New porcine model of perineal tear and its utility in physicians’ training on the reconstruction of 3rd and 4th degree perineal tear during vaginal delivery
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: One of the most serious complications of vaginal delivery is 3rd and 4th degree perineal tear and its incorrect management results in anal incontinence. Animal-based anatomical models of childbirth-induced perineal tear are an important element of the physicians’ practical training [1]. The proposed new model, prepared using porcine tongue and intestine, closely mimics all the tissues and organs subjected to injury during complicated deliveries and constitutes an educational opportunity for the reconstruction of the injuries.

The objective of this paper was to present a new porcine model of the perineum and to evaluate the utility of this model in the training of physicians on the reconstruction of the 3rd and 4th degree perineal tear during childbirth.

Material and method: We presented a preparation method of the proposed model and a calculation of the amount of time and costs required for its preparation. The utility of the model was assessed using a questionnaire completed by the participants of a workshop conducted with the model.

Results: We found that 95% of the respondents had never practiced perineal reconstruction on any model. According to 85% of the respondents, our model was very similar to natural tissues. According to 95% of the respondents, the simulated model of the perineal tear satisfactorily mimicked the real-life situation.

Conclusion: The presented model is cheap and easy to prepare. It satisfactorily mimics the tissues and tissue injuries caused by the tear, making it helpful in training physicians on the reconstruction of 3rd and 4th degree perineal tear.

Keywords: perineal tear, sphincter reconstruction, animal model of perineal tear

INTRODUCTION

Perineal injuries of various degrees occur in approximately 80% of natural deliveries [2], of which 3rd and 4th degree perineal tears constitute approximately 4 to 11% [3, 4]. Sphincter insufficiency of various degrees occurs in 15 to 61% of women who suffered perineal tear during childbirth [4, 5]. Proper primary repair of the perineal tear is of the utmost importance for the limitation of incontinence caused by childbirth-induced injury [4]. Good personnel training is a fundamental precondition for achieving this goal [6, 7].

The problem with perineal tear repair, in particular 4th degree tear, is due to its multidisciplinary nature. Perineal reconstruction of 1-3a degree tears is usually performed by gynecologists. However, 3b–4 degree tears often require consultation or assistance from a colorectal surgeon, whose experience in sphincter reconstruction is greater, but may be not sufficient for the reconstruction of other perineal tissues. Therefore, both gynecologists and surgeons should undergo training, especially since tear repair is usually required during on-call duty, when the possibility of consultation with an experienced gynecologist or surgeon may be limited.

Based on bovine-based animal models described in the literature [1], we developed a new porcine model, which unlike the existing models, mimics not only the rectum...
and anal sphincters, but also the vagina and bulbospongiosus muscles. Our model may be used for training of both gynecologists and surgeons on the reconstruction of sphincters and other perineal tissues.

Objectives
The aim of this paper was to present a new porcine model that mimics the perineal tear and to evaluate the utility of this model in the training of physicians on the reconstruction of the 3rd and 4th degree perineal tear during childbirth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Model preparation
The following tissues and instruments were used to prepare the model:
• tissues: one porcine tongue, approximately 10 cm of porcine small intestine (Fig. 1),
• instruments: one scissors, one curved forceps, one knife, one surgical holder, one surgical suture.

The preparation of a single specimen took approximately 20 minutes. An estimated cost of the whole model (tissues and sutures) was approximately PLN 40 (USD 12).

The tongue was placed with its ventral surface facing upward and the longitudinal muscles that were stretching from the tongue base to its half-length were removed, so that the cranial part of the tongue had uniform thickness (Fig. 2). Subsequently, cruciate incisions were made at the base on its dorsal surface, throughout the entire thickness of the tongue, at the level of clearly visible taste buds. Both incisions, the vertical and horizontal one, did not exceed 10–12 mm. An index finger was passed freely through the incisions. Then, previously prepared porcine small intestine was passed through this hole and sutured using a continuous suture, forming a canal that mimicked the anus (Fig. 3, 4). Subsequently, a spindle-like incision was made along the tongue on its dorsal surface, mimicking the vulva (Fig. 5). The spindle-like fragment of the resected tissue was approximately 100 mm long, 10–12 mm in width and 10 mm

![Figure 1. Materials required for preparation of the specimen](image1)

![Figure 2. Cutting of muscles at the base of the tongue](image2)

![Figure 3. Passing the intestine through the incision at the base of the tongue](image3)

![Figure 4. Suturing of the intestine at the dorsal surface of the tongue imitating the anus](image4)

![Figure 5. Spindle-like incision imitating the vulva](image5)
In depth. In the inferior part of the incision, an approximately 20 mm longitudinal penetrating cut in the tongue was made—two fingers were freely passed through this hole (Fig. 6). Next, from the bottom and through this hole the second part of the intestine was passed and sutured, forming the “vagina” (Fig. 7, 8). Subsequently, approximately 7–10 mm incisions were made on both sides of the tongue, on its lateral surfaces, at the level of the superior margin of the previously formed anus. Through the incision, under the guidance of the finger in the “anus”, the curved forceps was passed, forming an arcuate tunnel between the incisions. Through the tunnel of approximately 8–10 mm diameter, a muscular band was passed, previously prepared from excised longitudinal bundles of muscle from the ventral part of the tongue, mimicking the anal sphincters (Fig. 9). Both ends of the bundle protruding outside the tunneled part were sutured with single sutures to make them fixed (Fig. 10). The muscle layer of the tongue remained in front of the created sphincter, mimicking the bulbospongiosus muscles. The final appearance of the prepared model is presented on image 11 (Fig. 11). Next, the model was cut from the posterior wall of the “vagina” to the anterior wall of the “anus” through all the layers, approximately 10–15 mm deep, mimicking a 4th degree tear. Such models were presented to the workshop participants.

The model was evaluated by medical specialists and physicians training in the field of Gynecology during two practical workshop sessions dedicated to the repair of childbirth injuries. Forty models were prepared. Each trainee first performed a reconstruction of the perineal tear mimicked in our model, and then completed the questionnaire (Fig. 12). A total of 40 physicians, including 30 gynecology specialists and 10 gynecology residents, participated in the workshop. All participants of the workshop completed the questionnaires.
Dear Doctor

We provide you with a questionnaire regarding the assessment of the utility of the 3rd and 4th degree perineal tear model. The model is prepared from porcine tissues. We will be grateful for your answer to the questions below. Fill out the questionnaire and please return it after the lecture.

1. How often do you encounter 3rd and 4th degree perineal tear in your practice?
   a) less than once a year
   b) 2–5 times a year
   c) more than 5 times a year

2. How do you manage 3rd and 4th degree perineal tears?
   a) primary perineal and sphincter reconstruction on my own
   b) perineal reconstruction without sphincter reconstruction on my own — delayed reconstruction after healing
   c) primary perineal and sphincter reconstruction with the assistance of a surgeon

3. Have you ever trained using models of perineal tear?
   a) yes, using an artificial and animal model
   b) yes, only using an artificial model
   c) yes, only using an animal model
   d) no, never used any model

4. Do you think that the model is similar to natural tissues?
   a) it is not similar
   b) slightly similar
   c) very similar

5. Does the simulated 4th degree perineal injury satisfactorily mimic the real life situation?
   a) yes
   b) no

Thank you for your time

Team of the Warsaw Proctology Centre
risk of failure of the primary reconstruction. The second question of the questionnaire indicates that all respondents attempted primary reconstruction. This clearly shows that they are aware of the consequences of delayed perineal repair. Despite this, an average of 39% of women suffer from incontinence after childbirth [4]. Misdiagnosis of severity of the injury or incorrect primary repair are the cause of sphincter insufficiency in this group of women. Almost half (40%) of the questioned gynecologists indicated that they asked a surgeon to help them in the reconstruction process. This could indicate lack of experience in reconstruction of 3rd and/or 4th degree tears. According to the respondents, the ability to practice on an animal model mimicking the natural tissues is not only the best, but also the only effective method to learn the surgical technique, which seems very desirable according to the answers presented in the questionnaire. According to American researchers, the training of resident doctors on animal models improved their skills by approximately 15%–30% [7–9]. Observed improvement of skills was statistically significant in cited studies and the trainees preferred animal models to artificial ones (made of synthetic materials).

A vast majority of our respondents (more than 95%) had never trained on any model mimicking the perineal tear. This emphasizes the need to promote the model as a tool to improve the qualifications of medical personnel. The respondents evaluated the model as highly similar to natural tissues (85%) and real life situation (95%). Such evaluation highlights the usefulness of the model as a training tool for physicians.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The model presented is cheap and easy to prepare. It satisfactorily mimics the tissues and tissue injuries caused by the tear, making it helpful in training physicians on the reconstruction of 3rd and 4th degree perineal tear.
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