict disease course and monitor treatment efficacy, but can also be useful diagnostically (Fig. 3C). In a recent international multicentre study including 438 individuals with various neurological conditions, paramagnetic rim lesions were present in 52% of MS patients compared to 7% of patients with a non-MS diagnosis, which gave this feature a very high specificity of 93% in differentiating MS from non-MS [89]. In particular, rims were very rarely (less than 5%) reported in NMO lesions [80, 90]. Similar to CVS, PRS can be detected in patients with radiologically isolated syndrome, pointing to the existence of chronic active inflammation in this asymptomatic group [91]. Their significance in predicting the development of clinical disease is currently unknown, and requires further studies.

**Conclusions and future prospects**

The differential diagnosis of MS has recently broadened to include the newly recognised conditions AQP4-antibody NMOSD and MOGAD. More attention has also been paid to double antibody-negative patients with overlapping features of MS and NMOSD as being diagnostically and therapeutically challenging [92].

Given the occurrence of borderline, undetermined and atypical cases, a relative lack of biomarkers, limited access to optimised antibody tests, and the likelihood of false positive and false negative results, the role of imaging in differential diagnosis is critical. A compelling need for precise imaging has been paralleled by the characterisation of new MS imaging signs requiring novel types of image acquisition or image post-processing methods, which can be applied reliably on 3T scanners. These features have either high specificity for MS (such as paramagnetic rim or cortical lesions) or need to be determined by a cut-off level (central vein sign). The identification is currently largely visual, but initial attempts at automatic detection have been made [93, 94]. Limitations still exist; for example, paramagnetic rim and CVS are best assessed in well-demarcated lesions whereas larger, confluent and merging lesions are more challenging. Detection of cortical lesions (in particular of the intracortical and subpial types) is still suboptimal even with optimised inversion recovery sequences.

Future progress will focus on increasing detection sensitivity, unifying acquisition and analysis protocols, and identifying new imaging signs and their automated detection.
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