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ABSTRACT
Objectives: Significance of the crown-rump length (CRL) measurement criteria in the assessments of gestational age and actual precision in daily clinical practice.

Material and methods: We recruited 806 pregnant women with singleton pregnancy and history of regular menstrual periods. We analysed retrospectively CRL measurements obtained during routine first trimester scan performed between 11 + 0 and 13 + 6 weeks gestation. Gestational age was calculated using both the last menstrual period (LMP) and the CRL. The images of the CRL measurements were assessed by the expert. The visual analysis of the images in terms of meeting the five criteria recommended by the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology (ISUOG) was performed. Statistical analysis were used to assess how the above-mentioned criteria influenced calculation of the gestational age.

Results: The study showed 323 out of 806 of the CRL measurements (40.1%) were qualified by a specialist as accurate, 279 (34.6%) as inaccurate, and 204 (25.3%) as inaccurate, but not changing the duration of a pregnancy. With the application in the assessment of the five criteria of the ISUOG 217 (26.9%), the following results of qualification were obtained: accurate — fulfilled ≥ 4, inaccurate 341 (42.3%) — fulfilled ≤ 2, whereas inaccurate, but not changing the duration of a pregnancy 248 (30.8%) — 3 criteria fulfilled. We found that only the neutral position of the fetus demonstrated a significant correlation with the assessment of the duration of gestation.

Conclusions: a) the accurate audit of the CRL measurements is recommended; b) neutral position of the fetus is the most important criterion out of 5.
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INTRODUCTION
Determining the gestation age accurately is one of the key elements influencing the provision of accurate perinatal, and obstetric care for a patient. In Poland, the assessment of gestational age (GA) is conducted with the application of two methods.

The first method is based on the date of the last menstrual period (LMP), which is easy to perform and inexpensive. However, the following conditions had to be fulfilled for this method to give accurate estimations of the gestational age: regular menstrual cycle, fertilisation in the middle of the cycle, accurate information of the first day of the LMP must be provided by the women. Determining gestational age precisely on the basis of the LMP would be possible if the exact dates of: ovulation, fertilisation, and implantation of an embryo were known, for instance in pregnancies arising using ART (Assisted Reproductive Technology) [1]. Even in cases of accurate recollection of the date of LMP, delayed ovulation can cause discrepancies between gestational age calculated using LMP and with the use of different methods.

The second method uses an assessment of crown-rump length (CRL). CRL measurement can be obtained during routine ultrasound scan in first trimester and is described as the length of the fetus from top of the head to the bottom of the rump (buttocks). The CRL measurement is seen as the most sensitive predictor of gestational age in the first trimester of pregnancy [2]. The International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology (ISUOG) recommends determining the duration of pregnancy based on CRL between 8 and 14 weeks as the most reliable method [3].

To ensure the objective assessment of crown-rump length, the ISUOG proposed five criteria to increase the
The precision of the conducted measurements. These include accurate magnification of the image, neutrality of the fetus, horizontal position of the fetus, accurate placement of the callipers, and the presence of pocket of amniotic fluid under the foetus chin.

Even small inaccuracies, as small as 5 mm to 10 mm, in the CRL measurement can cause significant differences in calculation of the gestational age. Examples of how inaccurate measurement of CRL can affect calculation of the gestational age can be seen in the tables (Tab. 1–3).

**Objectives**

The aim of the study was to evaluate the significance of the CRL measurement criteria in the assessments of gestational age and its actual precision in daily clinical practice.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

There were 806 women with singleton pregnancies and known date of LMP included into the study. All women had history of regular menstrual periods (28–35 days) and underwent a routine first trimester scan in our Prenatal Assessment Clinic between January 2017 and December 2019. Patients with irregular menstruation cycle and lactating patients were excluded from the study. CRL measurements obtained during routine ultrasound scans were assessed retrospectively by experts in fetal medicine ultrasound. The physicians who took the measurements were obstetrics&gynecology specialists with experience in ultrasoundography. Moreover, all of them were certified by PTGiP (minimum of 5 years) and FMF. The measurements were taken on GE Voluson E6 ultrasonograph in Prenatal Outpatient Clinic belonging to the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin. In all of the cases the duration of the pregnancy was established using two methods: based on the LMP and based on the CRL.

First, CRL pictures were assessed by an expert in fetal medicine. ISUOG criteria were applied when assessing CRL measurements. Said expert is a physician, specializing in obstetrics&gynecology and fetal medicine (with over 10 years of experience) certified by FMF (for over 12 years) and audited by FMF for over 6 years. The measurements were divided into three categories: correct, incorrect and incorrect, but with no impact on the pregnancy's duration. The difference in the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the LMP, and between the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the CRL, in particular groups was compared.

It was proposed that the population be divided into three separate categories depending on the number of fulfilled criteria. The measurements fulfilling four to five criteria were qualified as accurate. The measurements fulfilling three criteria were found to be inaccurate, but not changing the duration of a pregnancy, whereas the measurements fulfilling two or fewer criteria were found to be inaccurate. Afterwards, the difference in the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the LMP, and between the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the CRL, in particular groups was compared.

The next stage involved the assessment of the significance of the particular criteria of the ISUOG for the assessment of the duration of a pregnancy determined with the application of the CRL. For each criterion, the difference between the gestational age calculated from LMP, and use of the CRL, was assessed.

**Table 1. 10 centile comparison**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 11 — CRL 50 mm</th>
<th>Week 12 — CRL 63 mm</th>
<th>Week 13 — CRL 76 mm</th>
<th>Week 13 + 6 — CRL 88 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5 mm = week 10 + 4 mm</td>
<td>-5 mm = week 11 + 4</td>
<td>-5 mm = week 12 + 4</td>
<td>-5 mm + week 13 + 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10 mm = week 10 + 1</td>
<td>-10 mm = week 11 + 1</td>
<td>-10 mm = week 12 + 1</td>
<td>-10 mm = week 13 + 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5 mm = week 11 + 3</td>
<td>+5 mm = week 12 + 2</td>
<td>+5 mm = week 13 + 2</td>
<td>+5 mm = week 14 + 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10 mm = week 11 + 5</td>
<td>+10 mm = week 12 + 5</td>
<td>+10 mm = week 13 + 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRL — crown-rump length

**Table 2. 50 centile comparison**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 11 — CRL 43 mm</th>
<th>Week 12 — CRL 55 mm</th>
<th>Week 13 — CRL 67 mm</th>
<th>Week 13 + 6 — CRL 78 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5 mm = week 10 + 4 mm</td>
<td>-5 mm = week 11 + 4</td>
<td>-5 mm = week 12 + 4</td>
<td>-5 mm + week 13 + 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10 mm = week 10 + 1</td>
<td>-10 mm = week 11 + 1</td>
<td>10 mm = week 12 + 2</td>
<td>10 mm = week 13 + 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5 mm = week 11 + 3</td>
<td>+5 mm = week 12 + 3</td>
<td>+5 mm = week 13 + 2</td>
<td>+5 mm = week 14 + 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10 mm = week 11 + 6</td>
<td>+10 mm = week 12 + 6</td>
<td>+10 mm = week 13 + 5</td>
<td>+10 mm = week 14 + 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRL — crown-rump length
Lastly, the repeated division of the images in terms of the fulfilled ISUOG criteria. As accurate measurements, those fulfilling four to five criteria were assessed; it was required that they had been positively assessed in terms of the neutral position. The measurements fulfilling three criteria were found to be inaccurate, but not changing the duration of a pregnancy, whereas these which fulfilled two or fewer criteria, and which also received a negative assessment in terms of the neutral position were found to be inaccurate. The analysis of the difference in the duration of a pregnancy determined with the application of the LMP and the CRL was repeated again.

The statistics were made using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SSPS TM) program. The Shapiro–Wilk test showed that the data does not distribute normally. Next, the U–Mann–Whitney test was conducted in order to compare the categories. The statistical significance level was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Out of 806 of the CRL measurements, 323 (40.1%) were qualified as accurate, 279 (34.6%) as inaccurate, and 204 (25.3%) as inaccurate, but not changing the duration of a pregnancy. 527, i.e. 65.4% of the measurements of crown-rump length made it possible to ensure the accurate assessment of gestational age. A statistically-significant difference between the duration of a pregnancy determined with the application of the LMP and USG (p = 0.003) was observed in the group of inaccurate measurements, whereas in the group with accurate measurements and inaccurate, but not changing the duration of a pregnancy, no statistically significant difference was observed.

Applying in the assessment of the five criteria of the ISUOG, it was determined that 217 (26.9%) of the measurements were accurate, and 341 (42.3%) inaccurate, whereas the number of inaccurate measurements, not changing the duration of a pregnancy, was established as 248 (30.8%). Similarly, only 57.7% of the CRL measurements made it possible to ensure the proper assessment and determine the duration of a pregnancy. A statistically-significant difference between the duration of a pregnancy according to the LMP and the CRL in the group of inaccurate measurements (p = 0.025) was demonstrated again.

Also, 348 (43.2%) of the measurements were found to be inaccurate, and 458 (56.8%) as accurate, in terms of the criterion of image enlargement. A statistically-significant difference in the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the LMP and the CRL in the group of inaccurate measurements (p = 0.025) was demonstrated again.

In terms of the neutrality of the fetus, 487 (60.4%) of the measurements were found to be inaccurate, and 319 (39.6%) as accurate. In the group of inaccurate measurements, and also that of the accurate ones, a statistically-significant difference between the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the LMP, and sometimes the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the CRL, was observed.

In 213 (26.4%) of the measurements, the horizontal position of the fetus was determined as inaccurate, whereas

### Table 3. 90 centile comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 11 — CRL 36 mm</th>
<th>Week 13 — CRL 47 mm</th>
<th>Week 13 — CRL 59 mm</th>
<th>Week 13+6 — CRL 70 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5 mm = week 10 + 3 mm</td>
<td>-5 mm = week 11 + 4</td>
<td>-5 mm = week 12 + 4</td>
<td>-5 mm = week 13 + 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10 mm = week 10</td>
<td>10 mm = week 11</td>
<td>-10 mm = week 12 + 1</td>
<td>-10 mm = week 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5 mm = week 11 + 3</td>
<td>+5 mm = week 12 + 3</td>
<td>+5 mm = week 13 + 3</td>
<td>+5 mm = week 14 + 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10 mm = week 11 + 6</td>
<td>+10 mm = week 12 + 6</td>
<td>+10 mm = week 13 + 5</td>
<td>+10 mm = week 14 + 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRL — crown-rump length

### Table 4. The assessment of the particular criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion according to the ISOUG</th>
<th>Number of accurate measurements</th>
<th>Number of inaccurate measurements</th>
<th>Difference between GA on the basis of the LMP and GA on the basis of the CRL, among accurate measurements</th>
<th>Difference between GA on the basis of the LMP and GA on the basis of the CRL, among inaccurate measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Image enlargement</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>p = 0.624</td>
<td>p = 0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral position</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>p = 0.002</td>
<td>p = 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal position</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>p = 0.527</td>
<td>p = 0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting callipers</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>p = 0.127</td>
<td>p = 0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of fluid under the chin</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>p = 0.209</td>
<td>p = 0.153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRL — crown-rump length; GA — gestational age; ISUOG — the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology; LMP — last menstrual period
it was found to be accurate in 593 (73.6%) of the measurements. A statistically-significant difference in the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the LMP and the CRL in both of the groups was not demonstrated.

In the case of the criterion of accurate placement of the callipers, 465 (57.7%) of the measurements were assessed to be inaccurate, and 341 (42.3%) to be accurate. A statistically-significant difference in the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the LMP and the CRL in both of the groups was not observed.

The presence of fluid under the chin was found to be inaccurate in 372 (46.2%) of the measurements, and it was found to be accurate in 434 (53.8%) of them. No statistically-significant difference between the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the LMP and the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the CRL was observed in both of the groups. The assessment of the particular criteria was presented in Table 4.

At the following stage, 189 (23.5%) of the measurements were described as accurate, i.e. fulfilling four to five criteria, and that included the positive assessment of the neutral position. The measurements of 90 (11.2%) were found to be inaccurate, but not changing the duration of a pregnancy, i.e. fulfilling three criteria, whereas 527 (63.3%) were found to be inaccurate, i.e. fulfilling two or fewer of the criteria; this group included also the measurements in which the neutral position was assessed negatively. A difference in the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the LMP, and in the duration of a pregnancy determined on the basis of the CRL in the group of measurements determined to be inaccurate, and also in the group of accurate measurements and those found to be inaccurate, but not changing the duration of a pregnancy.

**DISCUSSION**

Presently, ultrasonography is replacing the traditional method of calculating gestational age based on the LMP. It is thought that the measurement of crown-rump length is the most accurate method of calculating gestational age in first trimester [4]. CRL can be measured very early in the first trimester and attempts were made to calculate gestational age using these measurements as early as or before 9 weeks of pregnancy [5]. However current consensus on the most accurate assessment of gestational age using CRL advises performing these measurements between the 11th and 13th+6 weeks of pregnancy.

Applying as the basis gestational age determined on the basis of the CRL parameter, results in a significant reduction in the number of premature pregnancies, postmature pregnancies [6], and also improved the effectiveness of screening of chromosomal disorders [6]. It is connected with frequently erroneous information provided by a pregnant woman on the last menstrual period, which causes a mistake in determining gestational period, and as a consequence, underestimating or overestimating it. That, in turn, leads to the misdiagnosing of premature births.

Due to the differences between gestational age determined with the application of the LMP, and with the application of USG, it is recommended to base on the EUS measurements in the case of a difference of no fewer than seven days [8].

A key element of determining gestational age accurately is the precise determination of the CRL measurement. The problem related to the subjective assessment of this parameter is raised, among others, due to imprecise criteria and the risk of different interpretation of the measurements by various specialists. According to the literature, like in our analysis, the most important criterion is the neutral position [9]. In accordance with the assessment of specialists, the assessment of the above-mentioned criterion involves a problem with determining what deviation should be interpreted as a position making it impossible to accurately assess the crown-rump length.

Another aspect is the influence of the inaccurate assessment of the CRL parameter on the diagnostics of chromosomal disorders. It is reported that a measurement error in the assessment of crown-rump length may result in reducing the detection of the trisomy of the 21st chromosome, and increasing the number of distorted (underestimated) results [10]. In the literature, we can also find a correlation between a small crown-rump length and a higher risk of chromosomal anomalies [11].

Our paper proved that even in a centre specialising in prenatal diagnostics, a significant percentage of examinations include deviations from the recommended rules of measurements, which may influence determining gestational age, and, ipso facto, affect the supervision of obstetric personnel in the second half of a pregnancy (suspicion of IUGR, and necessity of inducing a delivery). A problem related to the accurate assessment of the CRL is raised in the literature, which suggests that the issue requires further studies, and a debate on the criteria proposed by the ISOU [12]. One of the elements of the discussion should be the importance of particular criteria in the assessment of an accurate measurement or reducing their number. Our analysis brought forth the conclusion that the most important criterion is the neutral position. Yet, the issue needs commencing collaboration between various facilities, and then repeating studies on a larger group of patients. Conducting standardisation exercises for USG specialists would also increase the percentage of accurately performed examinations [13].

An assessment conducted by an expert, and on the basis of criteria of the ISOU, is identical in terms of determining the duration of a pregnancy, which may be applied by
conducting an audit in which implementation should be sought for. One of the proposed forms of audits is introducing the assessment of the CRL measurements by specialists according to objective, such as the neutral position. It was observed that ensuring objective assessments results in a lower percentage of inaccurate measurements, and makes the measurements more repeatable [14].

**CONCLUSIONS**

The accurate audit of the CRL measurements are recommended in every group of researchers regardless of experience. Not all of the criteria recommended by the ISUOG influence the assessment of gestational age in the same manner; studies on a larger population are required in order to verify the significance of particular criteria. An assessment by an expert in prenatal diagnostics is identical to the detailed analysis of the criteria of the ISUOG, and may be seen as a form of audit.
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