Infectious diseases affecting occupational divers: review of 2017 literature
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ABSTRACT
Background: Occupational divers are prone to many health risks. For instance, they can get infections. We reviewed the infectious diseases in occupational divers.

Materials and methods: This is a literature review which went on up to 31.12.2017. The research was carried out on Medline and Scopus databases, in French and English languages.

Results: Fifteen papers report cases of infections in occupational divers. They are mainly skin infections, but also ear-nose-throat and ophthalmological infections.

Discussion and conclusions: According to data collected, infections among occupational divers are quite scarce. These diseases are not specific to the workplace, and are comparable with data on recreational diving. Prevention is mainly based on hygiene and equipment maintenance.
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INTRODUCTION
The development of work techniques in hyperbaric environment has brought about specific diseases, such as decompression sickness, dysbaric osteonecrosis [1–6]. However, divers are also prone to many other risks, specific to water such as a risk of drowning and traumatisms [7, 8].

Moreover, diving in the sea may increase the risk of infections. A recent review showed for instance that halophile microorganisms may prove to be pathogen to humans [9]. The main infectious diseases which were diagnosed for recreational activities are otitis, conjunctivitis, breathing infections and diarrhoea syndromes [9]. As far as we know divers are subjects to the same risks as people on the surface. However, diving may have effects on the immunity system, especially because of the making of anti-oxidizers and oxygen reactive species; some authors described changes in activity of neutrophil polynuclear which could increase the risk of infections [10, 11]. Several infections are described regarding recreational diving [12]. Yet, occupational divers seem to be running far fewer risks [13]. Furthermore in order to characterise biological hazards some teams studied the microbiologic environment surface parameters to finally assess the infectious risk on divers [14].

The subject of this article is then to point out the knowledge about infectious diseases on occupational divers with the description of infections and microorganisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This is a review of medical literature. Observational retrospective studies and cross-sectional studies, systematic reviews, case reports and expert opinions published in English or French were identified from the Medline® and Scopus® databases until the 31st December 2017.
The following keywords were used in English on Medline®: “Infectious Disease Medicine” [Mesh] OR “Infectious Disease Incubation Period” [Mesh] OR “Infectious Disease Transmission, Vertical” [Mesh] OR “Disease Transmission, Infectious” [Mesh] OR “Disease Reservoirs” [Mesh] OR “Disease Vectors” [Mesh] OR “Disease Outbreaks” [Mesh] OR “Zoonoses” [Mesh] OR “Contact Tracing” [Mesh] OR “Bone Diseases, Infectious” [Mesh] AND “Diving” [Mesh]. There were only 8 articles. On Scopus®, the researches were done with English keywords: div* AND inf*. In French, “TITLE-ABSTRACT KEY (plongé*) AND (LIMIT-TO(SUBJAREA, “MÉDII”) were used. There were 287 articles. The literature research identified a total of 295 references that were included in the two medical databases. A doctor made a first selection from abstracts. Then selected articles, abstracts and full-text articles that met our eligibility criteria were included in this review.

Data were extracted from each article. Type of infections and type of microorganisms were summarised.

RESULTS

GENERAL RESULTS
Sixteen articles have been analysed. Some have displayed the presence of pathological microorganisms: in diving equipment [12], in sea water or in the life environment of offshore divers [15–17]. The most commonly described diseases were dermatological, ophthalmic and ear-nose-throat (ENT) diseases (Table 1).

DERMATOLOGY
Several kinds of infections have then been described: bacterial infections, fungoid infections [15–23]; Schane [20] followed-up saturation dives of 100 scientific missions of 7 to 14 days. The study was carried out for 11 years, involving 515 scientists. During their missions, the scientists dived 7 hours each day to carry out their research. Schane [20] described several skin infections.

Ahlén et al. [15, 16, 21] performed a longitudinal study for 14 years, with almost a thousand divers, in order to get clear indications of the genotypes of P. aeruginosa involved in the skin infections of the divers. The proliferation of the P. aeruginosa was strengthened by humidity, as well as by life in hyperbaric environment. Divers working several weeks in saturation for heavy work were therefore more affected by this infection. Promiscuity induced cross-contamination between members of the same working group. The genotyping showed the presence of more than 90 different genotypes [22]. Some genotypes were present in saturation occupation environment. In other cases, the infection source was a diver who had been colonised before the working session. Finally, some genotypes were also found in freshwater [15, 16]. Prevalence of some genotypes was greater in divers than in the general population [15, 16]. Sterotype O11 was the most represented as for the infections in swimming pools [15, 16]. The comparative study between samples of 191 infectious cases and 291 samples taken in the occupational environment of divers showed 8 common serotypes. In other words, divers were contaminated by their working environment. These serotypes survived several years in these environments making the disinfection difficult.

In 2003, Wang et al. [23] studied an epidemic of infections characterised by boils, folliculitis and small abscesses involving different body sites. The study of antibiogram showed that bacteria were the Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). Analysis of DNA restriction pattern by pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) was done. PFGE showed it was the same MRSA for all divers. The origin of this epidemic was one of the divers. The man was colonised before.

OPHTHALMOLOGY
An outbreak of haemorrhagic conjunctivitis took place on a cruise ship in the Fiji Islands [24]. The epidemic affected 39 persons during a 6-day expedition. Recreational divers were concerned. The diagnosis was established by a physician and 46.7% of microbiological analyses were positive. The index case was the only occupational diver of the ship (the diving instructor). The transmission vector was the snorkels because of the lack of disinfection.

EAR-NOSE-THROAT
One of the main infections among occupational divers is the external otitis [25, 26]. The main microorganism was the Pseudomonas aeruginosa [21]. In 1977, Alcock [13] studied the prevailing colonisations of external auditory canal of 58 divers. They showed that 67% of the divers studied were colonised by P. aeruginosa in the external auditory canal [13]. Several factors triggered this disease: dampness, pressure and promiscuity when divers remain in saturation for several weeks. Wang et al. [23] described nose infections and external otitis due to MRSA.

In an article about microbiology, Chen et al. [27] compared the genetic sequences of P. aeruginosa in occupational divers, using a multifocus sequence typing (MLST). Keeping in mind the high prevalence of multidrug resistance in the China sea, the researchers evaluated the virulence of the sources using the Caenorhabditis elegans fast killing assay. They identified 64 different genotypes of this P. aeruginosa: ST274 represented 18.5% of the isolated genotypes and ST260, 15.6%. The virulence test from the C. elegans showed a stronger virulence from these genotypes than from the reference genotypes.

Reveli et al. [28] showed the prevalence of fungal otitis [26]. This study was led on 6 divers with a diving experience of 360 hours. They reported 4 cases of fungal otitis, especially when the diver had a history of barotrauma.
### Table 1. Main infectious diseases described in professional divers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organs affected</th>
<th>Pathologies</th>
<th>Type of microorganisms</th>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Prevention</th>
<th>Articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dermatology</td>
<td>Folliculitis, abscess, boils</td>
<td>Bacterial infections</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em>&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Maceration, Promiscuity</td>
<td>Wash the combinaison, Rinse after diving, Decolonisation treatment</td>
<td>[15–17, 19–23, 30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intertrigo</td>
<td>Fungal infections</td>
<td><em>Candida albicans</em>, <em>Trichophyton rubrum</em></td>
<td>Maceration, Promiscuity</td>
<td>Wash the combinaison, Rinse after diving</td>
<td>[19, 20, 30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eczema of Hebra</td>
<td>Fungal infections</td>
<td><em>Trichophyton rubrum et Epidermophyton floccosum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pityriasis versicolor</td>
<td>Fungal infections</td>
<td><em>Malassezia furfur</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infections</td>
<td>Bacterial infections</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Aeromonas sobria</em>, <em>Aeromonas hydrophila</em></td>
<td>Open wound in polluted water</td>
<td>Holding the divers, Water monitoring</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT</td>
<td>Otitis</td>
<td>Bacterial infections</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em>, <em>Enterobacteria</em>, <em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>Extended humidity, Extended exposure to low or high temperatures, Modification of the microbiological balance between gram positive and negative bacteria</td>
<td>Rinse the ear canals, Use of antiseptic products after diving, Decolonisation treatment</td>
<td>[13, 15–17, 20–23, 25, 26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal infections</td>
<td>Fungal infections</td>
<td>Clinical diagnosis</td>
<td>Humidity, maceration, barotrauma, rhinosinusitis</td>
<td>Hygiene, Valsalva maneuver</td>
<td>[28, 35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
<td>Viral infections</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other pathologies</td>
<td>Urinary infections</td>
<td>Bacterial infections</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>Promiscuity, Survivability in the environment</td>
<td>Hygiene, Early treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lung infections</td>
<td>Bacterial infections</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>Promiscuity, Survivability in the environment</td>
<td>Hygiene, Early treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fungal infections</td>
<td>Blastomyces dermatitidis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ENT* — ear-nose-throat; *MRSA* — Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
OTHERS

A 25-year-old man got stung from a sea-urchin during a dive in the Mediterranean Sea [29]. 48 hours on, the stung area had become swollen and was causing severe pain. The examination revealed that he presented an osteomyelitis caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Several lung infections have been described [12, 31]. For pulmonary diseases, Neubauer et al. [12] showed that the prevalence of serologies positive to Legionella species was significantly increased in the diver’s group vs. the general population.

A unique case of fungal pulmonary infection of blastomycosis was described. The patient was an occupational diver in the building industry. He was then exposed to different dusts on the wharves, on work places in Ontario [32]. The patient died of an acute respiratory failure.

DISCUSSION

This literature review showed infections regarding occupational divers. These diseases were mainly dermatological, ophthalmological or ENT infections.

Data about occupational diver’s infections finally appear to be scarce. Several reasons might explain this and are linked to the limits of our research. Firstly, these diseases can occur both among recreational divers and occupational divers. However, recreational divers are numerous all around the world. So that the data concerning occupational divers can be regarded as insignificant. Infectious cases from occupational divers may be of lighter interest for publication. On the other hand, the occupational divers’ medical follow-up may be different according to the country. Many cases may not have been screened in occupational health department [33]. Our review of the literature did not allow to estimate the prevalence of these pathologies, or to estimate the risk of infection. Only the prospective studies by country and type of activity might assess these prevalences. It would be interesting to make a prospective study to assess this health issue.

The prevalence of infections is very important among recreational divers. Thus, Strutz [34] showed that the main infection is external otitis. Other studies showed the same results [35]. Several triggering factors are described: including middle ear barotrauma, removal of lipid from the skin, prolonged exposure to high humidity and temperature [34–36].

On the contrary, some infections described amongst recreational divers are less likely to be seen among occupational divers. Honner et al. [37] notably described mastoiditis in a diver after contact with water contaminated by harmful alga bloom, commonly known as red tide. These infections generally develop in patients with factors of immunodepression. Occupational divers benefit from a medical follow-up. Some diseases are not compatible with the diving profession.

In France, for example, a type 1 diabetic person could not practise occupational diving.

However, the diver described by Honner et al. [37] had no such immunodepression. He had dived in particularly polluted water. The rate of coliform bacteria was very high. The occupational divers, as for them, are not allowed to dive in such waters, or, if it is the case, they dive in a waterproof suit [14]. This may explain a lower prevalence of infections in professional divers than among recreational divers. Indeed, few infections among recreational divers are caused by water pollution. A case of septic arthritis following a recreational dive was described on a hand having no cutaneous lesion [38]. Furthermore one case of otitis media was described on a Greek female recreational diver by Tsakris et al. [39]. The origin of the infection was the Vibrio sp. which proliferates in these waters. Several articles described zoonoses by helminthiasis among recreational divers, including in Europe with 6 cases, 4 of which in France and Italy [40].

The primary prevention consists in avoiding getting in contact with waters containing a great quantity of germs [14]. The second side of the primary prevention consists in applying of good hygiene measures: rinsing the equipment, shower after diving, steady cleaning of the equipment with antiseptic soaps; or also, the maintenance of the saturation systems [17]. The secondary prevention may also focus on infection screening and early treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

Infections among divers are well known. Yet there are few articles related to occupational diving. This review has brought to the fore that the main diseases are ophthalmological, ENT and dermatological infections. The main pathogen is P. aeruginosa. The prevention for occupational divers concerns several aspects of diving: water quality, quality of equipment, personal hygiene and cleaning of diving equipment.

There is probably an underestimation of the prevalence of these infections. Further screening should be done for a better identification of the issue and estimation of prevalence.
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