Usefulness of saline infusion sonohysterography and feeding artery imaging in endometrial polyp diagnosis
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of this study was to assess the usefulness of sonohysterography with feeding artery visualization using transvaginal sonography to diagnose endometrial polyps.

Material and methods: We conducted an observational study of 60 perimenopausal patients referred to the Department of Fetal Medicine and Gynecology, Medical University of Lodz with abnormal uterine bleeding or suspicion of endometrial pathology based on sonography scan. In all 60 patients transvaginal sonography scan showed a possibility of an endometrial polyp. Of these, 46 underwent saline infusion sonohysterography with sonography visualization of a feeding artery. Pathological examination was performed on material collected during hysteroscopy.

Results: Sonography detection of endometrial polyp based on feeding artery visualization had a 40% sensitivity, whereas sonohysterographic polyp detection had a sensitivity of 75% and a specificity of 100%. The positive and negative predictive values of saline infusion sonohysterography in diagnosing endometrial polyps were estimated at 75% and 72% (95% CI: 52–86%), respectively. The combination of sonohysterography and feeding artery imaging in transvaginal sonography was 84% sensitive and 95% specific in detecting endometrial polyps. The positive and negative predictive values were: PPV = 96% and NPV = 89%.

Conclusion: Saline infusion sonohysterography with feeding artery visualization may become a standard method in the diagnostics of endometrial polyps in perimenopausal women.
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INTRODUCTION

Endometrial polyps are relatively common, occurring in 10% to 40% of all women. They are detected in 1% to 12% of asymptomatic patients during routine transvaginal sonography investigation [1]. In our clinical practice, we diagnosed endometrial polyps in 32% of women treated for infertility who qualified for in vitro fertilization. The incidence of precancerous and cancerous transformation is low in these polyps [1, 2]. According to recent reports, the percentage polyps that are malignant varies from 0.8% to 8% among postmenopausal patients with concomitant abnormal uterine bleeding [3–5].

A useful method of endometrial polyp detection is saline infusion sonohysterography (SIS), which is a combination of transvaginal sonography with simultaneous saline infusion into the uterine cavity. This technique allows further assessment of the uterine cavity during sonography examination [5, 6]. According to the authors’ knowledge, presented combination haven’t been analyzed widely in the literature so far. If an endometrial polyp is suspected, another diagnostic tool is preliminary verification using color Doppler to show a spiral artery penetrating the polyp as its feeding artery [7].

OBJECTIVES

The aim of this study was to assess the usefulness of saline infusion sonohysterography combined with feeding artery visualization to diagnose endometrial polyps.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the Department of Fetal Medicine and Gynecology, Medical University of Lodz
from 2010 to 2012. The prospective study protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Medical University of Lodz (No. RNN/140/07/KE). All patients signed written informed consent. We included in the investigation 60 perimenopausal and postmenopausal patients with suspected endometrial polyps based on earlier transvaginal sonographies performed using a Philips M2540A scanner with a 5 to 9 MHz frequency endovaginal transducer. During transvaginal sonography we focused on endometrial features as thickness, echogenicity, border between the endometrium and myometrium, visualization of the central echo, presence of a hypoechoic border, and presence of fluid in the uterine cavity. We attempted to show the feeding artery by using the color and power Doppler.

Possible structural endometrial abnormalities were visualized and recorded in all 60 patients, including character and topography, followed by identification of the feeding artery using color Doppler.

To verify sonography-based diagnosis, endometrial biopsy was performed. After performing transvaginal sonography the saline infusion sonohysterography (SIS) combined with feeding artery visualization (FA) was performed in selected 46 patients (Figures 1 and 2). The exclusion criteria were: 1) vaginal inflammation, 2) presence of fluid in the uterine cavity or a very thick endometrium with blurred endometrium-myometrium borders, 3) receipt of hormone replacement therapy or tamoxifen, 4) lack of informed consent, or 5) technical difficulty in introducing a catheter into the uterine cavity. The saline infusion sonohysterography was performed after routine transvaginal sonography using the same sonography device.

Data were analyzed using STATISTICA 9.0 PL software and Vassar Stats website (http://vassarstats.net/).

RESULTS

Following a final pathological verification, endometrial polyps were confirmed in 28 patients, endometrial hyperplasia in 11 patients, submucous myoma in 10 patients, and no endometrial pathology was found in 11 patients.

An endometrial feeding artery was identified on sonography in 13 patients (21.6%). A feeding artery was identified in 11 of 28 patients (39.3%) for whom an endometrial polyp was pathologically confirmed. Although no feeding artery was detected by sonography in 17 patients (60.7%) with pathologically confirmed endometrial polyps, a significant relationship was noted between sonography observed feeding arteries and pathological confirmation of endometrial polyps (Fisher’s Test with Freeman-Halton correction for other than $2 \times 2$ tables, $p = 0.025$; Table 1). Among 32 women with other endometrial pathology only 2 patients had endometrial feeding arteries (6.2%). Sonography polyp detection based on feeding artery presence was characterised by 40% sensitiv-
coefficient of agreement was 47 (78.3%) with a positive predictive value of 84% (95% CI: 58–96%) and a negative predictive value of 63% (95% CI: 50–76%).

Among 46 patients who were qualified for saline infusion sonohysterography a submucous myoma was found in 8 patients (17%), endometrial polyp was found in 21 patients (46%), and a thickened endometrium without focal abnormalities was found in 17 patients (37%). All diagnoses made after SIS were also confirmed by pathological investigations. The Cohen’s kappa coefficient of agreement was 1.00 (95% CI: 1.00–1.00). Pathologically confirmed polyp was diagnosed in 28 patients. Twenty one of them had polyp visible in sonohysterography. The sensitivity of sonohysteroscopy in diagnosing endometrial polyps was 75% (95% CI: 57–87%) and specificity was 100% (95% CI: 100–100%). The positive and negative predictive values of SIS in diagnosing endometrial polyps were estimated at: PPV = 75% (95% CI: 57–87%) and NPV = 72% (95% CI: 52–86%), respectively.

The combination of saline infusion sonohysterography with feeding artery visualization seemed to be the most useful test in detecting endometrial polyps. Among 28 patients with pathologically confirmed polyps, the SIS + FA result was negative in only 2 cases (7.1%). The SIS + FA diagnostic method was associated with 84% sensitivity (95% CI: 77–98%) and 95% specificity (95% CI: 74–99%). The positive and negative predictive values for this extended imaging diagnosis were: PPV = 96% (95% CI: 82–99%) and NPV = 89% (95% CI: 69–97%) respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Diagnosing endometrial abnormalities is an important component of gynecological care. Endometrial imaging are forced to pursue cheap, simple diagnostic algorithms that may lead to proper diagnosis and further treatment. In 2010 the International Endometrial Tumor Analysis (IETA) group published a consensus on terms, definitions and measurements of sonographic features as a basis for prospective studies. They contain also the assessment of color and power Doppler sonography [8].

The benefits of transvaginal sonography with color Doppler, saline infusion sonohysterography and hysteroscopy are highly supported [8, 9]. Transvaginal sonography is a widely accepted method of endometrial evaluation and many authors believe that normal sonography endometrial imaging sufficiently establishes absence of pathology in perimenopausal patients with abnormal uterine bleeding [10–12].

Endometrial polyp diagnosis based on feeding artery visualization using color and power Doppler techniques is a relatively new method which has not yet been supported by many studies. In our study the presence of a feeding artery was found in 13 patients, 11 of whom had pathological confirmation of an endometrial polyp. Jakab et al. similarly found that feeding artery detection was 97% sensitive in diagnosing endometrial polyps [7]. There are also reports of using color Doppler to differentiate polyps from other endometrial abnormalities based on vascularization structure [13]. Most endometrial polyps (81.3%) have a single feeding artery, whereas other endometrial changes are characterized by dispersed vascularization, rarely detected finding in polyps [13]. In our study, pathologically confirmed endometrial polyps had a single feeding artery.

Our findings support others’ conclusions that saline infusion sonohysterography enables superior imaging of uterine cavity abnormalities compared to classical transvaginal sonography. Our sensitivity of 75%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 75%, and negative predictive value of 72% for saline infusion sonohysterography in diagnosing endometrial polyps are similar to those reported by other authors [6, 14–17]. The procedure is also much better tolerated compared to hysteroscopy [15, 16]. Some authors consider negative sonohysterography results to sufficiently rule out intrauterine abnormalities [6].

Several authors have reported findings that conflict with the present study. Poorer SIS sensitivity and specificity have been reported [9, 18, 19]. In the group of 105 patients sonohysteroscopy failed to detect endometrial polyps in 30 cases [18], SIS may also lead to erroneous diagnosis of blood clots as endometrial polyps [20]. Many authors do not regard sonohysterography results as sufficient evidence to confirm or exclude endometrial abnormalities [4, 6, 12, 21–24].

In our study, we aimed to determine whether combining classical transvaginal sonography with saline infusion into the uterine cavity plus feeding artery visualisation could be used as a screening test. We found that this diagnostic algorithm for endometrial polyps was associated with a sensitivity of 84%, specificity of 95%, positive predictive value of 96%, and negative predictive value of 89%.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Saline infusion sonohysterography combined with feeding artery visualization in transvaginal sonography is an interesting tool for diagnostics of endometrial polyps.
in perimenopausal women. Despite small study group this method showed promising results in our study and would probably be a very useful tool in the future.
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