Sella turcica and craniofacial morphology in patients with palatally displaced canines: a retrospective study
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Background: The aim of the study was to evaluate the sella and craniofacial morphological features in growing patients with palatally displaced canines compared to controls.

Materials and methods: Twenty-two subjects with palatally displaced canines were retrospectively selected and compared to 22 controls matched for age and gender. Lateral cephalograms were collected and sagittal and vertical cephalometric variables were measured, together with sella interclinoid distance, sella depth, and sella diameter. The independent samples T-test or Mann-Whitney U-test were used to compare all the variables between the two groups. A Pearson correlation was computed for the craniofacial and sella variables that differed significantly (p < 0.05) between the groups.

Results: Patients with palatally displaced canines showed a smaller interclinoid distance and a greater SNA angle than control subjects. The interclinoid distance and the SNA angle were negatively correlated (–0.52, p = 0.017) in the experimental group.

Conclusions: Growing patients with palatally displaced canines had smaller sella interclinoid distances and a greater SNA angle than control subjects. (Folia Morphol 2020; 79, 1: 51–57)
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INTRODUCTION

Impaction of maxillary canines is a condition found in 1–2% of the population, [13] and in 2.4% in patients of Italian ancestry [23, 33]. If left untreated, it can lead to dentigerous cyst formation, root resorption of adjacent teeth, ankylosis, teeth migration and loss of arch space [6], and therefore an appropriate intervention is needed. When intercepted in a timely fashion, the palatal ectopy of a maxillary canine can be treated with extraction of the deciduous canine [13, 28] and palatal expansion [8, 27], with anchorage on deciduous molars when possible [9, 40]. At later stages of development, the treatment of an impacted maxillary canine requires surgical exposure and orthodontic traction with the use of physiological forces [15, 39]. Such treatment can be challenging for both the patient and the clinician, can require complex biomechanics and the use of miniscrews [41], and treating a malocclusion with an impacted tooth usually takes longer than treating a similar condition without impaction [38]. Therefore, it is of great importance to recognise the risk of canine impaction as soon as possible and to
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intervene to change the eruptive path of the tooth to avoid establishment of a full-blown impaction. Many prognostic factors have been studied [29], as well as other skeletal features that can indicate a higher risk of impaction [20]. Since genetic factors have been demonstrated in the aetiology of the palatal impaction of maxillary canines [31, 32], it is possible that other features having the same genetic origin could be used as indicators for treatment need and the prognosis of ectopic canines. For example, some authors found a relationship between palatally impacted canines and sella turcica bridging (i.e. the abnormal calcification of the dura mater between the anterior and posterior clinoidal processes) [3, 10, 11, 14, 21, 24]. The sella turcica is of great importance for orthodontists because it is a landmark used for several cephalometric analyses, and the anterior wall of the sella is used for growth prediction and tracing superimpositions [1]. The anterior wall of the sella shares with the dental lamina a common embryological origin from the neural crest cells, and therefore a common genetic origin of dental and sella anomalies is plausible [17]. In addition, sella turcica anomalies were observed in patients with skeletal Class II and III [7, 26]. The aim of the present study was, therefore, to evaluate the shape of the sella and the craniofacial morphology of growing patients with palatally displaced canines, compared to healthy untreated subjects. The null hypothesis was that no difference exists in sella and craniofacial morphology between patients with impacted canines and controls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This manuscript was prepared according to the STROBE guidelines. The present protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of L’Aquila (Protocol no. 23169), the methods used were in accordance to the relevant guidelines (the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 and subsequent revisions) and legislation, and all patients gave written informed consent to participate. The records of patients treated at the Dental Clinic, University of L’Aquila, from January 2010 to January 2018 were screened for the following inclusion criteria:

— age between 8 and 16 years old;
— absence of systemic diseases or craniofacial syndromes;
— good-quality pre-treatment lateral cephalogram, without evident distortions;
— diagnosis of a palatally displaced maxillary canine (with any depth, position, or severity).

Sample size calculation (PS Power and Sample Size Calculations, Version 3.0) [12] revealed that to detect a difference in group means of at least 1 mm of sella length with an independent samples T-test, with a Type I error probability of 0.05 and a power of 0.9, 22 subjects would be needed, with an experimental-to-control ratio of 1.

The first 22 subjects screened in chronological order that met the inclusion criteria were included in the study group. Then, 22 subjects without canine impaction, matched for age and gender, were included in the control group.

Cephalometric tracings

Tracings were performed over lateral cephalograms by an expert operator in a single-blinded fashion (Fig. 2). The following variables were calculated for each subject in both groups:

— SN-GoMe, the angle between the plane passing through the Sella and Nasion points, and the plane passing through the Gonion and Menton points;
— SNA, the angle between the plane passing through the Sella and Nasion points, and the plane passing through the Nasion point and the A-point;
— ANB, the angle between the plane passing through the A-point and Nasion point, and the plane passing through the Nasion point and the B-point;
— SN-U1, the angle between the plane passing through the Sella and Nasion points, and the long axis of the upper central incisor;
— S-N, the distance in mm between the Sella and Nasion points;
— Go-Me, the distance in mm between the Gonion and Menton points.

Sella morphology
Lateral cephalograms were calibrated using a ruler positioned over the craniostat. Then, the following measurements (Fig. 3) were performed using ImageJ software (ImageJ version 1.5, National Institute of Health, USA), by an expert operator in a single-blinded fashion:
— sagittal interclinoid distance, the distance between the tip of the dorsum sellae and the tuberculum sellae;
— sella depth, the perpendicular distance between the interclinoid line and the deepest point of the floor of the sella;
sella diameter, the distance between the tip of the
tuberculum sellae and the most posterior point of
the inner surface of the posterior wall of the sella.

Error of the method

To calculate the error of the method, ten subjects
were randomly selected (www.randomizer.org) from
each of the two groups, and each operator repeated
the tracings and sella measurements, respectively, af-
after a 2-week interval. An Intra-class correlation (ICC)
coefficient was calculated between the two sets of
measurements to evaluate the intra-operator reliability.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were computed for all the
variables. A Shapiro-Wilk normality test was used
to assess the type of data distribution ($p < 0.05$).
An independent samples T-test or a Mann-Whitney
U-test, depending on whether data were normally or
not-normally distributed, was used to compare the
cephalometric and sella variables in the study and
control group.

For the variables that showed a statistically signifi-
cant difference between groups, a Pearson correlation
or a Spearman’s rho correlation, depending on data
distribution, was used to correlate the cephalometric
characteristics with the sella measurements in both
groups.

For all statistical tests, the Type I error was set as
0.05. Calculations were made using SPSS Software
(SPSS for Windows, Version 13.0, Chicago, SPSS Inc.).

RESULTS

Regarding the error of the method, the calculated
ICC coefficient was excellent ($> 0.85$) for all variables,
revealing good intra-observer reliability of the mea-
urements. The experimental group was composed of
6 males and 16 females (mean age 13 ± 1.2), as was
the control group (mean age 12.9 ± 1.0).

Descriptive statistics are reported in Tables 1 and 2.
Regarding cephalometric measurements, a statistical-
ly significant difference was observed regarding SNA
angle, which was higher (86.0 ± 4.7° in the study
group, 82.8 ± 4.8° in the control group, $p = 0.047$)
in the study group (Table 3). Regarding sella mor-
phology, the interclinoid distance was smaller in the
study group (3.2 ± 1.2 mm, $p \leq 0.001$) than in the
control group (5.3 ± 2.2 mm, Table 2). Such reduced
interclinoid distance is the expression of an abnormal
calcification of the dura mater between the anterior
and posterior clinoidal processes (i.e. sella turcica
 bridging) [21]. The null hypothesis that no difference
was present between patients with palatally displaced
canines and controls regarding sella and craniofacial
morphology was rejected.

A Pearson correlation between SNA angle and
interclinoid distance revealed a moderate correla-

### Table 1. Descriptive statistics for cephalometric variables of both groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Study group (n = 22)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Normality test†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-GoMe [°]</td>
<td>31.1 ± 6.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA [°]</td>
<td>86.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB [°]</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-U1 [°]</td>
<td>100.1 ± 12.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-N [mm]</td>
<td>67.2 ± 6.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go-Me [mm]</td>
<td>72.8 ± 7.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†$p$ value from Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test; SD — standard deviation; NS — non

### Table 2. Descriptive statistics and independent samples T-test for sella measurements between the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Study group (n = 22)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 22)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal interclinoid distance [mm]</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>-2.1 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sella depth [mm]</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.061‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sella diameter [mm]</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.161†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant with $p < 0.01$; †$p$ value from independent samples T-test;
‡$p$ value from Mann-Whitney U-test.

### Table 3. Independent samples T-test for cephalometric variable between study group (n = 22) and control group (n = 22)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SN-GoMe [°]</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>-2.9 — 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA [°]</td>
<td>-3.1*</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-6.2 — -0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB [°]</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>-3.7 — 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-U1 [°]</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>-2.7 — 11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-N [mm]</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>-4.1 — 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go-Me [mm]</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-6.7 — 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant with $p < 0.05$
ossification of the interclinoid ligament and canine
dental anomalies. Similar results were found by
Ali et al. [1] reported that the chance
of second mandibular premolars, and dental trans-
anomalies like palatally displaced canines, agenesis
suggested by many authors [1, 3, 10, 11, 21, 24],
it can only partially explain these findings, because
the presence of a sella bridging requires to a certain
extent the involvement of the posterior clinoidal pro-
cesses, which have a different embryological origin from
the notochord [18]. On the other hand, the
only study that investigated the association between
sella bridging and canine impaction on three-dimen-
sional (3D) cone-beam computed tomography [30],
reported results that did not reach statistical signif-
ificance. The methodological differences to evaluate
the presence of a partial or a complete sella bridging
in two-dimensional (2D) radiographs compared to 3D
images makes these studies incomparable, and sug-
gests that further investigation are needed to refine
the diagnostic process of this anomaly of the sella,
considering that 2D radiographs are still representing
the standard for orthodontic diagnosis.

Regarding sella depth, our measurements were
consistent with those of other studies, ranging from
6 to 8 mm [1, 34]; on the other hand, we found
smaller values of sella diameter in our sample (Table 2)
than in other studies [1, 34]. However, there was no
significant difference between the experimental and
control group for these measurements.

Regarding cephalometric assessment, in the pres-
ent study patients with palatally displaced maxillary
canines presented a greater SNA angle than control
subjects (Table 3). In addition, the value of the SNA
angle was correlated (Table 4) with the interclinoid
distance in the group of patients with palatally dis-
placed canines, with one variable increasing as the
other one decreased. An altered sella depth or di-
ameter would influence the Sella point’s position,
thus possibly modifying the value of the SNA angle,
but in the present study no differences were found
between the two groups regarding sella depth or sella
diameter. Therefore, the greater SNA angle observed
in patients with palatally displaced canines should be
related to an altered anterior cranial base or to a
different morphology of the maxilla, compared
to control subjects. Some authors investigated the

### Table 4. Pearson correlation between craniofacial and sella morphology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Study group (n = 22)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sella interclinoid</td>
<td>–0.52* (0.017)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.746)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearson correlation (p value); *statistically significant for p < 0.05
association between canine impaction and different craniofacial morphologies, with contradicting results. Mercuri et al. [25] found no influence of the sagittal skeletal relationship on the incidence of canine impaction. On the other hand, some authors found an increased incidence of sella bridging in patients with skeletal Class II [7] and Class III [26] compared to Class I patients. Basdra et al. [4] reported a close association of skeletal Class II malocclusion and congenital dental anomalies, and in particular impacted canines that were present in 33.5% of subjects with such skeletal disharmony. Nevertheless, the evaluations of those authors are not directly comparable to those of the present study. Larsen et al. [19] observed a significantly shorter anterior cranial base (Sella-Nasion distance) in patients with ectopic canines, and such condition can translate into an increased SNA angle. Therefore, it can be argued that alterations of the development of the anterior cranial base, which result in a reduced interclinoid distance and a shorter Sella-Nasion distance, are related to eruption anomalies of the maxillary canines. However, the presence of a causative effect behind this association needs to be demonstrated.

Regarding the limitations of the present study, the principal limitation is the retrospective nature of this study, although care was taken to select the patients in a rigid chronological order to reduce any selection bias as much as possible. The selected sample comprised more females (n = 16) than males (n = 6), but this reflects the normal gender difference for the incidence of palatally displaced canines [33]. Moreover, age and gender do not significantly alter the ossification of the interclinoid ligament [1, 21, 34].

The clinical importance of the present findings is associated with the fact that the shortening of the interclinoid distance due to calcification of the interclinoid ligament is independent of age [1, 21, 34], as this calcification process is completed during very early childhood [5]. Therefore, it could be used as a useful prognostic factor for palatally displaced canines, because it can be seen before any signs of an abnormal eruptive pathway of the canine can be detected. Further studies would be needed to investigate if a large sample of patients showing a sella bridging and an increased SNA angle will show an increased number of palatally displaced canines, compared to controls with a normal sella turcica and a normal SNA angle. This would definitely prove that these two parameters can be used as a predictor of the risk of canine impaction at an early stage of development: children diagnosed with sella turcica bridging and an increased SNA angle would need a careful monitoring, especially when a familial history of canine impaction is known.

CONCLUSIONS
Growing patients with palatally displaced canines had smaller sella interclinoid distances and a larger SNA angle than control subjects. Future studies are needed to investigate how these observations can be used as prognostic factors for the development of palatally displaced canines.
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