Longitudinal change in professional divers’ lung function: literature review
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ABSTRACT

Background: The aim of this study was to assess changes in lung function of professional divers.

Materials and Methods: This is a review of the literature. Only studies about professional divers were included. All published studies between 01.01.1984 and 07.01.2014 were systematically searched. The search was performed in Medline and Embase databases and in the “Medicina Maritima” journal. The results of pulmonary function tests were extracted from each study.

Results: Fifteen articles were found. Four studies showed a significant decrease in forced vital capacity (FVC). Five studies demonstrated a significant decrease in forced expiratory flows (FEF) at 75% and 50% of FVC expired (FEF75% and FEF50%) after 3 years of diving. Seven studies demonstrated a significant decrease in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) after 3 years of diving. But only 2 studies did an age-standardisation so that only 1 study demonstrated a significant decrease in FEV1 after age-standardisation. Three articles showed a decrease in transfer factor for carbon monoxide (TLCO) after 5 years. Dives parameters (like depth, number by year) were not always related to variations on the different lung variables.

Conclusions: This literature review showed a decrease in TLCO, FEF75% and FEF25–75%. One wonders whether these variations are due to the age or to diving. The results of such a long-term study would be interesting and might help to guide fundamental research.
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INTRODUCTION

The divers’ respiratory system is subject to the constraints of hyperbaric environment [1]. Firstly, underwater exercise is responsible for the reduction in lung function because the respiratory resistance increases and because the dynamic lung volumes are reduced as the pressure increases due to enhanced gas density [2, 3]. Besides, there are micro bubbles in the lungs during and after decompression so that there are gas exchange abnormalities and inflammation [4–6]. Moreover, there is oxygen toxicity to pulmonary tissue [7, 8].

That is why professional divers are medically monitored during medical surveillance examinations. For example in France, an initial examination includes a pulmonary function testing (PFT), a cardiac stress test, an exposure test in a hyperbaric chamber, an electroencephalogram, chest and major joints radiographs, an ear nose and throat (ENT) examination. These are compulsory tests which are required before starting a professional diving career. To go on the activity, there is also an annual mandatory examination, including PFT, cardiac stress test, ENT examination and biological tests.
The most important aim of the PFT is to detect lung function impairments that may increase the risk of pulmonary barotraumas during the ascent, a rare but potentially serious accident among divers [9]. Recent studies have shown changes in some lung function parameters of professional divers: increase of lung volumes [10]; small airways disease [11, 12]; decrease of the transfer factor for carbon monoxide (TLCO) [12, 13]. The purpose of this article was to review the literature on changes in professional divers’ PFT during active working in their job over several years.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a review of the literature. Search has been done on the medical databases Medline®, Embase®, and on the site of Medicina Maritima® journal. The search was made with English, French and Spanish search terms. The key words in English were: “Respiratory Function Tests” [Mesh], “diver*”, “dive”, “dive*”, “diving”, “professional”. In French, each term was used in the singular and the plural: «plongeur», «plongeur professionnel», «exploration fonctionnelle respiratoire», «fonction respiratoire». And in Spanish, each term was used in the singular and plural: «buzo», «profesional», «funcion pulmonar». “AND” and “OR” functions were used (Fig. 1).

All articles that studied professional divers’ PFT (cohort and case-control studies) and published between 01.01.1984 and 07.01.2014 were included. Articles about recreational divers were excluded.

Results of PFT were analysed comparatively. The dive profiles (depth, duration, number of dives per year), the biometric data, and the socio-professional data were described. For forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1), a predicted value was performed according a decrease of 0.03 L per year [14, 15].

RESULTS

Overall, the PFT of professional divers were studied in 14 articles in the literature (Table 1) [12, 13, 16–26]. Studies of Lucas et al. [12] and Pougnet et al. [13] were both conducted in Brittany, France, in the same centre, but divers were not the same persons. Measuring devices and PFT laboratory, however, were the same and therefore the results were fully comparable.

SUMMARY OF THE STUDIES

Study of Watt [16]. In 1984, Watt conducted a longitudinal study on PFT changes in commercial divers who had attended a medical examination for offshore diving over periods of three to 9 years. Two groups of divers were made: first group with records over a 3- or 4-year interval and second group with records over an interval of 5 years or longer. In both groups, there was a significant decrease in FEV1 and forced vital capacity (FVC). There was no correlation with the diver’s age, maximum operating depth, duration of diving career, or weight change (Table 2).

Study of Thorsen et al. [11]. Twenty-four Norwegian divers practiced 1 deep dive. The maximal pressure was 3.1–4.6 MPa. All of them had a medical examination 1 year after the deep dive and 22 of them were re-examined.
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Table 1. Studies, type of studies, types of divers, number of divers, interval for re-examination and number of smokers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Articles</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Type of divers</th>
<th>Age — Mean (SD or range)</th>
<th>Number of divers</th>
<th>Interval for re-examination</th>
<th>Smokers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watt [16]</td>
<td>Longitudinal prospective study</td>
<td>Commercial divers</td>
<td>30 (range 22–45)</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>3 or 4 years, More 5 years</td>
<td>34.8%, 33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorsen et al. [15]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>Saturation divers</td>
<td>30.3 (SD 4.5)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1 and 4 years, 1 and 3 years</td>
<td>21%, 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bermon et al. [17]</td>
<td>Longitudinal prospective study</td>
<td>Firemen divers</td>
<td>33.1 (SD 5.7)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzpatrick and Conkin [18]</td>
<td>Longitudinal prospective study</td>
<td>Divers who supported astronaut training</td>
<td>31 (range 21–45)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1 and 3 years</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teztlaff et al. [19]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>Military scuba divers</td>
<td>28.4 (SD 6.4)</td>
<td>468 divers</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skogstad et al. [22–24]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>24.6 (SD 4.2)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3 years, 6 years, 12 years</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas et al. [12]</td>
<td>Longitudinal retrospective study</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>40.4 (–)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chong et al. [25]</td>
<td>Longitudinal retrospective study</td>
<td>Divers of Republic of Singapore Navy</td>
<td>31.26 (SD 5.34)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sames et al. [26]</td>
<td>Longitudinal retrospective study</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>35.6 (range 18–65)</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>5.6 years</td>
<td>9.8%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pougnet et al. [13]</td>
<td>Longitudinal retrospective study</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>42 (range 33–64)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5 years, 10 years</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*7.4% ex-smokers; SD — standard deviation

4 years after the deep dive. They were compared with 28 professional divers. For them, the maximal pressure was 0.8–1.6 MPa. For all divers (n = 52), the mean annual reduction in FEV₁ was significantly higher than the predicted annual loss: 82 (SD 61) mL per year vs. 34 mL per year (p < 0.01). The most important decrease was observed at the first medical examination in the deep diver population (n = 24) 1 year after the deep dive: loss of 210 mL FEV₁ (SD 84). The decrease in FEV₁ in deep divers group over the 3 following years was similar to the decrease in FEV₁ in professional divers group over the 3 years of follow-up.

The decrease in forced expiratory flows (FEF) at 50% of FVC expired (FEF₅₀%), FEF₇₅%, and FEF₂₅–₇₅% were significantly different from predicted and reference values.

Study of Bermon et al. [17]. This was a longitudinal prospective study of 20 firemen-divers with 2 PFT separated by 9 years. They were all non-smokers and males. There were significant decreases in FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC ratio, FEF₅₀% inspiratory capacity and maximal voluntary ventilation (Table 2).

Study of Fitzpatrick and Conkin [18]. This study, performed in 2003, examined changes in pulmonary function in 43 working divers breathing a 46% oxygen enriched mixture while diving at depths less than 12 m, between initial values and 3 year evolution values [18]. When results were given in per cent of predicted value, there was a significant increase in FVC and FEV₁ (p < 0.01). The increases in FVC and FEV₁ were also significant when expressed in absolute values (Table 2). The authors thought these increase were probably due to a training effect.

Studies of Tetzlaff et al. [19, 20]. In 2005, Tetzlaff et al. performed a retrospective study of 39 oxygen divers. The decreases in FVC and FEV₁ were not significant [19]. In 2006, Tetzlaff et al. performed a prospective controlled cohort study of 468 military scuba divers [20]. They were followed up on 5 years on average (Table 2). A control group with 122 subjects was made. There was no significant difference in the decline of FEV₁ between divers and control subjects (p = 0.32). Over time, FEV₁ declined more rapidly in smokers than in non-smokers (p = 0.006) and declined more rapidly
Table 2. Evolution of the ventilatory function of professional divers for each study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watt [16] Initial value 3–4 year evolution</td>
<td>5.56 &amp; -0.24**</td>
<td>4.51 &amp; -0.12**</td>
<td>81.4 &amp; 0.6**</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watt [16] Initial value 5–9 year evolution</td>
<td>5.57 &amp; -0.40**</td>
<td>4.49 &amp; -0.27**</td>
<td>80.9 &amp; 1**</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bermon et al. [17] Initial value 9 year evolution</td>
<td>5.85 &amp; -0.16**</td>
<td>4.60 &amp; -0.31**</td>
<td>78.78 &amp; -3.21**</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>4.75 &amp; -0.79**</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>7.29 &amp; -0.01</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzpatrick and Conkin [18] Initial value 3 year evolution</td>
<td>5.12 &amp; 0.32**</td>
<td>4.11 &amp; 0.23*</td>
<td>80.46% &amp; 0.92%</td>
<td>9.69 &amp; 0.40</td>
<td>7.89 &amp; 0.39</td>
<td>5.17 &amp; 0.95</td>
<td>4.29 &amp; -0.09</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teztlaff et al. [20] Initial value 5 year evolution</td>
<td>5.89 &amp; -0.02</td>
<td>4.86 &amp; -0.03</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stogstad et al. [21–24] Initial value 3 year evolution</td>
<td>6.23 &amp; +0.09</td>
<td>5.15 &amp; -0.06</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>9.86 &amp; -0.06</td>
<td>6.12 &amp; -0.43*</td>
<td>2.58 &amp; -0.08*</td>
<td>5.20 &amp; -0.36*</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>14.2 &amp; -0.08*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stogstad et al. [21–24] Initial value 6 year evolution</td>
<td>-0.13*</td>
<td>-0.17*</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>-0.48*</td>
<td>-0.45*</td>
<td>-0.38*</td>
<td>-0.21*</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>-1.1*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stogstad et al. [21–24] Initial value 12 year evolution</td>
<td>6.16 &amp; -0.10</td>
<td>5.11 &amp; -0.49</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>2.55 &amp; -0.71</td>
<td>5.21 &amp; -0.67**</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>13.82 &amp; -3.57**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas et al. [12] Initial value 5 year evolution</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>4.54 &amp; -0.07</td>
<td>80.9 &amp; -3.07*</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>5.4 &amp; -0.16</td>
<td>1.98 &amp; -0.27**</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>5.73 &amp; 0.13</td>
<td>7.39 &amp; 11.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas et al. [12] Initial value 5 year evolution</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>5.61 &amp; -0.02</td>
<td>81.8 &amp; -1.4</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>8.80 &amp; 0.17</td>
<td>2.12 &amp; -0.38*</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>5.61 &amp; -0.07</td>
<td>7.25 &amp; 10.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pougnet et al. [13] Initial value 5 year evolution</td>
<td>6.08 &amp; -1.41</td>
<td>5.61 &amp; -0.02</td>
<td>81.8 &amp; -1.4</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>5.18 &amp; -0.23</td>
<td>2.12 &amp; -0.38*</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>5.61 &amp; -0.07</td>
<td>7.25 &amp; 10.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pougnet et al. [13] 10 year evolution</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.57 &amp; -3.4**</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>0.07 &amp; -0.51**</td>
<td>-0.65**</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>-0.04 &amp; 0.32*</td>
<td>-1.16*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorsen et al. [15] Deep divers initial value 4 year evolution</td>
<td>106% &amp; -0.080 L</td>
<td>101% &amp; -0.294 L</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>102% &amp; -62 mL/s</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>84% &amp; -0.60 L/s</td>
<td>76% &amp; -0.46 L/s</td>
<td>85% &amp; -1.10 L/s</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>107% &amp; -0.91 mmol/min/kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorsen et al. [15] Divers initial value 3 year evolution</td>
<td>104% &amp; -0.036 L</td>
<td>97% &amp; -0.105 L</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>99% &amp; +123 mL/s</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>81% &amp; -0.348 L/s</td>
<td>72% &amp; -0.303 L/s</td>
<td>82% &amp; -0.312 L/s</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>104% &amp; -0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chong et al. [25] Initial value 5 year evolution</td>
<td>86.1% &amp; 3.4**</td>
<td>87.2% &amp; 3.0**</td>
<td>87.0% &amp; -2.0**</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sames et al.* [26] Over 5.6 years</td>
<td>-0.41 &amp; -1.51*</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>-2.65*</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td>NE &amp; NE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01

FEV<sub>1</sub> — forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FEF — forced expiratory flows; FVC — forced vital capacity; PEF — peak-flow; SVC — effectively slow vital capacity; TLC — total lung capacity; TLCO — transfer factor for carbon monoxide; NE — no evaluation
also in subjects with a baseline FEV₁ above average compared to subjects with FEV₁ below average (p < 0.001).

**Studies of Skogstad et al. [21–24].** In 1999, Skogstad et al. [21] performed a cross-sectional study with 1-year follow-up. The authors included 87 men early in their training as professional divers and studied lung volumes, bronchial flows and TLCO [22, 23]. The lung volumes were vital capacity (VC), FVC and total lung capacity (TLC). The bronchial flows were FEV₁, FEF at 75%, 50% and 25% of FVC (FEF<sub>75%</sub>, FEF<sub>50%</sub> and FEF<sub>25%</sub>, respectively) and FEF between 25% and 75% of FVC (FEF<sub>25–75%</sub>). After 3 years, there was a significant reduction in FEV₁, the FEF<sub>25–75%</sub> and FEF<sub>25%</sub> respectively, in mean (SD): 1.8% (6.5), 6.5% (11.7) and 10.4% (16.8) (Table 2).

After 6 years of monitoring, the results showed an annual reduction of FVC and FEV₁, in mean (SD): 0.91% (1.22) and 0.84% (1.28) per year and per professional diver [24]. For FEF<sub>25%</sub> and FEF<sub>75%</sub> reduction was related to the cumulative number of dives (p < 0.05) (Table 2). The authors also compared with a group of policemen who did not dive. The decrease in TL<sub>CO</sub>, FVC and FEV₁ was significantly higher among professional divers.

In 2008, 37 divers still belonged to the cohort [24]. They were therefore examined 4 times during 12 years of follow-up. The results showed a significant decrease in TL<sub>CO</sub>, FEF<sub>25%</sub>, FEF<sub>25–75%</sub>, FEV₁ and FVC (Table 2). The effect of the total number of dives was important for FEF<sub>25–75%</sub> (p = 0.029).

**Study of Lucas et al. [12].** In 2005, the authors retrospectively analysed 31 professional divers monitored in the medical centre of Brest (Table 2) [12]. They included divers who performed the initial medical examination and were followed for 5 years in this Brest centre. Divers may have different professions in addition to diving activity: fishermen, coast guard officers, or scientists. FEF<sub>75%</sub> decreased significantly (p = 0.006). There was a correlation between the decrease in FEF<sub>75%</sub> and depth of dives. In addition, this study showed a decrease in TL<sub>CO</sub> (p = 0.02), and this decrease was correlated with the number of dives.

**Study of Chong et al. [25].** This was a retrospective study based on the spirometric results of divers in the navy of Singapore Republic. The divers were included during their annual recertification in 2001 and in 2006. The results were given in per cent of predicted value. FEV₁ and FVC improved significantly (p < 0.01). But, there was a statistically significant decrease in FEV₁/FVC ratio. There was no correlation between these values and years of service or smoking history (Table 2) [25].

**Study of Sames et al. [26].** In 2009, Sames et al. [26] conducted a retrospective study to analyse the PFT for 336 divers [26]. The divers who were currently registered with the regulator, the New Zealand Department of Labour, and who had completed at least 2 ‘full’ dive medical examinations, including spirometry, with an interim period of at least 5 years, were included. The authors used 4 prediction methods: NHANES III (Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey), Knudson, WRS (Wellington Respiratory Survey), Gore. They analysed the correlation between variables by univariate Pearson correlation coefficients and multiple linear regression analyses. The results showed decreases in FEV₁ (0.27% against predicted per annum, p = 0.02) and peak-flow (0.47% per annum, p = 0.04) using the NHANES III equations (Table 2). The results revealed no significant differences in lung function parameters when the group was stratified for age and years of diving experience.

**Study of Pougnet et al. [13].** In 2013, Pougnet et al. [13] also conducted a retrospective analysis of PFT for 33 professional divers followed in the medical centre of Brest. The included divers had the initial examination, the 5 year examination and the 10 year medical examination in this medical centre. Like the study of Lucas et al. [12], divers may have different professions in addition to diving activity (Table 1). Then the average differences of each parameter (between initial and 5 year medical examination and between initial and 10 year medical examination) were compared to 0 (null hypothesis: no change of the parameter) using a Student’s t test. Each subject acted as his own control. Correlations were tested using a Spearman correlation coefficient.

Divers did no recreational diving before starting professional diving. Divers surveyed were not exposed to pulmonary toxic gases. The results after 10 years of professional diving showed a decrease of FEF<sub>75%</sub> (–0.65 L/s) (p < 0.01) and FEF<sub>50%</sub> (–0.51 L/s) (p < 0.01) (Table 2). In addition, there was a decrease in FEV₁/VC (–3.4) (p < 0.01). Nevertheless no diver had obstructive ventilatory disorder. TLC increased (0.32 L) (p = 0.03). TL<sub>CO</sub> decreased (–1.16 mmol/min/kPa) (p = 0.02); this decrease was independent of smoking. Smoking was significantly correlated with changes in the slow VC (R = 0.89, p < 0.01). Changes in FEV₁/VC ratio were correlated with the average duration of dives (R = –0.42, p = 0.04).

**FOCUS ON SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS**

For lung volumes, 4 studies showed a significant decrease in FVC [16–18].

For airway obstruction, 7 studies showed a significant decrease in FEV₁ [15–18, 25, 26]. Only studies of Thorsen et al. [13], Fitzpatrick and Conkin [15] and Pougnet et al. [18] showed a decrease greater than the decrease due to age (Table 3). For Skogstad et al. [21–24], it was not significant after age-standardisation. Thorsen et al. [15] made an
Table 3. Decrease of FEV₁ in the study and calculated decrease according Quanjer 1983

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Decrease of FEV₁ in the study</th>
<th>Predicted decrease of FEV₁</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watt [16]</td>
<td>0.12 in 3–4 years</td>
<td>0.09–0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.27 in 5–9 years</td>
<td>0.15–0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bermon et al. [17]</td>
<td>0.31 in 9 years</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzpatrick and Conkin [18]</td>
<td>0.23 in 3 years</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teztlaff et al. [19, 20]</td>
<td>0.03 in 3 years</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stogstad and Skare [24]</td>
<td>0.49 in 12 years</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas et al. [12]</td>
<td>0.07 in 5 years</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pougnet et al. [13]</td>
<td>0.70 in 10 years</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*After adjustment with age, it was not significative; FEV₁ — forced expiratory volume in 1 second.

age-standardisation and the decrease was significant. So, the effect of age and tobacco need be considered (Table 3). The FEF₂₅% decreased significantly after 5 and 10 years in 2 studies [12, 13]. In 3 studies the FEF₅₀% decreased significantly between 3 and 10 years [13, 15, 17].

For TL̂ CO decreased in significant in 5 studies [12, 13, 21–24].

DISCUSSION

The literature showed few data available about the changes of professional divers’ PFT. Peripheral bronchial flows were reduced in the early years of professional diving, especially FEF₇₅%. After 10 or 12 years, this decrease was in the larger size bronchi: FEF₅₀% and FEF₂₅–₇₅% decrease. TL̂ CO decreased after 3 or 5 years, depending on studies. Moreover, smoking did not seem to be the cause of this decrease.

The interest was to analyse articles from several medical databases and published in several languages. Thus, the main sources in the areas of maritime and hyperbaric medicine were considered. One might think that the limitation of this review is the lack of statistical analysis with a virtual population from different items. Indeed, a meta-analysis would have given a larger sample. However, given that the intervals between medical examination and measuring devices used were different, such an analysis would have induced bias of measurement and classification. Therefore, this methodology has not been selected.

There were several limitations to these studies in relation to professional divers’ PFT. First, the samples were small to study TL̂ CO (33 and 37 divers after 10 and 12 years). Indeed, studies were conducted on populations which may move or change their jobs, so that there were many patients lost for follow-up. Or it could also be a healthy worker effect: divers experiencing difficulties when breathing would then stop professional diving. Their careers should be more documented, in particular the reasons why they stopped diving. Second, regarding methodologies, few studies had a non-diving control group [20, 23]. Moreover, several confounding effects disturbed results. Indeed, professional divers could make several exposures. However, the Pougnet et al. [13] study took into account this possibility. In this study, divers were not exposed to other lung toxic substances. In addition, smoking was taken into account in the statistical analysis and the decrease of TL̂ CO was not related to this addiction.

Finally, some data were not in the literature. For instance, there was no study comparing professional divers and recreational divers. Or, the detailed analysis of air mixtures used and labour exposures was incomplete. Moreover, the parameters of dives were often poor. For example, a few parameters were not studied, like temperature of water. But this parameter can affect lung function [27]. Another example, Thorsen et al. [15] showed that the changes in PFT are influenced by the depth of dives. More, a common limitation of these studies was the lack of information about cumulative diving exposure.

The analysis of professional divers’ PFT changes accorded with that of recreational divers. For example, the decline in FEF₇₅% has already been described in recreational divers [11, 28, 29]. This seems to be related with the development of a small airways disease. In the literature, the effect of smoking on the PFT is shown [30]. For instance, Sekulic et al. [31] showed that smoking is correlated with large lung volume for student divers in a military centre. However, in this literature, the influence of smoking varied from one study to another. Larger samples may allow a better analysis of the possible link between diving and tobacco.

There were 4 studies which showed a significantly decrease in FVC. The analysis of this parameter is difficult, because it exists a decrease in people who are 30 years and older, whereas an increase is shown before this time [32, 33].
CONCLUSIONS

Professional divers' PFT is supposed to get lower if they are exposed to hyperbaric environment for many years. In all studies, TL CO decreased from the first years of practice. It was the same for peripheral bronchial flow, especially for FEF75%. Some data were not studied in the literature, including the comparison of effects between recreational diving and professional diving, or the analysis of working conditions.
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