Intraoperative damage to the urinary bladder during cesarean section — literature review
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INTRODUCTION

The last years have brought a significant increase in the number of births by cesarean section, and as a result there is expected to be an increasing number of patients with adhesions in the pelvis minor on a more frequent basis. Intratuterine adhesions are the most significant risk factors of damage to the bladder. The incidence of damage to the bladder during the cesarean section is relatively small. However, it is extremely important to anticipate the possibility of this complication, its early intraoperative diagnosis and implementation of appropriate treatment when they occur.

Damage to the urinary tract, which is a complication of cesarean section, is rarely described in the literature. However, the cesarean section is now the most frequently performed obstetric surgery in the world, and their number increases every year. Taking this into account, the obstetricians and their patients should be aware of the potential complications associated with carrying out this procedure.

Over the last century, the reduced rates of morbidity and mortality of mothers during cesarean section are noticeable, but a growing number of urological complications are expected to be seen. The most common urological complication of cesarean section is the damage of the urinary bladder [1].

Incidence of bladder damage after cesarean section ranges from 0.08 to 0.94% [2–8]. The data on injuries of the urinary bladder during cesarean section, however, are divergent because the available manuscripts use inconsistent definitions of damage and do not specify the severity of damage.

Although bladder damage during cesarean delivery is rare, obstetricians should be aware of the need to inform pregnant women about all the possible complications associated with this operation before giving informed consent for the cesarean section. The potential consequences of damage to the bladder are connected with the extension of the duration of operation, longer hospitalization time, the need to keep Foley catheter longer in the urinary bladder, the increase of infections and post-operative complications in the urinary tract, such as vesico-vaginal fistula.

The possibility of this type of complications should be also expected and it is necessary to point out that the most important is to establish the diagnosis even during the cesarean section.

In this paper, the following aspects are discussed: the risk factors, diagnosis and treatment options of damage to the bladder during cesarean section.

HOW TO AVOID DAMAGE?

The contemporary methods of cesarean section are mainly modification of the operation performed by Pfannenstiel method, which is the method described at the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries. This procedure is usually not a single surgical technique, and in many centers there are well-established types of this surgical technique. In order to minimize the damage of the urinary bladder, it is necessary to analyze different surgical techniques.

In analyzing how to perform cesarean section and its impact on the traumatism of the urinary bladder, it should be stated that the way to open the abdominal wall (modification of Pfannenstiel method, or longitudinal midline cut) does not change the probability of damage [8]. About 28.0–46.6% of damage to the bladder occurs during the opening of the peritoneum [7–9]. In the studies of some authors, bladder injuries, which occur during the opening of the peritoneum, dominate during the first cesarean section.
(46.6%), while the subsequent cesarean section predisposes to injuries in the opening of vesico-uterine pouch (32.0–60.0%) [2, 7–9]. Opening the peritoneum using the “sharp” method (Pfannenstiel method), compared to the opening with the “blunt” method (Joel-Cohen and Misgav-Ladach methods) seems to be the safer method, especially if it is the subsequent cesarean section [7]. In the Pfannenstiel and Joel-Cohen methods the vesicouterine fold of peritoneum may be incised so the bladder can be separated from the uterus to expose the lower uterine segment for incision, as described previously by Kerr [10]. Sliding the bladder down reduces the risk of injury particularly in the repeated cesarean section. Forming the bladder flap at least 1–2 cm above the top edge of the bladder can minimize the risk of bladder injury. Hysterotomy without forming bladder flap, as in the — Misgav-Ladach method and its modifications, seems to be safe mostly at first cesarean section. Special attention should be paid to this stage of the surgery, because about 23.8% of damage in case of women who give birth for first time and up to 60.0% of injuries in case of the next cesarean section is made at that moment [2, 7–9]. Approximately 14.3% of injuries occur at the time of hysterotomy (in the following caesarean section, this value rises up to 40%) [8].

In most cases, the uterus is opened laterally with a scalpel in the lower section on a length of about 2 cm, and then it is extended bluntly or sharply with fingers. There were no differences found in the incidence of uterine rupture in the published studies or the anticipated loss of blood or ease of extraction of the fetus [11, 12]. Unfortunately, in these studies, no reference was made to the urinary bladder damage [12].

The method of transverse opening of the uterus is safer compared to the infrequently used uterine incision in the midline in the lower section (De Lee and Cornell methods).

Sometimes, there is a need to enlarge the incision of the uterus in order to extract the fetus. Although no comparative studies were found of a way to extend the incision, it appears that in order to reduce the risk of injury to the bladder, extension of the hysterotomy slit should be performed in the cephalad direction.

The integral part of the cesarean by the Pfannestiel method is bladder flap repair. The distant consequence of such a technique is the greater chance of adhesions in the lower segment of the uterus, which may lead to difficulties in the subsequent cesarean section and damage to the bladder [7, 8, 13–15]. Therefore, what seems reasonable is avoiding suturing of the peritoneum as in the Joel-Cohen and Misgav-Ladach methods.

The available studies found no significant differences in the impact of suturing vesicovaginal peritoneum on the prevalence of bladder injury [14].

On the other hand, however, there are studies that have shown beneficial effects on peritoneum repair in reducing formation of adhesions [16, 17].

The probability of bladder damage increases with the times of performing the cesarean section. According to many authors, subsequent cesarean section causes approximately 4–5 fold increase in the risk of damage to the bladder [2, 7, 8].

The main risk factor of damage to the bladder is presence of peritoneal adhesions. The adhesions in the peritoneal cavity in combination with previous cesarean section increase the risk of damage to the bladder ten-fold [8]. The probability of adhesions grows with subsequent cesarean section.

The most frequently diagnosed are the adhesions of abdominal wall, bladder and uterus with the parietal peritoneum. The pathogenesis of adhesion formation is a complex process in which fibrin, clotting factors and inflammatory cells repair the peritoneum [19, 21]. The risk factors for adhe-

---

**Table 1. Time of creating damage to the bladder [7–9]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The moment of damage to the urinary bladder</th>
<th>First-time caesarean section</th>
<th>Repeated caesarean section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening of the peritoneum</td>
<td>35.7–46.6%</td>
<td>35.7–46.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of vesico-uterine pouch</td>
<td>23.8–50.0%</td>
<td>32.0–60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The opening of the uterus and the fetus extraction</td>
<td>14.3–28.6%</td>
<td>35.7–46.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure of the hysterotomy</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. The percentage of adhesions in the abdominal cavity depending on the number of caesarean section [18–20]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of cesarean sections</th>
<th>The risk of adhesions in the peritoneal cavity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.6–24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.3–42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next</td>
<td>42.2–47.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Location of the damage to the urinary bladder [8, 9]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The place of damage to the bladder</th>
<th>First-time caesarean section</th>
<th>Repeated caesarean section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dome</td>
<td>51.0–76.2%</td>
<td>48.0–53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>21.8–24%</td>
<td>46.7–52.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigone</td>
<td>0–3.0%</td>
<td>3.0–8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sions are as follows: individual predisposition, the presence of blood in the abdominal cavity, tissue ischemia, infection, excessive use of surgical instruments or direct manipulating abdominal organs [19]. Separation of the scarred tissues should be carried out using the sharp method. In the case of suspected massive adhesions, the peritoneal cavity should be opened higher than usual.

Among the documented risk factors of damage to the bladder are, for instance: abdominal surgeries, fibroids in the lower section of the uterus or endometriosis [7].

Most of the publications indicate that the total number of defects of the bladder is greater in elective cesarean sections than in case of emergency cesarean sections. This is due to the fact that majority of elective cesarean sections are in patients after at least one cesarean section or in older patients [7, 8]. It should be underlined, however, that a cesarean section, due to emergency indications, can cause haste, especially in case of less experienced surgeons, which may favor the occurrence of complications [22].

The stage of delivery is also important in the occurrence of certain risks of damage to the urinary bladder. The risk of damage to the bladder increases four-fold in the second stage of labor, compared to the 1st stage of labor [8, 23].

The reason for this risk growth is complex. Compression of the fetal presenting part changes the local blood supply to the bladder wall by increasing its vulnerability, and moreover it is often difficult to distinguish the edge of lower segment of the uterus and bladder. The station of the presenting fetal part deeper than or equal to +1 hinders its extraction, promotes damage to the lower part of the uterus, which often coexists with damage to the bladder. According to some authors it is an independent risk factor, which increases the risk of bladder damage two-fold [8]. Thus, there are to be expected clinical situations where there is a greater risk of damage to the uterus such as: PROM, the lower uterine segment in a premature birth, the malpresentation of the fetus, placenta praevia, placenta accrete, percreta and increta will increase the risk of injury of the bladder [24].

Fetal weight (more than 4000 g) proved to be an independent factor increasing the risk of injury to the bladder by 2.85 times. This may be due to the need for larger incisions of the uterus [8].

Failed attempt of natural birth after cesarean section is also associated with a higher probability of bladder damage compared to elective surgery. But this should not be a reason to discourage patients from vaginal birth after cesarean delivery [25]. There are no studies comparing the effect of type of suturing the uterus during cesarean section and the risk of bladder injury in the next operation. However, there are studies, which suggest that the double-layer suturing of the uterus reduces the risk of intra-abdominal adhesions by seven times [26].

HOW TO RECOGNIZE THE DAMAGE?

The body of the bladder is the largest part lying between the apex, fundus and neck of the bladder. The trigone of the bladder is a triangle region on the posterior wall. Most bladder injuries during cesarean section occur at the dome of the bladder (48.0–76.2%), with the remaining occurring at the body of the bladder (21.8–52.0%) and the remaining cases concern the trigone of the bladder and ureters (3–8%) [2, 7–9, 27]. According to the statistics, the first cesarean sections are dominated by damage to the top parts of the bladder (76.2%) in the subsequent cesarean sections, the number of injuries to the body and the trigone of bladder [7, 8] is increased.

Identification and immediate repair of damage during surgery reduces the risk of further procedures as well as possible complications. Most of the injuries are recognized during surgery, during extraction of the fetus, suturing the uterus (about 62%), during the inspection of the peritoneum (about 33%) or when suturing the fascia (about 12%) [2, 26]. Visual inspection is the most reliable method of assessing the integrity of the bladder. The intraoperative symptoms which indicate bladder injury are the presence of urine outside the bladder, visualization of Foley catheter in the surgical field, gross hematuria in the Foley bag and visible wound or mucous membrane of the bladder [7, 25].

The bladder may be instilled with indigo carmine or methylene blue through a urethral catheter. The extravasation of this material from the bladder enables the surgeon to identify the injury and its location. If there is a concern whether there may have been ureteral involvement in the injury, then 40 mg of indigo carmine into the patient's IV may be introduced to examine for extravasation of dye proximal to the bladder, which would suggest ureteral injury. Assessment of the extent of damage to the trigone of the bladder and ureters is usually beyond the competence of obstetrician and requires consultation of the urologist [25, 27].

HOW TO REPAIR DAMAGE?

If there has been damage to the bladder, it is necessary to have it repaired during the same operation. Unrecognized damage and failure to implement treatment lead to the development of complications and requires re-operation [2, 7, 8, 27]. Damage around the dome of the bladder less than 2 mm does not require repair or catheterization. In the event of damage up to 2 cm, a single layer of sutures (usually 3–0 absorbable suture) should be put on the wound.

The damage extending more than 2 cm should be repaired with two layers of continuous sutures with delayed absorption. Firstly, the mucosa of the urinary bladder is sutured (3–0 absorbable suture); the second layer comprises submucosa and muscular layer (3–0 absorbable suture). Non-absorbable sutures should not be used because of
the greater likelihood of urolithiasis, granulation scars and recurrent urinary tract infections [22, 27]. As mentioned above, damage in the area of a trigone of the urinary bladder can coexist with damage to the urethra and ureters; repair of this damage requires a lot of experience, thus, the help the urologist is needed [27, 28]. In order to confirm bladder integrity it may be useful to fill the bladder with methylene blue dye.

Some centers routinely perform and recommend a cystoscopy after bladder repair surgery — especially involving posterior wall and the bladder trigone, which is often accompanied by rupture of the uterus towards the cervix [9]. The bladder should be continuously drained with the use of a Foley catheter for at least 7–10 days postoperatively. Sometimes it is appropriate to assume ureteral catheters and drainage of the peritoneal cavity. Most of the centers during the time of maintaining Foley catheter use the antibiotic prophylaxis according to urine culture from a sample taken directly from the Foley catheter [2, 7, 8]. However, there is no clear evidence of the need for such a procedure. However, it seems that the antibiotics use needs to be individually adjusted according to the clinical situation [12]. Damage to the posterior wall and the bladder trigone, which is often accompanied by rupture of the uterus towards the cervix [9]. Damage to the bladder rarely remains undiagnosed during cesarean section. There are also many signs of the postoperative period, which suggest damage to the bladder. These symptoms may include hematuria, oliguria, abdominal pain, intestinal obstruction, ascites, peritonitis and sepsis. Cystography or computed tomography with cystography is used for the purpose of diagnosis of the initially unrecognized damage. In diagnostically obscure situations a method of exploratory laparotomy [27] should also be taken into account.

**SUMMARY**

As a result of the global increase in the number of deliveries by cesarean section and the increase in the number of patients who have had (at least one) cesarean section, who become pregnant again — the risk of damage to the bladder when performing the most common obstetric operation is real. The key role is a proper risk assessment before and during the operation and the immediate recognition of this complication. Implementation of appropriate procedures at the time of surgery and in the postoperative period can reduce the impact of the distant results of these most common urological complications of cesarean section.
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