CLINICAL VIGNETTE

A cautionary tale of carotid sinus massage for narrow QRS tachycardia

Panagiotis Antiochos, Brahim Harbaoui, Olivier Muller, Jürg Schläpfer

Department of Cardiology, University Hospital of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

A 72-year-old woman with a history of metabolic syndrome presented with sudden-onset rapid palpitations and vertigo beginning 2 h earlier. She denied previous chest pain, shortness of breath, syncope, or heart failure. On admission, blood pressure was 143/87 mmHg, and heart rate, 112 bpm. Cardiopulmonary examination was unremarkable. Laboratory tests including renal function, electrolytes, thyroid function, and blood formula were normal. An echocardiogram showed normal biventricular function and the absence of valvular heart disease or pericardial effusion. The initial electrocardiogram (Fig. 1A) showed regular, narrow QRS tachycardia with evidence of supraventricular activity, best visible in leads V1-V3. The rsr' pattern in leads V₁–V₃ suggested atrial tachycardia — either atypical atrial flutter, focal atrial tachycardia, or atypical atrioventricular (AV) nodal re-entrant tachycardia — with 2:1 AV conduction. To establish the diagnosis, we performed carotid sinus massage (CSM) that did not modify the heart rate or modulate AV conduction. Right after CSM termination, the patient developed shortness of breath and mild chest pain, and a new electrocardiogram was immediately performed (Fig. 1B). Following CSM, the "paired" or "group beating" appearance of QRS corresponded to improved 3:2 AV conduction with a Wenckebach phenomenon, resulting in a mean ventricular rate of 149 bpm (= atrial rate [224 bpm] \times AV conduction ratio [2:3]). Atrial activity (F waves) is best seen in leads V₁-V₂, at an unchanged rate (224 bpm, F-F = 268 ms) and an AV sequence of an initial atrial wave normally conducted (184 ms), a second F-wave conducted with a prolonged interval (216 ms), and a third F-wave that is blocked; then the cycle of events is reinitiated. Alternating QRS morphology with intermittent incomplete right bundle branch block is due to the Ashman phenomenon, where the right bundle branch is refractory due to the shorter R-R interval of the preceding cycle [1]. CSM elicits an intense activation of the baroreceptor reflex [2]. The afferent limb includes nerve fibres present in the carotid sinus (CS) that join the glossopharyngeal nerve to directly stimulate medullary centres. The efferent limb of the reflex is twofold: a) by vagal excess due to activation of parasympathetic fibres to the heart and b) by sympathetic inhibition through attenuation of the tonic discharge of sympathetic nerves trafficking from the stellate ganglion to the heart. When CS pressure is increased and maintained, intense parasympathetic stimulation and complete sympathetic inhibition can occur for some seconds. A rebound response after withdrawal of CS pressure is due to simultaneous withdrawal of the parasympathetic brake and return of the sympathetic nerve discharge together with enhanced liberation of catecholamines by the adrenal glands [2]. Deepak et al. [3] described a case of ventricular fibrillation induced by CSM, concluding that vagally mediated rebound sympathetic activity and catecholamine release could have led to ventricular ectopy and tachyarrhythmia. One should also note a distinct mechanism of supraventricular tachycardia acceleration after CSM: that of CSM-induced elimination of a rate-related bundle branch block in the presence of a participating ipsilateral accessory pathway [4]. To our knowledge, this is the first report of rebound facilitation

1-																			
	de-	-h	*	h	h	-h	-	h	♪	h	h	hh		L	Land	h	ا	h	Α
	1.	1	1	1	ı I	1.	1	1	1.			1 1					1	1.1	
11-	A	m	*~	the	4~	+n	+n	+~	An	s and		had	~	-	m	h	f	P.C.	
	-	-		<u> </u>		in	-		·		m				-	-		~	
111-	~7	1	T	T	T	T	1	Т	T	T	1	m				ſ	Υ	ľ	
aVP	~~~	-	+	m	+			+	v~~	1		m	~			h	1-	m	
avit			!	!	!	!		1											
aVL	-l	-h	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	4	4	1-	+	4	L	h	L	hand					ا	han	
																		. 1	
aVF-	~1~	fr	+ -	4~	4~	+~	+~	+~	f	f	h~~	hu		\sim	m	m	fr	m	
	+ + +	• • +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
V1	- pm	pn	pm	pm	pm	pm	pm	pn	m	pn	m	m	m	m	m	m	pm	m	
	nh	ha	m	hn	m	ha	hn	hn	ha	m	1	m		hal	M	n	m	m	
V2			-1						-	1	r -	-			r –	r –	-		
1/3-	n	In	In	In	In	fr	In	fr	fr	fr	h	M	n	n	n	~	h	m	
					1					1	1						1		
V4~	n	-h	p	p	m	pr	p	fr	h	m	m	M		r	M	m	p	n	
		-		-	-			1			-								-
V5-	m	+	+~	\uparrow	p	p	\uparrow	fr	p	m	\sim	1		\sim	m	m	γ	m	
L																			
V6-		-p	~ ~	*	m-	-	0.0	5-150 Hz,	SSF, SBS,	Onde croc	hete 50	17	\sim	P	P	P	n	Vmienm 01	25 mm
	1.1	1.	11		1	11		1	11		λ	11							R
-															m	~~			
				1											- 1				
	int	p	Int	rh	fr	11	r	in	ht	~	h	hop		pul	A	J	4	+	
	th	h	44	rt	4~	44	~+	4~	4	\sim	h	h	+	prof	h	+	+	+	
	and	-pr	4-4- 4-4-	rh rp		44 44	$\frac{1}{2}$	4~ ~~	h-4- 11-		4~ 1~	h-h- h-h-	+	4~1 1~1		+	+	+	
	4.4		4-4- 9-7-	~h ~p	40 10	44	$\frac{1}{\gamma}$	4~ 7^	44 7-17		4~ 1~	₩4- 1-1-	+	4~1 1~1		+	+	+ +	
II~ III_ aVR^	4.4		44 p7 r-r			44 1-1 1-1		4^ 4^								+ + +	+	+ + -	
II~ III_ aVR^																		+ + T	
II- aVR ⁻ aVL																+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++			
aVR ⁻																			
aVR aVL aVL																			
aVR aVR aVL aVF																			
II- aVR aVL aVL V1-																			
II- aVR ⁻ aVL aVF- V1- V2-										→ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓									
II- aVR ⁻ aVL aVF- V1- V2-																			
II- III_ aVR ⁻ aVL aVF- V1- V2- V3 ⁻													→ → + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +					+ + + + + + +	
- _ aVR aVF- V1- V2- V3=													} } } }						
II- III_ aVR ⁻ aVL aVF- V1- V2- V3 ⁻ V3 ⁻													} } } } }			} } } } } 			
II- III_ aVR ⁻ aVL aVF- V1- V2- V3 ⁻ V4 ⁻ V4 ⁻													} } } } }			} } } } }			
II- aVR- aVL- aVF- V1- V2- V3- V4- V5								and					} } } } } }						
II- aVR aVL aVF- V1- V2- V3- V4- V5 V4-								to the state of th					}}{{} }}}}			} } } } } } } } } }	<u>→</u> +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	

in AV conduction induced by CSM for narrow QRS tachycardia. Although our patient remained stable and enhanced AV conduction was only transient, clinicians should be aware of this rare possibility and ensure resuscitation equipment is readily available while performing vagal manoeuvres.

References

- Gouaux JL, Ashman R. Auricular fibrillation with aberration simulating ventricular paroxysmal tachycardia. Am Heart J. 1947; 34(3): 366–373, indexed in Pubmed: 20262631.
- Lown B, Levine SA. The carotid sinus. Clinical value of its stimulation. Circulation. 1961; 23: 766–789, indexed in Pubmed: 13763861.
 Deepak SM, Jenkins NP, Davidson NC, et al. Ventricular fibrillation
- Deepak SM, Jenkins NP, Davidson NC, et al. Ventricular fibrillation induced by carotid sinus massage without preceding bradycardia. Europace. 2005; 7(6): 638–640, doi: 10.1016/j.eupc.2005.06.006, indexed in Pubmed: 16216770.
- Waxman MB, Wald RW, Bonet JF, et al. Carotid sinus massage induced elimination of rate related bundle branch block during paroxysmal atrial tachycardia: a simple method of proving bypass tract participation in the tachycardia. JElectrocardiol. 1979; 12(4): 371–376, indexed in Pubmed: 512533.

Figure 1. A. An electrocardiogram showing regular narrow QRS tachycardia, with evidence of atrial activity (+), best visible in leads V_1-V_3 . The rsr' pattern (•) in leads V_1-V_3 suggests atrial tachycardia with 2:1 atrioventricular conduction; **B.** An electrocardiogram following carotid sinus massage: the "group beating" appearance of QRS corresponds to 3:2 atrioventricular conduction. Alternating QRS morphology with intermittent incomplete right bundle-branch block is due to the Ashman phenomenon

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Panagiotis Antiochos, Department of Cardiology, University Hospital of Lausanne, Rue du Bugnon 46, CH-1011 Lausanne, Switzerland, tel: +41795564011, fax: +41213140013, e-mail: panagiotis.antiochos@chuv.ch

Conflict of interest: none declared

Kardiologia Polska Copyright © Polish Cardiac Society 2018