Comparison of two methods of cervical spine pain manual therapy using clinical and biochemical pain markers

ABSTRACT

Background. Sedentary lifestyle, often associated with faulty posture is a widespread facilitating factor for cervical spine dysfunction (CSD).

Objective. The purpose of our study was to compare two methods of physical therapy for CSD: the McKenzie method and suboccipital relaxation. We investigated the effect of these methods on pain level perceived by patients and their physical fitness. The levels of biochemical stress indicators were assessed.

Materials and methods. Eighty-six adult patients divided into two groups: A and B. Group A included 42 patients treated with the McKenzie method. Group B consisted of 44 patients, who underwent suboccipital relaxation. The treatment in both groups comprised 3 treatment sessions over a 6-week period. To assess salivary sIgA concentration, the ELISA technique was used. The alpha-amylase activity was determined using static method. Pain assessment was performed using the VAS scale. Disability level was evaluated with the NDI scale.

Results. Significant decrease of VAS and NDI scores were observed in both groups. An increase of sIgA concentration was observed in both groups. No difference in amylase activity between the groups was observed, however, time and group effects the interaction was found to be significant. A significant correlation between both biochemical markers and VAS score was observed in group B and in the general population.

Conclusions. Both therapies improve patient outcomes, however, at present we cannot indicate the advantage any method.
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Introduction

In recent years, cervical spine dysfunction (CSD) has become a significant economic and health problem [1, 2]. The development of utility electronic devices, sedentary mode of life and travelling, coupled with the lack of healthy behaviour habits are the key factors contributing to vertebral dysfunction [3]. Furthermore, early symptoms of impairment are often ignored or masked by the excessive use of easily available over-the-counter (OTC) analgesics [4]. Proper spine functioning is determined by its shape [1, 5], vertebral muscle tension, vertebral ligaments, joints, discs, nerves and sensory organs condition. Each of these elements plays a substantial role in maintaining somatosensory integrity of spine [6]. Impairment of any of these components may contribute towards certain types of spine dysfunction, i.e. overloading of spinal joints, which result in instability of trunk segments.

Common causes of the early stages of CSD include cervical spine kyphosis and loss of cervical lordosis. These changes of the cervical segment not only may displace the dural sac, but also affect the muscles and the ligaments of the posterior, anterior and central column. Subsequent posterior displacement of the nucleus pulposus may result in protrusion of the annulus fibrosus towards the spinal canal leading to nerve root remodelling and to increase of the pressure exerted on the nerve structures.
The compression of the nerve roots impairs proper function both of the brachial and cervical plexuses. This leads to the manifestation of symptoms such as pain, paresthesia, muscle weakness or limitation of motion range in one or more segments. Additionally it may also cause a dysfunction of the peripheral nerves [7].

Reestablishing proper posture, as close as possible to the physiological cervical lordosis is one of the first steps of CSD treatment [5]. The restoration of proprioception in the suboccipital area is another key factor in the process of posture correction. Adequate function of proprioception receptors relies not only on suitable circulation and good muscle tone but also on patient education regarding correct posture. These therapeutic goals can be achieved using two methods – the Mechanical Diagnosis and Therapy (MDT, also called the McKenzie method) and suboccipital relaxation [8].

The idea of the McKenzie method (MDT) is to reconstruct the two basic motion patterns in the cervical spine – the retraction and hyperextension. The retraction is described as the backward head movement which creates lordosis. Hyperextension influences the displaced nucleus pulposus [9] and relaxes the posterior ligament structures, thus relieving the pressure exerted on the nerves [10]. In some patients, mentioned therapy also includes cervical spine traction.

The suboccipital relaxation is an osteopathic method, which is dedicated to improving the function of the structures of the craniospinal region, as well as the surrounding soft tissues [11]. It results in the relaxation of these structures, lowers the muscle tone and reduces blood stasis in suboccipital and perivertebral muscles [12].

Saliva constitutes a good study material in stress research. Sample collection of saliva does not generate stress related to blood vessel puncture, in contrary to venous blood sample collection. Moreover, patients are more eager to handle multiple measurements. Many factors which are considered as biomarkers of stress have been isolated from saliva. They include the secretory form of IgA (sIgA) which is the most prevalent antibody of the mucous membrane. It plays a crucial role in the defense against pathogens in the human body. The concentration of sIgA in saliva depends on the condition of the organism. The exposure to chronic pain lowers sIgA level, which corresponds to the fact of sIgA being a pain biomarker [13, 14]. The increase in sIgA concentration has been reported after application of manual therapy and acupuncture [15, 16].

The second marker of pain we analysed is amylase – an enzyme present in the saliva, responsible for the break-down of polysaccharides. The correlation between the activity of amylase and pain sensation has been described by Shirasaki et al. [17]. Furthermore, significant association of this enzyme with stress exposure [18] as well as with tension headache [19] has been reported.

The purpose of our study was an attempt to apply the above-mentioned pain markers in the assessment of the two cervical spine dysfunction treatment methods: McKenzie therapy and suboccipital relaxation. To evaluate the perceived pain level and life quality we applied the VAS and NDI scales.

Hypothesis: Both examined methods affect sIgA and alpha-amylase levels in patients suffering from cervical spine pain. One method is more effective than the other.

Material and methods

The study was designed according to CONSORT statement(20). Eighty-six adult patients who met the inclusion criteria were divided into two groups, Group A and Group B. Group A included 42 patients (34 women, 8 men) treated using the McKenzie method. Group B consisted of 44 patients (33 women, 11 men) subjected to suboccipital relaxation. The average age was 50.12 years (SD = 10.40, range 26–64) in the first group and 48.07 years (SD = 10.80, range 26–64) in the second group. No statistically significant differences in age or sex ratio between the two groups (p > 0.05) were found.

During the experiment changes of trial, design was not applied. We assumed that the sample size should include 40 patients, which is the medium number of cervical spondylosis cases in our centre per month. Due to small sample size we performed only a simple randomization method. Each patient was described with a letter of group, sequential number, number of visits, the letter “I” before, and “V” after the manipulation was done. Medical front desk receptionist generated random allocation sequence, the physio team enrolled the participants and the physicians assigned them to the interventions.

In each case, CSD was confirmed using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Inclusion criteria comprised: diagnosis of cervical spine pain lasting more than 4 weeks, loss or restriction of movement in the cervical spine, spondylosis and spondyloarthrosis with persistent or intermittent pain or CSD related headaches, patient age > 18 years and written consent for participation. We excluded patients with cervical spine or head trauma, muscle weakness caused by a critical stenosis of the spinal or root canal, coexistence of carpal tunnel syndrome or ulnar nerve compression, constant pain-relieving pharmacotherapy, drug abuse, neoplastic diseases, myasthenia gravis, steroid therapy, antibiologic or antiviral therapy, Cushing’s Syndrome, Arnold-Chiari syndrome, syringomyelia, and other congenital defects of the head and the cervical area. The lack of informed
consent was also an excluding factor. The study was approved by the Committee on the Research Ethics of the Silesian Chamber of Physicians (Komisja Bioetyczna Śląskiej Izby Lekarskiej No. 46/2015).

Each patient underwent 3 treatment sessions, one in every 3 weeks. The pain assessment was performed 6 times using the VAS scale, prior to and 20 minutes after each session. The disability level was evaluated with the NDI scale, once before each treatment session. To assess the hormone levels, saliva was collected using “salivette” type test tubes. The patients had to abstain from below mentioned activities for 20 minutes before the sample collection. The restrictions included eating, smoking cigarettes, brushing teeth, chewing gum and drinking. After collection the samples were then centrifuged and frozen at -85°C for storage. The laboratory examinations were performed in the Chair and Department of Medical and Molecular Biology of the School of Medicine and Dentistry in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia in Katowice.

**VAS scale**

The VAS is a reliable tool for the assessment of pain intensity. The scale is represented by a 10 cm coloured ruler, with its ends defining the extreme limits. The left margin represents a lack of pain, while the right one the strongest pain imaginable. Patients indicate the pain level using his finger [21, 22].

**NDI score**

The NDI is a questionnaire to be completed by the patient. It includes 10 questions assessing the impact of neck pain on daily life activities. The index can be used as a self-report measure of neck pain [23].

**Assessment of α-amylase activity**

To assess the activity of α-amylase we applied a statistic method (Aqua-Med., Łódź, Poland). This method uses 2-chloro-4-nitrophenyl-maltotrioside as substrate. The reaction was conducted in MES buffer in pH = 6.0 and at temp = 37°C. The spectrophotometric analysis of the coloured product was performed at 405 nm wavelength. Saliva samples were diluted 100 times with a 0.9% NaCl solution. The obtained results are presented in units of salivary α-amylase activity U/ml.

**Determination of the concentration of secretory immunoglobulin class A (slgA)**

The ELISA kit from Immunodiagnostic AG (Germany) was used to determine slgA concentration. The analytical procedure was performed in accordance with the instruction given by the manufacturer. Absorbance readings were made using the μQuant reader (BioTek, USA), whereas the results were processed using the KCJunior program (BioTek, USA). The sensitivity of the method was 2.5 μg/ml.

**Statistical analysis**

For statistical analysis of the obtained data, Statistica 10.OPL software was used. The average patient age was expressed as average ± standard deviation (SD). The results of reproducible measurements were presented as average ± 95% confidence interval (CI). To compare age in both groups, t-Student test was used. For the evaluation of biochemical determinants as well as the VAS and NDI scales, ANOVA analysis of repeated measures was applied. To assess the significantly different values, we used the post hoc Scheffe test. We acknowledged parameters with a p-value < 0.05 as statistically significant. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to evaluate correlation between variables.

**Results**

A significant decrease of VAS scores during the experiment was observed in both groups. Analysis of variance did not demonstrate any differences in effectiveness between the groups. However, the interaction between group and time effects was found to be significant. Patients undergoing suboccipital relaxation were characterized by greater pain recurrence compared with the McKenzie method group (Tab. 1, Fig. 1).

We observed a decrease of NDI score during the experiment. The group effect for NDI score turned out to be non-significant, as well as the interaction between time and group effects (Tab. 2).

Statistical analysis revealed a significant influence of the type of therapy on slgA levels. The time effect and the interaction between group and time effect were also found to be significant. In both groups, the final concentration of salivary slgA was higher than the starting concentration before treatment. Patients treated by suboccipital relaxation had higher final slgA concentration compared with McKenzie method patients (Tab. 3, Fig. 2).

We observed no significant group effect regarding amylase activity. However, the time effect and the interaction between group and time effect were significant. In patients undergoing McKenzie therapy, the amylase activity increased after each procedure and decreased between treatments. In patients undergoing suboccipital relaxation, we noted a significant increase in amylase concentration after first treatment and significant decrease between first and second treatment.
Table 1. Vas scale values among patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>(3.55–4.77)</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>(2.67–4.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>(2.58–3.89)</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>(1.83–3.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>(3.67–4.84)</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>(3.57–4.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>(1.94–3.09)</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>(1.98–3.17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A vs B  

\[ VAS^* A/B \]  

\[ p < 0.05 \]

Figure 1. Perceived pain intensity in both groups expressed as VAS scores represented as mean +/- 95% CI

Table 2. NDI scale among patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>(11.94–15.67)</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>(9.39–12.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>(12.78–16.51)</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>(10.04–16.51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A vs B  

\[ NDI^* A/B \]  

\[ p > 0.05 \]

The amylase level after second treatment remained unchanged, whereas it increased after the third intervention (Tab. 4, Fig 3).

We correlated the biochemical parameters values with VAS scores. In the analysis, we included all the results obtained during the experiment. The amylase concentration correlated negatively with VAS scores in general patient population \( (R = -0.11, p = 0.023) \) and in suboccipital relaxation patients \( (R = -0.14, p = 0.04) \). This association was not observed in patients undergoing McKenzie therapy \( (R = -0.09, p = 0.2) \).

Regarding sIgA, the correlation with VAS score was negative in the general patient population \( (R = -0.19, p = 0.01) \) and in suboccipital relaxation patients \( (R = -0.2, p = 0.04) \). This association was not observed in patients undergoing McKenzie therapy \( (R = 0.09, p = 0.2) \).
Table 3. sIgA salivary concentrations among patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>130.30 (126.28–134.32)</td>
<td>141.22 (136.95–145.49)</td>
<td>131.14 (126.51–135.77)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>146.53 (142.84–150.2)</td>
<td>153.83 (149.17–158.49)</td>
<td>142.63 (136.61–148.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>127.79 (123.90–131.69)</td>
<td>138.01 (133.87–142.15)</td>
<td>145.96 (136.79–155.15)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>157.42 (153.85–160.99)</td>
<td>169.53 (165.01–174.05)</td>
<td>187.21 (181.37–193.04)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A vs B</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sIgA* A/B p < 0.05

Table 4. Amylase concentrations among patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>4.64 (4.12–5.16)</td>
<td>4.21 (3.70–4.72)</td>
<td>3.95 (3.54–4.36)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>5.64 (5.14–6.14)</td>
<td>5.34 (4.82–5.86)</td>
<td>4.75 (4.32–5.18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>5.21 (4.70–5.71)</td>
<td>4.67 (4.17–5.16)</td>
<td>4.71 (4.16–5.26)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>5.85 (5.36–6.33)</td>
<td>4.67 (4.16–5.17)</td>
<td>5.20 (4.78–5.61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A vs B</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Amylase*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amylase* A/B p < 0.05

Figure 2. sIgA concentrations among groups represented as mean +/- 95% CI

Discussion

In our manuscript, we compared two effective and life-quality improving therapies for patients with CSD.
The McKenzie method is an efficient tool for achieving cervical muscles relaxation, diminishing tension headaches in patients suffering from CSD [24]. Kjellman et al. reported improvement in quality of life in CSD patients undergoing this treatment method. Contrarily, Clare et al. in his systematic review did not prove unambiguous efficiency of the McKenzie method due to the lack of scientific evidence [25]. Moffet et al. described a decrease in VAS scores in patients undergoing McKenzie therapy [26]. The authors compared it to brief physiotherapy pain management. However, they did not report a significant advantage of either method. A positive influence of McKenzie therapy on patient’s condition, evaluated as NDI score, was reported by Kim et al. [27]. The NDI questionnaire consists of 10 categories that include activities impeded by pain in the cervical spine area. There is evidence for effectiveness of McKenzie exercise as a treatment in episodic tension-type headache resulting from cervical muscle stiffness [24].

Suboccipital relaxation therapy has also been proved effective in CSD. The effectiveness of this method has been observed in tension headache management [28, 29]. Moreover, it has been proved effective in posture disorders of the cervical spine. In our study, a significant decrease in perceived pain levels over time was observed in both groups. Aside from the pain reduction, both methods increased the quality of life of the patients which was evaluated using the NDI score. In our results, we noted a significant decrease in NDI scores in both groups. No difference in efficiency between the two methods was observed.

Analysis of biochemical parameters allows validation of results concerning the effectiveness of evaluated methods. For this purpose, we determined the concentration of two biochemical markers of pain and chronic stress in patients’ saliva. The first evaluated marker, secretory IgA (sIgA), is the main immunoglobulin found in the oral cavity. Negative correlation of sIgA concentration in saliva with pain intensity was described by Campos et al. [13]. According to Sobas et al., sIgA may be applied as biochemical marker of pain [14]. In our study, we observed an increase of sIgA level in patients undergoing suboccipital relaxation. SlgA levels were increasing significantly after each procedure and decreasing in the time between treatments. Moreover, we noted negative correlation between slgA level and VAS scores in patients undergoing suboccipital relaxation. This seems to confirm the results obtained by the authors cited above.

In patients undergoing McKenzie therapy, we observed a slight increase of slgA concentration after treatment, however, the final concentration did not reach significance as compared to the initial level. Furthermore, there was no correlation between slgA level and VAS scores. The increase of slgA after manual therapy was described previously by Donoyama et al. Patients undergoing acupuncture treatment demonstrated higher slgA level which was also associated with pain reduction [16]. The
significant increase in sIgA concentration and a strong negative correlation with VAS scores in patients undergoing suboccipital relaxation may indicate better effectiveness of this method in comparison to McKenzie therapy. These results need to be confirmed by a study comprising greater sample size and longer examination period.

The second investigated biomarker was s-amylose. Its positive correlation with pain intensity was described by Shirasaki et al. [17]. A significant decrease in amylose activity was noted in patients suffering from neck pain who underwent chiropractic treatment [30].

In our study, patients undergoing McKenzie therapy were characterized by significant changes in amylose activity in saliva. The activity increased after each procedure and thereafter decreased reaching the initial value between the procedures. Suboccipital relaxation therapy did not influence on amylose level significantly. Moreover, we noted a negative correlation between amylose level and VAS scores.

The results indicate that suboccipital relaxation method may be associated with less pain (caused by the treatment itself) compared with McKenzie therapy. Both evaluated methods led to the reduction of pain in patients. The VAS and NDI scale analysis did not show advantage of one method over another. However, the results of biochemical markers evaluation speak for suboccipital relaxation method. In this group of patients, we observed an increase in sIgA level, which is negatively correlated with pain sensation. The evaluation of amylose levels showed more beneficial effect of this method on patients’ condition.

Our study is limited by the number of patients in both groups. It should be taken into account that, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study analysing biochemical markers of pain in McKenzie and suboccipital relaxation methods. It allows validation of the obtained results and further comparison with the subjective feeling of the patient. Both indicators showed a significant correlation with the VAS scale in patients undergoing suboccipital relaxation. This association was not observed in patients treated with McKenzie therapy. We believe that the significant association between individual feeling of the patient (expressed as VAS scale score) with biochemical parameters may indicate the effectiveness of the given therapy. In the future, we are planning to conduct a similar study with greater sample size and longer examination time, which may improve efficiency evaluation of both treatment methods.

Conclusion

Our results suggest that both therapies improve patients outcomes, as represented by the VAS and NDI scores, however, the examined biochemical markers indicate the advantage of suboccipital relaxation over McKenzie method. Our study is the first examination comparing the McKenzie method with the suboccipital relaxation and correlating them with sIgA and amylose saliva levels. This pilot research may give grounds for further research evaluating the use of the suboccipital relaxation and the McKenzie method in cervical spine disorders.
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