**Vitamin D and susceptibility to infectious diseases: no cure for the common cold**

**ABSTRACT**

Vitamin D influences innate immunity through up-regulation of antimicrobial peptides and adaptive immunity through modulation of both T-lymphocyte and B-lymphocyte function. Several observational studies have shown an association between low serum 25(OH)D levels and the incidence and severity of respiratory infections and also tuberculosis. Such studies, however do not fully account for confounding variables or reverse causality. The recent emergence of higher quality randomised controlled trials, however has so far not established a role for routine vitamin D supplementation in the general population for the prevention of respiratory infections. Further research, however may identify sub-groups to whom this intervention might be more appropriately targeted.
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**Introduction**

Vitamin D is traditionally associated with bone health, although has been increasingly implicated in many other disease processes, including susceptibility to infectious diseases. There are plausible mechanisms through which vitamin D modulates immune function, including actions on both the innate and adaptive components [1]. The innate immune system is the first line of defence, which includes all mechanisms that prevent invasion without the need for memory from previous pathogen exposure. The main components of innate immunity include physical barriers (e.g. skin, tears, mucous, saliva) and non-specific inflammatory responses of tissues. The innate system prevents infection without any requirement for immunological memory from previous exposure to the pathogen [2].

Innate immunity includes the production of antimicrobial peptides, capable of killing viruses, bacteria and other organisms [3, 4]. These peptides are produced on epithelial surfaces and within circulating white blood cells. Examples include human β-defensins 2 and 3 and cathelicidin (also known as hCAP-18 and LL-37) [4]. The peptides are produced through the effect of Toll like receptors, on the surface of macrophages and monocytes, causing cell activation when they recognize molecules derived from pathogens [4, 5]. This activation results in expression of the genes that code for the vitamin D receptor, and for the 1α-hydroxylase enzyme that converts the pre-hormone, 25(OH)D, to the biologically active 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D; which in turn activates the gene that produces cathelicidin [5]. Cathelicidin has both direct (increased membrane permeability) and indirect effects (chemotaxis of immune cells) which neutralise the pathogen. An increase in the concentration of cathelicidin in phagocytic vacuoles enhances the cells ability to kill micro-organisms [4]. LL-37, the only human cathelicidin, has been identified in white cells, breast milk, skin, lung, saliva, and colon. It is active against a wide range of microbes, including bacteria (both gram positive and gram negative), fungi and viruses [6].

The adaptive immune system is more complex than the innate system and is dependent upon the innate system for antigen presentation to which it then mounts a specific, remembered response [1]. The relationship of vitamin D with the adaptive immune system is similarly more complex. Vitamin D modulates both T-lymphocyte and B-lymphocyte function. Vitamin D suppresses T-helper cell proliferation and modulates their cytokine production. Cell mediated immunity is promoted and vitamin D has also been shown to have
Vitamin D and respiratory infection

The association between rickets and infection has been known for several decades [12]. Many studies have reported that children with rickets commonly present to hospital with respiratory infections [13–21]. One of these observed lower serum 25(OH)D levels in children with respiratory virus activity has led to the proposal that low vitamin D levels may increase the risk of developing respiratory infection [140]. A study from the Netherlands found that children who were exposed to sunshine and UVB, which is the primary source of vitamin D in humans, were less likely to have respiratory viral infections, fewer days of absence and shorter duration of illness, compared with non-irradiated athletes [23].

A study from the Netherlands found that children with low sun exposure were more likely to have a cough and a runny nose, compared to children with highest sun-exposure [24]. The temporal association between seasonal changes in vitamin D levels and winter respiratory virus activity has led to the proposal that low vitamin D levels may have a causal role in the onset of influenza epidemics [25, 26].

Several observational studies have reported on vitamin D status and respiratory infection. A case-control study from Turkey found that serum 25(OH)D levels were lower in neonatal cases of acute lower respiratory infection (9.2 ng/mL) than age-matched controls (16.4 ng/mL) [27]. A cohort study from Finland found that young male soldiers with serum 25(OH)D levels < 16 ng/mL at baseline had a 63% increased risk of absence from duty over the following 6 months due to respiratory infection compared with soldiers with levels > 16 ng/mL (p = 0.004) [28]. A case-control study of children aged 1-25 months found no difference in mean serum 25(OH)D levels between cases of acute lower respiratory tract infection (30.8 ng/mL) and hospital controls (30.8 ng/mL) [29]. This observation, however, is probably due to virtually all of the infants having high vitamin D diets through fortified formula or supplementation. Further analysis of the US NHANES III survey showed that, after adjusting for demographic and clinical characteristics, lower 25(OH)D levels were independently associated with self-reported URI in the past few days (compared to ≥ 30 ng/mL group: OR 1.36 [95% CI 1.01–1.84] for < 10 ng/mL and OR 1.24 [95% CI 1.07–1.43] for 25–74 nmol/L groups) [30].

Observational studies of vitamin D and respiratory infection, however are likely to be affected by confounding variables that are not fully accounted for. They are also likely to be affected by the phenomenon of reverse causality, where subjects who are ‘sickly’ for whatever reason are less likely to spend time outdoors exposed to sunlight. In accordance with the principles of Evidence Based Medicine, strong evidence of whether there is a true effect of vitamin D on the risk of infection can really only be provided by randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of intervention. This point was reinforced in a systematic review, published in 2009 by Yamschikov et al., who identified 13 clinical trials addressing the effect of vitamin D on treatment and prevention of infectious diseases in humans [31]. Considerable heterogeneity existed amongst the individual studies reviewed and it was concluded that more rigorously designed clinical trials were needed in this area.

Vitamin D supplemenation and respiratory infection

Recent randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of vitamin D supplementation have examined its impact on respiratory infection. A study designed to investigate bone-loss in post-menopausal African-American women reported that 8% of women on 800 to 2000 IU per day reported having cold or influenza symptoms over the 3 years follow-up compared with 25% of women on placebo (p < 0.002) [32]. The prevalence of URI symptoms in this study, however will have been underestimated due to the insensitive and imprecise manner in which these data were collected, although the double-blinded,
randomised study design should have minimised reporting bias. In a sub-study of an RCT to prevent fractures with vitamin D supplementation, 3444 participants (mean age 77 years) were asked in winter if they had suffered an infection or received antibiotics during the previous week [33]. For intention-to-treat comparisons, there was a non-significant 10% reduction in the odds of reporting infection (p = 0.23) and 16% reduction in the odds of reporting antibiotic use (p = 0.18). Slightly stronger effects were observed for on-treatment per-protocol comparisons: 20% reduction in reporting infection (p = 0.06) and 26% reduction in reporting antibiotics (p = 0.10). The limitations of this study include the short outcome period of only one week, which reduced power, and its low dose of vitamin D (800 IU/day) which increased 25(OH)D levels from 15.2 ng/mL to only 24.8 ng/mL, well below the 32 to 40 ng/mL range now considered to be associated with optimum adult health outcomes [34–37].

More recently, our group reported a randomized, placebo-controlled double blind clinical trial to determine the effect of vitamin D supplementation on incidence and severity of upper respiratory infections (URIs) in healthy adults [38]. In the Vitamin D and respiratory infection study (VIDARIS), 322 healthy adults (161 in each treatment group) were enrolled, who were employees of our local hospital board or university, between February 2010 and November 2011 in Christchurch, New Zealand [38]. They were allocated to monthly oral 100,000 IU vitamin D3 after a loading dose or placebo for a total of 18 months. The primary end point was number of URI episodes. Secondary end points were duration of URI episodes, severity of URI episodes, number of days off work as a result of URI episodes, and detection of respiratory viruses in nasopharyngeal samples. The mean baseline 25(OH)D level was 29 (SD 9) ng/mL, and only five (1.6%) participants had levels < 10 ng/mL. Vitamin D supplementation resulted in an increase in serum 25(OH)D levels that was maintained at > 48 ng/mL throughout the study [38].

There were 593 URI episodes in the vitamin D group and 611 in the placebo group, with no statistically significant differences in the number of URIs per participant [mean 3.7 per person for the vitamin D group, 3.8 for the placebo group; RR 0.97 (95% CI 0.85–1.11)]. There were also no significant differences in the number of days off work as a result of URI episodes [0.76 for each group; RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.81–1.30)], duration of symptoms per episode [mean 12 days for each group; RR 0.96 (95% CI 0.73–1.25)] or severity of URI episodes [38]. These findings remained unchanged when the analysis included season or baseline 25(OH)D levels. We also documented no episodes of hypercalcemia nor any adverse events attributed to vitamin D. The monthly administration of 100,000 IU vitamin D3 was therefore not found to reduce the incidence or severity of URIs in healthy, predominantly European adults with near normal vitamin D levels [38].

The strengths of the VIDARIS study include the relatively large sample size, the 18-month duration (including two winters), and the high-dose of vitamin D3 administered together with a loading dose, thus avoiding the shortcomings of previous adult studies. The dosing regimen, started during summer/autumn, resulted in sustained mean 25(OH)D levels > 48 ng/mL throughout the study period in those in the intervention arm. Other strengths are the stringent efforts to capture URI episodes and the collection of virological data. In VIDARIS, however we were unable to assess the effect of vitamin D supplementation on prevention of infection caused by individual viruses, although there were few cases of confirmed influenza among the group of partly-vaccinated participants [38].

The findings of the VIDARIS study are consistent with some but not all RCTs that were specifically designed to assess whether vitamin D supplementation prevents acute respiratory infections in adults.

In a study of 162 adults, there was no benefit of vitamin D supplementation in decreasing the incidence or severity of URIs during winter [39]. This study, however was of short duration (12 weeks), underpowered, used a relatively low dose of vitamin D (2,000 IU daily) without a loading dose and first administered vitamin D3 during (rather than before) winter [39].

Laakski et al. also showed no difference in the number of days absent from duty due to respiratory tract infection in 164 soldiers randomized to vitamin D3 (400 IU daily) or placebo for 6 months over winter, although the overall proportion of participants who had no days absent from duty was lower in the vitamin D group [40]. This study was also underpowered and the relatively low dose of vitamin D3 resulted in only 29% of those in the intervention group obtaining 25(OH)D levels > 32 ng/mL [40].

More recently, two studies showed differing effects of vitamin D supplementation in children. In a study conducted in Mongolia, classrooms of 744 Mongolian schoolchildren were randomly assigned to different treatments in winter and analysis focused on a subset of 247 children who were assigned to daily ingestion of unfortified regular milk (control, n = 104) or milk fortified with 300 IU vitamin D3 (n = 143); in double-blinded fashion [41]. At baseline, the median serum 25(OH)D level was 7.0 ng/mL (interquartile range, 5 to 10 ng/mL) [41]. At the end of the study period, the median 25(OH)D levels of children in the control versus vitamin D groups significantly differed (7.0 v. 19 ng/mL), respectively; p < 0.001) [41]. Compared to controls, children on vitamin D reported significantly fewer ARIs during the study period (mean: 0.80 v. 0.45 infections, respec-
In contrast, vitamin D supplementation did not affect the incidence of first episodes of pneumonia in 1524 infants from Afghanistan, another population with a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency [42].

In a double-blind RCT for the prevention of acute respiratory infection in older adults and their carers (Vi-Diflu), the addition of intermittent bolus-dose vitamin D3 supplementation to a daily low-dose regimen did not influence risk of acute respiratory infection in older adults and their carers [43].

In another RCT from New Zealand, healthy pregnant women, from 27 weeks’ gestation to birth, and their infants, from birth to age 6 months, were assigned to placebo or one of the two dosages of daily oral vitamin D3 [44]. In comparison with the placebo group (99%), the proportion of children making any acute respiratory infection visits was smaller in the higher-dose (87%, p = 0.004), but not the lower-dose vitamin D3 group (95%, p = 0.17) [44]. It was concluded that vitamin D3 supplementation during pregnancy and infancy reduces primary care visits for acute respiratory infection during early childhood [44].

In a 2X2 factorial, RCT to assess whether vitamin D3 supplementation (10,000 IU per week) versus placebo and gargling versus no gargling could prevent viral, clinical upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) in university students, 600 students were randomised into 4 treatment arms: 1) vitamin D3 and gargling, 2) placebo and gargling, 3) vitamin D3 and no gargling, and 4) placebo and no gargling [45]. Seventy participants (23.3%) assigned to vitamin D3 treatment was associated with a significantly lower risk for laboratory confirmed URTI (p = 0.007) and with a significantly lower mean viral load. It was concluded that vitamin D3 is a promising intervention for the prevention of URTI [45].

In a post hoc analysis of data from pilot D-Health, an RCT in a general community setting, 644 Australian residents aged 60–84 y were randomly assigned to receive monthly doses of either placebo (n = 214) or 30,000 (n = 215) or 60,000 (n = 215) IU oral cholecalciferol [46]. Those participants assigned to 60,000 IU cholecalciferol, had 28% lower risk of having antibiotics prescribed at least once than did people in the placebo group (RR 0.72; 95% CI 0.48–1.07, although this was not significant [46].

In a RCT, 180 pregnant women were randomised at 27 weeks gestation to either no vitamin D, 800 IU ergocalciferol daily until delivery or single oral bolus of 200,000 IU cholecalciferol [47]. No difference was found between supplemented and control groups in risk of wheeze in the offspring and there was also no significant difference in atopy, eczema risk or lung function between the groups [47].

As an adjunct to a multi-centre, RCT of colorectal adenoma chemoprevention, it was tested whether 1000 IU/day vitamin D(3) supplementation reduced winter episodes and duration of URTI and its composite syndromes [48]. The RCT included 2259 participants aged 45–75 and in otherwise good health, apart from history of colorectal adenoma, who were not randomized to vitamin D(3) (1000 IU/day), calcium (1200 mg/day), both, or placebo [48]. Participants had a baseline serum 25(OH)D level ≥ 12 ng/mL. It was found that supplementation did not significantly reduce winter episodes of URTI (RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.79–1.09) including colds [48].

The effect of adjunctive vitamin D for treatment of active tuberculosis was investigated in India in an RCT [49]. Of the 247 participants, 121 were assigned to vitamin D intervention (four doses of 2·5 mg at weeks 0, 2, 4, and 6) and 126 to the placebo group. Median time to sputum culture conversion in the vitamin D group was 43·0 days (95% CI 33·3–52·8) v. 42·0 days (33·9–50·1) in the placebo group. Vitamin D supplementation therefore did not reduce time to sputum culture conversion [49].

Other significant trials are in progress and expect to report at a later date. For example, in North America, the DO IT Trial is a pragmatic RCT of vitamin D Outcomes and Interventions in Toddlers [50]. It is planned to recruit 750 healthy children 1–5 years of age over 4 successive winters who will be randomized to either “standard dose” or “high dose” oral supplemental vitamin D for a minimum of 4 months. The aim is to identify whether vitamin D supplementation can reduce wintertime viral URTIs and asthma exacerbations [50].

In a recent trial of vitamin D supplementation to reduce exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, vitamin D supplementation significantly reduced exacerbations only in patients with baseline 25(OH)D levels < 10 ng/mL [51].

In a randomized controlled trial in Japanese school children, set up to assess the effect of vitamin D supplementation on “doctor diagnosed influenza,” there was a statistically significant reduction in laboratory-confirmed influenza A infection (RR 0.58, p = 0.04) [52].

The findings of the various RCTs undertaken in this context are therefore mixed, although the VIDARIS study stands out for its methodological rigour, as indicated above [38]. A valid discussion point is whether the VIDARIS results would have been different if participants
had been given vitamin D 3,300 IU daily, as compared to 100,000 IU monthly. Different outcomes have been documented for trials of 4-monthly versus annual dosing regimens of vitamin D supplementation for risk of fractures [53, 54]. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain how various dosing regimens may have different effects on immune function [55]. However, it is purely speculative at this stage as to whether some conditions (e.g. infections) require a smaller steady dose of vitamin D supplementation for benefit. Another plausible hypothesis is that genetic variation in vitamin D metabolism or signalling may modify the anti-inflammatory effects of vitamin D. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms, for example have been linked to both susceptibility to tuberculosis [56] and the response to vitamin D supplements in patients with tuberculosis [57].

Conclusions

Vitamin D may influence immune function through actions on both the innate and adaptive systems. Observational studies have shown an association between low 25(OH)D levels and the incidence and severity of respiratory infections, although do not fully account for confounding variables or reverse causality. The emergence of higher quality randomised controlled trials, such as the New Zealand based VIDARIS study, however has so far not conclusively established a role for routine vitamin D supplementation in otherwise healthy adults for prevention of respiratory infections. Further intervention studies, however should focus on other sub-groups, including children with lower baseline vitamin D levels.
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