

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

# **ScienceDirect**

#### journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/pjnns

# **Original research article**

# Dopa-responsive dystonia or early-onset Parkinson disease - Genotype-phenotype correlation



AND NEUROSURGERY

# Anna Potulska-Chromik<sup>*a*,1,\*</sup>, Dorota Hoffman-Zacharska<sup>*b*,1</sup>, Małgorzata Łukawska<sup>c</sup>, Anna Kostera-Pruszczyk<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Neurology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>b</sup>Department of Medical Genetics, Institute of Mother and Child, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>c</sup> Student Scientific Group by the Department of Neurology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 May 2016 Accepted 19 July 2016 Available online 12 September 2016

Keywords: Dopa-responsive dystonia DYT5 Early-onset Parkinson disease GCH1 PARK2

#### ABSTRACT

Objective: Dopa-responsive dystonia (DRD) is a rare form of hereditary movement disorder with onset in childhood, characterized by gait difficulties due to postural dystonia with marked improvement after low doses of levodopa. Mutations in the GCH1 gene are the most common cause of DRD, however, in some cases when the disease is associated with parkinsonism mutations in the PARK2 gene may be identified. The aim of this study was to analyze and compare genotype-phenotype correlation.

Material/participants: Four families with inter- and intrafamilial variability of progressive gait dysfunction due to lower limb dystonia occurring in childhood or adolescence were included in the analysis.

Methods: General and neurological examination was performed for all affected family members and asymptomatic mutation carriers. The molecular analysis encompassed GCH1 and PARK2 genes.

Results: All probands were clinically diagnosed with DRD. The molecular analysis revealed, however, that the dopa-responsive dystonia phenotype was caused by a mutation in the GCH1 gene in three families and in the PARK2 gene in one family. Obtained results allowed to establish the final diagnosis for all families as DYT5a or early-onset Parkinson disease (EO-PD).

Conclusions: Reported cases confirm that the DRD phenotype may have heterogeneous genetic background and may be caused by point mutations or rearrangements in the GCH1 gene as well as in the PARK2 gene. Differential diagnosis and genetic tests covering the analysis of genes causative for DRD and EO-PD should be obligatory in both disorders diagnostics as DRD, mainly adolescent onset dystonia, may be associated with parkinsonism.

© 2016 Polish Neurological Society. Published by Elsevier Sp. z o.o. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author at: Department of Neurology, Medical University of Warsaw, Banacha 1a, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland. Tel.: +48 602316301; fax: +48 22 5991857.

E-mail address: apotulska@wum.edu.pl (A. Potulska-Chromik).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to the work.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pjnns.2016.07.013

<sup>0028-3843/ 2016</sup> Polish Neurological Society. Published by Elsevier Sp. z o.o. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Generalized dystonia is a rare form of hereditary movement disorder with onset in childhood, characterized by postural dystonia with marked diurnal fluctuations. Dystonia may be difficult to diagnose correctly because of its many presentations. However, the excellent response to low doses of levodopa (L-dopa) suggests the clinical diagnosis of Segawa disease, known also as dopa-responsive dystonia (DRD) or DYT5 (MIM 128230) [1]. DRD usually starts as foot dystonia causing gait disorder with diurnal fluctuations, and subsequent overflow of dystonic movements to other muscles and parts of the body. Atypical symptoms such as delayed and awkward gait (walking on toes) have also been reported [2]. That is why DRD patients may be misdiagnosed as having spastic diplegic cerebral palsy, intractable epilepsy, hereditary spastic paraplegia or a neurodegenerative disorder [3].

The most frequent type of DRD, referred as DYT5a, is inherited as an autosomal dominant trait with reduced penetrance, caused by mutations in the GCH1 gene (MIM 600225) coding for GTP cyclohydrolase I – GCH1 (MIM 600225), and distinguished from the recessive form DYT5b caused by mutations in the TH gene (MIM 191290) coding for tyrosine hydroxylase – TH (MIM 191290). Both enzymes are involved in L-dopa synthesis pathway [3]. Additionally homozygous and heterozygous mutations of the sepiapterine reductase coding gene – SPR (MIM 182125) were described in DRD cases with wide spectrum of severity course and early onset (MIM 612716) [4]. Generally in all those types of DRD the metabolism of dopamine is markedly disturbed as a consequence of reduced synthesis and activity of TH.

It is worth emphasizing that DRD, mainly of adolescent onset, may be associated with parkinsonism. In these cases differential diagnosis of early-onset Parkinson disease (EO-PD) (MIM 600116) due to the PARK2 gene (MIM 600544) mutation should also be considered in a diagnostic algorithm.

Recent retrospective analysis of the published data on DRD (101 papers) [5] has indicated, that despite the well-known etiology of the disease and availability of genetic testing, there is still marked delay in its definitive diagnosis in many cases. In some patients lack of timely therapy may lead to residual motor or nonmotor signs (depression, anxiety, obsessivecompulsive disorder), and other complications, even if the proper treatment is finally introduced.

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Subjects

We present four families (Fig. 1) with progressive gait dysfunction due to lower limb dystonia occurring in childhood or adolescence with detailed clinical and neurological examination. One person, a specialist of movement disorders, in an academic high reference hospital, examined all patients. Additional diagnostic tests excluded secondary movement disorders including Wilson's disease (ceruloplasmine level), acanthocytosis and thyroid dysfunction in all of them. Their brain MR scans, EMG and basic laboratory measurements (biochemistry and morphology) were normal.



Fig. 1 – Pedigree chart for the patients with dopa-responsive dystonia phenotype and their families with revealed mutations. □ indicates male, ○ represents female, ● or ■ denotes affected individuals, arrow indicates proband. A mutation beside □ or ○ denotes asymptomatic members.

#### 2.2. Methods (molecular analysis):

The molecular analysis of two genes, GCH1 and PARK2, was performed for all probands, and an appropriate gene was analyzed in their relatives. Genomic DNA was extracted from venous blood using standard methods. Because sequence variants and exonic or whole gene deletion/duplications in both genes are known as pathogenic, two methods were used, the direct sequencing of all exons and exon/intron boundaries (intronic primers were used, sequence available on request) and Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA, SALSA MLPA Kit P051-B1/P052-C1 Parkinson; MRC Holland b.v.). Sequencing was the first step of the GCH1 gene analysis, as sequence variants in this gene are identified in about 60% of DRD cases [6], while for the PARK2 the frequency of both types of mutations is equal [7].

Sequence was analyzed using Mutation Surveyor® v.3.24 software (Softgenetics LLC) in comparison to the CGH1 and PARK2 reference sequences NM\_018105 and NM\_004562.2 (NCBIRefSeq; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore). The Gene Marker v.1.51 software (SoftGenetics LLC) was used to MLPA dosage ratio analysis (standard parameters, dosage ratio boundaries <0.75 and >1.25 for deletion and duplication respectively). The whole GCH1 gene deletion and exonic deletion in the PARK2 gene were confirmed by the arraycomparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) using, the chromosome 6 and 14 NimbleGen 385 Tiling Array HG18, NCBI 36 (Roche NimbleGen Inc.) respectively. Genome positions of the rearrangements were specified according to Human Mar.2006 (NCBI36/hg18) assembly [University of California, Santa Cruz Genome Browser, http://genome.ucsc.edu].

Mutations identified for probands were analyzed in their family members (Fig. 1): Fam.1 GCH1 exon 2 sequencing (3 persons), Fam.2 MLPA P052 (5 persons), Fam.3 MLPA P052 (3 persons), Fam.4 PARK2 exon 2 sequencing and MLPA P051/P052 (4 persons) and the whole gene analysis for the proband's partner.

All subjects signed the informed consent to perform appropriate molecular tests.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Clinical characterization

Results of clinical and neurological testing and response to Ldopa treatment are presented in Table 1.

## 3.2. Molecular characterization

Molecular background of the DRD phenotype was confirmed in all families. In three probands the heterozygous mutations in the GCH1 gene and in one the biallelic mutation in the PARK2 gene were identified. Obtained results allowed to establish final diagnosis for all those families, as DYT5a in the cases of Fam.1, 2, 3 and EO-PD in Fam.4.

Family 1 (Fig. 1 and Table 1; Fam.1): The diagnosis of the DYT5a was established according to the GCH1 gene sequencing results, which revealed the heterozygous substitution in position c.453+1G>A; proband's genotype c.[453+1G>A];[=],

p[?];[=]. This mutation has already been reported in DRD [8]. Identified substitution is located in the conservative donor splice site of intron 2 and is likely to result in the exon 2 skipping and frame shift mutation, followed by premature STOP codon generation, as suggested previously [9]. Proband's mother and maternal grandmother were also carriers of this mutation. However, their medical history and neurological examination data demonstrate incomplete penetrance of the mutation resulting in the intrafamilial variability of the disorder's clinical picture (Table 1).

Family 2 (Fig. 1 and Table 1; Fam.2): This family was also diagnosed as DYT5a but due to a partial deletion of the GCH1 gene. The MLPA analysis performed for proband identified the deletion of exons 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 (there is no probe for exon 4 in the P052 kit); proband's genotype c.[-360-?\_668+?del];[=], p[?]; [=]. The aCGH analysis confirmed the deletion of 156 kb on one chromosome in locus 14q22, covering the whole GCH1 and the part of the WDHD1 gene (array14(54,376,281-54,532,230)  $\times$  1). The MLPA analysis revealed the same mutation in the proband's siblings.

Family 3 (Fig. 1 and Table 1; Fam.3): Sequencing of the proband's GCH1 gene revealed no point mutations, but the deletion of exons 3 and 5 were identified with MLPA method suggesting loss in one allele of the gene minimal region of 14 kb covering exons 3, 4 and 5 – proband's genotype c.[454-? \_c.626+?del];[=], p.[?];[=]. MLPA performed in the proband's parents confirmed maternal inheritance of the mutation.

Family 4 (Fig. 1 and Table 1; Fam.4): The molecular analysis showed no mutation in the GCH1 gene in this family excluding the DYT5a diagnosis. Two heterozygous, biallelic mutations were found in the PARK2 gene, the dinucleotide AG deletion in the exon 2 and the deletion of exons 3-4 (c.172-? \_534+?del), what allowed diagnosing EO-PD in the proband. The deletion c.101\_102del creates a frameshift starting at codon Gln34 and STOP codon four positions downstream p.Gln34Argfs\*5; proband's genotype c.[101\_102del];[172-?\_534 +?del], p.[Gln34Argfs\*5];[?]. Exons deletion identified by MLPA was confirmed by the aCGH analysis showing the deletion in one allele of 176 kb fragment covering whole exons 3 and 4 (array6(162.514,594-162,691,028) × 1). The PARK2 gene analysis performed in the proband's parents confirmed biallelic character of mutations, other tested family members were not carriers of identified mutations.

#### 4. Discussion

The presented four families, with progressive gait dysfunction due to lower limb dystonia occurring in childhood or adolescence and a good response to low doses of L-dopa, were clinically diagnosed with DRD. Results of the molecular analysis, however, brought on verification of this diagnosis. We identified among the probands mutations in the *GCH1* gene, what confirmed the DRD diagnosis – DYT5a, but also the mutations in the PARK2 gene, what changed the diagnosis to EO-PD. The problem in distinguishing between those two forms of dopa-responsive disorders is not a new one and has already been raised and reported [1,10–12]. The question is, what kind of symptoms and signs might be important and helpful in better qualifications of the DRD

| mutations in the GCH1 and PARK2 genes.                              |                              |                            |   |  |   |                                      |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Patients  | Motor<br>development         | Age of<br>onset<br>[years] | Presenting symptom<br>and main features   | Neurological<br>examination  | Treatment   | Other<br>characteristic<br>findings  |
| Fam.1 – CGH1 c.[453+1G>A];[=], p.[?];[=]                            |                              |                            |   |  |   |                                      |
| IV-1  | Normal, but<br>never crawled | 7                          | Gait difficulties,<br>diurnal fluctuation<br>with aggravation of<br>symptoms toward the<br>evening, she was not<br>able to walk<br>unsupported                    | Dystonic rotation of her<br>left feet and abnormal<br>posture of her trunk   | Marked clinical<br>improvement after<br>50 mg 1-dopa<br>+ benseraside   | Dyskinesia<br>after 100 mg<br>1-dopa |
| II-1  | Normal                       | Unknown-<br>adolescence?   | Fatigue, limb stiffness<br>and gait difficulties  | Bradykinesia with amimic<br>face, forward bending of<br>the trunk and hypokinesia<br>observed during<br>alternating movements of<br>the both hands                             | Improvement after<br>100 mg 1-dopa<br>+ benseraside   | No dyskinesia                        |
| IV-2  | Normal                       | 6                          | Gait difficulties   | Dystonic rotation of her<br>left feet  | Symptoms<br>withdraw after<br>50 mg 1-dopa/day  | Not seen                             |
| Fam.2 – CGH1 c.[-360-?_668+?del];[=], $p[?];[=]$                    |                              |                            |   |  |   |                                      |
| 114   | Normai                       | 3                          | was not able to walk<br>unsupported and<br>complained of fatigue  | at the age of 19: dystonic<br>rotation of her both feet<br>and abnormal posture of<br>her trunk, dystonic<br>movements of the both<br>upper limbs                              | withdraw after<br>100 mg L-dopa   | Not seen                             |
| II-5  | ND                           | 7                          | Gait difficulties   | Not available at the onset   | Symptoms<br>withdraw after<br>100 mg L-dopa   | Not seen                             |
| II-6  | ND                           | 7                          | Gait difficulties   | Not available at the onset   | Occasionally<br>100 mg L-dopa   | Not seen                             |
| III-1   | Normal                       | 2<br>5                     | Gait difficulties and fatigue   | During neurological<br>examination at the age of<br>10 she presented with left<br>feet dystonic posture<br>aggravated by the gait and<br>dystonic rotation of her<br>left hand | Marked<br>improvement after<br>50 mg L-dopa<br>+ 12.5 mg<br>benseraside<br>3times daily   | Not seen                             |
| Fam.4 PARK2 – c.[102-102de]];[172-?_534+?del], p.[Gln34Argfs*5];[?] |                              |                            |   |  |   |                                      |
| II-1  | Normal                       | 15                         | Gait difficulties and<br>pain in lower limb, at<br>the age of 22 she<br>noticed progression of<br>the symptoms and she<br>started to fall down<br>after long walk | During neurological<br>examination at the age of<br>28 she presented with left<br>feet dystonic posture<br>aggravated by the gait  | Improvement<br>(with residual<br>slight gait<br>abnormalities)<br>after 100 mg<br>L-dopa + 25 mg<br>benseraside 3times<br>daily | Not seen                             |
| ND – no data  |                              |                            |   |  |   |                                      |

Table 1 – Clinical characterization of the probands and their relatives from the presented families with DRD due to

patients on the clinical level, and this is a subject we would like to discuss here.

In Fam.2 and 3, where partial and whole GCH1 gene deletions were identified, probands present with typical symptoms of DRD alleviated by low doses of L-dopa. Deletions in the GCH1 gene were previously identified as causative of a very similar phenotype as described in probands here [13]. However, among the mutation carriers, we observe the intrafamilial heterogeneity of the clinical picture, indicating its reduced penetrance, as it was previously described for DYT5a [10]. In Fam.3 the probands' mother being a carrier of the mutation has been asymptomatic to date. In Fam.1, before molecular confirmation of DRD, a diagnosis of EO-PD was also considered as dyskinesias were observed after the initiation of the proband's treatment with L-dopa. Additionally the proband's grandmother (Fam.1 II-1) showed typical for PD motor symptoms such as bradykinesia, hypokinesia, amimic face and camptocormia.

Dyskinesias may be present in patients with GCH1deficient DRD at the initiation of L-dopa therapy, especially if doses are relatively high or increased rapidly, but they subside after reducing the dosage and do not reappear with

later slow increment in dose [14,15]. However, it is known, that some GCH1 mutations like in frame deletion in exon 1 c.235 240delCTGAGC/p.Leu79 Ser80del cause persistent treatment limiting dyskinesias, which may develop after few years of continuous 1-dopa therapy [16]. Some patients may also present with DRD showing atypical symptoms such as hand tremor, spastic paraplegia, rigidity [11,12,15]. In previously published studies the mutation in the GCH1 gene causing DRDplus syndrome, parkinsonism has also been reported [17,18]. The presence of gait difficulties in adolescence (probably due to dystonia) and parkinsonism in adulthood, as we observed in subject II-1 in Fam.1 (proband's grandmother) revise the question of non-treated DRD late symptomatology. In a recent study 4 patients presenting with adult-onset parkinsonism, positive family history of DRD and the GCH1 mutations were described. They fully met the UK Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank (UKPDSBB) clinical criteria, had imaging evidence of nigrostriatal dopaminergic denervation and were diagnosed as neurodegenerative PD. This implies that the GCH1 gene mutations may be associated not only with the parkinsonian phenotype but also with an increased risk of PD, by 7-hold on average according to Mencacci et al. [19] Analysis of 576 cases [5] indicates that DRD first symptoms start more frequently in the lower limbs than in the upper limbs and neck in earlyonset cases (0-14 years old), as compared with the late-onset cases (over 15 years old) where parkinsonism is more common.

Our study shows that the mutation of the GCH 1 gene may cause dystonia with L-dopa induced dyskinesia (Fam.1 IV-1), while the PARK 2 mutation (Fam.4 II-1) may present with dystonia but no parkinsonism or treatment related dyskinesia. Mutations we identified in Fam.4 proband may cause the classical phenotype of EO-PD not the DRD phenotype [7,20,21]. EO-PD is generally characterized by a good response to L-dopa and a rather benign course. Dystonia, hyperreflexia, and psychiatric features may be present, however, isolated leg dystonia as a presenting symptom has not been reported to date [22]. Mutations of the PARK2 gene have been reported as causative for some GCH1 mutation-negative DRD cases [11].

There are some differences between DRD and EO-PD that can be pointed out comparing the presented cases of four families. First, the families with genetically confirmed DRD (DYT5a) had very similar age of the disease onset in contrast to the patient with the PARK2 mutation (proband's age onset 5–7 vs 15 years old). Diurnal fluctuation with aggravation of the symptoms toward the evening, one of the most characteristic feature of DRD, was very significant in the patient IV-1 Fam.1 and also present but less demonstrated by other patients with DRD unlike the patient II-1 Fam.4. The degree of fluctuation may differ among patients and attenuates with the disease progression [6]. In DRD families symptoms disappeared almost completely after starting the L-dopa therapy, while in EO-PD significant clinical improvement was observed but the patient experienced mild residual gait difficulties.

The linkage between DRD and EO-PD is still debated and further studies of these two conditions are needed. DRD patients show normal 18-fluorodopa (18F-dopa) uptake in the positron emission tomography (PET) [23] and in most cases normal dopamine transporter (DAT) imaging nigrostriatal neurons in the single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) [24], however, distinct reduction of DAT has been reported and is associated with nigrostrial dopaminergic denervation [19,25,26]. This implies that mild parkinsonian symptoms, with an excellent response to low dosages of L-dopa and a reduced uptake in the [<sup>123</sup>I] FP-CIT-SPECT (DaTSCAN) may be more commonly seen in the GCH1 mutation carriers than previously supposed. Neuropathological data in DRD are limited, however, it appears that in the substantia nigra there is a reduction of melanin pigment more pronounced in the lateral compared to the medial aspect, in a pattern similar to the neuronal loss in PD [27]. The difference is that there is no evidence of Lewy bodies in the substantia nigra in DRD [28]. However, there is no atrophy of dopaminergic and noradrenergic neurons in the nigra and the locus coeruleus.

Our cases indicate that the DRD phenotype can be caused by a point mutation or rearrangements in both, the GCH1 and the PARK2, genes. Therefore genetic tests should be obligatory in the diagnostics of both DRD and EO-PD. Moreover, patients with parkinsonism, especially EO-PD, if mutations in known causative genes – PARK2, DJ-1 and PINK1 – are excluded, should be also tested for a genetic mutation characteristic for dystonia.

## **Conflict of interest**

None declared.

#### Acknowledgement and financial support

None declared.

#### Ethics

The work described in this article has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans; Uniform Requirements for manuscripts submitted to Biomedical journals.

#### REFERENCES

- Lee WW, Jeon BS. Clinical spectrum of dopa-responsive dystonia and related disorders. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2014;14(7):461.
- [2] Cheyette BN, Cheyette SN, Cusmano-Ozog K, Enns GM. Dopa-responsive dystonia presenting as delayed and awkward gait. Pediatr Neurol 2008;38(4):273–5.
- [3] Jan MMS. Misdiagnoses in children with dopa-responsive dystonia. Pediatr Neurol 2004;31(4):298–303.
- [4] Friedman J, Roze E, Abdenur JE, Chang R, Gasperini S, Saletti V, et al. Sepiapterin reductase deficiency: a treatable mimic of cerebral palsy. Ann Neurol 2012;71(4):520–30.
- [5] Tadic V, Kasten M, Bruggemann N, Stiller S, Hagenah J, Klein C. Dopa-responsive dystonia revisited diagnostic delay, residual signs, and nonmotor signs. Arch Neurol 2012;69(12):1558–62.

- [6] Furukawa Y. GTP cyclohydrolase 1-deficient doparesponsive dystonia. 2002 [updated 2015 March 5]. In: Pagon RA, Adam MP, Bird TD, et al., editors. GeneReviews<sup>TM</sup> [Internet]. Seattle, WA: University of Washington; 1993–2016. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.pubmed.han3.wum. edu.pl/books/NBK1508/.
- [7] Abbas N, Lucking CB, Ricard S, Dürr A, Bonifati V, De Michele G, et al. A wide variety of mutations in the parkin gene are responsible for autosomal recessive parkinsonism in Europe. Hum Mol Genet 1999;8(4):567–74.
- [8] Clot F, Grabli D, Cazeneuve C, Roze E, Castelnau P, Chabrol B, et al. Exhaustive analysis of BH4 and dopamine biosynthesis genes in patients with dopa-responsive dystonia. Brain 2009;132:1753–63.
- [9] Hirano M, Tamaru Y, Nagai Y, Ito H, Imai T, Ueno S. Exon skipping caused by a base substitution at a splice site in the gap cyclohydrolase I gene in a Japanese family with hereditary progressive dystonia/dopa responsive dystonia. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1995;213(2): 645–51.
- [10] Steinberger D, Korinthenberg R, Topka H, Berghäuser M, Wedde R, Müller U. Dopa-responsive dystonia: mutation analysis of GCH1 and analysis of therapeutic doses of L-dopa. German Dystonia Study Group. Neurology 2000;55 (11):1735–7.
- [11] Tassin J, Durr A, Bonnet AM, Gil R, Vidailhet M, Lücking CB, et al. Levodopa-responsive dystonia. GTP cyclohydrolase I or parkin mutations? Brain 2000;123:1112–21.
- [12] Irie S, Kanazawa N, Ryoh M, Mochizuki H, Nomura Y, Segawa M. A case of parkinsonism and dopa-induced severe dyskinesia associated with novel mutation in the GTP cyclohydrolase I gene. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2011;17(10):769–70.
- [13] Steinberger D, Trübenbach J, Zim B, Leube B, Wildhardt G, Müller U. Utility of MLPA in deletion analysis of GCH1 in dopa-responsive dystonia. Neurogenetics 2007;8(1):51–5.
- [14] Nygaard TG, Marsden CD, Fahn S. Dopa-responsive dystonia: long-term treatment response and prognosis. Neurology 1991;41(2):174–81.
- [15] Segawa M, Nomura Y, Nishiyama N. Autosomal dominant guanosine triphosphate cyclohydrolase I deficiency (Segawa disease). Ann Neurol 2003;54(6):32–45.
- [16] López-Laso E, Beyer K, Opladen T, Artuch R, Saunders-Pullman R. Dyskinesias as a limiting factor in the treatment of Segawa disease. Pediatr Neurol 2012;46(6):404–6.

- [17] Asmus F, Gasser T. Dystonia-plus syndromes. Eur J Neurol 2010;17(1):37–45.
- [18] Hoenicka J, Vidal L, Godoy M, Ochoa JJ, García de Yébenes J. New nonsense mutation in the GTP-cyclohydrolase I gene in L-dopa responsive dystonia-parkinsonism. Mov Disord 2001;16(2):364–6.
- [19] Mencacci NE, Isaias IU, Reich MM, Ganos C, Plagnol V, Polke JM. Parkinson's disease in GTP cyclohydrolase 1 mutation carriers. Brain 2014;137(9):2480–92.
- [20] Bruggemann N, Mitterer M, Lanthaler AJ, Djarmati A, Hagenah J, Wiegers K, et al. Frequency of heterozygous Parkin mutations in healthy subjects: Need for careful prospective follow-up examination of mutation carriers. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2009;15(6):425–9.
- [21] Pankratz N, Kissell DK, Pauciulo MW, Halter CA, Rudolph A, Pfeiffer RF, et al. Parkin dosage mutations have greater pathogenicity in familial PD than simple sequence mutations. Neurology 2009;73(4):279–86.
- [22] Klein C, Schneider SA, Lang AE. Hereditary parkinsonism: Parkinson disease look-alikes – an algorithm for clinicians to PARK genes and beyond. Mov Disord 2009;24(14):2042–58.
- [23] Turjanski N, Bhatia K, Burn DJ, Sawle GV, Marsden CD, Brooks DJ. Comparison of striatal 18F-dopa uptake in adultonset dystonia-parkinsonism, Parkinson's disease, and dopa-responsive dystonia. Neurology 1993;43(8):1563–8.
- [24] Huang CC, Yen TC, Weng YH, Lu CS. Normal dopamine transporter binding in dopa responsive dystonia. J Neurol 2002;249(8):1016–20.
- [25] Eggers C, Volk AE, Kahraman D, Fink GR, Leube B, Schmidt M, et al. Are dopa-responsive dystonia and Parkinson's disease related disorders? A case report. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2012;18(5):666–8.
- [26] Ceravolo R, Nicoletti V, Garavaglia B, Reale C, Kiferle L, Bonuccelli U. Expanding the clinical phenotype of DYT5 mutations: is multiple system atrophy a possible one? Neurology 2013;81(3):301–2.
- [27] Grötzsch H, Pizzolato GP, Ghika J, Schorderet D, Vingerhoets FJ, Landis T, et al. Neuropathology of a case of doparesponsive dystonia associated with a new genetic locus, DYT14. Neurology 2002;58(12):1839–42.
- [28] Segawa M, Nomura Y, Hayashi M. Dopa-responsive dystonia is caused by particular impairment of nigrostriatal dopamine neurons different from those involved in Parkinson disease: evidence observed in studies on Segawa disease. Neuropediatrics 2013;44(2):61–6.