

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/pjnns>

Short communication

Cortical neuromodulation for neuropathic pain and Parkinson disease: Where are we?

Angelo Lavano^{*}, Giusy Guzzi, Domenico Chirchiglia

Department of Neurosurgery, University "Magna Graecia" of Catanzaro, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 September 2017

Accepted 5 November 2017

Available online 13 November 2017

Keywords:

Cortical neuromodulation

Neuropathic pain

Parkinson disease

Direct cortical stimulation

Transcranial magnetic stimulation

ABSTRACT

Cortex neuromodulation is promising approach for treatment of some neurological conditions, especially neuropathic pain and Parkinson's disease. Effects of non-invasive cortical stimulation are short lived; transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) may be useful to assess the suitability for invasive cortical stimulation. Direct cortical stimulation (DCS) is the method able to provide long-lasting effects in treatment of neuropathic pain and some symptoms of Parkinson's disease through the use of totally implantable systems that ensure a chronic stimulation.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Sp. z o.o. on behalf of Polish Neurological Society.

1. Introduction

Cortical neuromodulation aims to induce stimulation of the defined cortical areas in attempt to reduce chronic symptoms of neurological diseases by directly altering brain activity.

There are currently a number of standard invasive and noninvasive cortical neuromodulation methods: some have shown great promise in treating neurological disorders, while other are already accepted in clinical practice. We illustrate these methods by discussing results, advantages and disadvantages, and possible mechanisms of action.

2. Invasive cortical stimulation

Direct electrical stimulation of precentral cortex (DCS), also known as motor cortex stimulation (MCS), is an invasive neuromodulation method in which paddle lead is implanted in the epidural space or more rarely in subdural space over the motor cortex to deliver chronic electrical stimulation. Lead placement is carried out through a craniotomy or burr holes performed respectively under general and local anesthesia with sedation [35]. The stimulation waveform is a continuous biphasic pulse train delivered at an amplitude below motor threshold.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Unit of Neurosurgery Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, UMG of Catanzaro, Viale Europa, 88100 Catanzaro, Italy.

E-mail address: lavano@unicz.it (A. Lavano).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pjnns.2017.11.001>

0028-3843/© 2017 Published by Elsevier Sp. z o.o. on behalf of Polish Neurological Society.

The surgical risks of the procedure include epidural or subdural intracranial bleeding depending on the implantation site and infection. Seizures induction has been reported following DCS programming and during chronic stimulation, not necessary leading to the development of epilepsy [24].

Chronic direct stimulation of the precentral cortex is a clinically accepted treatment for medication refractory neuropathic pain [1,2,34,36].

This method was first reported by Tsubokawa in 1991 [32] for the treatment of central pain; by the time its indications have been extended to various types of peripheral and central deafferentation pain refractory to common treatments included, when indicated, spinal cord stimulation (post-stroke pain, phantom limb pain, spinal cord injury pain, postherpetic neuralgia and trigeminal neuropathic pain).

DCS has proven effective in intractable chronic pain conditions but no randomized controlled study has yet been published. A literature review by the European Federation of Neurological Societies covering more than 200 patients treated with DCS found that 50–60% of patients had significant pain relief [3]. Others scientific studies confirm that different forms of central pain and peripheral neuropathic pain can be effectively treated with DCS [37,39]. Review of the literature reports that patients with neuropathic facial pain achieved $\geq 60\%$ pain relief with DCS. Post-stroke pain responds nearly as well, with almost two-thirds of patients obtaining good to excellent relief [24,27,36].

The mechanisms underlying the effects on neuropathic pain is actually still unknown. A corticospinal system relatively intact is necessary, but not sufficient, to achieve pain control, while success in DCS treatment does not require intact somatosensory system. It has been proposed that action mechanism may act by reinforcing the control of non-nociceptive sensory inputs on nociceptive systems at the level of the thalamus, dorsal column nuclei and spinal cord but other suggested mechanism involves supraspinal structures (cingulate gyrus, orbitofrontal cortex and brainstem) [4,10,11,28,29]. DCS-induced pain relief is associated with an improved sensory discrimination within the painful zone suggesting that stimulation of motor cortex acts on somatosensory pathways and sensory processing [24].

Reported amplitudes range from 0.5 V to 10 V, rates from 5 Hz to 130 Hz and pulse widths from 60 μ s to 450 μ s, increasing the intensity by 20% if necessary [5,6,23,30,37,39].

DCS of the precentral cortex is also used to treat Parkinson's disease (PD) and essential tremor (ET) [4–6,8–10,28–31]. The number of patients treated remains small but Direct Cortical Stimulation can reduce PD symptoms (tremor, rigidity, akinesia, freezing of gait, balance) and to a greater extent axial symptoms. One reason that DCS is not more widely used as treatment for PD is that, as with chronic pain, not all patients show significant symptom improvement. Furthermore DCS is not yet as effective as deep brain stimulation (DBS) for PD. Nevertheless, some authors [10,11,29] concluded that DCS is a important treatment option for a subgroup of PD patients who are contraindicated for DBS. DCS has also been used in a small number of patients to treat medically refractory tinnitus and depression with some success [12].

There are several mechanisms proposed to explain the effects of DCS on PD. The motor cortex region is the final

common link between deeper circuitry coordinating movement and the spinal cord itself. It is one of the few areas in which the pyramidal and extrapyramidal systems interact. The motor cortex is connected to the basal ganglia indirectly via a cortico-striatal pathway and directly via a cortico-subthalamic circuit. DCS may exert its effect modulating the subthalamic nucleus (STN) directly or through the loop cortex-striatum-lateral globus pallidus-STN [4]. Chronic stimulation of motor cortex may alter not only the firing patterns in the basal ganglia but also, due to its location, the interactions between the pyramidal and extrapyramidal systems [12]. Finally it may modulate the activity of the supplementary motor area (SMA) or the “suppressor cortical system” [11].

In summary DCS can treat effectively neuropathic pain and PD symptoms in some patients that are refractory to pharmacological treatment and cannot be treated with other stimulation techniques. However, it is difficult to predict which patients will respond. Since the method involves a neurosurgical procedure, this has impact on its clinical application.

3. Noninvasive cortical stimulation

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a noninvasive neuromodulation method that uses a magnetic field to induce current flow in the cortex by means of a figure-of-eight coil. The stimulation waveform can be single, paired, or burst pulses [4,7] usually applied repetitively (rTMS) and can be delivered at amplitudes high enough to cause limb movement when the coil is positioned over the motor cortex [33]. Two rTMS procedures are used: low-frequency rTMS (1 Hz with continuous trains of single pulses) and high-frequency rTMS (more than 5 Hz with bursts of pulses).

Because it is noninvasive, TMS has a widely used in research. TMS of the motor cortex has been explored as a treatment for both neuropathic pain and PD [13,14]. While significant effects have been reported for treating both disorders, they are often too modest to be clinically relevant [15,16] or the effects tend to be short lived [3,17]. This highlights one of the limitations of TMS: the strong magnetic field and stimulator size mean that it can only be used in laboratory or clinical setting and cannot deliver chronic stimulation.

Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) are noninvasive neuromodulation methods in which two large square electrodes are placed on the scalp to deliver low amplitude currents (typically <2 mA) that are able to cross the skull by inducing effects on excitability of cortical neurons [18–20]. Main adverse effect of tDCS and tACS is the onset of burns on the scalp at the site of the stimulating electrodes.

While TMS and DCS work by using high amplitude and pulsed waveforms to initiate action potentials in axons [21], the mechanisms behind tDCS and tACS are quite different and are not completely understood. tDCS uses low amplitude direct current to cause somatic and dendritic polarization across a spatially broad neuronal population and has effects that persist after stimulation has stopped [18,22]. tACS uses low amplitude sine waves (alternating current) which also act

on the soma and dendrite to cause small but significant changes in the membrane potential [19,23]. tACS can entrain and synchronize firing patterns across a population of neurons [25,26]. Both tDCS and tACS show great promise in treating a range of brain disorders. Firstly, in relation to PD tACS has shown the most promising results; and secondly it is difficult to safely deliver direct current through implanted electrodes. Brown's group in Oxford have shown the tACS can reduce tremor by an average of 50% in PD patients when applied with a closed-loop system [38]. Although only performed in a small number of patients, the study was groundbreaking indicating that tACS can greatly reduce one of the symptoms of PD. The effect of tACS on the full range of PD symptoms has not yet been assessed. The main drawback of using tACS to reduce tremor is that once the electrode is removed the tremor returns. It is not practical to chronically attach a stimulating electrode to the scalp.

4. Conclusions

Cortex neuromodulation is very promising approach to treating symptoms of a variety of neurological diseases. Even if the effects of non-invasive cortical stimulation were to prove to be short lived, tDCS and tACS may be useful to assess the suitability of a given patient for more invasive cortical stimulation. DCS is the only cortical neuromodulatory method that can provide long-lasting effects in treatment of neuropathic pain and some symptoms of Parkinson's disease through the use of totally implantable systems that ensure a chronic stimulation. However, further studies are needed to evaluate and compare the effectiveness of the different types of motor cortex stimulation even in comparison to DBS.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Acknowledgement and financial support

We are grateful to Serena Lavano for supporting the preparation of the article's text. No financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Garcia-Larrea L, Peyron R. Motor cortex stimulation for neuropathic pain: from phenomenology to mechanisms. *Neuroimage* 2007;37:71–9.
- [2] Rasche D, Ruppolt M, Stippich C, Unterberg A, Tronnier VM. Motor cortex stimulation for long-term relief of chronic neuropathic pain: a 10 year experience. *Pain* 2006;121:43–52.
- [3] Cruccu G, Aziz TZ, Garcia-Larrea L, Hansson P, Jensen TS, Lefaucheur JP, et al. EFNS guidelines on neurostimulation therapy for neuropathic pain. *Eur J Neurol* 2007;14:952–70.
- [4] Priori A, Lefaucheur JP. Chronic epidural motor cortical stimulation for movement disorders. *Lancet Neurol* 2007;6:279–86.
- [5] Canavero S, Bonicalzi V. Extradural cortical stimulation for movement disorders. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* 2007;97:223–32.
- [6] Pagni CS, Altibrandi MG, Bentivoglio A, Caruso G, Cioni B, Fiorella A, et al. Extradural motor cortex stimulation (EMCS) for Parkinson's disease. History and first results by the study group of the Italian neurosurgical society. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* 2005;93:113–9.
- [7] Picillo M, Moro E, Edwards M, Di Lazzaro V, Lorana AM, Fasano A. Subdural continuous theta burst stimulation of the motor cortex in ET. *Brain Stimul* 2015;8:840–2.
- [8] Moro E, Schwab JM, Piboolnarak P, Poon YY, Hamani C, Hung SW, et al. Unilateral subdural motor cortex stimulation improves essential tremor but not Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 2011;134:2096–105.
- [9] Bentivoglio AR, Fasano A, Piano C, Soleti F, Daniele A, Zinno M, et al. Unilateral extradural motor cortex stimulation is safe and improves Parkinson disease at 1 year. *Neurosurgery* 2012;71:815–25.
- [10] De Rose M, Guzzi G, Bosco D, Romano M, Lavano SM, Plastino M, et al. Motor Cortex stimulation in Parkinson's disease. *Neurol Res Int* 2012;2012:502096. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/502096>
- [11] Cioni B, Tufo T, Bentivoglio A, Trevisi G, Piano C. Motor cortex stimulation for movement disorders. *J Neurosurg Sci* 2016;60(2):230–41.
- [12] Panov F, Kopell BH. Use of cortical stimulation in neuropathic pain, tinnitus, depression, and movement disorders. *Neurotherapeutics* 2014;11:564–71.
- [13] Leung A, Donohue M, Xu R, Ler R, Lefaucheur JP, Khedr EM, et al. rTMS for suppressing neuropathic pain: a meta-analysis. *J Pain* 2009;10:1205–16.
- [14] Fregni F, Simon DK, Wu A, Pascual-Leone A. Non-invasive brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005;76:1614–23.
- [15] Lefaucheur JP. Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS). *Clin Neurophysiol* 2014;125:2150–206.
- [16] Benninger DH. Handbook of clinical neurology, vol. 116. 2013;p. 469–83. PROJECT DESCRIPTION – Epicranial Cortical Stimulation 14.
- [17] O'Connell NE, Wand BM, Marston L, Spencer S, De Souza LH. In: O'Connell NE, editor. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; 2014. p. CD008208.
- [18] Nitsche MA, Paulus W. Excitability changes induced in the human motor cortex by weak transcranial direct current stimulation. *J Physiol* 2000;527:633–9.
- [19] Helfrich RF, Schneider TR, Rach S, Trautmann-Leugsfeld SA, Engel AK, Niermann SC. Entrainment of brain oscillations by transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Curr Biol* 2014;24:333–9.
- [20] Antal A, Paulus W. Transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS). *Front Hum Neurosci* 2013;7:317.
- [21] Rattay F. The basic mechanism for the electrical stimulation of the nervous system. *Neuroscience* 1999;89:335–46.
- [22] Radman T, Ramos R, Brumberg J, Bikson M. Role of cortical cell type and morphology in sub- and suprathreshold uniform electric field stimulation. *Brain Stimul* 2009;2:215–28.
- [23] Reato D, Rahman A, Bikson M, Parra LC. Effects of weak transcranial alternating current stimulation on brain activity – a review of known mechanisms from animal studies. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2013;7:687.
- [24] Lefaucheur JP, Dronot X, Cunin B, Kervael Y, Nguyen JP. Motor Cortex Stimulation for the treatment of refractory peripheral neuropathic pain. *Brain* 2009;132:1463–71.

- [25] Ozen S, Sirota A, Belluscio MA, Anastassion CA, Stark E, Koch C, et al. Transcranial electric stimulation entrains cortical neuronal populations in rats. *J Neurosci* 2010;30:11476–85.
- [26] Mehta AR, Pogosyan A, Brown P, Brittain JS. Montage matters: the influence of transcranial alternating current stimulation on human physiological tremor. *Brain Stimul* 2015;8:260–8.
- [27] Levy R, Deer TR, Henderson J. Intracranial neurostimulation for pain control: a review. *Pain Physician* 2010;13:157–65.
- [28] Gutiérrez JC, Seijo JF, Alvare Vega MA, González FF, Lozano Aragonese B, Blázquez M. Therapeutic extradural cortical stimulation for Parkinson's disease: report of six cases and review of the literature. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2009;111:703–7.
- [29] Pagni CA, Albanese A, Bentivoglio A, Broggi G, Canavero S. Results by motor cortex stimulation in treatment of focal dystonia, Parkinson's disease and post-ictal spasticity. The experience of the Italian Study Group of the Italian Neurosurgical Society. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* 2008;101:13–21.
- [30] Arle JE, Shils JL. Motor cortex stimulation for pain and movement disorders. *Neurotherapeutics* 2008;5(1):37–49.
- [31] Lavano A, Guzzi G, De Rose M, Romano M, Della Torre A, Vescio G, et al. Minimally invasive motor cortex stimulation for Parkinson's disease. *J Neurosurg Sci* 2017;61(February (1)):77–87.
- [32] Tsubokawa T, Katayama Y, Yamamoto T, Hirayama T, Koyama S. Chronic motor cortex stimulation for the treatment of central pain. *Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien)* 1991;52:137–9.
- [33] Lefaucher JP. Methods of therapeutic cortical stimulation. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2009;39:1–14.
- [34] Lavano A. Brain neuromodulation surgery. *J Neurosurg Sci* 2016;60(2):178–80.
- [35] Canavero S, editor. Textbook of cortical brain stimulation. Warsaw/Berlin: De Gruyter Open; 2014.
- [36] Sokai P, Harat M. Motor cortex stimulation in patient with chronic central pain. *Adv Clin Exp Med* 2015;24:289–96.
- [37] Nguyen JP, Velasco F, Brugieres P, Velasco M, Boleaga B, Britto F, et al. Treatment of chronic neuromopathic pain by motor cortex stimulation: results of a bicentric controlled crossover trial. *Brain Stimul* 2008;1:89–96.
- [38] Brittain JS, Probert-Smith P, Aziz TZ, Brown P. Tremor suppression by rhythmic transcranial current stimulation. *Curr Biol* 2013;23:436–40.
- [39] Velasco F, Arguellas C, Carrillo Ruiz JD, Castro G, Velasco AL, Timener F, et al. Efficacy of Motor Cortex Stimulation in the treatment of neuropathic pain: a randomized double blind trial. *J Neurosurg* 2008;108:698–706.