Electrophysiological and clinical assessment of dysautonomia in multiple system atrophy (MSA) and progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP): a comparative study
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ABSTRACT

Clinical rationale for the study. Autonomic nervous system (ANS) involvement in different parkinsonian syndromes has been frequently discussed. It is well established in multiple system atrophy (MSA), whereas it is less evident in progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP).

Aims of the study. The aims were to assess the presence and pattern of ANS involvement in MSA and PSP using noninvasive tests i.e. the sympathetic skin response (SSR) test and the R-R interval variation (RRIV) test; to analyse the relationship between clinical and electrophysiological abnormalities in both disorders; and to assess whether an autonomic profile might help to differentiate them.

Materials and methods. Clinical and electrophysiological assessments of dysautonomia were performed in 59 patients with MSA (24 cases of MSA-C and 35 cases of MSA-P), these 59 cases including 31 females, mean disease duration 4.2 ± 2.7 years, mean age 60.3 ± 8.4 years, and in 37 patients with PSP (12 females, mean disease duration 4.6 ± 3.6 years, mean age 67.5 ± 6.1 years) and the results were compared to the results obtained from 23 healthy controls matched for age and sex.

Results. Clinical dysautonomia assessed by an Autonomic Symptoms Questionnaire was observed in 97% of the MSA patients and in 84% of the PSP patients. SSR was abnormal in 64% and RRIV was abnormal in 73% of MSA cases. In PSP cases, these figures were 78% and 81% respectively. Dysautonomia was clinically more pronounced in MSA compared to PSP (p < 0.05), whereas electrophysiological testing revealed frequently subclinical ANS damage in PSP patients.

Conclusions and clinical implications. Our results point to the complementary role of electrophysiological tests in the diagnostic work-up of dysautonomia in parkinsonian syndromes.
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Introduction

Although generally believed to be uncommon, in fact autonomic disorders are ubiquitous in neurological disease, including movement disorders [1].

Sympathetic skin response (SSR) is a relatively simple electrophysiological test used in clinical practice to assess the reflex activity of sympathetic sudomotor pathways [2–5], and is employed to evaluate pre- and postganglionic sympathetic activity [2, 3]. SSR has been used to assess ANS function in various peripheral and central neurological disorders [2, 4, 6]. On the other hand, R-R interval variability (RRIV) reflects the state of parasympathetic innervation of the heart [6], and gives an insight into sympathovagal tone [7]. Cyclic
deep breathing is the best validated stimulus; both afferent and efferent pathways are vagally mediated and inhibited by anticholinergic agents [1].

MSA is a rare and fatal neurodegenerative disorder that is characterised by a variable combination of parkinsonism, cerebellar impairment, and autonomic dysfunction [8]. PSP is also a neurodegenerative disorder with early postural instability and falls, vertical supranuclear gaze palsy, akinetic-rigid predominant and typically symmetric parkinsonism with poor response to levodopa, pseudobulbar palsy, and frontal release signs [9–12]. Nowadays, PSP can be divided into PSP-parkinsonism (PSP-P), PSP-Richardson’s syndrome (PSP-RS) and into several other clinical subtypes [13], while MSA can be classified as either MSA-cerebellar (MSA-C), or MSA-parkinsonism (MSA-P) [14]. Diagnostic criteria have been proposed for the clinical diagnosis of PSP and MSA [10, 14, 15]. However, pathological brain examination post mortem remains the gold standard for diagnostic classification. The mean survival rate in patients with PSP has been estimated to be 6–7 years [9, 16]; in patients with MSA it is 6–10 years [17–19]. According to recent research in MSA, severe dysautonomia and the early development of combined autonomic and motor features are unfavourable predictors of survival [20]. In PSP, early dysphagia, cognitive symptoms, PSP-RS phenotype and urinary incontinence have been found to be highly predictive of shorter survival in some studies [10, 11, 16, 20, 21], whereas sleep disturbances and possible hallucinations have been suggested in another study [22].

It is well established that pronounced autonomic failure appears early in MSA, whereas it is less evident in PSP [23–26]. The objectives of our study were: to evaluate the presence and pattern of ANS involvement in MSA and PSP using two noninvasive electrophysiological tests (SSR and RRIV); to analyse the relationship between clinical and electrophysiological abnormalities in both disorders; and to assess whether an autonomic profile might help to differentiate them.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

Clinical and electrophysiological assessments of dysautonomia were performed in 59 patients with MSA: 24 with a diagnosis of MSA-C [15 men (62.5%)] and 35 with a diagnosis of MSA-P [22 women (62.9%)], and in 37 patients with PSP (with classical Richardson’s syndrome phenotype). The MSA and PSP diagnosis was probable in 48 (81.4%) and 31 patients (83.8%) respectively. In the rest of the patients, the diagnosis was possible. The mean age in the MSA group was 60.3 ± 8.4 years (range 40–79), and in the PSP group it was 67.5 ± 6.1 years (range 58–80). The mean disease duration was 4.2 ± 2.7 years (range 1–14) in the MSA group, and 4.6 ± 3.6 years (range 1–20) in the PSP group. In the MSA group, 31 patients (52.5%) were treated with L-dopa (mean dose 861 ± 391 mg daily; range 200–1,800 mg) while in the PSP group 28 patients (75.7%) received this treatment with a mean dose of 804 ± 304 mg (range 300–1,600). The mean age in the MSA-C group was 59.0 ± 7.8 years (range 49–79), and in the MSA-P group it was 61.3 ± 8.8 years (range 40–78). Patients with the presence of focal cerebral lesions in CT or MRI scans and other neurological or previously diagnosed severe systemic disorders (such as arterial hypertension or diabetes mellitus) or who were taking anticholinergic drugs, neuroleptics or drugs known to markedly influence autonomic functions (high doses of beta-blockers etc.), or with a history of alcohol or drug abuse were excluded from the study [27]. The control group consisted of 23 volunteers [16 women (69.6%)] with a mean age of 56.6 ± 14.0 years (range 42–91).

All patients were diagnosed and treated at the Department of Neurology, Medical University of Warsaw. The diagnosis of MSA was made according to the criteria established by Gilman et al. [14] and PSP according to the National Institute for Neurological Diseases and Stroke and The Society for PSP (NINDS-SPS) [10] by movement disorders specialists (APCh, PJ, ZJ) based on a detailed history and a neurological examination. Antiparkinsonian treatment (mostly L-dopa medication) was not interrupted before examination, but the last dose was taken at least 24 hours before the examination took place.

**Methods**

Electrophysiological studies and clinical evaluations were performed at the Evoked Potential and Autonomic System Laboratory of the Department of Neurology, Medical University of Warsaw, between 2008 and 2015. All patients and controls gave informed consent to the protocol (for electrophysiological tests and clinical evaluation). The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Bioethical Committee at the Medical University of Warsaw (No AKBE 13/2006). All the procedures were in accord with the standards of the Committee on Human Experimentation of the Medical University of Warsaw, and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975.

**Clinical evaluation**

The clinical evaluation of dysautonomia was performed by two independent physicians (MN and BZP) on the same day as RRIV and SSR tests. We assessed the incidence and distribution of symptoms of dysautonomia as well as their intensity. We modified the Autonomic Symptoms Questionnaire proposed by Low [28] to evaluate the intensity of dysautonomia semiquantitatively using an arbitrarily defined score system (0 points — no symptoms; 1 point — symptoms present. Orthostatic hypotension was defined as a blood pressure decrease of 30 mmHg systolic or 15 mmHg diastolic within three minutes after standing up from a recumbent position according to MSA criteria [8]: 0 points — no symptoms; 1 point — mild symptoms present only when there were
facilitating conditions; 2 points — severe → symptoms present at all times, disabling).

**Electrophysiological tests**

SSR and RRIV tests were recorded in subjects lying in a semi-darkened room, with a temperature of 22—26°C, after having relaxed for several minutes. Tests were recorded at the same time of day (between 10.00 and 13.00), within a few hours after a light meal using the Viking IV, Nicolet Biomedical Inc. (Multi-Mode Program Plus, version 4.0). Both tests were performed according to a protocol recommended by IFCN and described earlier [27]. The frequency of breaths during deep breathing was six per minute. The normal values were not corrected for age.

**SSR**

The latency and amplitude (peak to peak) of the highest response were measured (five evoked responses were registered, but only the one of the shortest latency was analysed). The SSR was considered abnormal if the latency was longer by more than two standard deviations (SDs) than that of the control group, or if a response was absent (i.e. not elicited by three consecutive stimulations). Additionally, we evaluated the degree of SSR abnormality using a five-level scale created in our Laboratory: 0 points — normal response; 1 point — increased latency in one limb; 2 points — increased latency in both limbs or the absence of a response from one limb; 3 points — increased latency in one limb and the absence of a response from the other limb; 4 points — absence of response from both limbs.

**RRIV**

The RRIV result was considered abnormal if we registered decreased RRIV at rest or during deep breathing, or if no increase of the RRIV during deep breathing could be observed. Additionally, we evaluated the degree of RRIV abnormality using a three-level scale created in our Laboratory: 0 points — normal RRIV test at rest AND during deep breathing AND an increase of the RRIV during deep breathing; 1 point — abnormal (decreased) RRIV test at rest OR during deep breathing OR no increase of the RRIV during deep breathing; 2 points — abnormal (decreased) RRIV test at rest AND during deep breathing AND no increase of the RRIV during deep breathing.

**Combined electrophysiological score**

A combined electrophysiological score, with values ranging from 0 to 6, was created by combining the results from our SSR and RRIV scores.

**Statistical analysis**

Prior to analysis, the normality of distribution of the functional variables was tested by Shapiro-Wilk test. Where non-normal distribution was found, correlations analysis between different parameters was performed using Spearman’s correlation coefficients test. For group comparison, Wilcoxon rank-sum, Chi-square and Fisher exact tests were used. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05. Values are presented as mean ± SD. As an additional analysis, logistic regression was used to calculate the significance level because of the presence of confounding factors such as age and gender (in some group comparisons).

**Results**

The demographic profile of the two studied groups differed significantly: patients in the PSP group were older than patients in the MSA group (p < 0.001), and the majority of them were male (67.6% in the PSP group vs 47.5% in the MSA group; NS). This difference was more clearly seen when the PSP group was compared to the MSA-P group which contained only 13 men (31.1%, p < 0.05). The control group was matched for age and sex to the MSA group, but there were statistically significant differences between the control group and the PSP group. Volunteers were younger (p = 0.001), and the majority of them were female (p = 0.005).

**Clinical evaluation**

Clinical symptoms of dysautonomia were found in 96.6% (57/59) of our MSA patients and in 83.8% (31/37) of PSP patients. The distribution of symptoms differed significantly between these groups, especially when orthostatic hypotension, dizziness and urinary incontinence were evaluated (Tab. 1).

When the MSA group was divided into MSA-P and MSA-C subgroups, orthostatic hypotension, urinary incontinence and constipation were significantly more often reported in the former. We did not find significant differences between MSA-C and PSP, although comparing PSP to MSA-P we found statistically significant differences in the frequency of all symptoms, except for urinary retention and constipation. In semiquantitative evaluation of the intensity of dysautonomia, the mean score was higher in the MSA group as a whole (3.4 ± 1.8 points) than in the PSP group (2.7 ± 1.8 points), but this tendency did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.054). When compared to PSP only, the MSA-P subgroup (mean 3.9 ± 1.7 points) results differed significantly. The intensity of clinical symptoms of dysautonomia was also more severe in the MSA-P subgroup than in the MSA-C (mean 2.7 ± 1.7 points) subgroup (p < 0.05).

**Electrophysiological tests**

**SSR**

The mean values of SSR from upper and lower limbs in controls, MSA and PSP patients are presented in Table 2. Technical reasons meant that we could not evaluate SSR results in three patients from each patient group. The mean values of SSR latency in MSA and PSP patients were significantly higher than in controls for both the upper and lower limbs.
In only 21 (35.6%) patients with MSA and in eight (21.6%) patients with PSP, the SSR results were within normal limits. In 11 (18.6%) patients in the MSA group, a response was not registered in the upper limbs, and in 20 (33.9%) patients a response was not registered in the lower limbs. These changes in the upper limbs were more pronounced in the MSA-P subgroup than in the MSA-C subgroup (22.9% vs 12.5% respectively). In the PSP group, SSR was not registered in the upper limbs in 13 (35.4%) patients and in the lower limbs in 21 (56.8%) patients. The differences between the MSA and PSP groups were statistically significant (for both the upper and lower limbs).

The distribution of SSR abnormalities (in scores) in MSA and PSP patients is shown in Figure 1a.

**RRIV**

The mean values of RRIV response at rest and during deep breathing in controls, MSA and PSP patients are presented in Table 2. Technical reasons meant we could not evaluate RRIV results in five patients from each patient group. All mean values of RRIV parameters in MSA and PSP patients were significantly lower than in controls.

Most of the patients reached the highest score of 2 points on our scale of RRIV changes intensity, with the highest rate in the MSA-P subgroup (51.4%). Only in 16 (27.1%) patients with MSA and in seven (18.9%) patients with PSP were the results of RRIV normal (Fig. 1b).

**Combined electrophysiological score**

A combined electrophysiological score could be obtained in 51 MSA and 30 PSP patients. Only in eight (15.7%) patients with MSA [three (15.0%) with MSA-C and five (16.1%) with MSA-P] and in two (6.7%) patients with PSP were the results of both tests (SSR and RRIV) within normal limits. In the MSA patients, the intensity of change most frequently seen (29.4%) was ‘mild’ (a score of 2). On the other hand, in the PSP group ‘severe’ changes (a score of 6) were found most often (30.0%) but only in 9.8% of patients in the MSA group, although this tendency did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.057) (Fig. 1c). Within the MSA group there was a tendency to
more severe changes (scores 5 and 6) in the MSA-P subgroup compared to MSA-C patients.

We found a mild, but significant, correlation between the results of SSR and RRIV tests in the PSP group (r = 0.38; p < 0.05). In the MSA group as a whole, as well as after division into subgroups, we found no such correlation.

Correlation between clinical and electrophysiological assessments of dysautonomia

The relationship between the presence and degree of electrophysiological changes (SSR and RRIV scores evaluated together and separately) and the intensity of clinical symptoms in the groups of patients with MSA and PSP were also analysed. In the MSA group, we found statistically significant correlations between clinical symptom score and SSR score as well as with the combined electrophysiological score (both r = 0.44; p < 0.001). An even stronger correlation was found in the MSA-P subgroup (r = 0.57 and r = 0.56 respectively; p < 0.001). In PSP and MSA-C patients, no such correlation was found. No relationship between age, duration of disease and the values of the parameters analysed in the SSR and RRIV tests was revealed by a Spearman correlation test.

Discussion

Dysautonomia is common in parkinsonian syndromes affecting a wide spectrum of domains. It is well established that pronounced autonomic failure appears early in MSA, whereas it is less evident in PSP [23–26, 29].

In our study nearly 97% patients with MSA and 84% with PSP presented clinical signs of dysautonomia and they could be seen most frequently in the MSA-P subgroup. Our results are consistent with previous studies of MSA, where early and severe autonomic failure with predominant involvement of urinary and cardiovascular domains has been described as a key feature of the disease [19, 30–33]. The ANS involvement in PSP is not so clearly established.

In our study the intensity of symptoms in PSP in most cases was mild. In some reviews, constipation and urinary incontinence have been described as the most prevalent non-motor features of PSP, especially in the late stages of the disease [22]. Other studies have noted orthostatic hypotension, dizziness, lack of sweating and sexual dysfunction [16, 21, 23, 24, 34, 35]. Some authors have emphasised that comorbidities such as benign prostatic hypertrophy or medication use could explain some of these symptoms, because in many PSP patients objective evidence of autonomic dysfunction could not be found in diagnostic tests [22, 34, 36, 37]. There have also been reports that there are no prominent autonomic abnormalities in PSP [13, 25, 26, 29, 38], and the presence of cardiovascular symptoms has even been proposed as an exclusion criterion for PSP [25].

We found abnormal SSR results in 59% of MSA patients and in 70% of patients with PSP. The pattern of involvement was distinct in both disorders, and those differences were statistically significant. The degree of SSR abnormalities was greater in the PSP than in the MSA group, and greater in the MSA-P than in the MSA-C subgroup.

Many studies have reported abnormalities in SSR results in 69%, and even up to 100%, of MSA patients [7, 35, 40–44]. Bordet et al. calculated the sensitivity of SSR examination in MSA diagnosis as 0.69 and the specificity as 0.92 [7]. On the other hand, Reimann et al. did not find differences in SSR results between MSA, PSP and PD groups [43], but they evoked SSR using acoustic stimulation. They also included in their study patients with significant comorbidities known to affect
ANS function such as diabetes mellitus. The differences with our results could be caused by the SSR protocol applied. We used electrical stimulus to evoke SSR, because some of our patients with parkinsonism poorly cooperated during examination. This type of stimulus is easier to standardise in patients with central nervous system disorders [7, 39, 40] and has been found to provide the most reproducible responses [5].

SSR involves both the pre- and postganglionic sympathetic systems [2, 3, 6]. The preganglionic efferent pathway is mainly the output of the intermediolateral column (ILC) of the spinal cord, which is frequently involved in the pathological process in MSA [19]. Such a central lesion might be the main determinant of abnormal SSR, as opposed to postganglionic dysfunction which can be seen for example in PD [7, 45].

Reports assessing SSR in patients with PSP and PD have shown that sudomotor function was markedly more involved in the former [46]. Pressor responses induced by emotional or physical stimuli or mental stress have also been reported to be diminished in PSP [25, 35]. This type of sweating on the palms and soles is independent of the ambient temperature (so-called ‘emotional sweating’) and is regulated by the limbic system, motor system and reticular formation [35]. Many of these structures are known to be frequently affected by the neurodegenerative process in PSP [7, 12, 22, 48]. Hence, similarly to MSA, sympathetic dysfunction in PSP may also be classified as of central, preganglionic origin.

There is also the possibility that the lack of SSR could be attributed to age. Drory and Korczyn reported that SSR could not be evoked in the hand in 27% of normal subjects above 60 years of age [39]. On the other hand however, Hay et al. reported the presence of responses in all elderly subjects [47]. Although there was a significant age difference between PSP patients and the control group in our study, a logistic model of analysis additionally proved that the differences in SSR results between both groups were independent of age.

We found abnormal RRIV results in 64% of patients with MSA and in nearly 68% of PSP patients, and in most cases the intensity of changes was severe.

Previous reports have described a lower mean value of heart rate variation (HRV) especially after deep breathing in over 60% of patients with MSA [7, 29, 40]. Pathological results have been found at all ages and within a short disease duration. However, other results did not confirm these findings [49].

Besides ILC, many ANS structures are affected by neurodegenerative changes in MSA, including dorsal vagal motor nucleus [19], which seems to be responsible for RRIV changes in MSA [7]. Because RRIV reflects the sympathovagal balance, so impairment in the sympathetic part of ANS reflected by SSR abnormalities might also contribute to RRIV changes [7].

Contrary to our results, previous reports have shown no remarkable changes in HRV in PSP [23, 25]. In the study conducted by Kikkawa et al. the mean HRV value at rest was lower in PSP than in the control group [35], Holmberg et al. found only in four out of 14 patients with PSP decreased HRV during controlled deep breathing and limited hypotensive response during orthostatic provocation. In most cases (64%), the results of both tests did not differ from controls [29].

Tau pathology in PSP is widely distributed in the brain, resulting in damage to various pathways [12, 13]. It has been hypothesised that different PSP disease phenotypes might emerge from the preferential spread of tau through different brain networks that are functionally and neuroanatomically connected [13]. Therefore, we cannot exclude that in some patients neuropathological changes can spread and involve directly the parasympathetic structures responsible for RRIV changes in PSP. On the other hand, the involvement of sites important for the sympathovagal balance might also contribute to the RRIV changes seen in our patients with PSP.

Only in 16% of MSA patients and in 7% of PSP patients were the results of both electrophysiological tests within normal limits. The changes in laboratory tests were more pronounced in the PSP patients than in the MSA patients, but their distribution was not significantly different. We found a statistically significant correlation between the intensity of clinical symptoms of dysautonomia and the combined electrophysiological score in MSA patients, especially in the MSA-P subgroup. Other studies have found no such correlation in MSA [40].

Clinical implications and future directions

In parkinsonian syndromes, especially in MSA, a different degree of autonomic failure occurs that might significantly impair a patient’s quality of life [12, 19, 20, 22, 31, 50]. Therefore a systematic investigation of dysautonomia has been proposed as a relevant diagnostic assessment in parkinsonian syndromes [7, 33, 35, 40–42].

According to our results, sympathetic and parasympathetic involvement occurs in both MSA and PSP, but the intensity of changes varies between these two disorders and can be assessed by non-invasive electrophysiological tests. In MSA, a significant correlation between the intensity of clinical symptoms of dysautonomia and electrophysiological tests results can be found, whereas abnormal results of electrophysiological tests without clinical evidence of dysautonomia is more suggestive of PSP.
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