

Biochemical markers in screening for preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction

Markery biochemiczne w predykcji stanu przedrzucawkowego i zahamowania wewnątrzmacicznego wzrastania płodu

Ewelina Litwińska¹, Magdalena Litwińska², Przemysław Oszukowski¹, Krzysztof Szaflik², Waldemar Litwiński³, Maciej Korcz⁴, Piotr Kaczmarek⁴

¹ Perinatology and Gynecology Clinic, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital-Research Institute, Lodz, Poland

² Gynecology, Fertility and Fetal Therapy Clinic, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital-Research Institute, Lodz, Poland

³ Gynecology and Obstetrics Outpatients' Practice "Medyk", Wloclawek, Poland

⁴ Operative Gynecology and Oncological Gynecology Clinic, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital-Research Institute, Lodz, Poland

Abstract

Objectives: The aim of the study was to evaluate the relationship between the concentrations of substances released by the placenta: placental growth factor (PIGF), pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A) and free beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β -hCG) and the risk of early and late preeclampsia (PE) and intrauterine fetal growth restriction (IUGR).

Material and methods: A total of 180 pregnant women between 11+0 and 13+6 weeks gestation were recruited for a case-control study. Twenty-two patients suffered from early PE, 29 patients from late PE. Data analyzed during the study included maternal history and concentrations of PAPP-A, PIGF, β -hCG.

Results: The multiple of the median (MoM) value of the PAPP-A concentrations was 1.01 in the control group (interquartile range (IQR), 0.65-1.55), 0.67 (IQR, 0.382-0.82) in the group of patients with early preeclampsia and 0.74 (IQR, 0.33-1.09) in the group of patients suffering from late preeclampsia. MoM value of the PIGF concentrations was 1.21 in the control group (IQR, 0.93-1.57), 0.62 (IQR, 0.51-0.96) in the group of patients with early preeclampsia and 0.92 (IQR, 0.63-1.09) in the group of patients suffering from late preeclampsia. MoM value of β -hCG concentrations was 1.14 in the control group (IQR, 0.75-1.49), 1.08 (IQR, 0.74-1.23) in the group of patients with early preeclampsia and 1.25 (IQR, 1.05-1.49) in the group of patients suffering from late preeclampsia. The performance of screening was determined by the areas under the curve and detection rates, with a fixed false-positive rate of 10%.

Conclusions: Decreased levels of PAPP-A and PIGF are related to an increased risk of preeclampsia and its complications.

Key words: **preeclampsia / PAPP-A / PIGF /**

Correspondence address:

Ewelina Litwińska
Perinatology and Gynecology Clinic, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital-Research Institute, Lodz,
Rzgowska 281/289 str., 93-338 Lodz, Poland
e-mail: ewelina.litwinska@gmail.com

Otrzymano: 18.12.2014
Zaakceptowano do druku: 26.02.2015

Ewelina Litwińska et al. *Biochemical markers in screening for preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction.*

Streszczenie

Cel pracy: Celem przedstawionego badania była ocena zależności między stężeniami uwalnianych przez łożysko substancji – łożyskowego czynnika wzrostu (PIGF), ciążowego białka A (PAPP-A) oraz podjednostki beta ludzkiej gonadotropiny kosmówkowej (β -hCG) a ryzykiem wystąpienia wczesnej i późnej postaci stanu przedrzucawkowego oraz zahamowania wewnątrzmacicznego wzrastania płodu.

Materiał i metody: Badaniem kliniczno-kontrolnym objęto grupę 180 pacjentek ciężarnych w 11+0-13+6 tygodniu ciąży. U 22 pacjentek wystąpiła wczesna postać stanu przedrzucawkowego, u 29 pacjentek rozwinęła się późna postać preeklampsji. Dane analizowane w toku badania obejmowały wywiad ciężarnych oraz stężenia PAPP-A, PIGF, β -hCG.

Wyniki: Wartość środkowa wielokrotności mediany stężenia PAPP-A wyniosła 1,01 (przedział międzykwartylowy (IQR), 0,65-1,55) w grupie kontrolnej, 0,67 (IQR, 0,382-0,82) w grupie pacjentek z ciążą powikłaną wczesną postacią preeklampsji i 0,74 (IQR, 0,33-1,09) w grupie pacjentek z ciążą powikłaną późną postacią preeklampsji. Wartość środkowa wielokrotności mediany stężenia PIGF wyniosła 1,21 (IQR, 0,93-1,57) w grupie kontrolnej, 0,62 (IQR, 0,51-0,96) w grupie pacjentek z ciążą powikłaną wczesną postacią preeklampsji i 0,92 (IQR, 0,63-1,09) w grupie pacjentek z ciążą powikłaną późną postacią preeklampsji. Wartość środkowa wielokrotności mediany stężenia β -hCG wyniosła 1,14 (IQR, 0,75-1,49) w grupie kontrolnej, 1,08 (IQR, 0,74-1,23) w grupie pacjentek z ciążą powikłaną wczesną postacią preeklampsji i 1,25 (IQR, 1,05-1,49) w grupie pacjentek z ciążą powikłaną późną postacią preeklampsji. Wyniki dopasowania predykcji zastosowanych testów przedstawiono za pomocą pola pod wykresem (AUC) oraz współczynnika wykrywalności dla odsetka wyników fałszywie dodatnich (FPR) na poziomie 10%.

Wnioski: Obniżone stężenia PAPP-A i PIGF są związane z podwyższonym ryzykiem rozwoju stanu przedrzucawkowego i jego powikłań.

Słowa kluczowe: stan przedrzucawkowy / PAPP-A / PIGF /

Introduction

Hypertension in pregnancy remains consistently one of the major problems of contemporary obstetrics. Annually, approximately 3 million pregnant women worldwide are diagnosed with hypertension, and about 50 thousand pregnant women die from the disease and its complications [1-3].

From the clinical point of view, the most important form of hypertension in pregnancy is preeclampsia. The incidence of preeclampsia in primiparas is estimated at around 2 to 7% [4, 5]. It is a systemic disease associated with high maternal mortality (15% - 46%), which increases the perinatal mortality rate: it is estimated to represent over 65% of perinatal deaths [6, 7]. Complications of preeclampsia, in the form of intrauterine growth restriction and preterm labor, are not only the most significant causes of neonatal morbidity and mortality, but also have a long-term impact on child development, generating high health care costs [8-10].

Screening for the most common chromosomal abnormalities, i.e. trisomy of chromosomes 21, 18 and 13, is based on a combined analysis of clinical data of pregnant, nuchal translucency assessment (NT), and concentrations of pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A) and free beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β -HCG) between 11 + 0 and 13 + 6 weeks of gestation. Numerous reports on the pathogenesis of preeclampsia indicate abnormal placentation as the cause [11]. The placental function can be evaluated by analyzing such peptides as PAPP-A, β -HCG and placental growth factor (PIGF), which are produced by the placenta and released into the maternal circulation.

The aim of this study was to identify the relationship between the concentrations of substances released by the placenta, i.e. placental growth factor, pregnancy-associated plasma protein A and free beta-human chorionic gonadotropin, and the risk of early and late preeclampsia and intrauterine fetal growth restriction.

Materials and methods

The research was carried out in the Perinatology and Gynecology Department, Polish Mother's Memorial Research Institute tertiary care centre and in the private practices NZOZ Medyk W. Litwinski and NZOZ Sonomedica in the years 2013 and 2014. Approval for the study was given by the local bioethics committee. The study group consisted of 80 pregnant women at gestational age between 11+0 and 13+6 weeks of gestation who displayed the risk factors of developing preeclampsia given in the literature: PE in the previous pregnancy/pregnancies, high blood pressure before pregnancy, diabetes, obesity, kidney diseases and systemic diseases. In addition, 100 pregnant woman without risk factors in their medical history were also included in the study. Written consent was obtained from each patient.

All patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Patients in multiple pregnancies, with fetal anomalies, abortion or termination of pregnancy were excluded from the study. The presence of risk factors was not strictly associated with the development preeclampsia in all cases.

The patients were divided into three groups according to the development of preeclampsia: 1. A control group comprising patients without preeclampsia (n=129); 2. Patients with early-onset preeclampsia (n=22); 3. Patients with late-onset preeclampsia (n=29). The subjects in the above mentioned groups were analysed for the presence of potential markers for the development of preeclampsia and its complications.

At the first visit, at a gestational age between 11+0 – 13+6 weeks, a detailed maternal history was taken from each patient, a blood sample was collected and an ultrasound examination was performed. In the ultrasound examination, crown-rump length and nuchal translucency were measured according to the guidelines of the Fetal Medicine Foundation. The anatomy of the fetus was initially evaluated.

Table I. Maternal characteristics.

	Control (n=129)	Early preeclampsia (n=22)	Late preeclampsia (n=29)	IUGR (n=43)
Age	28 (25-31)	29.5 (26-34)	30 (27-33)	29 (26-34)
BMI	23.9 (22-27.6)	30.6 (23.1-32.3)	26.5 (23.6-29.7)	28.7 (23.6-32.4)
Smoking	10 (8%)	2 (9%)	6 (21%)	6 (14%)
Parity				
Primiparous	74 (41%)	14 (64%)	6 (21%)	22 (51%)
Preterm delivery	7 (5%)	5 (23%)	14 (48%)	11 (26%)
History of preeclampsia	13 (10%)	7 (31%)	18(62%)	13 (30%)
Diabetes	7 (5%)	5 (23%)	1 (3.45)	8 (18%)
Chronic hypertension	14 (11%)	12 (55%)	9 (31%)	25 (58%)
Kidney disease	3 (2%)	6 (27%)	2 (7%)	9 (21%)
Preeclampsia in mother	9 (7%)	2 (9%)	3 (10%)	5 (12%)

Table II. Data for each marker in the four outcome groups.

	Control (n=129)	Early preeclampsia (n=22)	Late preeclampsia (n=29)	IUGR (n=43)
Gestational age CRL (hbd)	12.5 (12.2-13)	12.3 (12-13)	12.6 (12.4-13)	12.4 (12.1-13)
CRL (mm)	63.6 (59.1-68.3)	63.1 (59.0-67.9)	64.7 (61.2-67.1)	61.5 (56.2-67.1)
PIGF	27.4 (19.6-34)	15.55 (11.1-19)	21 (16.7-25.6)	20.5 (14.6-26.2)
PIGF MoM	1.21 (0.93-1.57)	0.62 (0.51-0.96)	0.92 (0.63-1.09)	0.97 (0.59-1.12)
PAPP-A	1.6 (1-2.6)	0.84 (0.67-1.13)	1.03 (0.6-1.8)	0.90 (0.6-1.2)
PAPP-A MoM	1.01 (0.65-1.55)	0.67 (0.382-0.82)	0.74 (0.33-1.09)	0.49 (0.37-1.06)
β -hCG	42.1 (31.2-58)	41.65 (25.7-47)	42 (34.1-55.8)	40.3 (32.4-44.4)
β -hCG MoM	1.14 (0.75-1.49)	1.08 (0.74-1.23)	1.25 (1.05-1.49)	1.12 (0.91-1.25)
Age at delivery (hbd)	39 (37-40)	30 (29-32)	37 (35-37)	32 (29-34)
Birth weight (g)	3450 (3050-3780)	1015 (850-1200)	2920 (2450-3120)	1297 (890-1760)

The following information was included in the patient anamnesis: age, height and weight (to calculate Body Mass Index), parity, type of conception (spontaneous or with assisted conception techniques requiring stimulation of ovulation), smoking during pregnancy, presence of chronic hypertension and diabetes, systemic diseases, antiphospholipid syndrome, kidney diseases and preeclampsia in the previous pregnancy. In the family history, the presence of preeclampsia in mother was taken into consideration.

The concentrations of PIGF, PAPP-A and β -hCG were measured using a DELFIA XPRESS analyzer.

The findings were archived in the View Point system to calculate the risk of developing preeclampsia. The calculation programme was constructed according to the guidelines of Fetal Medicine Foundation.

Results

The characteristics of the study groups are shown in Table I. The group of patients with early PE was characterised by a higher BMI, higher incidence of chronic HA, diabetes and kidney disease in comparison to the control group. The late PE group was characterised by a higher prevalence of smokers, women with history of preeclampsia and chronic hypertension. The IUGR group was characterised by a higher BMI, and higher incidence of diabetes, chronic hypertension and kidney disease.

The impact of the following factors on the prediction of the early, late preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction was analysed: PIGF concentration, PIGF MoM, PAPP-A concentration, PAPP-A MoM, β -hCG, β -hCG MoM. The data for each parameter is presented in Table II.

The performance of screening was determined by the areas under the curve (AUC) and detection rates, with a fixed false-positive rate of 10% (Table III, IV).

Table III. Comparison of screening tests evaluation for early and late preeclampsia and IUGR based on specific factors, maternal risk factors + additional marker.

	Area under the curve (AUC)		
	Early preeclampsia	Late preeclampsia	IUGR
PAPP-A MoM	0.74 (0.04)	0.62 (0.07)	0.69 (0.05)
PIGF MoM	0.79 (0.04)	0.66 (0.05)	0.68 (0.04)
β-hCG MoM	0.59 (0.06)	0.61 (0.05)	0.55 (0.05)
Maternal risk factors +			
PAPP-A MoM	0.85 (0.03)	0.84 (0.03)	0.85 (0.03)
PIGF MoM	0.91 (0.02)	0.86 (0.03)	0.84 (0.03)
β-hCG MoM	---	---	---

Table IV. Comparison of detection rates with fixed false-positive rate of 10% in the early, late preeclampsia and IUGR group.

	Detection rate (%) with fixed false-positive rate of 10% (FPR)		
	Early preeclampsia	Late preeclampsia	IUGR
	FPR 10%	FPR 10%	FPR 10%
PAPP-A MoM	48.3	25.9	33.6
PIGF MoM	57	34.4	46.7
β-hCG MoM	20.3	6.9	21.9
Risk factor +			
PAPP-A MoM	36.4	27.6	55.8
PIGF MoM	81.8	58.6	30.2
β-hCG MoM	---	---	---

Discussion

The understanding of the pathophysiology of preeclampsia has enabled biochemical markers to be identified among the factors which play a role in early angiogenesis and placentation. Two of the substances analysed in the present study, i.e. pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A) and free beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) have an integral place in screening for chromosomal abnormalities, while the third, placental growth factor (PIGF), is one of the growth factors involved in the placentation. The findings confirm the previously published results indicating that, after excluding pregnancies with chromosomal abnormalities, low PAPP-A levels at 11+0–13+6 weeks are correlated with a high risk of developing preeclampsia [12-14]. Additionally, the level of PAPP-A is significantly lower in the case of early-onset preeclampsia. Calculated detection rates for early PE, late PE and intrauterine growth restriction based on PAPP-A level alone were only 48%, 26%, and 34% respectively, with a false positive rate of 10%. The detection rate of intrauterine growth, after taking into account the risk factor in medical history and multiples of median of PAPP-A, was 56% with a false positive rate of 10%. A significant correlation between PAPP-A level and age of pregnancy complicated by preeclampsia at the time of delivery was found. These results are consistent with those identified by Poon et al. and Spencer et al., who demonstrated the value of identifying the PAPP-A level in the prediction of early preeclampsia [15-17]. The level of PAPP-A was less decreased in patients who suffered from late preeclampsia than in patients with early preeclampsia. This finding confirms data published by D'Anna et al., who indicate the necessity to distinguish early and

late onset preeclampsia [18]. PAPP-A is a substance produced by the syncytiotrophoblast and may be used as a sensitive marker of early preeclampsia, which is a consequence of impaired placentation. In late preeclampsia, the influence of placental factors is less significant, and as a result, the role of PAPP-A is also less significant. As also noted by Cowans et al. and Spencer et al., a relationship was identified in the present study between low PAPP-A level in the first trimester of pregnancy and the incidence of intrauterine growth restriction [19, 20].

In patients with preeclampsia, lower levels of placental growth factor, a marker and mediator of endothelial cell dysfunction, was noted. Similarly to the analysis conducted by Akolekar et al. and Erez et al. and analogical relationship between the level of PIGF and the risk of developing preeclampsia especially its early form was stated [21, 22]. The calculated detection rates for early and late preeclampsia, as well as intrauterine growth restriction, based only on PIGF concentration were 57%, 34% and 47% respectively. The false positive rate was 10%. The detection rates of preeclampsia after taking into account the risk factor in medical history and multiples of median of PIGF were 81% for early preeclampsia and 58% for late preeclampsia. The false positive rate was 10%. However, a retrospective comparative analysis of 131 pregnancies complicated by preeclampsia and 400 uncomplicated pregnancies conducted by Ong et al. found no statistical differences in PIGF concentration between the two groups [23]. In the present study, a significant relationship was found between PIGF level and severity of preeclampsia defined by gestational age in which the iatrogenic premature delivery occurred and the weight of newborn. These results are

Ewelina Litwińska et al. *Biochemical markers in screening for preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction.*

consistent with those of previous studies, in which lower levels of PIGF were identified in the presence of symptomatic disease, as well as in the first and second trimester of pregnancy [24-27]. However, differences in PIGF level in pregnancies complicated by intrauterine growth restriction are controversial. In the presented study, significant decreases in PIGF level were noted in the group of pregnancies complicated by intrauterine growth restriction. These results are consistent with those published by Cowans et al., who showed the value of measuring PIGF concentration, not only in the prediction of preeclampsia but also other complications of pregnancy like SGA and IUGR [28]. A different opinion was presented by Vandenberghe et al. who found no statistical differences from controls in a retrospective review of the concentration of PIGF in patients with pregnancies complicated by preeclampsia [29].

According to Akolekar et al., a group of patients with uncomplicated pregnancies demonstrated a rise in PIGF and PAPP-A levels with gestational age (crown-rump length). In the present study, the relationship between cigarette smoking and PAPP-A and PIGF level was not evaluated, as the group of smokers was not large enough. Akolekar et al. recorded an increase in PIGF level and decrease in PAPP-A level in group of patients with uncomplicated pregnancies [22]. Based on these relationships, personal differences should be taken into consideration when evaluating these biochemical markers.

In the present study, no relationship between the level of β -hCG and the incidence of preeclampsia and its complications was observed. These results are consistent with those of other research works conducted on large groups of patients [13, 19]. However, in contrast, Di Lorenzo et al. report the presence a statistically significant relationship between higher β -hCG levels and the incidence of preeclampsia in a prospective study including 2118 patients [30].

Conclusion

The biochemical exponent of abnormal placentation, and consequently, placental function, is a decreased secretion of substances of placental origin. This reveals a significant correlation between reduced levels of placental growth factor and pregnancy-associated plasma protein A in the group of patients with preeclampsia compared to the control group.

The identification of a group of patients at higher risk during routine first trimester examination, despite the lack of commonly recognized preventive methods, will allow intensified obstetric supervision and adequate response in the case of complications.

Oświadczenie autorów

1. Ewelina Litwińska – autor koncepcji i założeń pracy, przygotowanie manuskryptu i piśmiennictwa – autor zgłaszający i odpowiedzialny za manuskrypt.
2. Magdalena Litwińska – zebranie materiału, analiza statystyczna wyników, współautor tekstu pracy.
3. Przemysław Oszukowski – korekta i akceptacja ostatecznego kształtu manuskryptu.
4. Krzysztof Szaflik – korekta i akceptacja ostatecznego kształtu manuskryptu.
5. Waldemar Litwiński – zebranie materiału, korekta i aktualizacja literatury.
6. Maciej Korcz – zebranie materiału, korekta oraz aktualizacja piśmiennictwa.
7. Piotr Kaczmarek – ostateczna weryfikacja i akceptacja manuskryptu.

Źródło finansowania: Praca nie była finansowana przez żadną instytucję naukowo-badawczą, stowarzyszenie ani inny podmiot, autorzy nie otrzymali żadnego grantu.

Konflikt interesów: Autorzy nie zgłaszają konfliktu interesów oraz nie otrzymali żadnego wynagrodzenia związanego z powstawaniem pracy.

References

1. Roberts JM, Cooper DW. Pathogenesis and genetics of preeclampsia. *Lancet*. 2001, 357, 53-56.
2. Davison JM, Homuth V, Jeyabalan A, [et al.]. New aspects in the pathophysiology of preeclampsia. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2004, 15, 2440-2448.
3. ACOG practice bulletin: Hypertension in pregnancy. Establishing the diagnosis of preeclampsia and eclampsia. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013, 17-20.
4. Sibai B, Dekker G, Kupferminc M. Pre-eclampsia. *Lancet*. 2005, 365, 785-799.
5. Wallis AB, Safflas AF, Hsia J, Atrash HK. Secular trends in the rates of preeclampsia, eclampsia, and gestational hypertension, United States, 1987-2004. *Am J Hypertens*. 2008, 21, 521-526.
6. CEMACH. Why mothers die 2000-2002. In: The sixth report of the confidential enquiries into maternal deaths in the United Kingdom. Ed. Lewis G. London: RCOG Press. 2004, 340.
7. Duley L. The global impact of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. *Semin Perinatol*. 2009, 33, 130-137.
8. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, [et al.]. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet*. 2008, 371, 75-84.
9. Barton JR, Istwan NB, Rhea D, [et al.]. Costsavings analysis of an outpatient management program for women with pregnancy-related hypertensive conditions. *Dis Manag*. 200, 9, 236-241.
10. Liu A, Wen SW, Bottomley J, [et al.]. Utilization of health care services of pregnant women complicated by preeclampsia in Ontario. *Hypertens Pregnancy*. 2009, 28, 76-84.
11. Maynard SE, Karumanchi SA. Angiogenic factors and preeclampsia. *Semin Nephrol*. 2011, 31, 33-46.
12. Ong CY, Liao AW, [et al.]. First trimester maternal serum free beta human chorionic gonadotrophin and pregnancy associated plasma protein A as predictors of pregnancy complications. *BJOG*. 2000, 107 (10), 1265-1270.
13. Smith GC, Stenhouse EJ, Crossley JA, [et al.]. Early pregnancy levels of pregnancy-associated plasma protein A and the risk of intrauterine growth restriction, premature birth, preeclampsia, and stillbirth. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2002, 87 (4), 1762-1767.
14. Yaron Y, Heifetz S, Ochshorn Y, [et al.]. Decreased first trimester PAPP-A is a predictor of adverse pregnancy outcome. *Prenat Diagn*. 2002, 22 (9), 778-782.
15. Poon LC, Maiz N, Valencia C, [et al.]. First-trimester maternal serum pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A and pre-eclampsia. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2009, 33 (1), 23-33.
16. Spencer K, Cowans NJ, Cheifetz I, [et al.]. First-trimester maternal serum PP-13, PAPP-A and second-trimester uterine artery Doppler pulsatility index as markers of pre-eclampsia. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2007, 29 (2), 128-134.
17. Poon LC, Nicolaides KH. First-trimester maternal factors and biomarker screening for preeclampsia. *Prenat Diagn*. 2014, 25.
18. D'Anna R, Baviera G, Corrado F, [et al.]. Adiponectin and insulin resistance in early- and late-onset pre-eclampsia. *BJOG*. 2006, 113 (11), 1264-1269.
19. Spencer K, Yu CK, Cowans NJ, [et al.]. Prediction of pregnancy complications by first-trimester maternal serum PAPP-A and free beta-hCG and with second-trimester uterine artery Doppler. *Prenat Diagn*. 2005, 25 (10), 949-953.
20. Cowans NJ, Spencer K. First-trimester ADAM12 and PAPP-A as markers for intrauterine fetal growth restriction through their roles in the insulin-like growth factor system. *Prenat Diagn*. 2007, 27 (3), 264-271.
21. Erez O, Romero R, Espinoza J, [et al.]. The change in concentrations of angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors in maternal plasma between the first and second trimesters in risk assessment for the subsequent development of preeclampsia and small-for-gestational age. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2008, 21, 279-287.
22. Akolekar R, Zaragoza E, Poon LC, [et al.]. Maternal serum placental growth factor at 11 + 0 to 13 + 6 weeks of gestation in the prediction of pre-eclampsia. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2008, 32 (6), 732-739.
23. Ong CY, Liao AW, Cacho AM, [et al.]. First-trimester maternal serum levels of placenta growth factor as predictor of preeclampsia and fetal growth restriction. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2001, 98 (4), 608-611.
24. Taylor RN, Grimwood J, Taylor RS, [et al.]. Longitudinal serum concentrations of placental growth factor: evidence for abnormal placental angiogenesis in pathologic pregnancies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2003, 188, 177-182.
25. Crispi F, Dominguez C, Llorca E, [et al.]. Placental angiogenic growth factors and uterine artery Doppler findings for characterization of different subsets in preeclampsia and in isolated intrauterine growth restriction. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2006, 195, 201-207.
26. Ohkuchi A, Hirashima C, Matsubara S, [et al.]. Alterations in placental growth factor levels before and after the onset of preeclampsia are more pronounced in women with early onset severe preeclampsia. *Hypertens Res*. 2007, 30, 151-159.
27. Kosiński P, Samaha RB, Bombardieri DA, [et al.]. Reference values for placental growth factor (PIGF) concentration and uterine artery doppler pulsatility index (PI) at 11-13(+6) weeks of gestation in the Polish population. *Ginekol Pol*. 2014, 85 (7), 488-493.
28. Cowans NJ, Stamatopoulou A, Matwejew E, [et al.]. First-trimester placental growth factor as a marker for hypertensive disorders and SGA. *Prenat Diagn*. 2010, 30 (6), 565-570.
29. Vandenberghe G, Mensink I, Twisk JW, [et al.]. First trimester screening for intra-uterine growth restriction and early-onset pre-eclampsia. *Prenat Diagn*. 2011, 31 (10), 955-961.
30. Di Lorenzo G, Ceccarello M, Cecotti V, [et al.]. First trimester maternal serum PIGF, free β -hCG, PAPP-A, PP-13, uterine artery Doppler and maternal history for the prediction of preeclampsia. *Placenta*. 2012, 33, 495-501.