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Ultra high field TOF-MRA: A method to visualize small cerebral vessels. 7 T TOF-MRA sequence parameters on different MRI scanners – Literature review
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

\textit{Introduction:} Time-of-flight (TOF) angiography is a technique allowing to visualize the blood flow in vessels. 7 T ToF-MRA is able to visualize the whole Circle of Willis including small perforating branches without any known side effects as opposed to usually used DSA and CTA with high exposition to the radiation and high doses of contrast as far as CTA is concerned.

\textit{Aim:} The aim of this review is to describe ultra-high field ToF-MRA and present different protocol data depending on the scanner used in the study.

\textit{Materials and methods:} PubMed, Embase, Ovid, Google Scholar databases were searched. Selection of studies for this systematic review included 7 T magnetic resonance angiography studies. We searched for type of head coil used in various studies, flip angle, echo time, repetition time, field-of-view (FOV), number of slices per slab, matrix, voxel size and acquisition time.

\textit{Discussion:} Visualization for the small perforating vessels of the Circle of Willis, that are not fully visualized using low-field-strength MRA is improving with increasing magnetic field strength, which has been proved by several studies.

\textit{Conclusion:} Ultra-high field ToF-MRA has found to be a superior method in depicting cerebral microvasculature. 7 T ToF-MRA seems to be a reliable method for visualization of arteries up to the second order cerebral arteries and has a potential to replace DSA.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Sp. z o.o. on behalf of Polish Neurological Society.

1. \textbf{Introduction}

Time-of-flight (TOF) angiography is a technique allowing to visualize the blood flow in vessels. The main advantage of the technique is the fact that there is no need to administer contrast, which makes it safer and more convenient for the patient. The first to describe the TOF method was Suryan [1] and for the first time was used by Hinshaw et al. [2] to visualize the blood vessels. The aim of this technique is to receive large...
magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a non-invasive imaging technique for visualizing internal body structures. The magnitude of magnetization from the moving spins and small magnitude of the magnetization of the stationary spins. This phenomenon is possible due to the change of the amplitude of the signal from moving blood as it flows into the imaged volume and the fact that movement of the blood during applied gradients is changing a phase because of the motion, which is called phase effect. Exposure to increasing number of excitation pulses results in decreasing spin signal until the saturation value. The main aim is to expose the flowing spins to small number of excitation pulses and surrounding stationary spins to large number of those pulses. The unsaturated blood spins entering into an image slice are being enhanced because of the motion of the blood and giving stronger signal than the surrounding tissue spins. This results with signal contrast between blood and surrounding tissues allowing to distinguish them in the MRI scan.

This sequence has become very useful in diagnosing intracranial aneurysms [3,4], non-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage [5] and other vascular diseases such as Moyamoya disease [6,7] Several studies claim that 7 T MRI scanners are far more superior compared to the 1.5 T and 3 T MRI in visualizing small perforating vessels because of high SNR provided by the ultra high field and ability to visualize in high spatial resolution [8–10]. According to the literature SNR in 3 T MRI is 100% bigger in comparison to 1.5 T MRI [11]. Moreover, SNR in 7 T MRI 85% bigger than in 3 T MRI [12]. Contrast-enhanced digital subtraction angiography was by far the only way to visualize small arterial cerebral branches, however, because of its high risk of side effects [13,14] and a considerable radiation dose up to 3.8 Gy applied to the patient [15], it is not used for scientific purposes. Conventional noninvasive MRA and even contrast-enhanced MRA does not provide enough spatial resolution to visualize small vessels [16,17], which is helpful while diagnosing vascular changes in migraine, depression or small vessel disease. 7 T ToF-MRA allows to visualize the whole Circle of Willis including small perforating branches without any known side effects [18,19] as opposed to usually used DSA and CTA with high exposition to the radiation and high doses of contrast as far as CTA is concerned. There are also no evidence suggesting increased heating in patients with post-surgical implants and only minor artifacts from the implants were observed, not likely to affect the image quality [20–22].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Literature search strategy

PubMed, Embase, Ovid, Google Scholar databases were searched by author (CG). The key words: “7 T MRA”; “time of flight MRA”; “ultra high field MRA” were used. A systematic review of the studies published between 2007 and January 2017 was performed and the reference list of every reviewed article was analyzed for potentially useful studies.

2.2. Selection criteria

Selection of studies for this systematic review included 7 T magnetic resonance angiography studies. We reviewed studies written in English only. Abstracts, conference presentations, case reports were excluded. We searched for studies that contained the ultra high field MRA sequence parameter.

2.3. Interpretation of studies

Extracted data is presented in tables and sorted according to the year of published paper. MRA sequence parameters are presented for various types of MRI scanners. We searched for type of head coil used in various studies, flip angle, echo time, repetition time, field-of-view (FOV), number of slices per slab, matrix, voxel size and acquisition time.

3. Results

Twenty studies were qualified to this systematic review. The qualification process is presented on the flow diagram provided in the article (Fig. 1). One paper was excluded from the review because of the insufficient data provided in the study. Different ToF-MRA protocol data based on the used scanner was extracted including type of the head coil, flip angle, echo time, repetition time, field-of-view (FOV), number of slices per slab, matrix, voxel size and acquisition time. All gathered data is presented in Table 1 and listed according to the year of publish.

![Fig. 1 - Flow diagram review.](image-url)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mri co.</th>
<th>Coil</th>
<th>Flip angle (°)</th>
<th>TE (ms)</th>
<th>TR (ms)</th>
<th>FOV</th>
<th>Slices per slab</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Voxel size (mm³)</th>
<th>Acquisition time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>von Morze et al. [12]</td>
<td>GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA</td>
<td>Eight-channel phased array receiver coils</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.5 ms</td>
<td>0.030 s</td>
<td>240 mm × 180 mm × 120 mm</td>
<td>38 mm × 0.5 mm thick</td>
<td>384 × 224 × 120</td>
<td>0.63 × 0.8 × 1</td>
<td>13 min 1 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maderwald et al. [33]</td>
<td>Magnetom 7 T, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany</td>
<td>Eight-channel head coil</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.46 ms</td>
<td>0.062 s</td>
<td>200 mm × 133 mm</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>512 × 247</td>
<td>0.55 × 0.39 × 0.7</td>
<td>17 min 50 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heverhagen et al. [31]</td>
<td>Achieva; Philips, Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>Transmit/receive head coil</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.5 ms</td>
<td>0.015 s</td>
<td>220 mm × 165 mm</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>512 × 384</td>
<td>0.43 × 0.43 × 1.2</td>
<td>approx. 10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwanenburg et al. [10]</td>
<td>GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA</td>
<td>Eight-channel phased array receiver coils</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.6 ms</td>
<td>0.030 s</td>
<td>220 mm</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>512 × 384</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12 min 45 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang et al. [8]</td>
<td>Magnetom, Siemens AG, Berlin, Germany</td>
<td>Hybrid 16-rung quadrature transmit/receive (Tx/Rx)-type BC coil</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.8 ms</td>
<td>0.015 s</td>
<td>220 mm × 165 mm</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>640 × 480</td>
<td>0.23 × 0.23 × 0.36</td>
<td>11 min 5 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conijn et al. [30]</td>
<td>Philips Healthcare, Cleveland, OH, USA</td>
<td>16-Channel receive-only head coil</td>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>2.3-2.6 ms</td>
<td>0.023 s</td>
<td>200 mm × 181 mm × 68 mm</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>332 × 294</td>
<td>0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6</td>
<td>9 min 30 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang et al. [28]</td>
<td>Magnetom, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany</td>
<td>Single-channel volume coil</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.84 ms</td>
<td>0.015 s</td>
<td>135 mm × 180 mm</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>576 × 768</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8 min 31 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liem et al. [39]</td>
<td>Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands</td>
<td>16-Channel receive array head coil</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.3 ms</td>
<td>0.016 s</td>
<td>180 mm × 170 mm</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>784 × 737</td>
<td>0.23 × 0.23 × 0.23</td>
<td>10 min 41 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johst et al. [43]</td>
<td>Magnetom 7 T, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany</td>
<td>32-Channel Tx/Rx head coil</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.34 ms</td>
<td>0.020 s</td>
<td>200 mm × 190 mm</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>896 × 756</td>
<td>0.22 × 0.22 × 0.41</td>
<td>6 min 22 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurig et al. [29]</td>
<td>Philips Healthcare, Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>Quadrature transmit/ receive head coil</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.4 ms</td>
<td>0.020 s</td>
<td>200 mm × 190 mm</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.25 × 0.25 × 0.3</td>
<td>11 min 43 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamm et al. [23]</td>
<td>Achieva Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, OH, USA</td>
<td>Quadrature transmit/receive head coil</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.5 ms</td>
<td>0.015 s</td>
<td>220 mm × 165 mm</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>512 × 384</td>
<td>0.43 × 0.43 × 1.2</td>
<td>9 min 44 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrede et al. [32]</td>
<td>Magnetom 7 T, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany</td>
<td>Quadrature transmit/ receive head coil</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.34 ms</td>
<td>0.020 s</td>
<td>200 mm × 169 mm × 46 mm</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>896 × 756 (non-interpolated)</td>
<td>0.22 × 0.22 × 0.41</td>
<td>6 min 22 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsushige et al. [49]</td>
<td>MAGNETOM 7 T, Siemens Healthcare GmbH</td>
<td>1-Channel transmit/ 32-channel</td>
<td>α = 18°</td>
<td>4.34 ms</td>
<td>0.020 s</td>
<td>200 mm × 169 mm × 46 mm</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>896 × 756 (non-interpolated)</td>
<td>0.22 × 0.22 × 0.41</td>
<td>6 min 22 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>MRI Co.</td>
<td>Coil</td>
<td>Flip Angle (°)</td>
<td>TE (ms)</td>
<td>TR (ms)</td>
<td>FOV (mm)</td>
<td>Slices per Slab</td>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>Voxel size (mm³)</td>
<td>Acquisition Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harteveld et al. [47]</td>
<td>Philips Healthcare, Cleveland, OH, USA</td>
<td>32-Channel receive head coil and volume transmit/receive coil for transmission</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.4 ms</td>
<td>15.3 ms</td>
<td>200 mm x 190 mm x 50 mm</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.25 x 0.3 x 0.4</td>
<td>approx. 9 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [40]</td>
<td>Magnetom 7 T, Siemens Healthcare AG, Erlangen, Germany</td>
<td>Homemade 8-channel phased-array head coil</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.020 s</td>
<td>210 mm x 164 mm x 115 mm</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.32 x 0.32 x 0.4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wermer et al. [25]</td>
<td>Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands</td>
<td>Quadrature volume transmit and 32 channel receive head coil</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.2 ms</td>
<td>0.016 s</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.23 x 0.23 x 0.23</td>
<td>11 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neumann et al. [48]</td>
<td>Magnetom 7 T, Siemens Healthcare AG, Erlangen, Germany</td>
<td>24-Channel head coil</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.76 ms</td>
<td>0.015 s</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.34 x 0.33 x 0.5</td>
<td>15 min 17 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deng et al. [34]</td>
<td>Magnetom Siemens, Beijing, China</td>
<td>24-Channel phased-array head coil</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.58 ms</td>
<td>0.037 s</td>
<td>200 mm x 200 mm</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>768 x 432</td>
<td>0.26 x 0.46 x 0.4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh et al. [26]</td>
<td>Philips Healthcare, Cleveland, OH, USA</td>
<td>Volume transmit and 16-channel receiving head coil</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.36 ms</td>
<td>0.065 s</td>
<td>220 mm x 199 mm x 144 mm</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>552 x 332</td>
<td>0.4 x 0.6 x 0.8</td>
<td>10 min 32 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 Protocol presentation

4. Discussion

Visualization for the small perforating vessels of the Circle of Willis, that are not fully visualized using low-field-strength MRA is improving with increasing magnetic field strength, which has been proved by several studies [23–30]. Nowinski et al. [24] compared the visualization of small cerebral vessels (their length and volume) and proved that both values increased with field strength, missing 6% of the vasculature volume using 3 T MRA and only 1% using 7 T MRA. Heverhagen et al. [31] presented the results of his study where only 60% of the first-order branches and none of the second-order branches were visualized in their entire length using 1.5 T MRA, 90% of first-order branches and 88% of second-order branches using 3 T MRA and all of the first and second order branches were visualized using 7 T MRA. Moreover 7 T MRA has even allowed to visualize additional higher order branches, not visible at 1.5 T and 3 T MRA. Because of increased spatial resolution compared to 1.5 T and 3 T MRA, better contrast between vessels and background tissue can be achieved, which allows better visualization of small vessels. This was confirmed by using the same protocol on 3 T and 7 T TOF-MRA [25]. High diagnostic ability and vessel-tissue contrast of 7 T TOF-MRA and MPRAGE compared to DSA and 1.5 T MRA was shown by Wrede et al. No difference between the image quality between 7 T TOF-MRA and 7 T MPRAGE was detected [32], however, Maderwald claimed that the MIPs of TOF sequences turned out to be significantly better than VIBE and MPRAGE for small vessels because of the good depiction of vessels in the MIP images [33]. Harveeld et al. found ToF-MRA to be superior to SWAN method in the depiction of small arteries. Cho claimed that none of the small perforators or vessels can be seen using 1.5 T MRI [9]. According to Heverhagen, even contrast-enhanced MRA, providing much higher spatial resolution than the traditional MRA [16,17] could not reach the results in achieving vascular information compared to 7 T MRA [31]. Oh et al. came to the same conclusion that 7.0 T TOF-MRA provided better visualization of the first and second small branch arteries and that 7 T MRA provided higher detection rate of signal void than 3 T MRA and it turned out to be more sensitive to the slow-flowing blood within smaller peripheral vessels [26]. Kang et al. proved in his study that 7 T MRA allows better blood-to-tissue contrast because of the increased T1 recovery time of tissues and better suppression of the background signal to noise compared with that of the blood vessels, which assures better non-invasive visualization of the microvasculature in vivo [8]. In that study Kang was able to obtain a high quality, more clear and distinct scans of lenticulostriate arteries (LSA), that were not well visualized with lower field MRA as well as DSA images. Cho et al. came to a conclusion that high field MRA shows clearer picture of all LSAs and the branches of LSAs in comparison to poor in contrast DSA imaging. Moreover they claim that high field MRA could serve as a tool for noninvasive microvascular imaging in vivo [9]. Dang et al. also confirm that 7 T MRA provides better SNR and increased T1 relaxation time and greater number of high-signal-intensity areas compared to 3 T MRA and provides much better MR images especially of the small arteries, which is useful to evaluate the progression of Moyamoya disease (MMD). By far, DSA was considered as a primary diagnostic test in MMD, but according to many authors it can be replaced by 7 T MRA, which can be extremely beneficial in pediatric population. DSA may cause various complications among younger patients because of the narrow arteries, the need for sedation and contrast allergy [34]. 7 T MRA allows to avoid those side effects and can be used as a non-invasive diagnostic tool among patients with small progression of the MMD and as a follow-up test for patients, who did not undergo the surgical therapy. This technique can also provide morphometric information on the superficial temporal artery, which could be useful while planning the surgery.

Wermer observed the supremacy of the 7 T ToF-MRA, while differentiating true intracranial aneurysms from infundibula. Using this technique the presence of an intracranial aneurysm could be excluded and the patients could be reassured that further follow-up is not necessary, because infundibula are considered non-pathological lesions. Another advantage of the technique is the fact that it can be considered superior to DSA, which would allow to decrease the use of invasive techniques with high exposure to radiation [25]. Cho et al. came to a conclusion that ultra-high field MRA shows clearer picture of all LSAs and the branches of LSAs in comparison to poor in contrast DSA imaging. Moreover they claim that high field MRA could serve as a tool for noninvasive microvasculature imaging in vivo. Heverhagen et al. claim that SNR of the major arteries were higher at 7 T MRI than at 1.5 T or 3 T and having quality of the image comparable to the DSA images [35–37]. Furthermore, ultra high field MRI is a noninvasive technique, requiring less time to perform than DSA, eliminating the exposure to ionizing radiation, requiring no intravenous contrast agents, allowing quantitative flow measurement and assessment of the flow direction [23]. The lack of contrast agent is very beneficial to patients with poor renal function and allow to reduce the probability of side effects. According to Heverhagen 7 T MRA quality of the image will allow to replace DSA as a diagnostic tool to diagnose microvasculature condition such as vasculitis and vasospasm [31] for instance 7 T MRI study performed by Liem et al. revealed that luminal diameters (length, number, cross-sectional area) of LSA are similar in patients with CADASIL and control subjects. No focal stenotic segments were found in patients with CADASIL, which was non-expected but consistent with small cadaveric study [38]. The study suggested that thickening of the wall does not necessarily lead to the luminal narrowing, what was confirmed by the Liem et al. [39]. The technique has been used to evaluate the condition of small subcortical vessels, particularly vulnerable in the aging process [29].

Many different protocols were used by different authors to perform 7 T ToF-MRA (Table 1). Protocol modifications are still introduced in order to overcome acquisition time and specific absorption rate (SAR) limitations. Despite the report that longer repetition time (TR) and larger flip angle (FA) had better visualization for small vessels with slow-flowing blood [12],
Zhang et al. chose TR = 20 ms and FA = 18° in order to limit the total acquisition time to 10 min and avoid motion artifacts in clinical scans [40].

To achieve the SAR limits and acquisition time Maderwald et al. reduced the echo time to the minimum, the flip angle was chosen as large as possible. According to the authors a shorter TR improves background suppression but could not be achieved without sacrificing coverage or exceeding the SAR. A scan time of less than 15 min per sequence is tolerable, however, one need to aim for shorter acquisition time in order to decrease the motion artifacts and achieve better image quality [33]. von Morze et al. reports that extending TR by 50% increases the acquisition time by 50% and doubling the resolution results with 100% longer acquisition time. Protocol presented by the authors seem to be more efficient allowing high quality imaging of smaller peripheral vessels and significant improvement in contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) in small perforating cerebral vessels. Increased TR and FA resulted in reduced saturation of slow-flowing blood which was highly beneficial for the vessel-tissue contrast, however authors noted that increasing the resolution does not necessarily reduce contrast in subvoxel dimensions [12].

Ultra-high field MRA because of the longer T1-relaxation time requires longer TR, providing better contrast between the inflow blood and rest of the tissue, however one can enhance the blood-tissue contras by applying a magnetization transfer contrast pulse (MTC), which selectively suppresses tissues with significant water-macromolecule interactions or saturation radio frequency (RF) pulses. Saturation pulses involve the application of RF energy to suppress the MR signal from moving tissues outside the imaged volume in order to reduce or eliminate motion artifacts. Spatial Saturation Pulses are based around a spatially selective 90°-pulse that flips magnetization into the transverse plane, which surpasses venous flow. Wrede et al. presented the modified technique of saturation RF pulses. They reduced the flip angle of the saturation RF pulse to 35° instead of 90°, which is normally used. That helped to reduce the SAR of individual saturation pulse instead of reducing the number of saturation pulses [32]. Applying the VERSE algorithm [41,42] allowed to reduce the acquisition time almost 3 times but TR had to be increased from 20 ms to 56 ms because of the SAR limitations [32]. Johst et al. on the other hand showed that despite the reduction of saturation pulse flip angle, the pulse can be applied every TR using a TR as short as 20 ms concluding that only half or less of the flip angle is necessary. This technique helped to stay within the SAR limitations and reach acceptable imaging time [43]. Schmitter et al. claim that specially adapted variable-rate selective pulses also allow for suppression of venous signal [42].

Another important factor in visualizing small vessels in ultra-high resolution is proper RF coil selection. The 8-channel coil with a sensitivity-encoding (SENSE) seems to be proper for ToF-MRA purposes [44,45], however, Kang et al. were not satisfied with the image quality and empirically chose volume coil [8] and von More et al. used the unique phased array coil, which consisted of smaller elements, giving higher peripheral sensitivity [12]. Maderwald et al. came to a conclusion that a 24-channel head coil has shown promising results, ensuring increased small vessel visualization in the periphery of the brain. They also claim that new multi-channel coils might offer a solution for overcoming the SAR limitations, thus improving imaging quality by increasing the signal in the brain periphery [31,33].

Neumann et al. presented a modification in high-resolution TOF sequences, reconstructing the cerebral vasculatory by vessel segmentation and converting it into a topological and spatial model, through injecting a simulated dye by iteratively applying the laws of diffusion to the data set. This technique can be helpful while planning surgeries such as tumor or epilepsy procedures as well as finding a potential vessel occlusion in a hypo-refused area.

There are several challenges in ultra-high MRA that one need overcome in order to achieve good quality images. Several studies report that there is no advantage in visualizing main cerebral vessels between 3 T and 7 T MRA [31]. Because of the RF inhomogeneity properties at ultra-high field there are regions that suffer from a signal loss like the carotid siphon and basilar artery. Additionally higher spatial resolution results with decreasing in signal intensity. In the study performed by Maderwald et al. turbulence artifacts and intraluminal signal loss were found in the greater vessels (mostly in carotid arteries), when using ToF-MRA and VIBE protocol but nearly absent, when using MPRAGE, however in ToF-MRA this can be partially compensated by MIPs [33]. They also report that ToF-MRA requires greater flip angles than VIBE and MPRAGE, which makes it more vulnerable to SAR restriction, moreover ToF requires longer acquisition time which can lead to subject motion artifacts. MPRAGE protocol additionally allows good visualization and differentiation of gray and white brain parenchyma, which is not possible using ToF-MRA.

5. Conclusions

Ultra-high filed ToF-MRA has found to be a superior method in depicting cerebral microvasculature. The method showed additional higher order branches and smaller perforating branches, that were not visualized in low-field MRI. 7 T ToF-MRA seems to be a reliable method for visualization of arteries up to the second order cerebral arteries and has a potential to soon replace DSA. This method still needs further research in order to improve imaging speed and develop techniques allowing to enhance blood-tissue contrast and reaching the SAR limitation at the same time. Parallel imaging with multi receiver coil arrays could enable a more homogenous signal over the entire head. High-field ToF-MRA may be helpful in increasing understanding of the patterns in anatomy in vivo, ischemic processes, diagnosing cerebral vessel malformations and planning neurosurgical procedures.
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