Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion reduces neonatal risk in pregnant women with type 1 diabetes mellitus
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: An attempt was made to demonstrate the superiority of the treatment model using continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) over multiple daily injections (MDI) of insulin in achieving a successful pregnancy outcome and good newborn’s condition in patients with type 1 diabetes.

Material and methods: The study included 297 infants born to type 1 diabetic patients; 175 patients were treated with MDI and 122 with CSII.

Maternal metabolic control during pregnancy, gestational weight gain, insulin requirements, pregnancy outcome and neonatal status were compared between MDI and CSII arm.

The composite adverse neonatal outcome was diagnosed if at least one of the following was found: abnormal birth weight (LGA or SGA), congenital malformation, miscarriage, intrauterine fetal death, emergency CS due to fetal risk, iatrogenic prematurity, RDS, hypoglycemia, hyperbilirubinemia, and the postpartum pH in the umbilical artery ≤ 7.1.

Results: The studied groups did not differ regarding gestational week at delivery, a proportion of births at full term, preterm births, miscarriages, or late pregnancy losses (intrauterine fetal death > 22 weeks). Newborns of mothers treated with CSII showed lower incidence of neonatal complications (composite adverse neonatal outcome) compared to those of mothers treated with MDI (60% vs 74%, respectively; p = 0.01). We did not find any association between the mode of treatment and composite adverse maternal outcome.

Conclusions: The use of CSII in the treatment of pregnant women with type 1 diabetes was associated with reduced number of neonatal complications presented as neonatal composite outcome but had no influence on maternal outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Short after insulin introduction, perinatal mortality of newborns among mothers with type 1 diabetes (T1DM) reached 40%. In the 1980s, it dropped to 5% in the most advanced centers due to modern medical treatments, with a further reduction to 1–2% that is reported today [1]. Apart from this substantial improvement, pregnancy in women with type 1 diabetes is still associated with an elevated fetomaternal risk. Unfortunately, we are still far from pregnancy outcomes in patients with T1DM being comparable to the general population.

The number of miscarriages and birth defects in the diabetic population remains 2 to 4 times higher than in the healthy population [2–5]. Maternal hyperglycemia in later pregnancy is a risk factor for late intrauterine fetal death, which is 2 to 5 times higher than in the rest of the population. Moreover, small vessels disease, characteristic for long-lasting diabetes also induces changes in placental
micro vessels, resulting in placental insufficiency that also contributes to an increased proportion of fetal demise [6]. Fetal macrosomia, occurring in 25–42% of newborns, is a consequence of increased glucose and lipid transfer and selective transport of amino acids through the placenta in the second half of pregnancy. Subsequent fetal hyperinsulinemia results in hypertrophy and hyperplasia of fetal cells [7]. Fetal hyperinsulinemia also inhibits the stimulating effects of cortisol and lowers lecithin levels, resulting in an impaired synthesis of surfactant and causing delayed fetal lung maturation. This impairment can manifest as respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) that occurs 4 to 6 times more often in that group of neonates and can also happen in deliveries at term. RDS coexists with other symptoms of diabetic fetopathy: hypoglycemia, polycythemia, and hyperbilirubinemia [8]. New data also link maternal diabetes to remote health risks in the offspring such as type 2 diabetes and obesity in later life, by altering intrauterine development and growth [9].

Objectives
An attempt was made to demonstrate the superiority of the treatment model using continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) over multiple daily injections (MDI) of insulin in achieving a successful pregnancy outcome and good newborn’s condition in patients with type 1 diabetes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
A retrospective analysis based on medical records of the pregnancies and their outcome, and neonates born from 297 pregnant women with T1DM. Patients who developed type 1 diabetes at least one year before conception, with single pregnancy and were given obstetric care before the 15th week of gestation were included in the study. Participants were referred from local diabetic units for antenatal care with a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes set at a baseline by practitioners who initiated medical therapy. For perinatal care, we monitored selected biochemical parameters evaluated at the Central Laboratory of the Hospital and daily glucose profiles performed by the continuous glucose monitoring system (CGMS).

Each patient referred to the unit in early pregnancy between 2010 to 2015 for further antenatal care, was offered to continue MDI or to participate in appropriate training on CSII. In patients who completed the training, we commenced treatment with CSII. None of the participants used the continuous glucose monitoring system (CGMS).

According to the center’s protocol, the patients were hospitalized at least once during each trimester and were also seen in the outpatient clinic for combined diabetes and obstetrics antenatal care, which enabled data collection throughout the entire pregnancy.

We used the following criteria for good diabetes control during pregnancy: glycated hemoglobin ≤ 6.1% (43 mmol/mol), fasting glucose 60–90 mg/dL (3.3–5.0 mmol/L), glycemia one hour after a meal < 120 mg/dL (6.7 mmol/L) and nocturnal glycemia > 60 mg/dL (3.3 mmol/L), in accordance to the recommendations of the Polish Diabetes Association (PTD) of 2011 [11]. Patients kept self-monitoring diaries with commercially available glucometers determining capillary glucose levels. We diagnosed hypoglycemic events if glucose concentration was below 40 mg/dL (2.2 mmol/L). Postprandial hyperglycemia was defined as a glycemia above 140 mg/dL (7.8 mmol/L) one hour after a meal.

We retrieved the following pieces of information from medical notes of the participants: patient age, age at diabetes onset, duration of illness, gestational age and body mass index (BMI) at the first antenatal visit, number of previous births, vascular complications like nephro- and retinopathy, and prepregnancy hypertension.

In subsequent trimesters, we monitored selected biochemical parameters evaluated at the Central Laboratory of the Hospital and daily glucose profiles performed by patients using glucometers for self-control during hospitalization.

From the medical records, we retrieved the following feto-maternal pregnancy outcomes: gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, indications for caesarean sections, miscarriages and intrauterine deaths after 22nd gestational week. Women who lost their pregnancies within four weeks after enrollment were excluded from the analysis.

In newborns, we analyzed: birth weight, Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes, pH from umbilical artery, birth weight (LGA; birthweight > 90th percentile according to local growth charts for normal population, customized for gestational age at delivery and sex; SGA; birthweight < 10th percentile according to local growth charts for normal population, customized for gestational age at delivery and sex), we also recorded RDS, hyperbilirubinemia, hypoglycemia, and congenital malformations.

We defined the following abnormalities as malformations: cardiovascular defects (ventricular septal defect (VSD)
and atrial septal defect (ASD), transposition of the great arteries (TGA), and coarctation of the aorta), caudal regression syndrome, and defects of the neural tube in the form of encephalocele [12]. We did not include newborns who have been diagnosed with patent foramen ovale because its prevalence was similar to this seen in the general population. Therefore, it could not be attributed to the maternal disease [13].

We defined a composite adverse neonatal outcome as abnormal birth weight (LGA or SGA), presence of congenital malformation, miscarriage, intrauterine fetal death, emergency CS due to fetal risk, iatrogenic prematurity, RDS, hypoglycemia, hyperbilirubinemia, and the postpartum pH in the umbilical artery ≤ 7.1 [14].

The composite adverse maternal outcome was recorded if at least one of the following occurred: newly diagnosed gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, emergency delivery due to maternal risk, a progression of vascular complications or diagnosis of vascular complications de novo, excessive gestational weight gain.

For the statistical analysis, we used tests appropriate for the distribution of the variables. Quantitative variables with normal distribution were presented as mean ± SD or as median with minimum and maximum values for variables with nonparametric distribution. The chi² test was used to compare the characteristics of the unrelated nominal variables. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the unrelated ordinal variables. Logistic regression models were made to demonstrate the significance of the impact of the independent parameters on the occurrence of the studied endpoint. A relative risk analysis was performed to compare the two treatment methods. P values below 0.05 were considered significant. Statistical calculations were performed using Microsoft Excel 2010, Statistica 7.1, and SPSS 14.0.

**RESULTS**

Characteristics of participants did not show statistically significant differences in age, the onset of care, or baseline BMI between the groups. Pregnant women in the CSII arm were significantly younger when diagnosed with T1DM, had a significantly longer history of the disease and were significantly more likely to plan their pregnancies, comparing to the MDI arm. The CSII group also included significantly more patients with long-lasting diabetes with vascular changes in comparison to the MDI group. (Tab. 1).

Daily insulin requirements and gestational weight gain were similar between the groups. We observed an improvement in metabolic control regardless of the type of therapy. A longitudinal analysis of HbA1c showed a significant reduction in this parameter across the trimesters, irrespectively of the method of treatment. Also, mean daily glucose levels, fasting, postprandial and nocturnal glycermia improved in both arms. It is notable that fasting and nocturnal glycemia in the CSII group remained in the target range according to recommendations, but the results of MDI patients were higher [11]. We did not find differences in the number of hypoglycemic episodes during pregnancy among pregnant women from both groups. However, CSII treatment was associated with a significantly lower incidence of postprandial hyperglycemia in the second (15% vs 4.5%) and in the third (22.5% vs 7.7%) trimester compared to the MDI group (Tab. 2).

The studied groups did not differ regarding gestational week at delivery, a proportion of births at full term, preterm births, miscarriages, or late pregnancy losses. However, we noted a trend for the increased number of preterm births and intrauterine deaths in MDI arm (Tab. 3). In our cohort, we evaluated the composite adverse neonatal outcome between the groups and noted significantly reduced proportion of neonatal complications in the CSII group (p < 0.01) (Tab. 4). Maternal age, participant age when diagnosed with the disease and pregnancy planning (characteristics that differed significantly between the MDI and CSII groups, see Table 1) did not significantly correlate with composite neonatal outcome. Birth weight and Apgar scores were not statistically different, but we noted a trend for a higher first-minute Apgar score in CSII arm.

In the logistic regression model, we confirmed that duration of diabetes, the first-trimester body weight, glycated haemoglobin in the first and second trimesters, and insulin requirement in the last trimester were significant predictors

---

**Table 1. Characteristics of the studied groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyzed parameter</th>
<th>MDI N = 175</th>
<th>CSII N = 122</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of the pregnant woman  [years]</td>
<td>28.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>27.9 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.96*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease onset [years]</td>
<td>17.9 ± 8.2</td>
<td>14.5 ± 6.9</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration [years]</td>
<td>10.2 ± 6.8</td>
<td>13.5 ± 8.4</td>
<td>0.0004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of diabetes care [w.g.]</td>
<td>7.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight at the beginning of care [kg]</td>
<td>67.1 ± 13.2</td>
<td>65.8 ± 11.3</td>
<td>0.58*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain during pregnancy [kg]</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>0.50*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI at the beginning of care [kg/m²]</td>
<td>24.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>23.8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients planning pregnancy [N; %]</td>
<td>55 (30)</td>
<td>55 (45)</td>
<td>0.02**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with nephropathy [N; %]</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
<td>13 (11)</td>
<td>0.33**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with diabetic retinopathy [N; %]</td>
<td>25 (14)</td>
<td>20 (16)</td>
<td>0.62**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with chronic hypertension [N; %]</td>
<td>19 (11)</td>
<td>12 (10)</td>
<td>0.77**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mann-Whitney test, ** Chi² test
of excessive fetal birth weight in the studied population (Tab. 5). In a separate analysis the proportion of LGA newborns was similar between the arms.

Late pregnancy loss (intrauterine death) occurred in five patients in the studied population. Four cases recorded in the MDI arm occurred in patients with an inadequate metabolic control throughout the whole pregnancy (mean HbA1c in a subgroup of 9.7%) or noncomplying (not reporting for scheduled antenatal check-ups in the referral center). A single case noted in the CSII arm occurred in a well-controlled woman with long-lasting diabetes and coexisting diabetic kidney disease which is an independent risk factor for unfavorable neonatal outcome.

We did not note any association between the mode of treatment end composite adverse maternal outcome.
glycemia is a vicious cycle that raises insulin resistance. This wrong habit also leads to an excessive gestational body weight gain and adds to dangerous changes in lipid profile. This way, inappropriate insulin dose also contributes to metabolic changes that often lead to excessive fetal growth, despite apparently good metabolic control [19].

An important problem during pregnancy complicated by pregestational diabetes is the abnormal growth of the fetus which can manifest as excessive (LGA) or restricted (SGA) growth. Excessive fetal growth is caused mainly by fetal hyperinsulinemia, resulting from maternal hyperglycemia [20]. However, studies on metabolic control during pregnancy as a predictor of growth disorders provide contradictory results, whether it is more influenced by the average glycemia or pre- or postprandial glycemias. Some authors confirm that elevated HbA1c levels are a significant risk indicator of LGA [21, 22]. Combs et al. [23] deny, however, the importance of average glycemia, and pointed out especially on postprandial hyperglycemia. In recent reports, LGA newborns are predominant in pregnancies complicated by mild hyperglycemia and maternal obesity, whereas the increased prevalence of SGA is characteristic for pregnancies complicated by diabetic microangiopathy, especially diabetic kidney disease, as well as hypertension and preeclampsia [24, 25]. These observations demonstrate the contribution of factors other than glycemia to fetal growth abnormalities, i.e. obesity and accompanying lipid disorders and the role of vascular complications. Also, in our cohort, we did not find any significant differences between the mean birth weight in the MDI-group and those of the CSII group. Our results are consistent with the results of Cypryk et al. [26], who did not show the effect of type of insulin therapy on an occurrence of either LGA and SGA in their group. It should be noted, however, that in the CSII group, the proportion of LGA was a few percent lower than in the MDI group, while the percentage of SGA was very similar in both groups although the CSII group consisted of patients with more complicated diabetes. The lack of significant differences in the incidence of growth disorders does not, however, make it possible to unequivocally demonstrate the superiority of any of the analyzed methods of intensive functional insulin therapy. However, due to the fact that the percentage of all growth disorders was lower in the CSII group, it seems that this model of treatment may contribute to a reduction in the incidence of these disorders.

In the logistic regression model, the predictors of LGA were: duration of diabetes, patient body weight in the first trimester, glycated hemoglobin in the first and second trimesters, and insulin requirement in the last trimester of pregnancy. These associations provide an additional proof that in an appropriately controlled pregnant woman, the occurrence of excessive fetal birth weight depends on many factors and the fact that the insulin requirement in the third trimester of pregnancy was the most potent predictor confirms the role of insulin resistance in inducing excessive growth. Low body weight in pregnant women seems to correlate with the fact that these patients often pay less attention to later weight gain in pregnancy than overweight patients, and we know that excessive weight gain is also a factor inducing excessive fetal growth.

Assessment of pregnancy outcomes including newborn birth weight, week of delivery, and the mode of delivery, did not show statistically significant differences between the MDI and CSII groups, which is in agreement with the results of other authors [18, 27–29]. The timing and mode of delivery in patients with T1DM remains a subject to extensive discussion. In the absence of indications resulting from vascular complications, fetal macrosomia, or birth defects, there are no indications for scheduled cesarean section [11]. The situation can change completely intrapartum when symptoms of fetal or maternal risks can occur suddenly. Neff et al. showed a significant difference in the higher proportion of pregnancies completed by cesarean section in CSII patients. However, this was a group of patients with a significantly longer disease history and was, therefore, more burdened by vascular complications [30]. In our cohort, we did not find any significant difference in the proportion of physiological labours, labours with interventions, or cesarean section.

Most of the available reports show no effect of the used therapy on the newborn status after birth [18, 26, 30, 31]. Tylaviya et al. [32] demonstrated that the only significant parameter is the Apgar score, which was higher in CSII mothers. Several reasons make any epidemiological analysis of pregnancy outcomes in diabetic mothers challenging: first, CSII is a relatively new modality in diabetes treatment, and only limited amount of data from small cohorts of pregnant women is available. Second, due to a general improvement in antenatal care seen in the last decades in the settings where specific needs of pregnant women are appropriately addressed, some of the perinatal complications (e.g. late intrauterine death or intrapartum complications) became rare anyway. Therefore, prospective intervention trials adequately powered to track rare complications in a population of pregnant women suffering from the disease complicating ca. 1% of pregnancies would be trying even if running such research in a frame of multicenter cooperation. In our cohort, we found a significantly reduced number of overall neonatal complications, defined as a composite adverse neonatal outcome, in the CSII arm. Although this difference lost statistical significance after controlling for the confounded, it still remains a possible relevant clinical finding. The reasons for this advantage should be sought in facilitated glycemic control with the use of insulin pump that accurately adjusts
the dose of both the basal insulin and the type and dose of prandial boluses [33]. Continuous infusion allows for a more flexible and stable administration of basal insulin achieving nocturnal and early-morning normoglycemia more efficiently than long-acting insulin boluses administered with a pen at night. Also, reduced number of hyperglycemia noted in our CSI arm could suggest improved glycemic variability, i.e. diminished short-term glucose fluctuations that can translate into a better endothelial function in placenta and more stable placental transfer of nutrients. All this contributes to the optimization of treatment and the achievement of better metabolic control that alleviates or substantially reduces potent adverse effects of hyperglycemia on the fetus [18, 27, 34].

**CONCLUSIONS**

Treatment of type 1 diabetic pregnant women with a personal insulin pump allows for the optimization of therapy and precise titration of the basal insulin dose and the type and size of the prandial boluses.

As a result, we note a decreased incidence of hyperglycemia, which seems to reduce the risk of composite adverse neonatal outcome and early postpartum complications in the offspring in this group of patients.
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