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Age-related morphological peculiarities of human testes
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Abstract

This study aimed the comparison of the isolated testes size, volume, weight and density changes with age and establishing the suitability of three formulas of testicular volume calculation for 18-50 and 51-70-year-old men groups. 206 testes of 103 men (59 of 18-50-year-old and 44 of 51-70-year-old men) were weighed and their size was measured by the sliding calliper. The accurate volume was determined by water displacement and compared with volume calculated using three formulas, and the density of testicular tissues was calculated.

The mean length and height of both testes and length and height of right and left testes decreased significantly with age. The mean width of both testes and width of right and left testes decreased with age insignificantly. The mean of water displacement volume and weight and volume and weight of right and left testes decreased with age significantly. The mean density of testicular tissues and the density of the right and left testes increased significantly with age. In the same age group, the size, water displacement volume and weight of right testes was insignificantly major than the left, and the density of testicular tissues was similar in the right and left testes.

The prolate spheroid formula was most suitable for calculation of testes volume for 18-50-year-old men and prolate ellipsoid formula was most suitable for calculation of 51-70-year-old men testes volume.
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Introduction
Testicular function has a direct correlation with testicular volume. The seminiferous tubules and germinal elements comprise approximately 98% of testicular mass. Reduction in testicular size is mainly caused by reduction of these histological elements due to primary dysplasia or secondary damage and can therefore result in disturbed spermatogenesis [1, 2].
Mean size of testes had the significant correlation with total sperm count and sperm concentration, sperm motility, percentage of live sperm, sperm morphology and serum follicle-stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone and testosterone levels. Therefore, the measuring of size of the testis can be helpful to assess rapidly andrological status during the initial physical examination [2].
Evaluation of morphological parameters of living men and male animals is complicated. Various methods can be used for the measurement of the testicular size for the living male patients in vivo. The size of testes can be evaluated using vernier calliper, ruler, orchidometer and ultrasound [1, 3-7]. Using the evaluated parameters of testes size, testicular volume can be calculated using various formulas such as prolate ellipsoid formula, the formula for a prolate spheroid or the empiric formula of Lambert. Results of these formulas can be very different and controversial [8]. However, the isolated testes’ water displacement, weighing and measurement is most rigorous method for the evaluation of testicular volume, weight and size [9-11].
The aim of this work was to compare changes of the morphological parameters (size, volume, weight and density) of isolated testes with age and establish the suitability of three formulas of testicular volume calculation for 18-50 and 51-70-year-old men groups.

Materials and methods
This work was approved by the Kaunas Region Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (No. BE-2-1, 07.04.2015 and No. P1-BE-2-2/2015, 08.07.2016).
Pairs of testes from the men aged 18-70 year were obtained from Kaunas Division of State Forensic Medicine Service after autopsy at least 24 hours “post mortem”. The left testes were marked using the cotton thread. The material was placed into 10% formaldehyde solution for 24 hours. 206 testes of 103 men were used for this investigation. Men were divided into two age groups: 18-50-year-old (n=59) and 51-70-year-old (n=44). Only testes without visible morphological pathologies were selected as suitable for this investigation. The testes were rinsed
in streaming tap water, dried with blotting paper and the remnants of epididymis, adipose tissue and ligaments were removed. Then the testes were weighed using KERN 440-21N balance. The length, width and height of each testis were measured using the sliding calliper. The accurate volume was measured by water displacement of each testis. All obtained data were tabled in the Microsoft Excel 2003 program. Using this program, the volume of each testis was calculated using three formulas: (1) for a prolate ellipsoid: volume=length×width×height×0.52; (2) for a prolate spheroid: volume=length×width^2×0.52; and (3) the empiric formula of Lambert: volume=length×width×height×0.71 [9-11].

Also, the density of testicular tissues was calculated for each testis using the formula:

density=weight/water displacement volume.

The Statistica program (Statistica Version 5, StatSoft inc.) Basic statistics was used for the calculation of the mean and standard deviation (SD). T-test for independent samples was used for statistical comparison of age groups (p values). Data were expressed as mean ± SD, and p<0.05 was taken as significant.

**Results**

A mean size of the testes differed with age. The mean length of testes decreased significantly from 4.54 ± 0.42 cm in 18-50-year-old men to 4.17 ± 0.49 cm in 51-70-year-old and their mean height decreased from 2.6 ± 0.3 cm and 2.34 ± 0.38 cm respectively (p<0.05). Only the mean width decreased non-significantly with age (from 2.96 ± 0.32 cm and 2.92 ± 0.34 cm). The size of the right and left testes of the 18-50-year-old group were major than in the 51-70-year-old men. The length and height of testes differed significantly (p<0.05). Also, the size of right testes was insignificantly major than left testes in the same age group men, but, in the 51-70-year-old men group, the height of was the same in the right and left testes (p>0.05; Table 1).

The mean water displacement volume of both testes was significantly major in the 18-50-year-old men (0.72 ± 4.54 ml) than in the 51-70-year group (16.88 ± 4.67 ml; p<0.05). The volume of right and left testes was major in the 18-50-year-old men in comparison with the volume of 51-70-year-old men testes (p<0.05). The volume of right testes was major than left testes in the same age men group insignificantly (p>0.05; Fig. 1).

Comparing the water displacement volume with the results of the three testicular volume calculation formulas, contradictory results were obtained (Table 2). In 18-50-year-old men group, mean of both testes volume and volumes of right and left testes, which were calculated
using prolate ellipsoid formula and empiric formula of Lambert, differed significantly from the water displacement volume (p<0.05). Only results of prolate spheroid formula differed from water displacement volume insignificantly (p>0.05). In 51-70-year-old men group, the volumes calculated using prolate spheroid formula and empiric formula of Lambert, differed significantly from the water displacement volume (p<0.05). Only results of prolate ellipsoid formula differed from water displacement volume insignificantly in the testes of this age group men (p>0.05). The obtained data showed that the Empiric formula of Lambert was completely unsuitable for the calculation of testicular volume in both age groups men (the difference between results of water displacement and Empiric formula of Lambert was 20.69-25.98%).

The mean weight of the 18-50-years old men testes (21.53 ± 4.57 g) was significantly major than in the 51-70-year-old men (17.91 ± 5.01 ml; p<0.05). The weight of 18-50-year-old men right (22.03 ± 4.63 g) and left (21.03 ± 4.5 g) testes was major than the weight of 51-70-year-old men testes (21.03 ± 4.5 g and 17.26 ± 4.88 g respectively, p<0.05). The weight of right testes was major than left testes in the same age men group (p>0.05; Fig. 2).

The mean density of testicular tissues was smaller in the 18-50-year old men testes than in the 51-70-year-old men (1.04 ± 0.02 g/ml and 1.06 ± 0.03g/ml respectively, p<0.05). The density of right (1.04 ± 0.03 g/ml) and left (1.04 ± 0.02 g/ml) testes tissues was smaller in the 18-50-year-old men in comparison with the density of 51-70-year-old men testes (1.06 ± 0.03 g/l and 1.06 ± 0.03 g respectively, p<0.05). In the same age men group, the density of the right and left testes tissues was identical (p>0.05; Fig. 3).

Discussion

The male fecundity begins to decline in the late thirties, or in the forties. This decline may be the consequence of an associated decline in semen quality. Age-related decrease evidences in semen volume, total sperm count, motility and proportion of sperm with normal [12]. Kelvin et al. (2012) measured the size of left and right testes of 18-64-year-old men and calculated their volume. They estimated that the volume of right testes was smaller than the left testes in 18-20 and 41-50-year-old groups patients. Also, the volume of right testes was major in the patients of 51-64-year-old men group than in 18-50-year-old men. The volume of left testes was smaller in the patients of 51-64-year-old men group than in 41-50-year-old men [13].

Results of our investigation showed that the water displacement volume of the right testes was insignificantly major than the left testes in the both investigated age groups. Also, the volume of
right and left testes was significantly major in 18-50-year-old men in comparison with 51-70-year-old men. These our results coincident with Kothari and Gupta [14], K.H. Tijani et al. [15] results that the volume of fertile men testes doesn’t decline with patient age significantly. Hsieh et al. (2009), Mbaeri et al. (2013b) and Sakamoto et al. (2007) compared volume of patients’ testes calculated using three formulas with water displacement volume. They estimated that the formula of Lambert is the optimal in clinical practice [9-11]. Results of our investigation contradict the proposition of these authors. Our obtained data show that the Empiric formula of Lambert is completely unsuitable for the calculation of testicular volume. We ascertained that the prolate spheroid formula is most suitable for calculation of testes volume for 18-50-year-old men and prolate ellipsoid formula is most suitable for calculation of 51-70-year-old men testes volume.

The density of testicular tissues varies with age. Johnson and co-authors (1984) estimated that the testes tunic weight increased, and the parenchyma weight decreased with age [16]. Kothari and Gupta (1974) predicated that ageing leads to a thickening of the tunica propria, inter-tubular fibrosis and progressive hyalinisation and atrophy of some tubules [14]. The results of our investigation showed that mean density and density of testicular tissues of the left and right testes was significantly smaller in the 18-50-year old men testes than in the 51-70-year-old men. According to the data of the above-mentioned authors, the density of the tissues increases with age due to the proliferation of connective tissue and weight loss of parenchyma in the testes.

Conclusions

1. The mean size and the size of right and left testes decreased with age. The length and height decreased significantly.
2. The water displacement volume’s and weight’s mean and the volume and weight of right and left testes decreased with age significantly.
3. The testicular tissues density mean and the density of the right and left testes increased significantly with age.
4. The prolate spheroid formula was most suitable for calculation of testes volume for 18-50-year-old men and prolate ellipsoid formula was most suitable for calculation of 51-70-year-old men testes’ volume.
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Fig. 1 The water displacement volume of the 18-70-year-old men testes (Mean ± SD)
Fig. 2 The weight of the 18-70-year-old men testes (Mean ± SD)

Fig. 3 The density of tissues in the 18-70-year-old men testes (Mean ± SD)
Table 1 The length, width and height of the testes in 18-70-year-old men (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>Width (cm)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-50-year-old</td>
<td>4.54 ± 0.42 a1</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.32</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3 a3</td>
<td>a1:a2, a3:a4; a1:a2, a3:a4; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-70-year-old</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.49 a2</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.34</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.38 a4</td>
<td>a1:a2, a3:a4; a1:a2, a3:a4; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-50-year-old</td>
<td>4.57 ± 0.44 b1</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.34 b3</td>
<td>b1:b2, b3:b4; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-70-year-old</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.51 b2</td>
<td>2.94 ± 0.37</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.37 b4</td>
<td>b1:b2, b3:b4; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-50-year-old</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.4 c1</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.33</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.25 c3</td>
<td>c1:c2, c3:c4; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-70-year-old</td>
<td>4.15 ± 0.48 c2</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.32</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.41 c4</td>
<td>c1:c2, c3:c4; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 The comparison of water displacement volume and volume calculated using three formulas of the 18-70-year-old men testes, ml (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Water displacement</th>
<th>Prolate ellipsoid</th>
<th>Prolate spheroid</th>
<th>Empiric formula of Lambert</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-50-year-old</td>
<td>20.72 ± 4.54 a1</td>
<td>18.36 ± 4.4 a2</td>
<td>21.0 ± 5.45</td>
<td>25.07 ± 6.0 a3</td>
<td>A1:a2, a1:a3; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference with mean of water displacement volume</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.36 (11.39%)</td>
<td>1.72 (8.3%)</td>
<td>4.35 (20.99%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-70-year-old</td>
<td>16.88 ± 4.67 b1</td>
<td>15.28 ± 4.89</td>
<td>19.06 ± 5.69 b2</td>
<td>20.87 ± 6.67 d3</td>
<td>B1:b2, b1:b3; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference with mean of water displacement volume</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.6 (9.48%)</td>
<td>2.18 (12.91%)</td>
<td>3.99 (23.64%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-50-year-old</td>
<td>21.19 ± 4.63 c1</td>
<td>18.85 ± 4.69 c2</td>
<td>21.46 ± 5.5</td>
<td>25.74 ± 6.41 c3</td>
<td>C1:c2, c1:c3; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference with mean of water displacement volume</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.34 (11.04%)</td>
<td>0.27 (1.27%)</td>
<td>4.55 (21.47%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-70-year-old</td>
<td>17.43 ± 4.73 d1</td>
<td>15.51 ± 5.08</td>
<td>19.49 ± 6.18 d2</td>
<td>21.17 ± 6.93 d3</td>
<td>D1:d2, d1:d3; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference with mean of water displacement volume</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.92 (11.02%)</td>
<td>2.06 (11.82%)</td>
<td>3.74 (21.46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-50-year-old</td>
<td>20.25 ± 4.45 e1</td>
<td>17.87 ± 4.06 e2</td>
<td>20.54 ± 5.44</td>
<td>24.44 ± 5.55 e3</td>
<td>E1:e2, e1:e3; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference with mean of water displacement volume</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.38 (11.75%)</td>
<td>0.29 (1.43%)</td>
<td>4.19 (20.69%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-70-year-old</td>
<td>16.32 ± 4.58 f1</td>
<td>15.06 ± 4.74</td>
<td>18.63 ± 5.18 f2</td>
<td>20.56 ± 6.47 f3</td>
<td>F1:f2, f1:f3; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference with mean of water displacement volume</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.26 (7.72%)</td>
<td>2.31 (14.15%)</td>
<td>4.24 (25.98%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>