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ABSTRACT

Objective: Analysis of the annual distribution of cesarean sections and indications between 2010 and 2015 in our clinic.

Material and methods: Medical records of 10,437 cesarean section patients from a total number of 24,283 deliveries performed at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology between 2010–2015 were evaluated retrospectively. The indications for Cesarean section were analyzed and compared based on years.

Results: The lowest cesarean section rate was 18.67% in 2011 and the highest rate was 24.5% in 2013, and the annual rates were close to each other in this 6-year period (p > 0.05). History of uterine surgery was the indication with the highest rate of 49.52%, while fetal distress was the second most frequent with 12.53%; presentation anomalies were observed as the most frequent third indication with 7.55%, and umbilical cord prolapse was the least frequent indication with 0.33%.

Conclusions: Patient education about normal delivery and providing means for pain control during normal delivery, improvement in physical conditions of the clinics, frequent and regular training of the assisting staff with obstetrics physicians are important to diminish the rates of primary cesarean sections. In addition, a normal delivery after a previous cesarean section must be encouraged.

Key words: cesarean, vaginal delivery, cesarean section rates, indications

INTRODUCTION

Modern cesarean section is a surgical procedure involving incision of the abdominal wall and uterus followed by extraction of the baby, weighing above 500 grams, in cases where labor is obstructed; cesarean section first performed by the German gynecologist, Ferdinand Adolf Kehrer in 1881 [1, 2]. The first successful cesarean delivery was performed in 1974 in West Virginia, U.S. and in 1915 in the U.K. Professor Eduardo Porro of Italy suggested hysterectomy in 1876 to avoid hemorrhage during cesarean section. The mortality risk in the mother was around 80% due to hemorrhage during cesarean section in the 1500s. In recent years, cesarean section operations are highly developed and the rates of risks such as maternal death, bleeding and infection have decreased prominently. However, it should be kept in mind that cesarean section, which is accepted as a routinely performed delivery method, is still an operation and it is healthier to be performed only in required indications [2].

In 1985, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended that cesarean section rates should not pass 15%, referring to the countries in which the perinatal mortality rates are low [3]. However, in recent years, cesarean section delivery rates are 25% in Europe and Latin American countries, 31.8% in the U.S., and has increased to a record-breaking level of 46% in China [4]. In Turkey, the rate of cesarean section deliveries among all deliveries was 21.0% in 2002, 42.7% in 2009, 45.5% in 2010, 46.6% in 2011, 48.0% in 2012 and 50.4% in 2013 according to the Turkish Public Health Institution data [5].
Higher rates of detection of fetuses at risk with the assistance of high technology, increased pregnancy age and decreased maternity, preference of cesarean section due to a previous cesarean section delivery could be counted among the causes for the increase in cesarean section rates all over the world. Furthermore, the tendency of surgeons to perform cesarean section to protect themselves from lawsuits that are increased in recent years, maternal fear of normal delivery, and the possibility to plan the time and the place of delivery with cesarean section have also contributed to the increase [6].

In our study, the annual distributions of cesarean section and the indication rates between 2010–2015 in our clinic were evaluated and analyzed.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Approval of the education planning and coordination committee of our hospital was obtained and a total number of 24,283 patients who had given live births or stillbirths at 20 weeks of gestation and/or above 500 grams of birth weight between January 1st 2010 and December 31st 2015 in our clinic were included in the study after retrospective investigation of the digital record system and delivery records from the hospital archives. Data of 10,437 cesarean section cases including maternal age, gestational age at delivery, birth weight, gender of the baby and cesarean section indication were evaluated annually and compared.

The statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) (version 15.0, SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov analysis was performed in order to evaluate the normal distribution of the constant variables. The Student-t test and the One-Way Anova Tests were performed for the analysis of the data demonstrating a normal distribution. The Mann-Whitney U test was performed in order to analyze the non-normally distributed data. The Chi-square and the Fisher exact tests were used for the categorical parameters. A p value of < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

A total number of 24,283 deliveries had been performed at the Konya Training and Research Hospital, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in between January 1st 2010 and December 31st 2015, of which 10,437 were cesarean (42.98%) and 13,846 (57.02%) were vaginal deliveries; the primary cesarean section rate was 21.23%, the recurrent cesarean section rate was 21.75%, and the general rate of cesarean section deliveries was determined as 42.98%. The annual distribution of vaginal and cesarean deliveries has been presented in Table 1 and the percentage changes have been displayed in Figure 1. Accordingly, the lowest rate of primary cesarean sections was observed in 2011 with 18.67%, while the highest rate was observed in 2013 with 24.50% and the rates were close to each other including the whole 6-year period.

The mean maternal age for cesarean deliveries was observed to increase over the years; however, the mean gestational weeks, the mean newborn birth weight and the newborn gender distributions were not observed to exhibit any differences (Tab. 2).
The main indications for cesarean section observed in our study have been presented in Table 3. Previous uterine surgery was seen in 49.52%, fetal distress in 12.53%, presentation anomalies in 7.55%, cephalopelvic disproportion in 4.75%, hypertensive disease of pregnancy (mild-moderate preeclampsia, eclampsia, HELLP) in 3.93%, multiple pregnancy in 4.02%, prolonged labor in 3.77%, macrosomia in 3.64%, placental anomalies (placenta previa, placenta detachment) in 2.35%, maternal request in 0.84%, umbilical cord prolapse in 0.33% and other anomalies in 6.77%. The increase in cesarean section rates due to previous uterine surgery and macrosomia as indications compared to the first two years (2010 and 2011) was statistically significant (p < 0.05). The cesarean section rates due to prolonged labor were decreased in the last 4 years compared to the first two years, and the fetal distress was decreased in the last 2 years compared to the first 4 years significantly (p < 0.05). The annual distribution of the most frequent three cesarean indications have been demonstrated in Figure 2.

### DISCUSSION

In our study, which included cesarean deliveries in our clinic between 2010–2015, we have observed the primary cesarean section rate to be 21.23%, and the recurrent cesarean section rate as 21.75%, and the general rate of cesarean section deliveries has been determined as 42.98%; the most frequent three indications have been determined as previous uterine surgery (49.52%), fetal distress (12.53%) and presentation anomalies (7.55%). We have also found that maternal age was increased over the years; however, the birth week, the newborn birth weight and the newborn gender distribution were not changed.

In the light of improvements achieved in anesthesia and antisepsis, cesarean section is among the most common operations performed in obstetrics and gynecology clinics. The cesarean section rates, which vary between states, were 24–45% between 1980–1988 in the United States, while it was 15.1% in Colorado and 26.6% in Mississippi in 1996. In England and Scotland, the cesarean section rate is 18%, while it is 31.4% in Porto Rico and 35% in Brazil [7, 8]. The cesarean section rates worldwide are estimated as 16% in 2012, while this rate is estimated as 24% in the European region and 28% in high-income countries, and 32% in middle-income countries. In Turkey, according to the Ministry of Health data, the cesarean section rate was 14% in 1998, 21.0% in 2002, 21.4% in 2003, 42.7% in 2009, 45.5% in 2010, 46.6% in 2011, 48.0% in 2012, and 50.4% in 2013. In 2009, according to data obtained from the Ministry of Health, 39.3%
Table 3. The distribution of cesarean indications according to the years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fetal distress</th>
<th>Distocia</th>
<th>Multiple pregnancies</th>
<th>Macrosomia</th>
<th>Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy</th>
<th>Cord</th>
<th>Previous cesarean section</th>
<th>Placental anomalies</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 10,437)</td>
<td>(n = 1,307)</td>
<td>(n = 987)</td>
<td>(n = 380)</td>
<td>(n = 411)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 7,064)</td>
<td>(n = 246)</td>
<td>(n = 88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>558 (5.32%)</td>
<td>107 (8.0%)</td>
<td>88 (8.5%)</td>
<td>83 (6.2%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6 (0.5%)</td>
<td>1.07 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>710 (6.70%)</td>
<td>221 (17.0%)</td>
<td>85 (8.5%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 (0.5%)</td>
<td>1.07 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>732 (7.00%)</td>
<td>202 (15.9%)</td>
<td>85 (8.5%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 (1.0%)</td>
<td>1.07 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>773 (7.00%)</td>
<td>210 (16.9%)</td>
<td>85 (8.5%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34 (2.9%)</td>
<td>1.07 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>974 (9.00%)</td>
<td>256 (12.6%)</td>
<td>85 (8.5%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44 (3.5%)</td>
<td>1.07 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,087 (10.00%)</td>
<td>302 (12.6%)</td>
<td>85 (8.5%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>103 (8.3%)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64 (5.4%)</td>
<td>1.07 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of the deliveries in public hospitals, 63.2% of deliveries in university hospitals and 47.8% of the deliveries in private hospitals were cesarean deliveries. In 2013, the rate of cesarean sections in private hospitals was increased (67.9%) and the rate was not changed in public (36.0%) and university (63.0%) hospitals [5]. The Central Anatolian region of Turkey including the Konya province had a cesarean section rate of 16% in 2002, which is below the general rate of Turkey, and 49% in 2013, which is similar to the general rate of Turkey [5]. In our study, the total cesarean delivery rate was close to the mean of the region and Turkey with 47.8%. During the total 6 years included in our study, the cesarean delivery rates were in an increasing trend in the last 4 years of the study compared to 2010 and 2011 in general.

Development of improved imaging techniques for detection of fetal well-being, postponement of pregnancy due to reasons such as career development, increased number of previous cesarean patients, prolonged labor, and assisted reproduction treatment and breech delivery could be counted as the most important causes for the increase in cesarean delivery rates [9]. In a Cochrane database review, fetal monitoring was reported to increase the cesarean delivery at a rate of 40%; however, the frequencies of newborn intensive care and cerebral palsy were not increased [4]. Avoidance of intervened deliveries such as vacuum extraction and forceps usage and increased rates of malpractice lawsuits due to complications also contribute to the increase.

Lidegaard reported the most important cause of increased cesarean delivery rates as the wide window of indications and the liberal approach to the patient for cesarean delivery decision [9]. Miller suggested that encouragement of vaginal delivery after a previous cesarean delivery would decrease the rates and showed that cesarean delivery rates decreased to 34% in 1993 from 39% in 1980 [10].

Previous uterine surgery has been established as the most important indication in all studies evaluating cesarean delivery indications [11–13]. We found the most common cesarean delivery indication as previous uterine surgery with a rate of 49.52% consistent with the literature. We think that the reason for these findings is the 'Once cesarean, always cesarean'
It was shown that 59% of the obstetricians approved of cesarean section in case of maternal request in another study [10]. Elective cesarean is preferred by the patients as advanced age pregnancies, assisted reproduction pregnancies and tendency to avoid labor pain are increased. It is suggested that elective cesarean delivery could prevent intrapartum neurological damage and CP; however, it was shown in some studies that the delivery technique has no effect on the acute and prolonged neurological prognosis [19, 20].

Pulmonary morbidity was found to be higher in elective cesarean section than vaginal delivery, and cesarean sections performed earlier than 39th week were shown to further increase the risk. The same study emphasized the increase in autoimmune diseases (e.g. type 1 diabetes, Crohn’s disease, multiple sclerosis) and allergic diseases (e.g. asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis) parallel to the increase in cesarean section deliveries [21].

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends early discharge of the mother and newborn to protect them from hospital acquired infections [22]. It should be kept in mind that the rates of morbidities such as hemorrhage, sepsis, pulmonary embolism, endometritis and pneumonia are higher in cesarean section than normal delivery, and furthermore, it could cause late complications such as placental detachment, placenta previa, and placenta accreta [23].

Despite our clinic being a tertiary healthcare center where high risk deliveries are performed, the cesarean section rate is below the average rate observed in Turkey. However, 42.98% is almost three-fold the rate recommended by WHO, and we think that awareness of the indications for cesarean section in the first pregnancy, education and encouragement of mothers about vaginal delivery, education and training of midwives and encouragement of vaginal delivery in cases with previous cesarean section are important to decrease this rate.
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