

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/pjnns

Case report

Rivaroxaban 10 mg/d in severe renal failure does not prevent ischemic events in premorbid neurologic disease



AND NEUROSURGERY

Claudia Stöllberger*, Josef Finsterer

Krankenanstalt Rudolfstiftung, Wien, Austria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 March 2015 Accepted 11 April 2015 Available online 22 April 2015

Keywords: Stroke Anticoagulation Atrial fibrillation Octogenarian

ABSTRACT

Backgrund: The direct oral anticoagulants (DOAC) are increasingly used for primary and secondary stroke prophylaxis in atrial fibrillation, although their use in patients with renal failure is problematic.

Case report: In an 82-years old female with recurrent strokes and atrial fibrillation, the vitamin-K-antagonist was changed to rivaroxaban because of "unstable international normalized ratio (INR) values". Because of renal failure with a creatinine clearance of 32 ml/min, a dosage of rivaroxaban 10 mg/d was chosen. Eleven days after initiation of rivaroxaban, she was re-hospitalized because of acute onset of right-sided weakness of the upper and lower limbs.

Conclusions: In cases of stroke, renal failure and inadequate anticoagulation it is not useful to change from vitamin-K-antagonists to "low dose" DOAC. Diligent investigations for the cause of INR-instability and continuation of vitamin-K-antagonist therapy seem to be more effective and safer since there is the opportunity of monitoring therapy and to avoid under-as well as over-dosage.

© 2015 Polish Neurological Society. Published by Elsevier Sp. z o.o. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation (AF) by the direct oral anticoagulants (DOAC) dabigatran, rivaroxaban and apixaban was investigated in patients with no or only moderate renal failure [1–3]. Renal failure is frequent in AF-patients [4–6]. All DOAC are eliminated at least partly by the kidneys, and dose reduction is recommended for patients with a

creatinine-clearance of 30–50 ml/min. Patients with severe renal impairment, defined by a creatinine clearance <30 ml/ min were excluded from the rivaroxaban-investigating trials [3]. Limited clinical data for patients with severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance 15–29 ml/min) indicate that rivaroxaban plasma concentrations are significantly increased. It is unknown if further dose reductions in more severe renal failure will be efficient and safe. We observed a patient with AF and recurrent ischemic strokes, the last occurring under "low dose" rivaroxaban.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pjnns.2015.04.002

^{*} Corresponding author at: Krankenanstalt Rudolfstiftung, Juchgasse 25, Steingasse 31/18, A-1030 Wien, Austria. Tel.: +43 676 403 11 87; fax: +43 1 71165 2209.

E-mail addresses: claudia.stoellberger@chello.at (C. Stöllberge), fifigs1@yahoo.de (J. Finsterer).

^{0028-3843/ 2015} Polish Neurological Society. Published by Elsevier Sp. z o.o. All rights reserved.

Table 1 – Results of blood tests (Day 1 is the day on which she was re-hospitalized with the recurrent stroke).						
Time of blood sampling	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6
Parameter (reference)						
BUN, mg/dl (9–20)	37	26	34	35	36	32
Creatinine, mg/dl (<1.0)	1.64	1.17	1.51	1.3	1.39	1.26
Crea Cl, ml/min(>90) ^a	22	31	24	28	26	29
GFR, ml/min/1.73 (>90) ^b	30	44	33	39	36	41
Hemoglobin, g/dl (12–16)	13	NM	13.5	NM	NM	NM
Thrombocytes, nl^{-1} (150–400)	217	NM	204	NM	NM	NM
INR	2.0	1.5	1.51	1.44	1.30	1.43
PT, % (70–130) [°]	34	NM	45	NM	NM	NM
aPTT, s ($<$ 33) ^d	39	NM	34.6	NM	NM	NM
Fibrinogen, g/l (1.5–4.5)	NM	NM	3.50	NM	NM	NM

NM = not measured.

^a Crea Cl = creatinine clearance estimated according to the Cockcroft–Gault formula.

^b GFR = glomerular filtration rate estimated according to the modification of diet in renal disease formula.

^c PT = prothrombin time.

^d aPTT = activated partial thromboplastin time.

2. Case report

An 82-years old Caucasian female, 53 kg weight, with arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, renal insufficiency and hyperlipidemia underwent pulmonary-vein isolation in June 2013 because of paroxysmal AF. In the following weeks AF recurred. In October 2013, she was hospitalized because of a left-cerebral occipital ischemic stroke and 3 non-recent ischemic lesions right frontal, occipital and parietal. Carotid duplex-sonography showed bilateral arteriosclerotic plaques without stenosis. Transthoracic echocardiography did not show any cardiac thrombi or valvular abnormalities. Because the international normalized ratio (INR) was 1.7, the stroke was assumed by admitting physicians as due to "nonadjustable INR", although the patient's records showed that in the previous months her INR values were constantly 2.0–3.0. The vitamin-K-antagonist-therapy with phenprocoumon was changed to rivaroxaban. Because of renal failure with a creatinine clearance of 32 ml/min a dosage of rivaroxaban 10 mg/d was given. She was discharged with a medication comprising molsidomin, candesartan, amlodipine, spironolactone and hydrochlorothiazide.

Eleven days after initiation of rivaroxaban she was rehospitalized because of acute onset of right-sided weakness of the upper and lower limbs. Blood tests showed severe renal failure (Table 1). Clinical neurologic investigation showed a mild right-sided facial and hypoglossus palsy, mild right-sided hemiparesis, and right-sided hypotonia and cerebral-magnetic-resonance-imaging an ischemic left-sided thalamic lesion. She was discharged with candesartan, amlodipine, escitalopram, linagliptine, simvastatin, trazodone and, despite persisting renal insufficiency, rivaroxaban 15 mg/d.

3. Discussion

This case reports about a fallacy leading to a dilemma resulting in recurrent stroke. The fallacy: DOAC were initiated because of "non-adjustable INR". Instable INR values may be due to several reasons like impaired cognition, depressed mood, or inadequate health literacy [7]. Instead of investigating the reason for the low INR-value which had presumably contributed to the stroke, the treating physicians changed from vitamin-K-antagonists to DOAC without clarifying and solving the underlying problem.

The dilemma: Rivaroxaban 10 mg/d was chosen because of renal insufficiency. The dose chosen was not based on any clinical trial data. Although the blood tests indicated that the coagulation system was influenced by rivaroxaban, the recurrent stroke indicates that the anticoagulant therapy was not effective. A higher dose, however, might increase the bleeding risk. Patients with a creatinine-clearance <30 ml/min were excluded from the rivaroxaban-investigating trial [3]. Renal insufficiency may develop rapidly due to infection, change in co-medication, fluid losses or lack of fluid intake. Renal function should be estimated by calculating the creatinine-clearance by the Cockcroft–Gault-formula [1–3]. Other formulae may overestimate renal function (Table 1) [8,9].

It has been shown recently that inappropriate use of DOAC in patients with AF is frequent and possibly leads to adverse events [10].

4. Conclusion

In cases of stroke, renal failure and inadequate anticoagulation it is not useful to change from vitamin-K-antagonists to "low dose" DAOC. Diligent investigations for the cause of INRinstability and continuation of vitamin-K-antagonist therapy seem to be more effective and safer since there is the opportunity of monitoring therapy and to avoid under- as well as over-dosage.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Acknowledgement and financial support

None declared.

Ethics

The work described in this article has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans; Uniform Requirements for manuscripts submitted to Biomedical journals.

REFERENCES

- Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, Eikelboom J, Oldgren J, Parekh A, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. New Engl J Med 2009;361(12):1139–51.
- [2] Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ, Lopes RD, Hylek EM, Hanna M, et al. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. New Engl J Med 2011;365(11):981–92.
- [3] Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, Pan G, Singer DE, Hacke W, et al. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. New Engl J Med 2011;365(10):883–91.
- [4] Höllerl F, Stöllberger C, Finsterer J. Renal function, P-gpaffecting drugs and new anticoagulants for stroke prevention. Int J Cardiol 2013;168(1):576–7.
- [5] Ohyama Y, Imai M, Kurabayashi M. Estimated glomerular filtration rate and proteinuria are separately and

independently associated with the prevalence of atrial fibrillation in general population. PLOS ONE 2013;8(11): e79717.

- [6] Jönsson KM, Wieloch M, Sterner G, Nyman U, Elmstahl S, Engström G, et al. Glomerular filtration rate in patients with atrial fibrillation on warfarin treatment: a subgroup analysis from the AURICULA registry in Sweden. Thromb Res 2011;128(4):341–5.
- [7] Diug B, Evans S, Lowthian J, Maxwell E, Dooley M, Street A, et al. The unrecognized psychosocial factors contributing to bleeding risk in warfarin therapy. Stroke 2011;42(10):2866–71.
- [8] Dowling TC, Wang ES, Ferrucci L, Sorkin JD. Glomerular filtration rate equations overestimate creatinine clearance in older individuals enrolled in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study on Aging: impact on renal drug dosing. Pharmacotherapy 2013;33 (9):912–21.
- [9] MacCallum PK, Mathur R, Hull SA, Saja K, Green L, Morris JK, et al. Patient safety and estimation of renal function in patients prescribed new oral anticoagulants for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open 2013;3(9):e003343.
- [10] Larock AS, Mullier F, Sennesael AL, Douxfils J, Devalet B, Chatelain C, et al. Appropriateness of prescribing dabigatran etexilate and rivaroxaban in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a prospective study. Ann Pharmacother 2014;48(10):1258–68.