This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.



ISSN: 2451-2591

e-ISSN: 2451-4101

The primary study on cardiac troponin T in normal, IUGR, and preterm neonates.

Authors: Jolanta Klaczewska, Magdalena Wiacek, Ryszard Tomasiuk

DOI: 10.5603/mrj.103176

Article type: Original article

Submitted: 2024-10-19

Accepted: 2024-11-07

Published online: 2024-12-04

This article has been peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance. It is an open access article, which means that it can be downloaded, printed, and distributed freely, provided the work is properly cited.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Joanna Kłaczewska¹, Magdalena Wiacek², Ryszard Tomasiuk^{2*}

¹Józef Polikarp Brudzinski Children's Clinical Hospital in Warsaw, Poland ²Kazimierz Pulaski University in Radom, Faculty of Medical Sciences and Health Sciences, Radom, Poland

The primary study on cardiac troponin T in normal, IUGR, and preterm neonates

Running title: Joanna Kłaczewska et al., Cardiac troponin in neonates

Corresponding author:

Ryszard Tomasiuk Kazimierz Pulaski University in Radom, Faculty of Medical Sciences and Health Sciences, 26–600 Radom, Poland e-mail: r.tomasiuk@uthrad.pl tel: +48 48 361 7303

DOI: 10.5603/mrj.103176

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The study hypothesis was that serum analysis of high-sensitivity troponin T in newborns could be a diagnostic tool to predict the health risks caused by intrauterine growth restriction and preterm birth.

Material and methods: A total of n = 107 newborns were stratified into three groups: 52 healthy (26 male and 26 female), that is, newborns with body weight $\geq 10^{th}$ percentile, born in good condition [Apgar score (APG) 8–10 pts] of pregnancy not complicated by diabetes, 21 (10 male and 11 female) with intrauterine growth restriction, that is, weight < 10th centile in centile grids for sex and gestational age of the newborn, and 34 (19 male and 15 female) preterm infants, that is, children born between 22 and 36 weeks from gestation counted from the first day of the last menstrual period. The lowest minimum troponin levels of 0.004 ng/mL defined the preterm group.

Results: The healthy group was defined by the highest maximal troponin levels (0.895 ng/mL), while the intrauterine growth restriction group had the lowest maximal troponin level of 0.539 ng/mL. A significant difference was observed between the IUGR and premature groups, p = 0.00413.

Conclusions: cTnT levels between IUGR and preterm newborns reflect developmental differences between these two groups that can influence future child development.

Keywords: troponin T, neonates, intrauterine growth restriction, preterm, diagnostics

Introduction

The fetal growth rate below the 10th percentile according to gestational age, sex, and race is defined as an intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) that can be classified into asymmetric, symmetric, and mixed IUGR [1]. It can be caused by genetic, placental, fetal, and maternal factors or a combination [2]. The postnatal diagnosis of babies with IUGR includes, among others, clinical examination, anthropometry, and the ponderal index [3]. As a result of delayed brain development, cognitive and neurodevelopmental abnormalities can appear among IUGR infants [4]. Growth retardation can also be observed in babies with IUGR [5]. IUGR may also affect cardiovascular development during infancy, resulting in abnormalities in the myocardium [6] caused by a reduced number of cardiomyocytes at birth [7, 8].

The troponin complex consists of three subunits: troponin I, troponin T, and troponin C [9]. Troponin T contains a binding site for tropomyosin and is probably responsible for binding the troponin complex to tropomyosin. It is found mainly in the cardiac muscles. Troponin I (TnI) prevents muscle contraction in the absence of calcium. It is a cardiac-specific protein marker [10]. Troponin C is present in the cardiac and skeletal muscles [11].

During fetal development, the skeletal isoforms of troponin present in the heart are replaced by cardiac troponin I (cTnI) and cardiac troponin T (cTnT) [12]. cTnI is unlikely to be reexpressed in muscle-damaged tissues [13]. However, cTnT expression occurs in cardiac tissue and damaged skeletal muscles [14]. cTnT is a highly sensitive marker of myocardial damage [15] and is a valuable evaluator of the severity of cardiac failure [16].

In recent years, the diagnostic utility of cTnT has been confirmed [17]. Its potential applicability for the assessment of myocardial damage in newborns has also been tested [18]. cTnT has been shown to be a diagnostic tool for posthypoxic heart damage in neonates [19] and a tool for the detection of myocardial injury in pediatric age [20]. It has also been shown to be a useful marker of neonatal and cardiorespiratory morbidity [21]. However, some show that high-sensitivity cTnT

levels (hs-cTnT) should be treated with caution regarding cardiac health in newborns [22].

To verify the current knowledge of the relation between hs-cTnT levels of hscTnT as a function of the cardiological postpartum status of a newborn, an observational retrospective study on hs-cTnT levels was performed in healthy, preterm, and healthy neonates.

Materials and methods

The study was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki of the World Medical Association (WMA). The bioethics committee approved the study, waiver no: KB/154/2009. Parents of the children examined provided a signed form of informed consent to participate in the study.

Study participants

The study used data from blood examinations of newborns whose mothers were hospitalized in the Department of Neonatology, Department of Obstetrics, Feminine Diseases and Gynecology Oncology, Regional Hospital Bródnowski, Warsaw, Poland. A total of n = 107 newborns were studied and stratified into three groups: 52 healthy groups (26 men and 26 female); newborns with body weight $\geq 10^{\text{th}}$ percentile, born in good condition [Apgar score (APG) 8–10 pt] from pregnancy not complicated by diabetes. The intrauterine growth restriction group (IUGR) comprised 21 children (10 men and 11 women) defined by body mass < 10th centile on centile grids for sex and gestational age of the newborn. The preterm group comprised 34 children (19 men and 15 female); children born between 22 and 36 weeks of gestation were counted from the first day of the last menstrual period.

Experimental methods

Blood was collected by venepuncture in a tube with lithium heparin. The samples were chilled to 4°C. The clotted blood was centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 60 min, and blood serum was collected. The serum collected was stored at -20°C until laboratory tests were performed.

High-sensitive cardiac troponin T was measured using the Cobas Elecsys assay in neonatal blood serum on a Cobas 8000 analyzer. The assay consisted of three steps: in Step 1, a complex was incubated, for which a biotinylated antigen was used with a specific cardiac troponin T monoclonal antibody and a cardiac troponin T specific monoclonal antibody, which was labeled with a ruthenium complex. Step 2 incubation with particles coated with streptavidin. In this step, the complex was bound to the solid phase as a result of the affinity of biotin and streptavidin.

The mixing mixture was then transferred to a measuring chamber, where the tropomyosin T concentration was measured by the electrochemiluminescence level. The troponin concentration was expressed in ng/mL.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the R package. The normality of a sample distribution was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Then, statistical inferences between samples were derived using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Finally, post hoc analysis was performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Results

There are no statistical differences between the sexes. The graphic representation of the distribution of the studied samples and the statistical differences is shown in Figure 1.

The general characteristics of the samples studied are summarized in Table 1. Table 2 includes a statistical description of the results obtained. The analysis of Table 2 reveals similar minimal troponin levels in healthy and IUGR groups: 0.028 ng/mL and 0.021 ng/mL, respectively. The lowest troponin levels of 0.004 ng/mL define the preterm group. The healthy group is defined by the highest troponin levels: 0.895 ng/mL. The IUGR group is defined by the lowest maximal troponin level: 0.539 ng/mL.

The upper reference levels for the IUGR and preterm groups equal 0.350 ng/mL and 0.547 ng/mL, respectively. There is a statistically significant difference between the IUGR and preterm groups, p = 0.00413.

Discussion

Under the hypothesis that the size of troponin molecules prevents them from crossing the placenta and cannot influence neonatal troponin levels, the analysis of neonates' serum troponin levels might carry a potential clinical value supported by the following study [23–25]. However, there is a dearth of data on the relationships between cTnT levels and health status in neonates [22].

In addition, there is a significant disparity between the reported results. For example, in healthy infants, Clark et. al., [21] reported median cTnT levels of 0.025 ng/mL, while this study reports the value of 0.12 ng/mL. Karlen et al. [22] reported median hs-cTnT levels in cord blood of 92 ng/mL, whereas Tarkowska et. al., [26] reported cTnT values in the range of 0.052–0.069 ng/mL. A comparison of the results of this study with those of Trevisanuto et al. [27] revealed analogous levels of cTnT.

The results of this report confirmed the previous study indicating that cTnT levels are sex independent [26] and disprove the results of the study carried out by Baum et al. [28] who reported statistically significant differences in cTnT levels between men and women. Furthermore, this study reports a significantly higher upper reference limit in healthy newborns than that reported by Baum et. al., [28]: 0.546 ng/mL vs. 0.097 ng/mL, respectively. Therefore, an amalgam of reports on physiological cTnT levels in healthy newborns does not allow the established unified reference range.

The observed disparities may be due to the nonparametric distribution of cTnT in newborns that was established in this and previous studies [29]. Furthermore, the report of this study confirms previous observations that indicate higher levels of cTnT in preterm newborns [30].

Analysis of the current literature reveals a cross-correlation between intrauterine growth restriction and cardiovascular system development [31].

Consequently, following the study's results on cross-correlations between heart defects and cTnT levels in newborns [32], one should expect higher levels of cTnT than those observed in healthy newborns. This study does not confirm this hypothesis and reveals lower median levels of cTnT in IUGR than in healthy newborns: 0.08 ng/mL vs. 0.12 ng/mL, respectively. However, the observed increase in mean hs-cTnT levels in the preterm group may reflect disturbances in the 'developmental programming' of the cardiovascular system [33] and cardiovascular pathologies such as low ventricular output, low systemic arterial pressure, and cardiac instability [34].

Article information

Authors Contributions: Conceptualization, J.K. and R.T.; methodology, R.T.; software, formal analysis, R.T., and M.W.; writing — original draft preparation, R.T., and M.W.; writing — review and editing, R.T., M.W., and J.K.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional review board statement: *The bioethics committee approved the study. No: KB/154/2009.*

Informed consent statement: *Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.*

Data availability statement: *Data is available upon request from authors.* **Conflicts of Interest:** *The authors declare no conflict of interest.*

References

- Sharma D, Shastri S, Farahbakhsh N, et al. Intrauterine growth restriction part 1. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2016; 29(24): 3977–3987, doi: <u>10.3109/14767058.2016.1152249</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>26856409</u>.
- Sharma D, Shastri S, Sharma P. Intrauterine growth restriction: antenatal and postnatal aspects. Clin Med Insights Pediatr. 2016; 10: 67–83, doi: <u>10.4137/CMPed.S40070</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>27441006</u>.
- Peleg, D., C.M. Kennedy, and S.K. Hunter, Intrauterine growth restriction: identification and management. Am Fam Physician, 1998. 58(2): p 453-60. : 466–7, indexed in Pubmed: <u>9713399</u>.
- de Bie HMA, Oostrom KJ, Delemarre-van de Waal HA. Brain development, intelligence and cognitive outcome in children born small for gestational age. Horm Res Paediatr. 2010; 73(1): 6–14, doi: <u>10.1159/000271911</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>20190535</u>.
- Saleem T, Sajjad N, Fatima S, et al. Intrauterine growth retardation-small events, big consequences. Ital J Pediatr. 2011; 37: 41, doi: <u>10.1186/1824-</u> <u>7288-37-41</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>21899747</u>.
- Iruretagoyena JI, Gonzalez-Tendero A, Garcia-Canadilla P, et al. Cardiac dysfunction is associated with altered sarcomere ultrastructure in intrauterine growth restriction. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014; 210(6): 550.e1–550.e7, doi: <u>10.1016/j.ajog.2014.01.023</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>24440565</u>.
- Corstius HB, Zimanyi MA, Maka N, et al. Effect of intrauterine growth restriction on the number of cardiomyocytes in rat hearts. Pediatr Res. 2005; 57(6): 796–800, doi: <u>10.1203/01.PDR.0000157726.65492.CD</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>15774830</u>.

- Wadley, G.D., G.K. McConell, C.A. Goodman, A.L. Siebel, K.T. Westcott, and M.E. Wlodek, Growth restriction in the rat alters expression of metabolic genes during postnatal cardiac development in a sex-specific manner. Physiological Genomics, 2013. 45(3): 99–105, doi: <u>10.1152/physiolgenomics.00095.2012</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>23232075</u>.
- Mair J, Puschendorf B, Michel G. Clinical significance of cardiac contractile proteins for the diagnosis of myocardial injury. Adv Clin Chem. 1994; 31: 63– 98, doi: <u>10.1016/s0065-2423(08)60333-9</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>7879674</u>.
- Al-Hadi, H.A. and K.A. Fox, Cardiac markers in the early diagnosis and management of patients with acute coronary syndrome. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J, 2009. 9(3): 231–46, indexed in Pubmed: <u>21509305</u>.
- Rasmussen M, Jin JP. Troponin variants as markers of skeletal muscle health and diseases. Front Physiol. 2021; 12: 747214, doi: <u>10.3389/fphys.2021.747214</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>34733179</u>.
- Saggin L, Gorza L, Ausoni S, et al. Troponin i switching in the developing heart. J Biol Chem. 1989; 264(27): 16299–16302, doi: <u>10.1016/s0021-</u> <u>9258(18)71621-9</u>.
- Babuin L, Jaffe AS, Knoll G, et al. Kidney Transplant Working Group of the Canadian Society of Transplantation. Canadian Society of Transplantation consensus guidelines on eligibility for kidney transplantation. CMAJ. 2005; 173(10): 1181–1184, doi: <u>10.1503/cmaj.051291</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>16275969</u>.
- 14. Bodor G, Survant L, Voss E, et al. Cardiac troponin T composition in normal and regenerating human skeletal muscle. Clinical Chemistry. 1997; 43(3): 476–484, doi: <u>10.1093/clinchem/43.3.476</u>.
- 15. Fonarow GC, Adams KF, Abraham WT, et al. Risk stratification for inhospital mortality in acutely decompensated heart failure. Classification and

regression tree analysis. JAMA. 2005; 293(5): 572–580, doi: <u>10.1001/jama.293.5.572</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>15687312</u>.

- 16. Abiko M, Inai K, Shimada E, et al. The prognostic value of high sensitivity cardiac troponin T in patients with congenital heart disease. J Cardiol. 2018; 71(4): 389–393, doi: <u>10.1016/j.jjcc.2017.09.012</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>29108668</u>.
- Muzyk P, Twerenbold R, Morawiec B, et al. Use of cardiac troponin in the early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78(11): 1099–1106, doi: <u>10.33963/KP.15585</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>32847343</u>.
- Sobczuk K, Janiak K, Draga-Zapora E, et al. Troponin T as a marker of postnatal state of newborn with non-immune hydrops fetalis. Clin Obstet Gynecol Reprod Med. 2017; 3(1), doi: <u>10.15761/cogrm.1000174</u>.
- Szymankiewicz M, Matuszczak-Wleklak M, Hodgman JE, et al. Usefulness of cardiac troponin T and echocardiography in the diagnosis of hypoxic myocardial injury of full-term neonates. Biol Neonate. 2005; 88(1): 19–23, doi: <u>10.1159/000084067</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>15731551</u>.
- Clerico A, Aimo A, Cantinotti M. High-sensitivity cardiac troponins in pediatric population. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2022; 60(1): 18–32, doi: <u>10.1515/cclm-2021-0976</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>34679265</u>.
- 21. Clark SJ, Newland P, Yoxall CW, et al. Concentrations of cardiac troponin T in neonates with and without respiratory distress. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2004; 89(4): F348–F352, doi: <u>10.1136/adc.2002.025478</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>15210673</u>.
- 22. Karlén J, Karlsson M, Eliasson H, et al. Cardiac troponin t in healthy full-term infants. Pediatr Cardiol. 2019; 40(8): 1645–1654, doi: <u>10.1007/s00246-019-02199-9</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>31489446</u>.

- 23. Clark SJ, Newland P, Yoxall CW, et al. Concentrations of cardiac troponin T in neonates with and without respiratory distress. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2004; 89(4): F348–F352, doi: <u>10.1136/adc.2002.025478</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>15210673</u>.
- 24. Jiang Li, Li Y, Zhang Z, et al. Use of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I levels for early diagnosis of myocardial injury after neonatal asphyxia. J Int Med Res. 2019; 47(7): 3234–3242, doi: <u>10.1177/0300060519831187</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>31189368</u>.
- Correale M, Nunno L, Ieva R, et al. Troponin in newborns and pediatric patients. Cardiovasc Hematol Agents Med Chem. 2009; 7(4): 270–278, doi: <u>10.2174/187152509789541927</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>19663792</u>.
- Tarkowska A, Furmaga-Jabłońska W. The evaluation of cardiac troponin t in newborns. Biomed Hub. 2017; 2(3): 1–7, doi: <u>10.1159/000481086</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>31988914</u>.
- 27. Trevisanuto D, Lachin M, Zaninotto M, et al. Cardiac troponin T in newborn infants with transient myocardial ischemia. Biol Neonate. 1998; 73(3): 161–165, doi: <u>10.1159/000013973</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>9535533</u>.
- Baum H, Hinze A, Bartels P, et al. Reference values for cardiac troponins T and I in healthy neonates. Clin Biochem. 2004; 37(12): 1079–1082, doi: <u>10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2004.08.003</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>15589813</u>.
- Guo Q, Yang Di, Zhou Y, et al. Establishment of the reference interval for high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T in healthy children of Chongqing Nan'an district. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2021; 81(7): 579–584, doi: <u>10.1080/00365513.2021.1979245</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>34581638</u>.
- 30. Clark SJ, Newland P, Yoxall CW, et al. Sequential cardiac troponin T following delivery and its relationship with myocardial performance in neonates with respiratory distress syndrome. Eur J Pediatr. 2006; 165(2): 87–93, doi: <u>10.1007/s00431-005-0001-3</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>16228245</u>.

- 31. Cohen E, Wong FY, Horne RSC, et al. Intrauterine growth restriction: impact on cardiovascular development and function throughout infancy. Pediatr Res. 2016; 79(6): 821–830, doi: <u>10.1038/pr.2016.24</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>26866903</u>.
- 32. Tarkowska A, Furmaga-Jabłońska W. The evaluation of diagnostic role of cardiac troponin T (cTnT) in newborns with heart defects.
 ScientificWorldJournal. 2012; 2012: 682538, doi: <u>10.1100/2012/682538</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>22547993</u>.
- 33. Bensley JG, De Matteo R, Harding R, et al. The effects of preterm birth and its antecedents on the cardiovascular system. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2016; 95(6): 652–663, doi: <u>10.1111/aogs.12880</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>26918772</u>.
- 34. Ciccone MM, Scicchitano P, Zito A, et al. Different functional cardiac characteristics observed in term/preterm neonates by echocardiography and tissue doppler imaging. Early Hum Dev. 2011; 87(8): 555–558, doi: <u>10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2011.04.012</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>21576005</u>.

Table 1. Characteristics of the samples studied: healthy — newborns with bodyweight $\geq 10^{\text{th}}$ percentile, born in good condition [Apgar score (APG) 8–10 pts] from pregnancy not complicated by diabetes, IUGR — weight < 10^{th} centile on centile grids for sex and gestational age of the newborn, and preterm — children born between 22 and 36 weeks from gestation counted from the first day of the last menstrual period

Group	n	Variable	min	max	Median	Mean	SD
		Nonate age (hr)	1	120	72	64.154	24.104
Healthy	52	Mother age	20	39	30.5	29.962	4.593
		Pregnancy	1	4	2	1.846	0.916
IUGR	21	Nonate age (hr)	24	120	48	66.286	26.186
		Mother age	20	39	26	27.6	5.225
		Pregnancy	1	5	2	2	1.183
Preterm	34	Nonate age (hr)	24	144	48	62.118	29.016
		Mother age	19	43	31	30.121	5.894
		Pregnancy	1	6	2	2.147	1.374

Table 2. The statistical description of serum blood troponin levels in healthy — newborns with bodyweight $\geq 10^{\text{th}}$ percentile, born in good condition [Apgar score (APG) 8–10 pts] from pregnancy not complicated by diabetes, IUGR — weight < 10^{th} centile in centile grids for sex and gestational age of the newborn, and preterm — children born between 22 and 36 weeks from gestation counted from the first day of the last menstrual period

Grou	Variable	n	Min	Max	Median	Mean	SD	97.5 th
р			[ng/mL	[ng/mL	[ng/mL	[ng/mL	[ng/mL	percentiles
]]]]]	[ng/mL]
1	Healthy	5	0.028	0.895	0.120	0.158	0.155	0.546
		2						
2	IUGR	2	0.021	0.539	0.080	0.117	0.130	0.444
		1						
3	Preterm	3	0.004	0.728	0.174	0.216	0.178	0.547
		4						

Figure 1. Box plot representation of troponin levels stratified by study group. Healthy — newborns with bodyweight $\geq 10^{\text{th}}$ percentile, born in good condition [Apgar score (APG) 8–10 pts] from pregnancy not complicated by diabetes, IUGR — weight < 10th centile on centile grids for sex and gestational age of the newborn, and preterm — children born between 22 and 36



weeks from gestation counted from the first day of the last menstrual period.

