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Abstract

Background: To investigate the protective effects of rosmarinic acid in rats exposed to hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury.

Materials and methods: Thirty-two rats were randomly classified into four groups of eight rats each: laparotomy without medication, rosmarinic acid (dose of 50 mg/kg via oral gavage) followed by laparotomy, laparotomy followed by hepatic ischemia/reperfusion, and hepatic ischemia/reperfusion with rosmarinic acid. Serum aspartate aminotransferase, alanine...
aminotransferase, and malondialdehyde levels and total oxidant activity and total antioxidant capacity levels of the liver, lung, and kidney were assessed. The histopathologic assessment was also performed.

**Results:** Rosmarinic acid significantly reduced liver function test parameters and decreased oxidative stress and abnormal histopathologic findings in the liver.

The oxidative stress in the lung significantly increased in the ischemia/reperfusion group but significantly decreased in the ischemia/reperfusion + rosmarinic acid group due to the addition of rosmarinic acid. Rosmarinic acid led to no reduction in oxidative stress in kidney following hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury. There were no statistically significant differences among the groups regarding histopathologic changes in kidney and lung sections.

**Conclusions:** Rosmarinic acid has antioxidant properties and is an effective hepatoprotective agent. However, although rosmarinic acid provides useful effects in the lung by increasing antioxidant capacity and reducing oxidative stress after ischemia/reperfusion injury, it does not ameliorate histopathologic changes. These findings suggest that rosmarinic acid is likely to provide favorable outcomes in the treatment of hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury.
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**Introduction**

Hepatic ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury represents an important complication, which is frequently encountered in clinical applications and causes impaired liver function and increased postoperative mortality and morbidity rates. I/R injury may result from hepatic pedicle clamping, also known as the Pringle maneuver, particularly during extensive hepatic trauma, liver transplantation, as well as the resection of large intrahepatic tumors. Hepatic I/R injury represents a complex pathophysiological process with multiple factors, in which inflammatory cytokines, polymorphonuclear neutrophils, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) are involved (1-3).

Oxygen deprivation triggers the development of ischemia and release of ROS during reperfusion, resulting in cell death and cell dysfunction as well as ageing and age-related diseases at the organic level. To reduce the effect of ROS on biomolecules, aerobic organisms have produced numerous enzymatic as well as nonenzymatic antioxidants (4-6). Experimental studies investigating hepatic I/R injury have mainly dealt with the minimization or prevention of the adverse impacts of I/R injury on the lung and remote organs. Moreover, the literature
shows that numerous drugs, as well as natural and chemical substances, have been utilized for the reduction of hepatic I/R injury. Furthermore, various herbs and fruit extracts have also been reported.

Herbal plants have been commonly used in the treatment of hepatic I/R injury in numerous recent researches (1-3,6). Rosmarinic acid (RA) is a naturally occurring polyphenolic antioxidant found in numerous common herbal plants. RA is isolated from herbal balm mint plants, including Melissa officinalis, Rosmarinus officinalis, and Prunella vulgaris (7-9). It has been shown that RA has antioxidant, anticarcinogenic, anti-inflammatory, antidepressant, and antimicrobial impacts (1,10-15).

RA exerts its antioxidant effects indirectly by increasing the expression of cytoprotective genes, thereby influencing several enzymes of the antioxidant system. RA has been reported to reduce the oxidative and electrophilic cytotoxicity induced by xanthine oxidase (XO) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), as well as the intracellular concentration of free oxygen radicals (15). RA has also been shown to increase the production of prostaglandin E2, decrease the production of leukotriene B4, IL-6, IL-1 beta, and TNF-$\alpha$, and inhibit the complement system (16,17).

The effects of RA on chemical hypoxia have also been investigated. Nevertheless, the impacts of RA on hepatic I/R models have not been fully elucidated. In the present experimental study, it was aimed to assess the potential impacts of RA on the minimization and/or prevention of I/R injury in the distant organs and liver.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All surgical procedures and the subsequent care and treatment of the animals used in this study were in strict accordance with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines for animal care (NIH Publication no. 85-23, revised 1996). All procedures performed in this experiment were approved by the Ethics Committee for the Treatment of Experimental Animals (Faculty of Medicine, University of Dicle, Turkey). Thirty-two male Wistar albino rats with the weight 250-300 g were provided by Dr. Sabahattin Payzin Health Sciences Application and Research Center, Dicle University and their random selection was performed. The rats were kept in individual cages at constant temperature conditions (21 °C) with a 12-hour light/dark cycle. A standard diet and water ad libitum were given to them. All rats were fasted overnight. The rats of all groups were maintained in the same conditions. All rats at the
end of the experiment were healthy and no difference in food/water consumption and body weight gain between experimental and control rats were observed.

**Experimental design and surgical procedures**

The experimental procedure was initiated by inducing anesthesia with the intramuscular injection of 50 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride (Eczacıbaşı, Istanbul) and 10 mg/kg xylazine (Rompun 2%; Bayer). After the removal of the hair in the abdominal region, the skin was cleaned with 10% povidone-iodine solution. Laparotomy was applied to all of the rat groups. The liver, diaphragm, and organs adjacent to the hepatoduodenal ligament were carefully dissected, and the hepatoduodenal ligament was exposed and clamped using a microvascular clamp. The ligament suspension was performed for a period of 30 minutes, and, in indicated groups, the Pringle maneuver was used for inducing ischemia. After the 30-min ischemic period, the opening of the suture was performed, and a 30-minute period of reperfusion was initiated. After this period, blood was sampled from the heart at sacrifice. Rosmarinic acid (catalogue number # R4033-50MG, Sigma–Aldrich Inc.) was administered in the dose of 50 mg/kg via oral gavage (in accordance with Tmax) 30 minutes prior to the experimental examination in control and I/R + RA groups.

The rats were classified into four groups randomly:

**Sham group, (n=8):** The hepatoduodenal ligament was dissected, and no medications were administered.

**Control group, (n=8):** Dissection was performed, and RA was administered in the dose of 50 mg/kg via oral gavage (in accordance with Tmax) 30 minutes prior to the experimental examination (9).

**I/R group, (n=8):** Reperfusion was made for the period of 30 minutes, thirty minutes following the Pringle maneuver, and no medications were administered.

**I/R + RA group, (n=8):** Besides the procedures of Group III, RA was administered in the dose of 50 mg/kg via oral gavage (in accordance with Tmax) 30 minutes prior to the ischemia period.

The collection of blood and samples (liver, both lungs, and kidneys) samples for analyses was performed in all groups. Plasma samples were acquired via blood centrifugation, inserted in plastic Eppendorf tubes with a cover for performing the biochemical analysis and kept at the temperature of −80 °C until being ready for the analysis. Preparation of the samples for conducting the biochemical analysis was performed. Afterwards, blood and foreign tissue residues were removed by flushing with saline. Subsequently, the samples were inserted in plastic Eppendorf tubes and kept at the temperature of −80 °C in a freezer. Finally,
they were put into plastic containers containing 10% formaldehyde solution for histopathologic evaluation.

**Homogenization of tissues**

The transfer of the samples kept at the temperature of -80 °C from the freezer to the laboratory was performed in dry ice. Pieces with the weight varying between 0.30 and 0.50 grams were inserted in the tube, with the addition of 2 mL of Tris-HCl buffer. And then, they were kept on ice while their processing was performed in 50 mM pH 7.0 phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for the period of 1-3 minutes at 14,000 rpm using a homogenizer (UltraTurrax Type T8, IKA Labortechnic, Staufen, Germany). Subsequently, the centrifugation of the homogenate was performed for the period of 30 minutes at the temperature of 4 °C, and supernatant samples were acquired for total oxidant activity (TOA), total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and oxidative stress index (OSI) analyses.

**Biochemical analysis**

The measurement of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and malondialdehyde (MDA) in blood specimens was performed. TOA and TAC were measured in samples. Percent ratio of TOS level to TAC level was accepted as Oxidative Stress Index (OSI). OSI value was calculated.

**Malondialdehyde (MDA) assays**

MDA levels were assessed by measuring thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reactivity using the method developed by Hammouda (18). Following the preparation of the sample and addition of 2.5 mL 10% (w/v) TCA solution, 0.5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL sample were placed into the sample tube and were mixed by vortexing. The tubes were capped and warmed in a 90 °C water bath for the period of 15 minutes. Following the cooling period, the centrifugation of the tubes was performed for 10 minutes at 3,000 g, and 2 mL of supernatant was collected and added to 1 mL of 0.675% (w/v) TBA solution. Following the next 15 minutes in a 90 °C water bath, cooling of the tubes was performed again. The absorbance of all specimens was read at 532 nm against an appropriate blank. The calculation of the serum MDA levels was performed as μM using standard graphs, which were produced using varying concentrations of 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane.

**Assessment of total oxidant activity (TOA)**

The total oxidant activity analysis represents a completely automatic colorimetric technique suggested by Erel (19). The spectrophotometric measurement of color intensity was
performed using the above-mentioned method. The calculation of the TOA values were made as nmol H₂O₂ equivalent/mg protein.

**Assessment of total antioxidant capacity (TAC)**

The total antioxidant capacity represents a completely automatic technique suggested by Erel (19,20) that measures antioxidant capacity against strong free radicals. The calculation of TAC values were calculated as nmol Trolox equivalent/mg protein.

**Assessment of the oxidative stress index (OSI)**

The determination of the oxidative stress index (OSI) was made based on the percent rate of the TOA level to the TAC level. The calculation of OSI level was performed with the formula presented below (21):

\[
\text{OSI} = \left( \frac{\text{TOA}}{\text{TAC}} \right) \times 100.
\]

The results are given as arbitrary units. The OSI represents a parameter that indicates the extent of oxidative stress.

**Histologic examinations**

Hematoxylin –Eosin staining procedure was as follows;

Histopathologic analysis was performed on lung, liver, and kidney sections. 10% formalin was used for the fixation of the sections and they were embedded in paraffin. Tissue pieces were cut into the sections of approximately 4-6 μm in thickness. After, the deparaffinization procedure of sections with 2 changes of xylene for 10 minutes each they were re-hydrated in 2 changes of absolute alcohol, 5 minutes each. Applied with 95% alcohol for 2 minutes and 70% alcohol for 2 minutes sections were washed briefly in distilled water. Then, stained in Harris hematoxylin solution for 8 minutes. Washing in running tap water for 5 minutes, sections were differentiated in 1% acid alcohol for 30 seconds. Bluing in 0.2% ammonia water for 30 seconds they were washed in running tap water for 5 minutes and rinsed in 95% alcohol. Counterstained in eosin-phloxine solution for 30 seconds and dehydrated through 95% alcohol, 2 changes of absolute alcohol, 5 minutes each. They were cleared in 2 changes of xylene, 5 minutes each and mounted with xylene based mounting medium.

Hepatic damage was determined using the following grading system: **grade 0**: no damage; **grade 1**: mild damage with nuclear pyknosis and cytoplasmic vacuolization; **grade 2**: moderate change accompanied by expanded nuclear pyknosis, vacuolization, and cytoplasmic hypereosinophilia, sinusoidal dilatation and congestion, and the loss of intercellular borders; **grade 3**: severe damage accompanied by neutrophil infiltration, hemorrhage and coagulative necrosis with the disintegration of and hemorrhage into hepatic cords leading to the loss of tissue architecture (22).
The grading system suggested by Koksel et al. was employed for the determination of the lung injury severity, while the grading system suggested by Chatterjee et al. (23,24) was used for the determination of the kidney injury severity:

Lung injury secondary to hepatic IR injury were classified as follows; **grade 0**: no damage; **grade 1**: mild neutrophil leukocyte infiltration and mild-moderate interstitial congestion; **grade 2**: moderate neutrophil leukocyte infiltration, perivascular edema formation and disintegration of the pulmonary structure; **grade 3**: dense neutrophil leukocyte infiltration and absolutely destruction of pulmonary structure.

Kidney injury secondary to hepatic IR injury were classified as follows; **grade 0**: no changes; **grade 1**: swelling of tubular cells, loosing of brush edges, from nuclear condensation which is showing nuclear looses consisting of one-third of tubular structures; **grade 2**: addition for grade 1, nuclear looses ranging from two-thirds of tubular structures; **grade 3**: changes including nuclear looses which effects more than two-thirds of tubular structures.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS for Windows 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the analysis of all data. The data were expressed in the form of mean (minimum, maximum) values for the histopathologic and biochemical parameters. While in the group comparison, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test was employed, the Mann-Whitney U test was employed in binary comparisons. The relationships between the parameters were evaluated according to Spearman’s test. A p-value below 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Table 1.** Biochemical results relevant to the study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Sham (Mean ± Std. Deviation)</th>
<th>Rosmarinic acid (Mean ± Std. Deviation)</th>
<th>I/R (Mean ± Std. Deviation)</th>
<th>I/R + Rosmarinic acid (Mean ± Std. Deviation)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDA (μm/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,06 ± .90</td>
<td>3,70 ± 1,18</td>
<td>6,91 ± 1,13^a,d</td>
<td>4,50 ± 0,94</td>
<td>0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sham survivors</td>
<td>Treatment A</td>
<td>Treatment B</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (IU/L)</td>
<td>65.29 ± 7.70</td>
<td>59.15 ± 13.12</td>
<td>1076.63 ± 463.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>738.63 ± 267.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (IU/L)</td>
<td>62.75 ± 18.53</td>
<td>56.01 ± 26.01</td>
<td>930.63 ± 229.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>885.13 ± 307.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver-TAC</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.57</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.41b</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol Trolox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.38a,d,f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equiv./mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver-TOA</td>
<td>177.35 ± 43.63</td>
<td>100.87 ± 14.95a</td>
<td>252.16 ± 53.53b,d</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol H₂O₂ equiv</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>232.41 ± 59.74c,d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver-OSI</td>
<td>11401.09 ± 3095.31</td>
<td>6044.304 ± 2409.57b</td>
<td>11667.57 ± 3236.80a</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7419.18 ± 2048.54a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney-TAC</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.54c</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol Trolox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equiv./mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney-TOA</td>
<td>61.71 ± 14.49</td>
<td>58.95 ± 15.39</td>
<td>67.12 ± 14.43</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol H₂O₂ equiv</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63.17 ± 16.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney-OSI</td>
<td>4726.70 ± 1424.15</td>
<td>3058.25 ± 477.61b</td>
<td>4456.05 ± 1056.01c</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4647.90 ± 3924.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung-TAC</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.72</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.48a</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol Trolox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.62a, e,h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equiv./mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung-TOA</td>
<td>72.66 ± 8.36</td>
<td>46.22 ± 18.27b</td>
<td>104.71 ± 24.29a,d</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nmol H₂O₂ equiv</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94.50 ± 13.65b,d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung-OSI</td>
<td>5941.06 ± 2402.36</td>
<td>2810.22 ± 1805.89c</td>
<td>4286.03 ± 1397.50</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3147.05 ± 770.17a,h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kruskal Wallis Test, MDA: Malondialdehyde, ALT: Alanine transaminase, AST: Aspartate transaminase, TAC: Total antioxidant capacity, TOA: Total oxidant activity, OSI: Oxidative stress index,

*Significantly different from Sham group (p≤0.001), bSignificantly different from Sham group (p≤0.01), cSignificantly different from Sham group (p<0.05), dSignificantly different from
Rosmarinic acid group (p≤0.001), *Significantly different from Rosmarinic acid group (p≤0.01), †Significantly different from I/R group (p≤0.001), ‡Significantly different from I/R group (p≤0.01), §Significantly different from IR group (p<0.05).

**Serum AST, ALT and MDA levels**

The Pringle maneuver induced hepatic I/R injury. In the I/R group, serum ALT, AST, and MDA levels were found to be significantly higher when compared to the sham and control groups. In the groups with I/R injury, the above-mentioned levels were significantly reduced by the administration of RA (Table 1).

**TOA, TAC and OSI levels**

Following I/R injury revealed that TOA, OSI, and TAC were increased, and TOA and OSI were significantly decreased by the administration of RA in the liver (Table 1).

Following I/R injury indicated that TAC was increased, and TOA and OSI were significantly reduced by the administration of RA in the lung.

Following I/R injury indicated no meaningful difference in TAC, TOA, and OSI among the groups in the kidney.

**Histopathologic evaluation**

Liver histopathologic scores were determined to be significantly higher in the I/R group when compared to the other groups (p < 0.001). Administration of RA markedly improved hepatic I/R injury (Figure 1 and 2).

Histopathologic evaluation of the kidney and lung sections following hepatic I/R injury revealed no significant difference among the groups.
Figure 1. Liver HP (Histopathological) scores levels of groups

Figure 2. Effects of RA on the liver after I/R evaluated by histological examination. A. A normal histopathological view in a rat from the sham group (H&E, 200X) B. An area representing nuclear pyknosis, cytoplasmic hypereosinophilia and loss of intercellular borders in a rat from the I/R group (H&E, 200X). C. An area representing cytoplasmic vacuolization and nuclear pyknosis in a rat from the I/R+RA group (H&E, 200X).

Discussion

The Pringle maneuver is a surgical technique commonly performed during liver surgery to prevent intraoperative blood loss in the liver. They reported that the Pringle maneuver resulted in less blood loss per square centimeter of transection area (12 mL/cm² vs. 22 mL/cm², p = 0.0001), a shorter transection time per square centimeter of transection area (2 min/cm² vs. 2.8 min/cm², p = 0.016), a significantly higher arterial ketone body ratio in the first 2 hours after hepatectomy, lower serum bilirubin levels in the early postoperative period, and, in cirrhotic patients, higher serum transferrin levels on postoperative days 1 and 8 (25). The most common complication caused by the Pringle maneuver is I/R injury. In the long term, the Pringle maneuver provides many advantages for surgeons but presents a high risk of I/R injury. Ischemia induced by vascular occlusion also leads to injury in the liver and distant organs, but reperfusion leads to severe injury. Furthermore, depletion of cellular energy, the activation of multiple enzyme systems and the accumulation of calcium and intracellular sodium and ROS are caused by ischemia, that leads to cell damage (26,27). Ulger et al. aimed to investigated the protective effects of nebivolol in a hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury model. And, they suggested that nebivolol has protective effects histopathologically on liver but not on kidney and lung sections (26). Free oxygen radicals induced by reperfusion trigger
cellular events such as inflammation, necrosis, hepatocellular damage and apoptosis. Free radicals lead to damage in all components of the cell, including DNA, proteins, and lipids. Supplementation of free radical scavengers has been shown to be useful in the reduction of tissue injury caused by I/R (2,3,26,28,29).

Phenolic acids are powerful antioxidants and can scavenge nearly all oxidant molecules, for example, free radicals, can be scavenged by them through their hydroxyl groups. One or two powerful oxidant molecules can be scavenged by each of these compounds through their highly hydroxylated molecular properties (30,31). Among the phenolic acids, RA shows maximum activity in the scavenging of free radicals and reduction of power and the chelating effect (31).

The strong antioxidant capacity of RA has been shown to alleviate injury in biological systems by removing ROS (14,28). In the study by Osakabe et al., oral supplementation with RA was shown to treat seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis by preventing inflammation and removing ROS. They concluded that the effect of RA on seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis stemmed from two independent mechanisms: inhibition of the inflammatory response and clearance of reactive oxygen species. (32). Han et al. investigated whether rosmarinic acid has an anti-Warburg effect in gastric cancer in vitro and in vivo. In addition, Anti-Warburg mechanisms of action have also been evaluated. As a result, they found that RA suppresses the Warburg effect in vivo. (9). Ramalho et al. also reported that RA exerts strong anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects, and thus liver parenchymal cells are protected against I/R injury. The mechanisms underlying these effects have suggested that RA was associated with the inhibitory potential of the nuclear factor-kappaB signaling pathway and its natural antioxidant properties, as well as the reduction of endothelial and inducible nitric oxide synthase expression and nitric oxide levels. (1). Rosmarinic acid (50 mg/kg via oral gavage) was found to protect the liver by counteracting I/R injury-induced increases in serum transaminase levels and MDA, a free oxygen radical. Additionally, RA counteracted I/R injury-induced increases in TOA and decreases in TAC in this study. In an experimental study, Rocha et al. observed that administration of rosmarinic acid and extract at the dose of 25 mg/kg reduced paw oedema at 6 hr by over 60%, exhibiting a dose-response effect, suggesting that rosmarinic was the main contributor to the anti-inflammatory effect. And they also observed that rosmarinic acid was administered at 25 mg/kg (i.v.) 30 min. prior to the induction of ischaemia and led to the significant reduction in the serum concentration of transaminases (AST and ALT) and LDH in the liver I/R model (29).
The free oxygen radicals induced by I/R injury are considered to trigger cellular events, such as inflammation, hepatocellular damage, necrosis and apoptosis (2,33). An experimental study found that I/R resulted in vast areas of coagulation necrosis with infiltration of inflammatory cells and disintegration of hepatocyte cords; RA reduced these changes (1). In our study, grade II and III changes (neutrophil infiltration, severe necrosis, and hemorrhage) were found in the liver sections of rats with hepatic I/R, which decreased to grade I and II changes (cytoplasmic vacuolization and nuclear pyknosis) with the administration of RA.

Ischemia/reperfusion injury induced by the Pringle maneuver mainly affects the lung and kidney, as well as other distant organs (2,34). Pulmonary microvascular endothelial cells are the primary target of mediators induced by I/R injury. The release of pro-inflammatory mediators occurs after I/R injury and they cause the impairment of the integrity of the endothelium and an increase in the permeability and deterioration of the lung endothelium (26,35). Numerous studies have shown that the lung injury may occur following hepatic I/R (2,3,35,36). Histopathologically in the lung sections, mild-moderate neutrophil leukocyte infiltration with interstitial congestion was detected in IR and IR + RA groups, and also the formation of perivascular edema and fragmented pulmonary structures were accompanied to IR group. But as stated in the literature, there were no significant difference between I/R and I/R + RA group. Similarly, in the current study, although administration of RA decreased oxidative stress and ameliorated reductions in antioxidant capacity in the lung resulting from hepatic I/R injury, administration of RA caused no histopathologic changes. These findings suggest that further studies are needed to investigate the impacts of RA against I/R injury in the lung.

The kidney is also affected by I/R injury; acute renal failure is caused by major hepatic I/R in 40-85% of cases with high morbidity and mortality (37,38). Hepatic I/R has been shown to be responsible for damage to kidney (35), and numerous studies have reported on the prevention of renal injury after hepatic I/R (2,3,26). Of these, the study by Ozturk et al. reported that RA reduced I/R injury in the kidney by reducing oxidative stress. They concluded that there was a decrease in serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen levels compared to other groups in the treatment of rats with rosmarinic acid. However, they also stated that there was no statistically significant difference. In the I/R group, malondialdehyde and myeloperoxidase levels decreased, while glutathione peroxidosis and superoxide dismutase levels remained unchanged. According to histopathological results, they stated that rosmarinic acid causes focal glomerular necrosis, dilatation of Bowman capsule, degeneration
of tubular epithelium, necrosis of tubular epithelium and a significant decrease in tubular dilatation. (39). In contrast to these studies, our study found no effects of RA on the prevention of renal injury after hepatic I/R injury. Histopathologically, I/R and I/R + RA groups had swelling of tubular cells, brush edge loss, nuclear condensation showing nuclear loss and nuclear loss extending from two out of three of tubular structures. In addition, in IR group, nuclear losses included more than two out of three of tubular structures but this difference was not significant.

Despite the results, there are a few limitations in clinical contribution. RA decreases oxidative stress, increases antioxidant capacity, and leads to significant histopathologic improvement in the liver after hepatic I/R injury. These results indicate that RA acts as an antioxidant and is an effective hepatoprotective agent. However, although RA reduced lung oxidative stress and increased antioxidant capacity in the lung, no difference was observed in histopathology. Furthermore, no beneficial effect of RA on renal injury was found. Further studies are needed to analyze the effects of RA on distant organs.
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**Table and Figure Legends**

**Table 1.** Biochemical results relevant to the study groups.

**Figure 1.** Liver HP (Histopathological) scores levels of groups

**Figure 2.** Effects of RA on the liver after I/R evaluated by histological examination. A. A normal histopathological view in a rat from the sham group (H & E, 200X) B. An area representing nuclear pyknosis, cytoplasmic hypereosinophilia and loss of intercellular borders in a rat from the I/R group (H & E, 200X). C. An area representing cytoplasmic vacuolization and nuclear pyknosis in a rat from the I/R+RA group (H & E, 200X).