

## Jacek Kubica

Collegium Medicum, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Bydgoszcz, Poland

## Guidelines, recommendations, position papers and experts' opinions — should we establish rules for creating rules?

For some time now, a growing number of publications presenting experts' opinions or position papers on various diagnostic and therapeutic aspects have appeared in numerous scientific journals in our country. From the beginning of 2020 to the present, i.e. during the last 30 months, 33 articles classified by the editors as expert opinion or position paper have been published in three leading Polish cardiology journals: Polish Heart Journal, Cardiology Journal and Advances in Interventional Cardiology [1–33]. Such opulence of publications proves high activity of our community and may be regarded as a reason to be proud. Nevertheless, with independent work of unallied teams of experts, inconsistencies are difficult to avoid, often raising doubts and causing confusion among the readers.

This phenomenon embarrasses me as an initiator, co-author and reviewer of such documents, as well as the Editor-in-Chief of the Medical Research Journal and the Section Editor of the Cardiology Journal. The creation of these, otherwise extraordinarily important documents, transitioning science into clinical practice, requires considerable effort and genuine commitment of many people. However, the excessive number of documents of this sort and the lack of coordination in their creation leads to devaluation of their merit value and attenuation of their impact across the cardiology community. Moreover, contrary to the authors' intentions, instead of providing a clear order for the rules of conduct, information chaos may arise. Therefore, a number of issues regarding such publications require clarification.

In particular, it seems important to determine whether it is permissible at all to publish positions that contradict the documents of the European Society of Cardiology?

- 2. Moreover, should the contents of such documents take into account or refer to other same-themed articles published in Polish journals?
- 3. Finally, is it acceptable to publish experts' opinions or position papers plainly summarizing other official documents, e.g. the guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology, rather than adding new scientific contents?

I am sharing my dilemmas with you as I believe that there is an urgent need to establish a unified policy regarding publication of such documents. Referring to the issues outlined above:

- Personally, I am convinced that open criticism and taking a different position is acceptable, as long as it is substantively justified and the scope of non-compliance is clearly defined. Therefore, neither editorial offices nor reviewers should require such compliance.
- In my opinion, when topic-of-publication overlap is the case, it is absolutely necessary to refer to previously published positions derived from official institutions e.g. scientific societies, particularly the Polish Society of Cardiology (PSC) or other official bodies
- While, there is nothing inappropriate with popularizing and emphasizing the importance of such documents, the fact of a publication being a summary, not a new original document, should be clearly stated in the title.

Certainly, no limits should be put to expressing opinions, but it seems that we ought to launch an extensive discussion on the principles of publishing experts' opinions or position papers. The PSC is perceived by most of the domestic cardiologists not only as a scien-

Corresponding author: Jacek Kubica, Collegium Medicum, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Bydgoszcz, Poland; e-mail: jkubica@cm.umk.pl Medical Research Journal 2022; Volume 7, Number 2, 105–107; 10.5603/MRJ.2022.0029; Copyright © 2022 Via Medica; ISSN 2451-2591; e-ISSN 2451-4101

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

tific society, but also as a representative and exponent of community opinions. The extremely important role and efforts of the Guidelines Committee of PSC, chaired by prof. Agnieszka Tycińska, aimed to maintain the high quality of documents published in the Kardiologia Polska should be emphasized. It is obvious to me that even the PSC cannot impose fully universal rules that apply to everyone. The more so because many of the topics discussed by the authors of recommendations or opinions are also of interest to other scientific societies. However, I find it possible to create a declaration of principles of good practice for the creation and publication of such documents. Leaving the decision whether to incorporate or not these principles to the discretion of scientific journals' Editors-in-Chief, the journals' final choice should be announced by the PSC, creating a clear message for the readers regarding publication policies of specific journals.

I believe that I am not alone with my doubts and that many of the readers share similar dilemmas. I count for this discussion to be continued.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank all the outstanding representatives of the Polish cardiology community who responded to my letter of April 1, 2022, sharing their opinions on the topics discussed in the above text: Stanisław Bartuś, Andrzej Budaj, Agnieszka Kapton-Cieślicka, Robert Gil, Michał Hawranek, Miłosz Jaguszewki, Aldona Kubica, Przemysław Mitkowski, Agnieszka Tycińska, Anetta Undas, Adam Witkowski, Robert Zymliński.

## **References**

- Kaplon-Cieślicka A, Kupczyńska K, Dobrowolski P, et al. On the search for the right definition of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Cardiology Journal. 2020; 27(5): 449–468, doi: 10.5603/cj.a2020.0124, indexed in Pubmed: 32986238.
- Kubica J, Adamski P, Niezgoda P, et al. Prolonged antithrombotic therapy in patients after acute coronary syndrome: A critical appraisal of current European Society of Cardiology guidelines. Cardiol J. 2020; 27(6): 661–676, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2020.0132, indexed in Pubmed: 33073857
- Borghi C, Domienik-Karlowicz J, Tykarski A, et al. Expert consensus for the diagnosis and treatment of patient with hyperuricemia and high cardiovascular risk: 2021 update. Cardiol J. 2021; 28(1): 1–14, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2021.0001, indexed in Pubmed: 33438180.
- Szymański F, Mickiewicz A, Dzida G, et al. Management of dyslipidemia in Poland: Interdisciplinary Expert Position Statement endorsed by the Polish Cardiac Society Working Group on Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy. The Fourth Declaration of Sopot. Cardiology Journal. 2022; 29(1): 1–26, doi: 10.5603/cj.a2021.0147.
- Kubica J, Adamski P, Ladny JR, et al. Pre-hospital treatment of patients with acute coronary syndrome: Recommendations for medical emergency teams. Expert position update 2022. Cardiol J. 2022 [Epub ahead of print], doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2022.0026, indexed in Pubmed: 25514.000
- Gackowski A, Lipczyńska M, Lipiec P, et al. Echocardiography during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: expert opinion of the Working Group on Echocardiography of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiologia Polska. 2020; 78(4): 357–363, doi: 10.33963/kp.15265.

- Sobański PZ, Brzezińska Rajszys G, Grodzicki T, et al. Palliative care for people living with cardiac disease. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(4): 364–373, doi: 10.33963/KP.15276, indexed in Pubmed: 32336071.
- Kempa M, Gulaj M, Farkowski MM, et al. Electrotherapy and electrophysiology procedures during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: an opinion of the Heart Rhythm Section of the Polish Cardiac Society (with an update). Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(5): 488–492, doi: 10.33963/KP15338, indexed in Pubmed: 32368885.
- Biernacka EK, Kosior DA, Zienciuk-Krajka A, et al. Safety of antiviral and anti-inflammatory drugs prolonging QT interval in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: an opinion of the Heart Rhythm Section of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(5): 493–497, doi: 10.33963/KP15354. indexed in Pubmed: 32394693.
- Płońska-Gościniak E, Suwalski P, Bartuś S, et al. Management of valvular and structural heart diseases during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: an expert opinion of the Working Group on Valvular Heart Diseases, the Working Group on Cardiac Surgery, and the Association of Cardiovascular Interventions of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(5): 498–507, doi: 10.33963/KP.15358, indexed in Pubmed: 32415767.
- Kalużna-Oleksy M, Gackowski A, Jankowska EA, et al. The patient with heart failure in the face of the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: an expert opinion of the Heart Failure Working Group of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(6): 618–631, doi: 10.33963/KPJ5359. indexed in Pubmed: 32418414.
- 15. Płońska-Gościniak E, Kukulski T, Hryniewiecki T, et al. Reviewers (on behalf of the Working Group on Valvular Heart Disease), Reviewers (on behalf of the Polish Cardiac Society). Clinical application of stress echocardiography in valvular heart disease: an expert consensus of the Working Group on Valvular Heart Disease of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(6): 632–641, doi: 10.33963/KP.15360, indexed in Pubmed: 32419441.
- Kosior DA, Undas A, Kopeć G, et al. Guidance for anticoagulation management in venous thromboembolism during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic in Poland: an expert opinion of the Section on Pulmonary Circulation of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(6): 642–646, doi: 10.33963/KP.15425, indexed in Pubmed: 32515570.
- Uchmanowicz I, Lisiak M, Lelonek M, et al. A curriculum for heart failure nurses: an expert opinion of the Section of Nursing and Medical Technicians and the Heart Failure Working Group of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(6): 647–652, doi: 10.33963/KP.15405, indexed in Pubmed: 32486627.
- Tycińska A, Gierlotka M, Bugajski J, et al. Levosimendan in the treatment of patients with acute cardiac conditions: an expert opinion of the Association of Intensive Cardiac Care of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(7-8): 825–834, doi: 10.33963/KP.15551, indexed in Pubmed: 32788567.
- Grygier M, Sabiniewicz R, Smolka G, et al. Percutaneous closure of atrial septal defect: a consensus document of the joint group of experts from the Association of Cardiovascular Interventions and the Grown-Up Congenital Heart Disease Section of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(10): 1066–1083, doi: 10.33963/KP.15629, indexed in Pubmed: 33016689.
- 20. Piotrowicz R, Krzesiński P, Balsam P, et al. Reviewers. Telemedicine solutions in cardiology: a joint expert opinion by the Information Technology and Telemedicine Committee of the Polish Cardiac Society, the Section of Noninvasive Electrocardiology and Telemedicine of the Polish Cardiac Society, and the Clinical Research Committee of the Polish Academy of Sciences (short version, 2021). Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(2): 227–241, doi: 10.33963/KP.15824, indexed in Pubmed: 33635031.
- Nessler J, Siniarski A, Leszek P, et al. Reviewers. Expert opinion of the Heart Failure Working Group of the Polish Cardiac Society on the use of dapagliflozin in the treatment of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(3): 363–370, doi: 10.33963/KP.15859, indexed in Pubmed: 33687868.
- Szymański P, Gackowski A, Mizia-Stec K, et al. Echocardiography during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic - the impact of the vaccination program. A 2021 update of the expert opinion of the Working Group on Echocardiography of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(5): 595–603, doi: 10.33963/KP.15973, indexed in Pubmed: 34125943.
- 23. Kempa M, Mitkowski P, Kowalski O, et al. Expert opinion of the Working Group on Leadless Pacing appointed by the National Consultant in Cardiology and the Board of the Heart Rhythm Section of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiologia Polska. 2021; 79(5): 604–608, doi: 10.33963/kp.15982, indexed in Pubmed: 34125944.
- Jegier A, Szalewska D, Mawlichanów A, et al. Comprehensive cardiac rehabilitation as the keystone in the secondary prevention of

- cardiovascular disease. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(7-8): 901–916, doi: 10.33963/KPa2021.0066, indexed in Pubmed: 34268725.
- Mularek-Kubzdela T, Ciurzyński M, Kowal Bielecka O, et al. An expert opinion of the Polish Cardiac Society Working Group on Pulmonary Circulation and the Polish Society for Rheumatology on the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension in patients with connective tissue disease. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(7-8): 917–929, doi: 10.33963/KPa2021.0055, indexed in Pubmed: 34227677.
- Kądziela J, Kochman J, Grygier M, et al. The diagnosis and management of spontaneous coronary artery dissection - expert opinion of the Association of Cardiovascular Interventions (ACVI) of Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(7-8): 930–943, doi: 10.33963/KPa2021.0068, indexed in Pubmed: 34292564.
- Wojakowski W, Chmielak Z, Widenka K, et al. Transcatheter mitral valve repair and replacement. Expert consensus statement of the Polish Cardiac Society and the Polish Society of Cardiothoracic Surgeons. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(10): 1165–1177, doi: 10.33963/KPa2021.0116, indexed in Pubmed: 34599502.
- Rdzanek A, Szymański P, Gackowski A, et al. Percutaneous tricuspid edge-to-edge repair - patient selection, imaging considerations, and the procedural technique. Expert opinion of the Working Group on Echocardiography and Association of CardioVascular Interventions of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(10): 1178–1191, doi: 10.33963/KPa2021.0125. indexed in Pubmed: 34611879.
- Tycińska A, Grygier M, Biegus J, et al. Mechanical circulatory support. An expert opinion of the Association of Intensive Cardiac Care and the Association of Cardiovascular Interventions of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2021; 79(12): 1399–1410, doi: 10.33963/KP.a2021.0169, indexed in Pubmed: 34861044.
- Barylski M, Legutko J, Lesiak M, et al. An expert opinion of the Association of Cardiovascular Interventions and the Working Group on Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy of the Polish Cardiac Society related to the place of prasugrel in the prevention of cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Kardiol Pol. 2022; 80(1): 113–122, doi: 10.33963/KPa2022.0006, indexed in Pubmed: 35076081.

- 31. Lelonek M, Grabowski M, Kasprzak JD, et al. An expert opinion of the Heart Failure Association of the Polish Cardiac Society on the 2021 European Society of Cardiology guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: Heart failure guidelines from a national perspective. Kardiol Pol. 2022; 80(2): 239–246, doi: 10.33963/KPa2022.0021, indexed in Pubmed: 35076082.
- Płońska-Gościniak E, Wojakowski W, Kukulski T, et al. Management of patients after heart valve interventions. Expert opinion of the Working Group on Valvular Heart Diseases, Working Group on Cardiac Surgery, and Association of Cardiovascular Interventions of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2022; 80(3): 386–402, doi: 10.33963/KPa2022.0055, indexed in Pubmed: 35290659.
- Pawłowski T, Legutko J, Kochman J, et al. Clinical use of intracoronary imaging modalities in Poland. Expert opinion of the Association of Cardiovascular Interventions of the Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol. 2022; 80(4): 509–519, doi: 10.33963/KP.a2022.0071, indexed in Pubmed: 35290660.
- Bryniarski L, Opolski MP, Wójcik J, et al. Chronic total occlusion percutaneous coronary intervention in everyday clinical practice an expert opinion of the Association of Cardiovascular Interventions of the Polish Cardiac Society. Postepy Kardiol Interwencyjnej. 2021; 17(1): 6–20, doi: 10.5114/aic.2021.104763, indexed in Pubmed: 33868413.
- Tubek S, Kuliczkowski W, Gąsior M, et al. Antiplatelets in acute coronary syndrome in Poland - from guidelines to clinical practice. Postepy Kardiol Interwencyjnej. 2021; 17(2): 141–154, doi: 10.5114/aic.2021.107492. indexed in Pubmed: 34400916.
- Musialek P, Nizankowski R, Hopkins LN, et al. Interdisciplinary management of acute ischaemic stroke current evidence on training requirements for endovascular stroke treatment. Position Paper from the ESC Council on Stroke and the European Association for Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions with the support of the European Board of Neurointervention: A step forward. Postepy Kardiol Interwencyjnej. 2021; 17(3): 245–250, doi: 10.5114/aic.2021.109832, indexed in Pubmed: 34819960.