

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.



P O L I S H G Y N E C O L O G Y

# GINEKOLOGIA

## POLSKA

ORGAN POLSKIEGO TOWARZYSTWA GINEKOLOGICZNEGO  
THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE POLISH GYNECOLOGICAL SOCIETY

ISSN: 0017-0011

e-ISSN: 2543-6767

## Evaluation of serum levels of soluble (s)L- and (s)P-selectins in endometrial cancer

**Authors:** Dominika Majchrzak-Baczmanska, Krzysztof Pogoda, Anna Oblekowska, Dariusz Owczarek, Beata Antosiak, Michal Wojciechowski, Agnieszka Wosiak, Andrzej Malinowski

**DOI:** 10.5603/GP.a2023.0056

**Article type:** Research paper

**Submitted:** 2021-01-29

**Accepted:** 2023-03-05

**Published online:** 2023-07-05

This article has been peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance. It is an open access article, which means that it can be downloaded, printed, and distributed freely, provided the work is properly cited.

Articles in "Ginekologia Polska" are listed in PubMed.



**Evaluation of serum levels of soluble (s)L- and (s)P-selectins in endometrial cancer**

Dominika Majchrzak-Baczmanska<sup>1,2</sup>, Krzysztof Pogoda<sup>2</sup>, Anna Oblekowska<sup>2</sup>, Dariusz Owczarek<sup>2</sup>, Beata Antosiak<sup>1</sup>, Michal Wojciechowski<sup>1,2</sup>, Agnieszka Wosiak<sup>3</sup>, Andrzej Malinowski<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Lodz Medical University, Poland*

<sup>2</sup>*The Department of Surgical, Endoscopic and Oncologic Gynecology, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital — Research Institute, Lodz, Poland*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute of Information Technology, Lodz University of Technology, Poland*

**Short title:** sL- and sP-selectins in endometrial cancer

**Corresponding author:**

Dominika Majchrzak-Baczmanska

Lodz Medical University, Al. Kościuszki 4, 90–419 Lodz, Poland

e-mail: [majchrzak.dominika@gmail.com](mailto:majchrzak.dominika@gmail.com)

**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives:** A number of reports on the role of selectin in the process of carcinogenesis, at the stage of proliferation and metastasis, have been available.

The aim of the study was to analyze (s)P- and (s)L-selectin serum concentrations in women with EC and to compare these concentrations to clinical/pathological parameters and disease progression using surgical-pathological staging data.

**Material and methods:** A total of 46 patients with EC and 50 healthy controls were included in the study. Serum concentrations of sL- and sP-selectins were measured in all participants. The oncologic protocol was implemented in all women from the study group.

**Results:** Significantly higher serum concentrations were found in EC women as compared to controls. No statistically significant differences were found between the concentrations of the soluble forms of selectins and the following parameters: histologic type of EC, histologic tumor differentiation, depth of myometrial infiltration, cervical involvement, distant

metastases, vascular space invasion, and disease advancement. Slightly higher (s)P-selectin concentrations were observed in serous carcinoma, in women with cervical involvement, in the sera of women with vascular space invasion and with advanced stages of the disease. Slightly higher mean (s)P-selectin concentrations correlated with lower differentiation of the tumor. Slightly higher mean (s)P-selectin concentration was detected in the sera of women with lymph node metastases and with the serosal and/or adnexal involvement. The results were statistically insignificant, but they almost reached statistical significance.

**Conclusions:** L- and P-selectins play a role in the biology of EC. The absence of an unambiguous relationship between differences in (s)L- and (s)P-selectin levels and disease advancement suggests that they do not play a vital role in tumor progression in endometrial cancer.

**Key words:** endometrial cancer; L-selectin; P-selectin

## INTRODUCTION

L- and P-selectins (CD62) are members of the family of calcium-dependent cell adhesion molecules which mediate the specific reactions between endothelial cells, leukocytes, and blood platelets [1, 2]. In the body, selectins may take two different forms: transmembrane proteins, which are anchored to the cell membrane and function as membrane receptors, and soluble proteins, without the transmembrane fragment, which are released into the circulation and found in serum, plasma, and other body fluids [1, 3].

A number of reports about selectin participation in the process of carcinogenesis, at the stage of proliferation and metastasis, have been published. According to some studies, selectins may play an important role in the formation of metastases at the stage of trapping and vascularization of cancer cells in the targeted metastatic tissues. Most analyses of serum (s)P- and (s)L-selectin concentrations found elevated levels in the sera of patients with various malignancies: colorectal, breast, ovarian and lung cancer, malignant melanoma, acute myeloid leukemia, and others. To the best of our knowledge, only one publication on the role of (s)L-selectin in the biology of endometrial cancer (EC) and no reports on the role of (s)P-selectin in the oncogenesis of endometrial cancer have been published [1, 4].

## Objectives

The aim of the study was to evaluate (s)P- and (s)L-selectin serum concentrations in women with endometrial cancer, and to analyze their concentrations as compared to

clinical/pathological parameters and disease progression using surgical-pathological staging data.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A total of 96 women (46 with EC — study group and 50 controls with no malignancies: uterine myomas, pelvic organ prolapses, benign ovarian cysts — control group), hospitalized at the Clinic of Surgical, Endoscopic and Oncologic Gynecology, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital, Łódź between 2013–2015, were included in the study. Serum (s)L- and (s)P-selectin levels were measured in all patients 2 days preoperatively. The Local Ethics Committee approved of the study (no: 71/2012). The oncologic protocol was implemented in all participants from the study group.

Pelvic lymph node dissection was performed in 40 women. Internal, external, and obturator iliac lymph nodes were dissected. The surgery was abandoned in six women due to poor overall health condition or very low risk of lymph node involvement based on the pre- and mid-surgery evaluation. The 2009 International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging classification was used to determine disease advancement.

History of chemotherapy or radiotherapy due to malignant neoplasms, history of any malignancy, recurrent endometrial cancer, and hormonal therapy use (pharmacological forms of female sex hormones, *i.e.*, contraceptives, HRT) constituted the exclusion criteria.

Immunoenzymatic analysis of serum (s)L- and (s)P-selectin concentration

In the morning, 5 ml of fasting blood samples were drawn from the ulnar vein into plastic tubes without anticoagulants and transported to the laboratory within one hour of collection. Next, the samples were centrifuged at  $1500 \times g$  for 10 min., and the serum was gently separated, divided into portions, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until used.

The sL- and sP-selectin concentrations were tested with immunoenzymatic ELISA using commercially available kits (R&D): Human sL-Selectin Immunoassay (sensitivity: 0.5ng/m), Human sP-Selectin Immunoassay (sensitivity: 0.5 ng/mL), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm in the ELx 808 reader. The results are expressed as ng/mL.

### **Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the relationships between the variables: measure of location (mean, median), distribution (standard deviation), and asymmetry (asymmetry index) for quantitative variables and percentage for qualitative variables.

Statistical analyses were carried out using the following tests:

- Student t to test quantitative parameters between 2 groups with normal distribution and homogeneity of variance (normality of distribution was tested using W Shapiro-Wilk test, Levene's and Brown-Forsythe tests were used to test homogeneity of variance);
- Cochran and Cox to test quantitative parameters with normal distribution but lacking homogeneity of variance;
- Mann-Whitney to test quantitative parameters between 2 groups with non-normal distribution or groups with statistically significantly different sample size (Chi<sup>2</sup> test was used to check equality of group proportions);
- ANOVA rang Kruskal-Wallis to test quantitative parameters between more than two groups with non-normal distribution or groups with statistically significantly different sample size (Chi<sup>2</sup> test was used to check equality of group proportions);
- Independent Pearson's chi<sup>2</sup> to compare qualitative variables between two groups.

STATISTICA 6.0, with  $\alpha = 0.05$  for statistical significance and  $p < 0.05$  for the probability of error, was used for statistical analysis.

## RESULTS

Statistical analysis of patient characteristics (study group and controls) is presented in Table 1. Clinical-Pathological characteristics of the study group are presented in Table 2. In the study group, 42 women were diagnosed with endometrial endometrioid adenocarcinoma and four with endometrial serous carcinoma. The tumor was well-differentiated (G1) in 19, moderately differentiated (G2) in 18, and poorly differentiated (G3) in nine women. Out of the 40 women who underwent lymphadenectomy, lymph node metastases were detected in 4 (10%) patients. Thirty (65.22%) women were diagnosed with FIGO stage I, 8 (17.39%) with stage II, 4 (8.70%) with stage III, and 4 (8.70%) with stage IV advancement (Tab. 2).

Statistically significantly elevated serum concentrations were found in the women with EC as compared to controls (Tab. 3). No statistically significant differences were found between the concentrations of the soluble forms of selectins and the following parameters: histologic type of EC, histologic tumor differentiation, depth of myometrial infiltration, cervical involvement, distant metastases, vascular space invasion, and disease advancement

(Tab. 4–12). However, slightly higher (s)P-selectin concentrations were observed in serous carcinoma, in women with cervical involvement, in the sera of women with vascular space invasion and with advanced stages of the disease (FIGO III and IV) (Tab. 4, 7, 11, 12). Slightly higher mean (s)P-selectin concentrations correlated with lower differentiation of the tumor (Tab. 5). Also, slightly higher mean (s)P-selectin concentration was detected in the sera of women with lymph node metastases and with the serosal and/or adnexal involvement. The results were statistically insignificant, but they almost reached statistical significance (Tab. 8–10).

Slightly higher (s)L-selectin concentrations correlated with lower differentiation of the tumor, disease advancement, tumor infiltration of over 50% of the myometrial thickness, vascular space involvement and distant metastases (Tab. 5, 6, 9, 11, 12). In case of serosal and/or adnexal involvement as well as lymph node metastases, the results were similar, if not identical, in both groups (Tab. 8 and 10).

## **DISCUSSION**

In this study, statistically significantly higher concentrations of (s)L- and (s)P-selectin were found in the sera of women with EC as compared to controls. To the best of our knowledge, this has been the first attempt to assess serum (s)P-selectin levels in women with EC.

It is believed that elevated serum (s)P-selectin level in cancer patients may reflect platelet activation resulting from their interaction with tumor cells, which may in fact indicate the induction of the metastatic process. After platelet activation and degranulation, P-selectin expression on the surface of the thrombocytes is transient, whereas its soluble form is released and may be detected in the serum. Therefore, (s)P-selectin evaluation is a superior indicator of thrombocyte activation compared to the expression of its transmembrane form [1, 5].

Data on the role of P-selectin in endometrial cancer pathogenesis are relatively scarce, not to mention that so far only its transmembrane form and the presence of its ligands have been investigated. Thrombocytosis and thrombosis are common complications of malignant tumors, which is the basis of the hypothesis supporting the role of tumor cell-platelet interaction in the process of metastasis formation. P-selectin has been proposed as the indicator of that process [6, 7]. According to the available literature, P- and L-selectin may bind to sulfatides located not only on the surface of granulocytes but also tumor cells [8, 9]. Various studies confirmed a positive correlation between high levels of sulfatides and unfavorable prognosis in patients with ovarian and colorectal cancer. Also, sulfatide

overexpression was observed in tissue preparations of highly differentiated EC [10]. Various malignancies have been demonstrated to express the CD24 molecule, which is a ligand for P-selectin. P-selectin binds to the CD24 on the surface of the tumor cells, causing tumor cell adhesion to the endothelium, and, consequently, metastatic spread [11, 12].

Soluble P-selectin was assessed in other malignant tumors, e.g., ovarian, colorectal, lung cancer, myeloma and melanoma. Elevated (s)P-selectin levels were found in the sera of patients with malignant process as compared to controls, as was the case in our study [1, 5–7, 10, 14–16]. Also, a relationship between serum (s)P-selectin level and disease advancement and distant metastases in patients with myeloma, melanoma, colorectal and lung cancer was reported [5, 15–18]. These findings might support the hypothesis about the role of the interaction between tumor cells with thrombocytes in the formation of metastatic foci [5]. In this study, higher (s)P-selectin concentrations in the sera of patients with endometrial serous cancer with unfavorable prognosis, as compared to endometrioid endometrial cancer, were found, although the difference was not statistically significant. Endometrial serous carcinoma is typically associated with unfavorable prognosis, a tendency for deeper myometrial involvement, metastases, and recurrence, which might be suggestive of a relationship between elevated serum (s)P-selectin concentrations and unfavorable prognostic factors, especially in that type of cancer. We detected increased (s)P-selectin levels in the sera of women with EC with cervical and serosal/adnexal involvement, lymph node and distant metastasis, as well as vascular space involvement. The results were statistically insignificant although they almost reached statistical significance in case of serosal/adnexal involvement and lymph node involvement. Also, we observed a relationship between higher (s)P-selectin concentration and lower tumor differentiation and higher disease advancement. These findings may support the hypothesis about the role of that molecule in the process of carcinogenesis in EC patients, especially at the stage of progression to an invasive phenotype and formation of metastatic foci and suggest a link between (s)P-selectin and negative prognostic factors. Furthermore, elevated (s)P-selectin in the sera of women with EC may reflect the biological potential of the tumor to metastasize.

In this study, statistically significantly higher concentrations of (s)L-selectin were detected in EC women as compared to controls. Similar results were reported by various authors who investigated (s)L-selectin levels in the sera of patients with other malignancies [1, 15, 19–21, 23–25]. L-selectin is present on the surface of all forms of leukocytes: T lymphocyte, monocyte, granulocyte subpopulations. It binds leukocytes and endothelial cells of the lymphatic vessels. (s)L-selectin detachment from the leukocyte surface is believed to be

the regulatory mechanism of expressions and function of the transmembrane form of that molecule. Studies showed that (s)L-selectin detachment results in its decreased expression on the surface of the leukocytes. In consequence, the ability of neutrophils to migrate to the site of inflammation and bind to the microvascular endothelium of the lymph nodes is markedly decreased. A release of soluble (s)L-selectin from the surface of the leukocytes regulates their activity and modulates the inflammatory response [1]. Serum (s)L-selectin levels have not often been investigated in patients with malignant tumors. The exact role of (s)L-selectin in the process of carcinogenesis remains to be fully elucidated.

Czygier et al. [19], reported higher serum sL-selectin levels in women with early-stage breast cancer (grade I and II) as compared to healthy controls. However, these authors also found lowered serum concentration of (s)L-selectin in patients with advanced breast cancer (grade III and IV) and reported a further drop in its concentration after chemotherapy [26], which they believed resulted from fewer granulocytes and their deteriorated function caused by advanced-stage malignancy and chemotherapy [26]. Elevated serum (s)L-selectin levels were also detected in patients with acute myeloid and lymphoblastic leukemia before treatment and during disease recurrence, as well as an increase in sL-selectin concentrations which corresponded with tumor progression, and its decrease in patients with remission [20, 23, 25]. Notably, Czygier et al. [4], have been the first to measure (s)L-selectin concentrations in patients with endometrial and cervical cancer. They found statistically significantly lower (s)L-selectin levels in blood samples of these cancer patients as compared to controls, which they claimed was the consequence of the disruption of neutrophil rolling [4]. However, the expression of the transmembrane form and decreased ability of the leukocytes to migrate are lowered as the results of (s)L-selectin release, which makes it difficult to accept their interpretation of the results.

In this study, slightly lowered concentrations of (s)L-selectin, which correlated with lower tumor differentiation in EC women, were observed. Also, we detected slightly lowered levels of (s)L-selectin in the sera of women with myometrial invasion of > 50% and distant metastases, as well as in cases with vascular space involvement, and advanced stages of endometrial cancer (FIGO III and IV), although the results lacked statistical significance. Our findings may indicate that at the beginning of EC carcinogenesis the release of (s)L-selectin from leukocyte surface is intensified, which in turn leads to decreased expression of its transmembrane form and hinders the ability of the neutrophils to migrate to tumor site. One possible explanation is that this is how the tumor evades immune surveillance and protects itself against the attack from the immune system.

Leukocyte recruitment to the metastatic foci depends on the activity of L-selectin, whose absence leads to attenuation of metastasis [4]. Therefore, oncogenic progression is associated with gradual decrease of (s)L-selectin release in order to increase the expression of its transmembrane form and restore leukocyte migration. In that way, leukocytes might promote survival of the tumor cells located in the vessels, aid penetration of the endothelial barrier, and facilitate the formation of metastatic foci [27, 28]. L-selectin has been known to promote the survival of tumor cells in the circulation already 12–24 hours after they entered the bloodstream. Leukocytes which demonstrate L-selectin expression may help tumor cells penetrate the endothelial barrier and facilitate metastatic spread [28]. L-selectin expression on the surface of white-blood cells might create a favorable microenvironment for the metastatic cells by activating the inflammatory process [27, 28]. Additionally, by blocking the activity of L- and P-selectin, heparin inhibits the process of distant metastases formation in malignant carcinomas [4]. Similarly, in breast cancer, chemically modified heparin, by blocking L-selectin, inhibits tumor cell adhesion which prevents the formation of metastatic foci [29]. That hypothesis has been supported by the findings of Czygier et al., who reported elevated levels of (s)L-selectin in the sera of women with early-stage breast cancer and decreased serum (s)L-selectin concentrations in the patients with advanced stages of the disease (grade III and IV) [19, 26].

## CONCLUSIONS

1. Differences in serum (s)L- and (s)P-selectin levels in women with EC versus controls indicate that L- and P-selectins play a role in the biology of endometrial cancer.
2. The absence of an unambiguous relationship between differences in (s)L- and (s)P-selectin levels and disease advancement suggests that they do not play a vital role in tumor progression in endometrial cancer.

**Table 1.** Statistical analysis of the patient characteristics (study group and controls)

| Parameter  | Study group<br>(n = 46) | Control<br>Group<br>(n = 50) | Test             | p        |
|------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------|
| <b>Age</b> |                         |                              | U M–W = –4.12874 | 0.000037 |
| Mean       | 63.15 years             | 55.04 years                  |                  |          |
| Min–max    | 37–82 years             | 45–70 years                  |                  |          |
| Median     | 62 years                | 54 years                     |                  |          |
| SD         | ± 10.77 years           | ± 6.70 years                 |                  |          |

|                             |                                  |                               |                  |          |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|
| <b>BMI</b>                  |                                  |                               | U M–W = –3.21602 |          |
| Mean                        | 32.12 kg/m <sup>2</sup>          | 27.51 kg/m <sup>2</sup>       |                  | 0.0013   |
| Min–max                     | 19.68–58.59<br>kg/m <sup>2</sup> | 20.55–40.04 kg/m <sup>2</sup> |                  |          |
| Median                      | 31.43 kg/m <sup>2</sup>          | 26.25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>       |                  |          |
| SD                          | ± 8.06 kg/m <sup>2</sup>         | ± 4.56 kg/m <sup>2</sup>      |                  |          |
| <b>Menopausal status</b>    |                                  |                               | Chi2Pearson =    |          |
| Post-menopausal             | 6 (13.04%)                       | 30 (60%)                      | 8.81567          | 0.002987 |
| Menstruating                | 40 (86.96%)                      | 20 (40%)                      |                  |          |
| <b>Parity</b>               |                                  |                               | Chi2Pearson =    |          |
| Nulliparas                  | 6 (13.04%)                       | 4 (8%)                        | 2.213570         | 0.33062  |
| Primiparas                  | 9 (19.57)                        | 16 (32%)                      |                  |          |
| Multiparas                  | 31(67.39%)                       | 30 (60%)                      |                  |          |
| <b>Concomitant diseases</b> |                                  |                               | Chi2Pearson =    |          |
| None                        |                                  |                               | 5.147132         | 0.16134  |
| Cardiovascular              | 16 (34.78%)                      | 27 (54%)                      |                  |          |
| Cardiovascular & DM         | 22 (47.83%)                      | 18 (36%)                      |                  |          |
| DM                          | 6 (13.04%)                       | 5 (10%)                       |                  |          |
|                             | 2 (4.35%)                        | 0 (0%)                        |                  |          |

SD — standard deviation; BMI — body mass index

**Table 2.** Clinical-pathological characteristics of the study group

| Parameter                                  | Study group II (n = 46) |
|--|-------------------------|
| Histologic type                            |                         |
| Endometrial endometrioid adenocarcinoma    | 42 (91.30%)             |
| Endometrial serous carcinoma               | 4 (8.70%)               |
| Histologic tumor differentiation (grading) |                         |
| G1   | 19 (41.30%)             |
| G2   | 18 (39.13%)             |
| G3   | 9 (19.57%)              |
| Depth of myometrial infiltration           |                         |

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| < 50%   | 27 (58.70%) |
| > 50%   | 19 (41.30%) |
| Cervical infiltration                               |             |
| Yes   | 14 (30.43%) |
| No  | 32 (69.57%) |
| Serosal and/or adnexal infiltration                 |             |
| Yes   | 4 (8.70%)   |
| No  | 42 (91.30%) |
| Distant metastases (no lymph node involvement)      |             |
| Yes   | 4 (8.70%)   |
| No  | 42 (91.30%) |
| Lymph node metastases (out of 40 lymphadenectomies) |             |
| Yes   | 4 (10%)     |
| No  | 36 (90%)    |
| Vascular space infiltration                         |             |
| Yes   | 3 (6.52%)   |
| No  | 43 (93.48%) |
| Disease advancement according to FIGO               |             |
| I   | 30 (65.22%) |
| II  | 8 (17.39%)  |
| III   | 4 (8.70%)   |
| IV  | 4 (8.70%)   |

FIGO — International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics

**Table 3.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group and controls

| sL-selectin [ng/mL]  | Control group | Study group |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Sample size          | 50            | 46          |
| Minimum              | 284           | 610         |
| Maximum              | 1000.00       | 1440        |
| Median               | 640           | 912         |
| Mean                 | 589.65        | 967.28      |
| Standard deviation   | 206.81        | 236.17      |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.34          | 0.44        |
| Statistical analysis |               |             |

|                      |  |             |
|----------------------|--|-------------|
|                      | T test (Cochran and Cox) = $-6.08474$ ; $p = 0.000001$<br>$p < 0.05$ |             |
| s P-selectin [ng/mL] | Control group  | Study group |
| Sample size          | 50   | 46          |
| Minimum              | 10.40  | 65.60       |
| Maximum              | 132  | 350.00      |
| Median               | 60.20  | 138.30      |
| Mean                 | 63.44  | 171.79      |
| Standard deviation   | 34.19  | 90.75       |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.39   | 0.93        |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = $-5.07319$ ; $p = 0.000000$<br>$p < 0.05$        |             |

**Table 4.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group versus histologic cancer type

|                      |  |                              |
|----------------------|--|------------------------------|
| sL-selectin          | Histologic cancer type   |                              |
|                      | Endometrial endometrioid adenocarcinoma                        | Endometrial serous carcinoma |
| Sample size          | 42   | 4                            |
| Minimum              | 610.00   | 656.00                       |
| Maximum              | 1140.00  | 1214.00                      |
| Median               | 888.00   | 936.00                       |
| Mean                 | 971.11   | 935.33                       |
| Standard deviation   | 236.90   | 279.00                       |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.50   | -0.01                        |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = $-0.148577$ ; $p = 0.881888$<br>$p > 0.05$ |                              |
| sP-selectin          | Histologic cancer type   |                              |
|                      | Endometrial endometrioid adenocarcinoma                        | Endometrial serous carcinoma |
| Sample size          | 42   | 4                            |
| Minimum              | 65.60  | 99.00                        |
| Maximum              | 349.60   | 350.00                       |
| Median               | 136.80   | 167.40                       |
| Mean                 | 167.75   | 205.47                       |
| Standard deviation   | 87.77  | 129.76                       |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.96   | 1.21                         |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = $-0.557086$ ; $p = 0.577469$<br>$p > 0.05$ |
|----------------------|--|

**Table 5.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group versus histologic tumor differentiation (grading) of endometrial cancer

| sL-selectin          | histologic tumor differentiation (grading)                       |         |         |
|----------------------|--|---------|---------|
|                      | G1   | G2      | G3      |
| Sample size          | 19   | 18      | 9       |
| Minimum              | 739.00   | 610.00  | 656.00  |
| Maximum              | 1422.00  | 1440.00 | 1214.00 |
| Median               | 914.00   | 986.00  | 824.00  |
| Mean                 | 1017.20  | 968.62  | 893.86  |
| Standard deviation   | 230.16   | 275.38  | 186.29  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.70   | 0.22    | 0.73    |
| Statistical analysis | ANOVA rang Kruskal-Wallis = 1.527614; $p = 0.4659$<br>$p > 0.05$ |         |         |
| sP-selectin          | Histologic tumor differentiation (grading)                       |         |         |
|                      | G1   | G2      | G3      |
| Sample size          | 19   | 18      | 9       |
| Minimum              | 84.20  | 65.60   | 99.00   |
| Maximum              | 212.20   | 349.60  | 350.00  |
| Median               | 130.50   | 156.20  | 167.40  |
| Mean                 | 141.42   | 170.79  | 216.74  |
| Standard deviation   | 43.72  | 105.58  | 108.25  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.70   | 0.76    | 0.30    |
| Statistical analysis | ANOVA rang Kruskal-Wallis = 1.721003; $p = 0.423$<br>$p > 0.05$  |         |         |

**Table 6.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group versus the depth of myometrial invasion

| sL-selectin          | Depth of myometrial invasion                            |         |
|----------------------|---|---------|
|                      | < 50%   | > 50%   |
| Sample size          | 27  | 19      |
| Minimum              | 610.00  | 642.00  |
| Maximum              | 1440.00   | 1300.00 |
| Median               | 963.00  | 816.00  |
| Mean                 | 1009.61   | 910.83  |
| Standard deviation   | 239.95  | 228.72  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.36  | 0.63    |
| Statistical analysis | T Student test = 1.099459; $p = 0.281644$<br>$p > 0.05$ |         |

| sP-selectin          | Depth of myometrial invasion                            |        |
|----------------------|---|--------|
|                      | < 50%   | > 50%  |
| Sample size          | 27  | 19     |
| Minimum              | 72.70   | 65.60  |
| Maximum              | 344.40  | 350.00 |
| Median               | 143.30  | 138.30 |
| Mean                 | 162.74  | 183.85 |
| Standard deviation   | 78.70   | 107.20 |
| Skewness coefficient | 1.07  | 0.74   |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = -0.371391; p = 0.710347<br>p > 0.05 |        |

**Table 7.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group versus cervical infiltration

| sL-selectin          | Cervical infiltration                                  |         |
|----------------------|--|---------|
|                      | No   | Yes     |
| Sample size          | 32   | 14      |
| Minimum              | 610.00   | 656.00  |
| Maximum              | 1440.00  | 1214.00 |
| Median               | 914.00   | 865.00  |
| Mean                 | 977.09   | 942.75  |
| Standard deviation   | 241.56   | 236.22  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.51   | 0.27    |
| Statistical analysis | T Student test = 0.341845; p = 0.735215<br>p > 0.05    |         |
| sP-selectin          | Cervical infiltration                                  |         |
|                      | No   | Yes     |
| Sample size          | 32   | 14      |
| Minimum              | 69.20  | 65.60   |
| Maximum              | 234.60   | 350.00  |
| Median               | 130.50   | 191.70  |
| Mean                 | 159.83   | 201.68  |
| Standard deviation   | 84.88  | 103.84  |
| Skewness coefficient | 1.29   | 0.31    |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = -1.06795; p = 0.285544<br>p > 0.05 |         |

**Table 8.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group versus serosal/adnexal infiltration

| sL-selectin | Serosal/adnexal infiltration |     |
|-------------|------------------------------|-----|
|             | No                           | Yes |

|                      |  |         |
|----------------------|--|---------|
| Sample size          | 42   | 4       |
| Minimum              | 610.00   | 791.00  |
| Maximum              | 1440.00  | 1214.00 |
| Median               | 936.00   | 794.00  |
| Mean                 | 971.39   | 933.00  |
| Standard deviation   | 240.11   | 243.36  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.41   | 1.73    |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = 0.371442; p = 0.710309<br>p > 0.05 |         |
| sP-selectin          | Serosal/adnexal infiltration                           |         |
|                      | No   | Yes     |
| Sample size          | 42   | 4       |
| Minimum              | 65.60  | 139.80  |
| Maximum              | 349.60   | 350.00  |
| Median               | 130.60   | 334.60  |
| Mean                 | 159.43   | 274.80  |
| Standard deviation   | 81.46  | 117.17  |
| Skewness coefficient | 1.12   | -1.70   |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = -1.81981; p = 0.068788<br>p > 0.05 |         |

**Table 9.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group versus distant metastasis (not including lymph node metastasis)

|                      |  |         |
|----------------------|--|---------|
| sL-selectin          | Distant metastasis                                     |         |
|                      | No   | Yes     |
| Sample size          | 42   | 4       |
| Minimum              | 610.00   | 656.00  |
| Maximum              | 1440.00  | 1214.00 |
| Median               | 936.00   | 791.00  |
| Mean                 | 976.91   | 887.00  |
| Standard deviation   | 234.06   | 291.12  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.45   | 1.32    |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = 0.742883; p = 0.457553<br>p > 0.05 |         |
| sP-selectin          | Distant metastasis                                     |         |
|                      | No   | Yes     |
| Sample size          | 42   | 4       |
| Minimum              | 65.60  | 139.80  |
| Maximum              | 349.60   | 350.00  |
| Median               | 130.60   | 167.40  |
| Mean                 | 166.12   | 219.07  |
| Standard deviation   | 88.68  | 114.23  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.97   | 1.62    |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = -1.22559; p = 0.220354<br>p > 0.05 |         |

**Table 10.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group versus lymph node metastasis (out of 40 lymphadenectomies)

| sL-selectin          | Lymph node metastasis                                   |         |
|----------------------|---|---------|
|                      | No  | Yes     |
| Sample size          | 36  | 4       |
| Minimum              | 610.00  | 642.00  |
| Maximum              | 1422.00   | 1214.00 |
| Median               | 888.00  | 1042.00 |
| Mean                 | 953.95  | 966.00  |
| Standard deviation   | 221.98  | 293.48  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.47  | -1.09   |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = -0.120427; p = 0.904145<br>p > 0.05 |         |
| sP-selectin          | Lymph node metastasis                                   |         |
|                      | No  | Yes     |
| Sample size          | 36  | 4       |
| Minimum              | 65.60   | 122.60  |
| Maximum              | 344.40  | 350.00  |
| Median               | 130.60  | 349.60  |
| Mean                 | 159.43  | 274.07  |
| Standard deviation   | 83.84   | 131.17  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.98  | -1.73   |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test = -1.72583; p = 0.084380<br>p > 0.05  |         |

**Table 11.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group versus vascular infiltration

| sL-selectin          | Vascular infiltration                                      |         |
|----------------------|--|---------|
|                      | No   | Yes     |
| Sample size          | 43   | 3       |
| Minimum              | 610.00   | 656.00  |
| Maximum              | 1440.00  | 1214.00 |
| Median               | 936.00   | 791.00  |
| Mean                 | 976.91   | 887.00  |
| Standard deviation   | 234.06   | 291.12  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.45   | 1.32    |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test (Z) = 0.742883; p = 0.457553<br>p > 0.05 |         |
| sP-selectin          | Vascular infiltration                                      |         |
|                      | No   | Yes     |
| Sample size          | 43   | 3       |
| Minimum              | 65.60  | 139.80  |
| Maximum              | 349.60   | 350.00  |

|                      |  |        |
|----------------------|--|--------|
| Median               | 130.60   | 167.40 |
| Mean                 | 166.12   | 219.07 |
| Standard deviation   | 88.67  | 114.23 |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.97   | 1.62   |
| Statistical analysis | Mann-Whitney test (Z) = -1.22559; p = 0.220354<br>p > 0.05 |        |

**Table 12.** Statistical analysis of serum sL/sP-selectin concentrations in the study group versus disease advancement [International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (FIGO)]

| sL-selectin          | Endometrial cancer stage (FIGO)                              |         |         |         |
|----------------------|--|---------|---------|---------|
|                      | I  | II      | III     | IV      |
| Sample size          | 30   | 8       | 4       | 4       |
| Minimum              | 610.00   | 729.00  | 642.00  | 656.00  |
| Maximum              | 1440.00  | 1214.00 | 1042.00 | 1214.00 |
| Median               | 914.00   | 1072.00 | 794.00  | 791.00  |
| Mean                 | 992.10   | 1021.75 | 826.00  | 887.00  |
| Standard deviation   | 241.09   | 234.31  | 201.91  | 291.12  |
| Skewness coefficient | 0.55   | -0.63   | 0.70    | 1.32    |
| Statistical analysis | ANOVA rang Kruskal-Wallis = 2.129175; p = 0.546<br>p > 0.05  |         |         |         |
| sP-selectin          | Endometrial cancer stage (FIGO)                              |         |         |         |
|                      | I  | II      | III     | IV      |
| Sample size          | 30   | 8       | 4       | 4       |
| Minimum              | 69.20  | 65.60   | 122.60  | 139.80  |
| Maximum              | 344.40   | 241.00  | 349.60  | 350.00  |
| Median               | 130.50   | 157.50  | 334.60  | 167.40  |
| Mean                 | 151.36   | 155.40  | 268.93  | 219.07  |
| Standard deviation   | 76.00  | 86.11   | 126.95  | 114.23  |
| Skewness coefficient | 1.39   | -0.06   | -1.71   | 1.62    |
| Statistical analysis | ANOVA rang Kruskal-Wallis = 4.086207; p = 0.2523<br>p > 0.05 |         |         |         |

### ***Conflict of interest***

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Majchrzak-Baczmańska DB, Głowacka E, Wilczyński M, et al. Serum concentrations of soluble (s)L- and (s)P-selectins in women with ovarian cancer. *Prz Menopauzalny*. 2018; 17(1): 11–17, doi: [10.5114/pm.2018.74897](https://doi.org/10.5114/pm.2018.74897), indexed in Pubmed: [29725279](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29725279/).
2. Southcott BM. Carcinoma of the endometrium. *Drugs*. 2001; 61(10): 1395–1405, doi: [10.2165/00003495-200161100-00003](https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-200161100-00003), indexed in Pubmed: [11558829](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11558829/).

3. Mantur M, Wojszel J. Cząsteczki adhezyjne oraz ich udział w procesie zapalnym i nowotworowym. *Pol Merk Lek.* 2008; XXIV(1140): 177.
4. Czygier M, Ławicki S, Gacuta-Szumarska E, et al. Stężenie sL-selektyny i mieloperoksydazy (MPO) oraz czynnika wzrostu kolonii granulocytarnych (G-CSF) w osoczu pacjentek z nowotworami macicy. *Przeegl Lek.* 2010; 67(3): 184–186.
5. Dymicka-Piekarska V, Matowicka-Karna J, Gryko M, et al. Relationship between soluble P-selectin and inflammatory factors (interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein) in colorectal cancer. *Thromb Res.* 2007; 120(4): 585–590, doi: [10.1016/j.thromres.2006.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2006.11.002), indexed in Pubmed: [17169411](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17169411/).
6. Egan K, Crowley D, Smyth P, et al. Platelet adhesion and degranulation induce pro-survival and pro-angiogenic signalling in ovarian cancer cells. *PLoS One.* 2011; 6(10): e26125, doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0026125](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026125), indexed in Pubmed: [22022533](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22022533/).
7. Bazou D, Santos-Martinez MJ, Medina C, et al. Elucidation of flow-mediated tumour cell-induced platelet aggregation using an ultrasound standing wave trap. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2011; 162(7): 1577–1589, doi: [10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.01182.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.01182.x), indexed in Pubmed: [21182493](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21182493/).
8. Garcia J, Callewaert N, Borsig L. P-selectin mediates metastatic progression through binding to sulfatides on tumor cells. *Glycobiology.* 2007; 17(2): 185–196, doi: [10.1093/glycob/cwl059](https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwl059), indexed in Pubmed: [17043066](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17043066/).
9. Suzuki Y, Toda Y, Tamatani T, et al. Sulfated glycolipids are ligands for a lymphocyte homing receptor, L-selectin (LECAM-1), Binding epitope in sulfated sugar chain. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1993; 190(2): 426–434, doi: [10.1006/bbrc.1993.1065](https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1993.1065), indexed in Pubmed: [7678958](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7678958/).
10. Sugiyama T, Miyazawa M, Mikami M, et al. Enhanced expression of sulfatide, a sulfated glycolipid, in well-differentiated endometrial adenocarcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2012; 22(7): 1192–1197, doi: [10.1097/IGC.0b013e31825f639f](https://doi.org/10.1097/IGC.0b013e31825f639f), indexed in Pubmed: [22801032](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22801032/).
11. Lim SC, Oh SH. The role of CD24 in various human epithelial neoplasias. *Pathol Res Pract.* 2005; 201(7): 479–486, doi: [10.1016/j.prp.2005.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prp.2005.05.004), indexed in Pubmed: [16164042](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16164042/).
12. Aigner S, Stoeber ZM, Fogel M, et al. CD24, a mucin-type glycoprotein, is a ligand for P-selectin on human tumor cells. *Blood.* 1997; 89(9): 3385–3395, indexed in Pubmed: [9129046](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9129046/).
13. Ferroni P, Roselli M, Martini F, et al. Prognostic value of soluble P-selectin levels in colorectal cancer. *Int J Cancer.* 2004; 111(3): 404–408, doi: [10.1002/ijc.20189](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.20189), indexed in Pubmed: [15221968](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15221968/).
14. Dymicka-Piekarska V, Kemon H. Does colorectal cancer clinical advancement affect adhesion molecules (sP-selectin, sE-selectin and ICAM-1) concentration? *Thromb*

Res. 2009; 124(1): 80–83, doi: [10.1016/j.thromres.2008.11.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2008.11.021), indexed in Pubmed: [19136145](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19136145/).

15. Haznedaroglu IC, Benekli M, Ozcebe O, et al. Serum L-selectin and P-selectin levels in lymphomas. *Haematologia (Budap)*. 2000; 30(1): 27–30, doi: [10.1163/15685590051129841](https://doi.org/10.1163/15685590051129841), indexed in Pubmed: [10841321](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10841321/).
16. Aki SZ, Sucak GT, Paşaoğlu H, et al. Thrombopoietic cytokine and P-selectin levels in patients with multiple myeloma undergoing autologous stem cell transplantation: decrease in posttransplantation P-selectin levels might predict the degree of maximum response. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma*. 2009; 9(3): 229–233, doi: [10.3816/CLM.2009.n.045](https://doi.org/10.3816/CLM.2009.n.045), indexed in Pubmed: [19525192](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19525192/).
17. Roselli M, Mineo TC, Martini F, et al. Soluble selectin levels in patients with lung cancer. *Int J Biol Markers*. 2002; 17(1): 56–62, doi: [10.5301/jbm.2008.911](https://doi.org/10.5301/jbm.2008.911), indexed in Pubmed: [11936588](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11936588/).
18. Schadendorf D, Diehl S, Zuberbier T, et al. Quantitative detection of soluble adhesion molecules in sera of melanoma patients correlates with clinical stage. *Dermatology*. 1996; 192(2): 89–93, doi: [10.1159/000246328](https://doi.org/10.1159/000246328), indexed in Pubmed: [8829517](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8829517/).
19. Czygier M, Ławicki S, Szmitkowski M. The plasma level of sL-selectin, myeloperoxidase (MPO) and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) in breast cancer patients after surgery. *Przegl Lek*. 2009; 66(8): 433–436.
20. Chang JH, Qi ZH, Chen FP, et al. [Clinical significance of the detection of the sL-selectin level in patients with acute leukemia]. *Hunan Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao*. 2002; 27(2): 151–153, indexed in Pubmed: [12575346](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12575346/).
21. Izycka A, Jabłońska E, Izycki T, et al. Expression of L-selectin on the surface of neutrophils stimulated by TNF-alpha and level of sL-selectin in serum of patients with lung cancer. *Pol Merkur Lekarski*. 2005; 18(103): 62–65.
22. Spertini O, Callegari P, Cordey AS, et al. High levels of the shed form of L-selectin are present in patients with acute leukemia and inhibit blast cell adhesion to activated endothelium. *Blood*. 1994; 84(4): 1249–1256, indexed in Pubmed: [7519478](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7519478/).
23. Kiersnowska-Rogowska B, Izycka A, Jabłońska E, et al. Estimation of L-selectin expression on neutrophils and level of soluble L-selectin form in serum of patient with chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Przegl Lek*. 2006; 63(9): 756–758.
24. Aref S, Salama O, Al-Tonbary Y, et al. L and E selectins in acute myeloid leukemia: expression, clinical relevance and relation to patient outcome. *Hematology*. 2002; 7(2): 83–87, doi: [10.1080/10245330290028579](https://doi.org/10.1080/10245330290028579), indexed in Pubmed: [12186696](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12186696/).
25. Olejnik I. Serum soluble L-selectin in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatr Int*. 1999; 41(3): 246–248, doi: [10.1046/j.1442-200x.1999.01062.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-200x.1999.01062.x), indexed in Pubmed: [10365571](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10365571/).

26. Czygier M, Ławicki S, Uścińowicz A, et al. The plasma level of sL-selectin, myeloperoxidase and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) in breast cancer patients in the course of chemotherapy. *Przegl Lek.* 2008; 65(3): 115–118.
27. Läubli H, Spanaus KS, Borsig L. Selectin-mediated activation of endothelial cells induces expression of CCL5 and promotes metastasis through recruitment of monocytes. *Blood.* 2009; 114(20): 4583–4591, doi: [10.1182/blood-2008-10-186585](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-10-186585), indexed in Pubmed: [19779041](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19779041/).
28. Läubli H, Stevenson JL, Varki A, et al. L-selectin facilitation of metastasis involves temporal induction of Fut7-dependent ligands at sites of tumor cell arrest. *Cancer Res.* 2006; 66(3): 1536–1542, doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3121](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3121), indexed in Pubmed: [16452210](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16452210/).
29. Chen Z, Jing Y, Song B, et al. Chemically modified heparin inhibits in vitro L-selectin-mediated human ovarian carcinoma cell adhesion. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2009; 19(4): 540–546, doi: [10.1111/IGC.0b013e3181a44bc8](https://doi.org/10.1111/IGC.0b013e3181a44bc8), indexed in Pubmed: [19509548](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19509548/).