Safety window for the transsphenoidal approach for pituitary tumours: a computed tomographic angiography study
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Background: The aims are to evaluate the morphometry of the sellar region and propose a safety window on the floor of the sella turcica for the transsphenoidal approach in a Hispanic population.

Materials and methods: We retrospectively analysed 150 computed tomographic angiography sellar region images from asymptomatic patients. The images were evaluated by an expert radiologist. We measured: intercarotid distance of cavernous segment; depth of sella turcica; skull base angle; anterior distance, the distance between anterior spinal nasal and floor of the sella turcica; posterior distance, the distance between anterior spinal nasal and posterior wall of the sella turcica; anterior surgical angle, formed between the floor of the nostril and superior limit of the anterior wall of the sella turcica; and posterior angle, formed between the floor of the nostril and the inferior limit of the posterior wall of the sella turcica.

Results: Safety window was based on two measures: the intercarotid distance and depth. The mean of the safety window is 151.13 mm² and 147.60 mm² for men and women, respectively. The intercarotid distance was 17.83 mm. The depth of the sella turcica was 8.46 mm. The skull base angle was 112.13 grades. The anterior distance was 76.34 mm. The posterior distance was 87.59 mm. The anterior surgical angle was 32.76 grades. The posterior surgical angle was 87.59 grades.

Conclusions: The surgical approach space is smaller in females. It could signify a more complicated surgery in this population. Anatomical understanding could reduce complications in hospitals without a neuronavigation system. (Folia Morphol 2023; 82, 1: 17–23)
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INTRODUCTION

The pituitary gland is a structure located in the middle cranial fossa, inside in the sella turcica [14, 15]. It is conformed by the tuberculum sellae, the hypophyseal fossa, and the dorsum sellae [10, 24]. It is reported that 19% of central nervous system tumours originate in the sellar region and pituitary adenomas are the most common tumours in this region [3]. The general prevalence of pituitary adenomas is 16.7% [11]. These tumours are classified as microadenomas when their size is less than 10 mm, and as macroadenomas when their size is greater than 10 mm [12, 19, 20]. Treatment options include endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery (EETS), which is reserved mainly for patients whose symptoms are associated with tumour size, tumour invasion to adjacent structures, and tumours that do not respond to drug treatment [8, 23].

Endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery is divided into nasal, sphenoidal, and sellar phases. The opening of the sellar floor and the resection of the tumour is performed in the sellar phase. It is a challenging procedure due to the complexity of the anatomical region and the limited surgical area [1, 5]. Common complications include intercavernous sinus bleeding and internal carotid artery (ICA) laceration [6, 9, 13]. Part of the ICA is located inside the cavernous venous sinus until it passes through the dura mater that forms the roof of the venous sinus [7, 28]. A thorough understanding of the nasal cavity and middle cranial fossa is needed to improve patient safety during these procedures. This study aims to evaluate the morphometry of the region and propose a safety window on the floor of the sella turcica.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An observational, cross-sectional, retrospective and descriptive study was performed. Computed tomographic angiography (CTA) studies were obtained from the database of the Radiology and Imaging Department of the University Hospital between April 2015 and July 2018. Sampling was done in consecutive cases. Studies from Hispanic patients from northeast of México with an age between 18 and 86 who underwent a head and neck CTA indicated by their treating physician. We categorised the population into groups according to their sex. Those patients with sellar or parasellar disease, central nervous system vascular disease, previous cranial surgery, or other central nervous system diseases that may alter anatomy, were excluded. Studies with abnormalities or artefacts were eliminated.

Study technique

We used a 64-slice computed tomography (General Electric CT99 Light Speed VCT), Software 12HW14.6, using the following parameters: rotation of 0.4 s helical acquisition, coverage of detectors of 20 mm, 120 kV, 400 more, cutting thickness of 0.625 mm, Pitch of 0.53:1 mm/rot, and field o view from 22 to 23 cm. All the imaging data was uploaded to the Carestream Vue PACS and analysed in coronal and sagittal planes for the following parameters (Fig. 1):

- intercarotid distance: the smallest distance between medial walls of the cavernous segment of ICA;
- depth of sella turcica: the greatest distance between the floor and a perpendicular line connecting the tuberculum and dorsum;
- skull base angle: the angle formed between the planum clival and the clivus;
- anterior surgical angle: the angle formed between the floor of the nostril and the superior limit of the anterior wall of the sella turcica;
- posterior surgical angle: the angle formed between the floor of the nostril and the inferior limit of the posterior wall of the sella turcica;
- anterior distance: the distance between the anterior nasal spine and the midpoint of the anterior wall of the sella turcica;
- posterior distance: the distance between the anterior nasal spine and the superior border of the posterior wall of the sella turcica;
- safety window of the sella turcica: an area based on intercarotid distance and depth of sella turcica.

All parameters were measured twice by a head and neck expert radiologist using a 5 stage zoom and recorded in a database using millimetres with two decimal unit precision. We considered measurements of the intercarotid distance of cavernous segment, skull base angle, and depth of sella turcica from other published papers. All authors agreed to use bone and vascular landmarks to determine the safety window, such as sphenoid bone and ICA. We used a sagittal and coronal plane for all measurements. The first sagittal plane was aligned with the anterior nasal spine, to include all structures within the same plane. The coronal plane was used to measure the smallest distance between the medial walls of the cavernous.
segment of ICA. Once the planes were established, the measurements were manually plotted and adjusted for accuracy by an expert radiologist. All variables were measured, and the process blinded when repeated in the same patient to establish satisfactory intraobserver variability ($\kappa > 0.80$).

**Statistical analysis**

The database was analysed using the SPSS Version 24.0 programme for Windows 10 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The normality test was performed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The mean and standard deviation for each parameter was determined independently. A $t$ Student test was used to determine the statistical significance for the parametric data between men vs. women, and Pearson’s $p$ correlation test for age and each variable. Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis were performed for the nonparametric data. The $p$-value of 0.05 was set for statistical significance. Subsequent statistical tests were performed on age subcategorisations or classification by skull base angle categorisation using ANOVA test with post hock adjusted with Tukey and Bonferroni.
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RESULTS

We retrospectively reviewed 150 head and neck CTA with a mean age of 53.51 ± 15.9 (range 18–86 years). The sample was stratified by gender with 77 (51.3%) men with a mean age of 53.21 ± 15.2 years, and 73 (48.7%) women with a mean age of 53.85 ± 16.69.

Descriptive statistics are shown in Table 1. Significant differences between sexes were found in the intercarotid distance, depth, and the anterior and posterior distances. All distances were smaller in women, except for the depth.

Statistical tests were performed for comparison between subcategorised age groups divided into ranks by decades however no statistical significance was found among the groups. Correlation tests were performed by Pearson correlation coefficient finding the following values: intercarotid distance −0.136, the height of the sella turcica 0.122, anterior surgical angle 0.127, posterior surgical angle 0.089, skull base angle −0.140, anterior distance −0.52, posterior distance −0.004, and area −0.028.

Skull base angle

Subcategorisation was performed at the skull base angle. These were divided into horizontal (> 121°) with 24 (15.6%) patients, normal (120–105°) with 106 (70.6%) patients, and vertical (< 104°) with 20 (13.3%) patients without statistical difference between them.

DISCUSSION

Endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery has been developed in the last 10 years, currently improving outcomes due to a better understanding of the local anatomy, and technological and surgical advancement. A clear example is the neuronavigation system. It consists of a set of computer-assisted technologies to guide the surgeon within the skull, providing the best surgical planning/safety, and decreasing trans-operating complications. The use of this system is recommended in all neurosurgical approaches. The image-guided neurosurgery is used to perform tumour resection, treatment of vascular disease, epilepsy surgery, and biopsies. During the surgery, the neuronavigation system permits a precise localisation of the region of interest and it also allows the visualisation of the instrument movements inside the skull. It helps to determine the difference between the healthy brain and the pathologic process that could be undifferentiated in a macroscopic view [29]. Although the neuronavigation improves the neurosurgical performance, anatomical knowledge is still essential for a precise surgery.

Intercarotid distance and depth of the sella turcica determines a corridor for the EETS and others skull base surgeries. Different methods have been used for estimate it, these variables may be measured using CTA as a reproducible method. Our findings show differences between sexes in the intercarotid distance, depth, anterior and posterior distance. This data suggests a smaller space for women during the surgical approach. Moreover, all these findings are of importance due to differences with other pop-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>General (n = 150)</th>
<th>Males (n = 77)</th>
<th>Females (n = 73)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercarotid distance [mm]</td>
<td>17.83 ± 4.28</td>
<td>18.81 ± 4.29</td>
<td>16.75 ± 4.11</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of sella turcica [mm]</td>
<td>8.46 ± 1.34</td>
<td>8.05 ± 1.19</td>
<td>8.85 ± 1.44</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior angle approach [°]</td>
<td>32.76 ± 2.95</td>
<td>32.47 ± 2.80</td>
<td>33.04 ± 3.20</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior angle approach [°]</td>
<td>25.13 ± 3.09</td>
<td>25.40 ± 2.83</td>
<td>24.84 ± 3.36</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull base angle [°]</td>
<td>112.13 ± 7.68</td>
<td>111.74 ± 7.18</td>
<td>112.58 ± 8.19</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior distance [mm]</td>
<td>76.34 ± 5.27</td>
<td>79.52 ± 4.52</td>
<td>72.99 ± 3.82</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior distance [mm]</td>
<td>87.59 ± 5.21</td>
<td>90.43 ± 4.97</td>
<td>84.55 ± 3.48</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area [mm²]</td>
<td>149.36 ± 38.90</td>
<td>151.13 ± 36.96</td>
<td>147.60 ± 41.06</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation. *Statistical significance

Table 1. Measurements for both sexes
implementing these findings could help in the planning of transsphenoidal surgery in those hospitals where a neuronavigation system is not available.

Relevance for the surgical practice

The sellar region is limited from above by the circle of Willis and chiasm, laterally by cavernous sinuses, and posteriorly by the brainstem, basilar artery, and its branches [25]. EETS can be divided into three phases, nasal, sphenoidal, and sellar, each one with possible complications. In most cases, the surgeon performs the surgery only with anatomical orientation, therefore, we considered the following information is useful to increase the anatomic understanding for each phase.

Nasal and sphenoidal phase. The nasal phase consists of advancing with the endoscope through the floor of the nostril towards the choana; subsequently, the ethmoidal recess is identified, and the posterior portion of the nasal septum is removed. In the sphenoidal phase, the anterior wall of the sphenoidal sinus is removed. Within the sphenoidal sinus, the floor of the sella turcica should be delimited, including the sphenoidal plane, clivus, the protrusions of both carotid arteries, and the prominence of the optic nerves [4].

Landmarks have been reported to orient the surgical intranasal trajectory. Misalignment during the trajectory could increase the length of the procedure that could lead to a more difficult surgical approach [2]. Alkherayf et al. [2] described a classification of the skull base angle that recommends the head position during the EETS that determines a direct surgical intranasal trajectory. The angle was classified into three types: a) > 121° is related with a horizontally oriented sella, requiring 10 or 20 degrees of neck flexion; b) 105–120° requiring neutral head position for a standard sphenoidectomy; and c) < 104° associated with a vertical oriented sella, this type requires 10 or 20 degrees of neck extension. The skull base angle (Fig. 1C) in our study reveals that type B (105–120°) is the most common (70.6%), requiring neutral head positioning with the standard sphenoidectomy, similar data as Alkherayf et al. [2] in the Canadian population (Table 3). This would permit an adequate surgical exposure and a better tumour resection. Although this classification does not supplant the image guidance, it is a practical orientation method for neurosurgeons [2].

The sellar region is limited by a small space. We propose the anterior and posterior surgical angles that correspond to the anterior and posterior limits of the sella turcica in a sagittal plane (Fig. 1D, E) to determine the angle approach in the surgery and limit the space of the sellar region, therefore, the surgeon would not reach the anterior or posterior cranial fossa. The superior limit of the anterior aspect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging study</td>
<td>CTA</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>CTA</td>
<td>Radiograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercarotid distance [mm]</td>
<td>17.83 ± 4.28</td>
<td>19.41 ± 3.00</td>
<td>17.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth [mm]</td>
<td>8.46± 1.34</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.40 ± 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior angle approach [°]</td>
<td>32.76 ± 2.95</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior angle approach [°]</td>
<td>25.13 ± 3.09</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior distance [mm]</td>
<td>76.34 ± 5.27</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior distance [mm]</td>
<td>87.59 ± 5.21</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area [mm²]</td>
<td>149.36 ± 38.90</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation. CTA — computed tomographic angiography; MRI — magnetic resonance imaging; ND — not described

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>México</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull base angle type A</td>
<td>25 (15.6%)</td>
<td>15 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull base angle type B</td>
<td>106 (70.6%)</td>
<td>61 (69%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull base angle type C</td>
<td>20 (13.3%)</td>
<td>13 (15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Classification of planum-clival angle type and comparative with another study
of the sella turcica, and the inferior limit of the dor- sum sellae, respectively. Our results show an anterior surgical angle of 32.47 ± 2.8° and posterior surgical angle of 25.40 ± 2.83° for males, with a range of motion of 7.02 degrees. In females, the anterior and posterior angles approach were 33.04 ± 3.19° and 24.84 ± 3.36°, respectively, with a range of motion of 8.2 degrees. We do not obtain statistical differences between sexes.

We also propose the anterior distance which ori- ented the interval between the sphenoid bone and the anterior nasal spine. We obtain statistical differ- ences in the anterior distance between sexes (Fig. 1F) is 79.52 mm in males, and 72.99 mm in females. Exist roughly 10 mm of difference between sexes to reach the sellar region that suggest a more distance in males and it could help in surgical planning.

**Sellar phase.** The surgeon opens the sellar floor and the resection of the tumour is performed [4]. The most important complication in this phase is the intrasellar haemorrhage due to a laceration of the ICA, which can potentially result in death [26]. We described a safety window based on two measures: the intercarotid distance and depth.

Lin et al. [16] determined a correlation between the intercarotid distance in the cavernous segment and non-functional pituitary macroadenomas treated with EETS, as these modify the position of non-bone structures. Our findings are from healthy patients, therefore, can be only applied in microadenomas due to the anatomy is respected. We report a mean intercarotid distance (Fig. 1A) in the sellar region of 17.83 mm, similar data as Mascarella et al. [17] who reported a mean of 17.3 mm using CTA in a Canadian population (Table 2). However, Nunes et al. [21] reported a larger mean of 19.41 mm in the Brazilian population using enhanced-magnetic resonance imaging (Table 2). These differences could be related to the method used to measure the intercarotid distance and ethnic differences among the population.

We did not obtain a statistical difference between sexes in the depth of the sella turcica but, we measured roughly 1 cm of difference, in males 8.05 mm and 8.85 mm in women. This data determines the range motion in a vertical plane within sella turcica, a higher height in females could be an advantage during EETS. The mean depth of the sella turcica of our study (Fig. 1B) was 8.46 ± 1.34 mm, larger than the reported 6.40 ± 1.21 by Shrestha et al. [26] in the Nepal popu- lation. This extra 25% of depth in our population, allows the surgeon more space for the incision and a possible advantage in the performing of the procedure. These differences between the populations are attributed to genetics and environmental factors.

The safety window on the floor of the sella turcica is 151.13 ± 36.96 mm² and 147.60 ± 41.06 mm² for males and females, respectively (Fig. 1H). The intercarotid distance has an important role in EETS, it determines the space for the surgery. We obtain statistical differences in the intercarotid distance between sexes, 18.81 mm in males and 16.75 mm in females. It is also important to consider gender, as women tend to have a higher prevalence of adenomas and smaller distances and areas, the surgical approach could be more difficult in females than males [18].

We can find the thalamoperforating arteries pos- terior to the sellar region. These arteries supply irriga- tion to the midbrain and thalamus [22, 27, 30] and are a potential complication when the tumour is being removed. To avoid these arteries, we propose an anatomic reference, the posterior distance that is the distance to reach the dorsum sellae from the anterior nasal spine (Fig. 1G). We obtained statistical differences between sexes in the posterior distance, the mean is 90.43 ± 4.97 mm in men and 84.55 ± 3.48 mm in women.

**Strengths and limitations**

Our study provides useful information for a better anatomical understanding of the nasal fossa and middle cranial fossa. Nonetheless, there are some limitations. Some of the measurements have not been validated by other studies, such as anterior and posterior approach angles, safety window, anterior and posterior distances. The measurements obtained by imaging are constant with bone structures, which may not be the case in the patient’s anatomy due to soft tissue. The patients’ anthropometric charac- teristics were not included in the analysis. The study population only includes Hispanic patients, therefore, further data is needed to compare results with other populations. Morphometric parameters reported are from normal sellar anatomy. Patients that require a transsphenoidal approach to the sellar region may present distorted anatomy due to large tumours like pituitary macroadenomas, therefore results are most useful to guide surgeons in smaller pathologies, such as pituitary microadenomas. Future studies could analyse differences between patients with sellar and parasellar tumours, to compare with a control group.
CONCLUSIONS

The differences in the variables measured between sexes are important due to greater difficulty in the female population because the surgical approach space is smaller and a higher prevalence of pituitary adenomas. Understanding the morphometrical variations of the sella turcica improves the anatomical knowledge for radiologists and neurosurgeons in preoperative planning.
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