The value of an initial drop in Human Chorionic Gonadotropin levels in predicting a response to methotrexate in women with low-risk Gestational Trophoblastic Neoplasia
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The early identification of patients who are being treated for low-risk gestational trophoblastic neoplasia (LRGTN) with single-agent chemotherapy, who are at high risk of developing chemoresistance, is of crucial importance. The aim of our research was to evaluate the pretreatment beta subunit of human chorionic gonadotropin (βhCG) concentration and its decrease after the administration of the first course of methotrexate (MTX) in predicting later chemo-resistance to single-agent chemotherapy.

Material and methods: A total of 46 patients diagnosed with LRGTN treated with a 5-day methotrexate (MTX) regimen were retrospectively studied. 24 of the patients were successfully cured with only MTX therapy (MTX group). The disease was considered resistant in the remaining 22 patients who, after MTX therapy, required further chemotherapy with an EMA/CO regimen (EMA/CO group). To compare changes in the βhCG concentrations between the two courses of treatment (and the two groups), we calculated the percentage of decline. We determined the specificity and sensitivity of the initial βhCG level and its percentage decline, as a potential predictor of the need for a future EMA/CO regimen. For diagnostic purposes, βhCG levels were measured before the first and second administrations of MTX with a commercial ELISA kit.

Results: In the EMA/CO group, we found the initial βhCG level before the first MTX dose was higher (median = 6275 mIU/mL, range: 21.53–192.610.0 mIU/mL) than in the MTX group (median = 532 mIU/mL, range: 56.5 mIU/mL–360.397.0 mIU/mL) (p = 0.034, Mann-Whitney test). The percentage decreases in the βhCG values relative to the initial concentrations were higher in the MTX group (median decrease = 82.7%, range: from 13.3% to 99.9%) than in the EMA/CO group (median decrease = 71.1%, range: from an increase of 56.1% to a decrease of 97.1%) (p = 0.0079, Mann-Whitney test). An analysis of the ROC curves implied optimal cutoff values for the initial βhCG (6054 IU, sensitivity = 55%, specificity = 86%) and the percentage change in βhCG levels (decrease by 76.5%, sensitivity = 72%, specificity = 71%).

Conclusions: 1. Women with initially higher βhCG levels have a greater risk of developing MTX chemo resistance.
2. It would be advantageous to consider administering an EMA/CO regimen in women with LRGTN when their initial βhCG levels are greater than 6000.
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identification of patients who have a higher risk of developing more aggressive forms of the disease. Based on WHO criteria that consider epidemiological data, the course of disease has been qualified as either low-risk (LRGTN) or high-risk (HRGTN). Based on this same WHO risk-factor scoring system as modified by FIGO, patients diagnosed with GTN are classified as having either a low-risk or a high-risk of developing methotrexate (MTX) resistance. The FIGO Prognostic Scoring System is also designed to identify patients with a high risk of developing aggressive GTN [2]. Clinical features included in this scoring system are pretreatment beta subunit of human chorionic gonadotropin (βhCG) levels, form of preceding pregnancy, time since the last pregnancy, age, size of the tumor, site of the spread, number of metastases, and the drugs used that failed to treat the tumor. Due to varying dynamics of the disease, this staging system does not always work perfectly in practice. Whereas low-risk GTN patients (who have received < 5 points) are treated with single-agent chemotherapy, and for high-risk patients (who have received >= 7 vpoints) multi-agent chemotherapy is recommended. βhCG, though included in the WHO/FIGO criteria, is not the only decisive factor in determining future management. In practice, it may happen that patients with a relatively high βhCG levels are still qualified as have a low risk of the disease. Some patients, particularly those with high pre-treatment βhCG levels, who are assigned to the LRGTN group and are thus administered with a single-agent chemotherapy, in fact, later, require therapy conversion. Although the disease is highly sensitive to chemotherapy [3], early diagnosis and the best choice of treatment regimen are important for patients; and this may also shorten the treatment time, and consequently, its costs. If misdiagnosed at an early stage, malignant gestational trophoblastic disease may metastasize to distant organs. In addition, early diagnosis can permit early application of the appropriate treatment, which can increase the patient’s chances of a faster recovery. Correct diagnosis can be obtained effortlessly using analysis of the concentration of human chorionic gonadotropin. But selecting the right treatment for the patient is more complicated. The application of a therapy that is too gentle can trigger drug resistance, whereas choosing a too-aggressive therapy increases the incidence of unwanted side effects and a premature disqualification of the patient from the therapy. Either discontinuing or disturbing treatment may also cause drug resistance, with the consequence of the patient remaining unhealed, and eventually, patient mortality. Almost 99% of low-risk patients respond to monotherapy, whereas 70% of medium-risk patients (who scored 5 and 6 points) do not respond to the first-line treatment and require an adjustment of their treatment regimen. About 25–30% of patients with GTN develop resistance to the first-line chemotherapy and require alteration of their therapy [3]. One of the most significant steps in the course of a GTN resistant therapy is choosing the right moment to apply the triple or multi-agent regimen. Until now, diagnosis of resistance to single agent treatment with MTX has been based on the rise or stagnation of serum βhCG levels during treatment, and (or) development of new metastases; however, no consensus on a defining guideline regarding the diagnosis of MTX resistance has been established [4]. Also, initially high levels of serum βhCG are a known risk factor of MTX treatment failure, though its dynamics during the treatment of MTX-sensitive and MTX-resistant patients still require some investigation. The aim of our study was to investigate whether initial differences in βhCG levels between the first and second doses of methotrexate are predictive of a future need for conversion to a multi-agent EMA/CO regimen.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Patient Characteristics**

A total of 46 patients diagnosed with low-risk GTN were retrospectively analyzed. All patients had been treated between November 2000 and September 2016 in the Department of Gynecology, Obstetrics and Gynecologic Oncology of the Poznan University of Medical Sciences, which is the Polish Reference Center for GTD. All women were initially qualified for a 5-day, single-agent therapy based on the WHO criteria (25 mg of Methotrexate once daily, administered intramuscularly). The pre-treatment evaluation of each patient included a physical examination, measuring the serum human chorionic gonadotropin levels, and conducting pelvic ultrasonography and chest radiography. Serum βhCG levels were evaluated before each chemotherapy cycle. Treatment was stopped if the βhCG levels normalized (≤ 1 mIU/mL) (MTX group). If there was an increase, a plateauing or a decrease of βhCG concentrations of less than 15% between any of the previous and following courses of MTX, the therapy was converted to a multi-agent regimen. At some point, 22 patients were considered resistant to the treatment of the 5-day MTX therapy and were subsequently treated with an EMA/CO regimen (EMA/CO group).

The study was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Declaration of Helsinki and the study protocol was approved by the Ethical Review Board of the Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland (Decision No. 425/14).

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of data was performed in R programming language (version 3.4.1) using RStudio (version 0.98.1060). The normality of the distribution was verified using the Shapiro test. Groups with a normal distribution were compared using the t-Student test. Groups with distributions that deviated from normal were compared using the Mann-Whitney test. The results were considered significant for p values < 0.05. P values are specified in the figures included in this paper. Plots were generated using ggplot2 and ggpubr R packages. P values were calculated...
and added to the plots using the `stat_compare_means()` function. Boxplots were generated using the `geom_boxplot()` function. Plot whiskers extend to the most extreme data point, within 1.5 times the interquartile range of the box. The upper and lower “hinges” correspond to the first and third quartiles. The central line in the boxplot represents the median. Dots in the plot were generated using the function `geom_jitter()` and correspond to the single measurements of individual patients. An R package `plotROC` was applied to produce receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves and to calculate the area under the curve (AUC). The cutoff values were determined using the `OptimalCutpoints` R package based on the Youden index. The predictive performance of the markers were compared using the Delong test and were computed using the `roc.test()` function from the `pROC` R package.

**RESULTS**

The range of initial βhCG concentrations in the EMA/CO group members equaled 21.53 mIU/mL–192.610.0 mIU/mL. Before conversion to the EMA/CO therapy, patients received between 200 and 5050mg of MTX (between 1 and 16 methotrexate cycles were administered, with patients receiving 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 or 16 MTX courses). In the MTX group, 24 patients received between 3 and 10 administrations of methotrexate (the range of initial βhCG: 56.5mIU/mL–360.397.0 mIU/mL) and were successfully managed using MTX therapy (with an overall MTX dose of 375–900 mg) without further conversion to an EMA/CO regimen (MTX group).

We observed that the median of the initial βhCG levels before the onset of methotrexate therapy was higher in the EMA/CO (median = 6275) group than in the MTX (median = 532) group (p = 0.034, Mann-Whitney test, Fig. 1). To analyze the initial dynamics of βhCG, we plotted the corresponding concentrations at the first and second doses of methotrexate (Fig. 2A). To compare the decrease in βhCG levels between patients, we plotted the percentage change relative to the initial level at dose 1 of methotrexate (Fig. 2B). We observed that the average drop (the dashed line in Figure 2B) in the relative βhCG values was more pronounced in the MTX

![Figure 1. βhCG level at the time of diagnosis](image-url)
To determine whether that difference was relevant, we compared the percentage change in the βhCG levels between the EMA/CO and MTX groups. We demonstrated that the gradient in the relative βhCG values was more negative in the MTX (median decrease = 82.7%, range: 13.3–99.9%) group than in the EMA/CO (median decrease = 71.1%, range: from an increase of 56.1% to a decrease of 97.1%) group (p = 0.0079, Mann-Whitney test, Fig. 3). To evaluate the predictive value of those parameters we determined their specificity and sensitivity in predicting the future need for conversion to an EMA/CO regimen (Fig. 4A and 4B). An analysis of the ROC curves implied optimal cutoff values for the initial βhCG (6054 IU, sensitivity = 55%, specificity = 86%, based on Youden index) and the percentage change in the βhCG levels (a decrease of 76.5%, sensitivity = 72%, specificity = 71%, based on Youden index). The parameters of measuring the initial βhCG levels in comparison with the percentage changes in βhCG levels, and then comparing these results between the EMA/CO and MTX groups, showed that their predictive value did not differ (AUC for the initial βhCG and the percentage change in the βhCG levels were 0.68 and 0.74, respectively; DeLong test, p = 0.96). Altogether, we have shown that patients who will, in the future, be resistant to methotrexate and who will require an EMA/CO therapy (EMA/CO group) have a higher initial value of βhCG and a lower drop in the percentage of the βhCG value when measured between the first and second administrations of methotrexate.

**DISCUSSION**

Both defining and treating low-risk GTN remain somewhat controversial [4]. Tumor resistance is described as an increase in hCG levels or their stagnation over a 2 to 3-week period [5], although there is no internationally accepted consensus on the definition of drug resistance resulting from chemotherapy [6]. There is also no diagnostic tool available to predict chemoresistance and consequently to establish an appropriate treatment schema at the beginning of therapy.

In our study, we focused on only one factor (the regression of βhCG levels between the first and second doses of methotrexate) as the prognostic indicator of methotrexate resistance. We aimed to evaluate whether the patients, who would need converting to a single-agent therapy in the future, have a lower decrease in their βhCG levels between the first and second doses of MTX administered for LRGTN. MTX was the first agent used and is still the most common drug used in the treatment of LRGTN [7]. It has already been shown that a 5-day schema has minimal toxicity, and this compares favorably with the findings of other reports using similar methotrexate protocols [8–11]. Therefore, we used a 5-day regimen of methotrexate as the initial treatment for low-risk GTN. We noticed that the median initial βhCG level prior to the onset of methotrexate therapy was higher in the EMA/CO group than in the MTX group. Our research also revealed that patients who would become resistant to methotrexate in the future, and therefore require EMA/CO therapy, have a lower percentage decrease in their βhCG values when measured between the first and second administrations of methotrexate.

**Figure 2.** A. Concentrations of βhCG at the first and second doses of methotrexate B. The percentage change relative to the initial level at dose 1 of methotrexate
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Figure 3. βhCG change between dose 1 and 2 of methotrexate therapy

Figure 4. A. Prediction based on initial value of βhCG before methotrexate therapy B. Prediction based on percentage change in βhCG between cycle 1 and 2 of methotrexate therapy
accuracy for identifying resistance to single-agent chemotherapy, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.949 and 0.975, respectively, in the ROC curve analysis [12].

Maesta et al. [4] examined the time taken to achieve hCG remission in patients with low-risk postmolar GTN. The authors confirmed that a complete mole histology prior to GTN, the presence of metastatic disease, the use of a multi-agent therapy and a higher FIGO score were all independent factors associated with a longer time to hCG remission in patients with low-risk GTN. Chapman-Davis et al. [8] looked for the factors connected with resistance to single-agent methotrexate chemotherapy. Those authors described the presence of metastases, a clinicopathologic diagnosis of choriocarcinoma, higher FIGO/WHO scores and higher pretreatment hCG levels as factors associated with resistance to initial chemotherapy. In another research project, it was revealed that patients diagnosed with LRGTN and with a pre-treatment hCG > 400,000 IU/L should receive multi-agent chemotherapy (EMA/CO) from the onset of treatment due to a poor response to a methotrexate-folic acid (MTX-FA) regimen [13]. Also, Soper et al [14], reported the influence of high pre-treatment hCG levels on a negative response for drug resistance, and this finding coincides with our own results.

You et al. [15–17] concentrated on finding a model for hCG that may be useful in predicting MTX resistance. Model-building is demanding and challenging, but the authors’ results may have practical clinical application [17]. The NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group-174 (GOG-174) trial showed the value of modelling the kinetic parameters of hCG decline regarding the resistance of the disease to a single-agent chemotherapy; and in fact, their results revealed that their modelling could predict the individual risk of such resistance [15]. In an earlier study, the same authors confirmed that it is possible to design an individual model for hCG decline curves using a kinetic population approach with LRGTN patients, and that derived parameters were strong early predictors of MTX resistance [16]. In their subsequent research, the same authors confirmed the superior predictive power of the kinetic population modelling approach. The authors showed that by using individually calculated modelling of the residual production of hCGres values, it was possible to accurately identify about 80% of the patients who would develop MTX resistance [17].

Further retrospective research based on a larger population of patients is desired to give results that will enable early and adequate treatment for patients with low-risk GTN. Identifying the prognostic factors associated with effective treatment choices may help avoid unnecessary prolongation of the therapy and therefore minimize the side effects of chemotherapy and treatment costs and reduce patient anxiety.

1. Women with initially higher βhCG levels have a greater risk of developing MTX chemo resistance.

2. It would be advantageous to consider administering an EMA/CO regimen in women with LRGTN when their initial βhCG levels are greater than 6000.
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